# **Preliminary Drainage Analysis**

For

# **Barlow Hotel**

6782 Sebastopol Avenue & 385 Morris Street Sebastopol, California APN 004-750-030, 004-011-017 & 020

> JN 24174 August 1th, 2024

> > **Prepared for:**

4888 Terra Bella Vista Santa Rosa, CA 95404 (707) 757-4919

PROFESS/OL

No. 41833 Exp.3/31/2026

OF CALIFOR

REGISTERS



David R Brown, RCE 41833 My license expires 3/31/2026

# **Prepared by:**

adobe associates, inc. civil engineering I land surveying I wastewater 1220 N. Dutton Ave., Santa Rosa, CA 95401 P. (707) 541-2300 F. (707) 541-2301 Website: www.adobeinc.com

Prepared By: DL Checked By: AP

# **Table of Contents**

- 1. Drainage Narrative
- 2. Aerial Photo
- 3. 10 Year SSA & Incremental Rational Method Drainage Study
  - Pre-Construction Hydrology Maps
  - 10-Year Storm Event Pre-Construction Results
  - Post-Construction Hydrology Maps
  - Post-Construction Stormwater Retention Analysis Map
  - 10-Year Storm Event Post- Construction Results & Retention Analysis Results

# Appendices

- I. Stormdrain Analysis
  - a. IRM for Stormdrain Analysis
  - b. Stormdrain Capacity Analysis
- II. Soil Analysis

# **Drainage Narrative**

# Preliminary Barlow Hotel & Parking Drainage Narrative

# **Project Description**

The Barlow Hotel is located at 6782 Sebastopol Avenue in Sebastopol, California. This project proposes to construct a commercial hotel, shed, AC and pervious paver parking lot, AC driveway, and associated hardscape. For the Barlow Hotel, offsite parking is being proposed at a separate location located at 385 Morris Street in Sebastopol, California. The project has a total of 111,462 square feet of new/replaced impervious area.

The project area is around 4.42 acres and is located on two different lots. The lot located on 6782 Sebastopol Avenue is currently located on an existing private road called Gravenstein Court. The existing lot contains an existing commercial building, parking lot and associated hardscape. Natural slopes on the site are relatively flat ranging from 1 to 5 percent, with the parking lot of the property sloping towards a storm drainpipe located under Gravenstein Court on the western property line. The lot located on 385 Morris Street is an empty lot that consists of several concrete pads, stockpiles, and retaining walls located around the property. Surface runoff flows from a high point located on the easternly and northernly property line towards two low points located in the center of the property. The soil type present on 6782 Sebastopol Avenue consists primarily of SbC (Sebastopol sandy loam, type C soil) with a minor portion of BcA (Blucher fine sandy loam, type C soil). The makeup of soil types within 385 Morris Street consist primarily of BcA (Blucher fine sandy loam, type C soil) and a minor portion of CfA (Clear lake clay, type C/D soil), which is located on the eastern section of the property where little to no work is to be performed. Therefore, for ease of analysis the entirety of the report utilizes type C soils in the calculations. No creeks or wetlands have been located on the property. Trees will be protected to the maximum extent feasible.

# Methodology

The onsite drainage watershed was divided into several basins based on their tributary areas and the Incremental Rational Method (IRM) along with AutoDesk® Storm and Sanitary Sewer Analysis in accordance with the Sonoma County Water Agency's (SCWA's) Flood Management Design Manual (FMDM, 2020) to analyze the site drainage for the 10-year storm event (SCWA Flood Management Design Manual, FMDM) was used to establish the total flow going into the public stormdrain system, refer to the **Post-Construction Hydrology Maps** and **10-Year SSA & Incremental Rational Method Drainage Study** for proposed stormdrain layout and flow calculations. Runoff coefficients were calculated for each subbasin by approximating the impervious fraction and applying that value to Table C-1 Runoff Coefficients from the FMDM. The time of concentration was assumed to be 7, 10, & 15 minutes for each site dependent on area size. The IRM was utilized to determine the pre and post construction peak flow rates along with the pre and post models that were produced within SSA as a means to calibrate and confirm design sizing.

Retention analyses have been performed using Autodesk Storm and Sanitary Analysis SSA with Synthetic Unit Method as outlined by FMDM. Basins, as delineated in the *Stormwater Retention Analysis Maps*, of both the Hotel project site (2.31 acres) and the northeastern parking lot (2.17 acres) were modeled to confirm viability of the design and to attenuate peak discharge to that of the pre-construction rate. Analysis results are summarized in *Retention Analysis Results* (details of the analysis model, configuration and input data will be included in Final Drainage Report).

The preliminary design of the bioretention facilities have been included in the analysis model, through the development of surfaces in Autodesk Civil 3D, whereby the Stage Storage tool of such program tabulated the volume capacity and thus allowed us to specify elevations to attenuate peak flows through orifice relief. The 4.48 acres combined developed site generates a total (unmitigated) peak runoff of **8.61 cfs** (4.86+3.75) for 10-yr storm events. The proposed bioretention facilities are found to sufficiently regulate the peak discharge flow to **1.97 cfs** at the off-site outfall for the Hotel project site and **0.39 cfs** Parking project site without overtopping the rims of the bioretention pits

*Hydraulic Toolbox 4.4* was used to calculate the normal depth in conveyance facilities. Hydraulics have been calculated using a Manning's roughness of 0.035 for grass lined swales, 0.050 for rock lines swales, and 0.012 for all pipes.

# **Retention Analysis Results**

In pre-construction condition, \*IRM: peak runoff from the combined site was calculated as **7.34 cfs** for 10-yr storm event, **4.86** cfs at the hotel site (A1) and **2.48** cfs within the future designated parking lot (A2). See IRM Results. \* SSA: peak runoff from the combined site was calculated as **5.10 cfs** for 10-yr storm event, **2.76** cfs at the hotel site (A1) and **2.34** cfs within the future designated parking lot (A2). See SSA Results.

For **post**-construction condition, **\*IRM**: peak runoff from the combined site was calculated as **8.61 cfs** for 10-yr storm event, **4.86** cfs at the hotel site (A1) and **3.75** cfs within the future designated parking lot (A2). **\*SSA** with bioretention modeled resulted in peak runoff from the combined site was calculated as **2.36 cfs** for 10-yr storm event, **1.97** cfs at the hotel site (A1) and **0.39** cfs within the future designated parking lot (A2). See SSA Results.

The low CFS output in the post construction results along with the steep decline and rapid rises witnessed in the hydrograph result from the grate elevations within the bioretention sitting above the water level of the pit and quickly relieving with an increase in the incoming flows, as this is a preliminary analysis we will determine orifice size and elevations further in the design process

# Conclusion

The project proposed drainage improvements including bioretention facilities and a storm drain system that connects to the existing public storm drain system, the results herein display adequate capacity of the proposed system to mitigate the increased runoff from the addition of impervious area. Onsite stormdrain facilities are sized to handle runoff from 10-yr storm events and contain 100-yr flows within the system meeting the FMDM design criteria. Stormwater retention analyses have been performed for the proposed watershed of the project sites. These analysis results have confirmed that the proposed bioretention facilities have sufficient capacity to attenuate the 10-year peak discharge flow to that of the pre-construction condition.

# **AERIAL PHOTO**

# **Aerial Photo**

6782 Sebastopol Ave & 385 Morris St. Sebastopol, CA



# 10-YEAR SSA &INCREMENTAL RATIONAL METHOD DRAINAGE STUDY

# **Pre-Construction Hydrology Maps**



DAILOW HOTEL	
ebastopol Avenue, Sebastopol,	(
APN 004-750-030	

"A Service You Can Count On!"





# NOTE:

1-FT & 5-FT CONTOURS SHOWN ARE FROM LIDAR AND ARE SHOWN FOR GRAPHIC PURPOSES ONLY

REFER TO SUBMITTED GRADING & DRAINAGE PLANS FOR INVERTS, FLOW LINES, TOP OF GRATE ELEVATIONS AND DRAINAGE SPECIFICATIONS

THIS MAP IS FOR REFERENCE ONLY

DRAINAGE ROUTING



# A2(Pre & Post) = 2.17 AC (94,633 SF)

DRAINAGE AREA TABLE

PRE: —CN:	89
-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT:	0.49
-IMPERVIOUS FRACTION:	0.27
POST: CN:	93
POST: -CN: -RUNOFF COEFFICIENT:	93 0.74

DRAINAGE AREA LEGEND

A1 DRAINAGE AREA POINT OF CONCENTRATION (1)



◄	DIRECTION OF FLOW



**BARLOW HOTEL POST-CONSTRUCTION DETENTION ANALYSIS (PARKING LOT)** BARLOW HOTEL

6782 Sebastopol Avenue, Sebastopol, CA APN 004-750-030

July 31, 2024

adobe associates, inc. 1220 N. Dutton Ave., Santa Rosa, CA 95401 P. (707) 541-2300 F. (707) 541-2301 Website: www.adobeinc.com

"A Service You Can Count On!"

# **10-Year Storm Event Pre-Construction Results**

# Pre-Construction (Hotel) 10-Year Storm Event





# Pre-Construction (Hotel) 10-Year Storm Event





# Pre-Construction (Hotel) 10-Year Storm Event

6782 Sebastopol Ave Sebastopol, CA



Runoff Summary Table

<b>.</b>			
I ime period		Element ID	System
From:	07/31/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Maximum Runoff (cfs)	2.73
Tor	08/01/2024 12:00:00 AM	Minimum Runoff (cfs)	0.00
		Event Mean Runoff (cfs)	0.27
Thresholds		Duration of Exceedances (hrs	) N/A
Exceedance	0	Duration of Deficits (hrs)	N/A
Deficit	0	Number of Exceedances	N/A
D OHON.	<u> </u>	Number of Deficits	N/A
Detention sto	orage	Volume of Exceedance (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A
klau flaur	0	Volume of Deficit (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A
Max now.	0	Total Runoff (ft®)	22950.
		Detention Storage (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A

# Pre-Construction (Parking) 10-Year Storm Event





	Total Inflow Summary Table								
Time period		Element ID	EXCURBINEET-2						
From:	07/31/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Maximum Total Inflow (cfs)	2.33						
To:	08/01/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Minimum Total Inflow (cfs)	0.00						
		Event Mean Total Inflow (cfs)	0.23						
Thresholds		Duration of Exceedances (hrs)	s) N/A						
Exceedance:	0	Duration of Deficits (hrs)	N/A						
Deficit	0	Number of Exceedances	N/A						
D OHOK.	<u> </u>	Number of Deficits	N/A						
Detention sto	rage	Volume of Exceedance (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A						
Mary Barry	0	Volume of Deficit (ft <sup>3</sup> )	N/A						
Max now.	0	Total Inflow Volume (ft®)	19578.89						
		Detention Storage (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A						

# Pre-Construction (Parking) 10-Year Storm Event



			Runoff Summary Table
Time period		FlowertID	
-	07 101 10004 10 00 00 444	Element ID	System
From:	0773172024, 12:00:00 AM	Maximum Runoff (cfs)	2.31
To:	08/01/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Minimum Runoff (cfs)	0.00
		Event Mean Runoff (cfs)	0.23
Thresholds		Duration of Exceedances (hrs)	) N/A
Exceedance	: 0	Duration of Deficits (hrs)	N/A
Deficit	0	Number of Exceedances	N/A
		Number of Deficits	N/A
Detention st	orage	Volume of Exceedance (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A
May flow	0	Volume of Deficit (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A
Max now.	0	Total Runoff (ft®)	19529.4
		Detention Storage (ft®)	N/A

#### **Incremental Rational Method Drainage Study**

10 -Yr Storm Event Pre-Construction



#### **ON SITE FLOW RATES**

1	A 1	-	-	-	-	15.00	15.00	2.34	0.90	2.31	2.31	2.08	2.08	4.86	Total Flow from Site Pre & Post Construction
1														4.86	Total Flow to Ex 54" SD within McKinley Street
2	A 2	-	-	-	-	15.00	15.00	2.34	0.49	2.17	2.17	1.06	1.06	2.48	Total Flow from Site Pre & Post Construction
														_	
2														2.48	Total Flow to Ex 54" SD within McKinley Street

DRAI AR	NAGE EA	ACRES	С	t (min)	LAND* USE	SOIL TYPE	AVE SLOPE (%)
Α	1	2.31	0.90	15.00	B/C	С	>2-6
Α	2	2.17	0.49	15.00	B/C	С	>2-6

#### \*Land Use Designation

HD - High Density LD - Low Density MD - Medium Density MLD - Medium/Low Density R - Rural B/C - Business or Commercial I - Industrial P - Parks and Recreation AG - Agricultural or Open Space Reference Table C-1 of the Sonoma County FMDM, 2020.  $\frac{\text{Rainfall Intensity vs Duration}}{\text{I} = 10.42 / t^{0.552}}$ 

**I** = intensity (in/hour)

**t** = time of concentration (minutes)

# **Intensity Duration Frequency Curve (IDF Curve)**

NOAA Atlas 14 Point Precipitation Frequency Estimates https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/pfds\_map\_cont.html

**Project:** The Canopy

JN: 22181

Date: 1/19/2023
Designer: AP

Location: Santa Rosa, CA



NOAA Atlas 14 Data Rainfall Intensity (in/hr)									
Duration (min)	10-yr	100-yr							
5	4.15	6.01							
10	2.98	4.30							
15	2.40	3.47							
30	1.61	2.32							
60	1.06	1.53							

**Rainfall Intensity vs Duration** 

 $I = a * t^b$ 

**I** = intensity (in/hour)

**t** = time of concentration/ rainfall duration (minutes)

10-Year Trendline Values							
a =	10.420						
b =	-0.552						

100-Year Trendline Values							
a =	15.107						
b =	-0.553						

# **Post-Construction Hydrology Maps**





# 10-Year Storm Event Post-Construction Results & Retention Analysis Results

# Post-Construction (Hotel) 10-Year Storm Event





			Total Inflow Summary Table
Time period		<b>E</b> I	
		Element ID	EXCURBINE I-1
From:	07/31/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Maximum Total Inflow (cfs)	0.57
To:	08/01/2024.12:00:00 AM	Minimum Total Inflow (cfs)	0.00
		Event Mean Total Inflow (cfs)	0.14
Thresholds		Duration of Exceedances (hrs	) N/A
Exceedance	e: 0	Duration of Deficits (hrs)	N/A
Deficit	0	Number of Exceedances	N/A
D ONOIC	Ľ	Number of Deficits	N/A
Detention st	orage	Volume of Exceedance (ft <sup>e</sup> )	N/A
May Baur	0	Volume of Deficit (ft <sup>e</sup> )	N/A
Max NOW.	0	Total Inflow Volume (ft®)	12376.35
		Detention Storage (ft <sup>®</sup> )	N/A

# Post-Construction (Hotel) 10-Year Storm Event



			Runoff Summary Table
Time period			
Time period		Element ID	System
From:	07/31/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Maximum Runoff (cfs)	2.80
To:	08/01/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Minimum Runoff (cfs)	0.00
		Event Mean Runoff (cfs)	0.27
Thresholds		Duration of Exceedances (hrs)	N/A
Exceedanc	e: 0	Duration of Deficits (hrs)	N/A
Deficit:	0	Number of Exceedances	N/A
		Number of Deficits	N/A
Detention s	torage	Volume of Exceedance (ft®)	N/A
May flow:	0	Volume of Deficit (ft®)	N/A
TTUN HUVY.	•	Total Runoff (ft®)	23450.4
		Detention Storage (ft <sup>3</sup> )	NA

# Post-Construction (Parking) 10-Year Storm Event





Help

# Post-Construction (Parking) 10-Year Storm Event

6782 Sebastopol Ave Sebastopol, CA

Total Inflow: Node - EXCURBINLET-2 (24174-SSA Model\_Post (Parking) 2024-08-01 19:09:46)



	Total Inflow Summary Table
Time period	
	Element ID EXCORDINE I-2
From: 07/31/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Maximum Total Inflow (cfs) 0.39
To: 08/01/2024.12:00:00 AM	Minimum Total Inflow (cfs) 0.00
	Event Mean Total Inflow (cfs) 0.11
Thresholds	Duration of Exceedances (hrs) N/A
Exceedance: 0	Duration of Deficits (trs) N/A
Deficit 0	Number of Exceedances N/A
	Number of Deficits N/A
Detention storage	Volume of Exceedance (19) N/A
May flour	Volume of Deficit (ft <sup>a</sup> ) N/A
Max now.	Total Inflow Volume (ife) 9173.34
	Detention Storage (ft) N/A

# Post-Construction (Parking) 10-Year Storm Event



			Runoff Summary Table
Time period		Element ID	System
From:	07/31/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Maximum Runoff (cfs)	2.31
To:	08/01/2024, 12:00:00 AM	Minimum Runoff (cfs)	0.00
		Event Mean Runoff (cfs)	0.23
Thresholds		Duration of Exceedances (hrs	s) N/A
Exceedance:	0	Duration of Deficits (hrs)	N/A
Deficit:	0	Number of Exceedances	N/A
· · · · ·	-	Number of Deficits	N/A
Detention stora	age	Volume of Exceedance (ft <sup>e</sup> )	N/A
May flour	0	Volume of Deficit (ft <sup>a</sup> )	N/A
Max IIOW.	0	Total Runoff (ft <sup>®</sup> )	19529.4
		Detention Storage (ft <sup>e</sup> )	N/A

#### **Incremental Rational Method Drainage Study**

10 -Yr Storm Event Post-Construction



#### **ON SITE FLOW RATES**

1	A 1	-	-	-	-	15.00	15.00	2.34	0.90	2.31	2.31	2.08	2.08	4.86	Total Flow from Site Pre & Post Construction
1														4.86	Total Flow to Ex 54" SD within McKinley Street
2						15.00	15.00	2.24	0.74	2.17	2.17	1.41	1.61	2.75	Total Flow from Site Dro & Dest Construction
2	A 2	-	-	-	-	15.00	15.00	2.34	0.74	2.17	2.17	1.01	1.01	3.75	Total Flow from Site Fre & Fost Construction
2	1													2 75	Total Flow to Ex 54" SD within McKinley Street

DRAI AR	NAGE EA	ACRES	С	t (min)	LAND* USE	SOIL TYPE	AVE SLOPE (%)
Α	1	2.31	0.90	15.00	B/C	С	>2-6
Α	2	2.17	0.74	15.00	B/C	С	>2-6

#### \*Land Use Designation

HD - High Density LD - Low Density MD - Medium Density MLD - Medium/Low Density R - Rural B/C - Business or Commercial I - Industrial P - Parks and Recreation AG - Agricultural or Open Space Reference Table C-1 of the Sonoma County FMDM, 2020. **Rainfall Intensity vs Duration**  $I = 10.42 / t^{\frac{0.552}{0.552}}$ 

**I** = intensity (in/hour)

**t** = time of concentration (minutes)

Appendix I Stormdrain Analysis

# **Intensity Duration Frequency Curve (IDF Curve)**

NOAA Atlas 14 Point Precipitation Frequency Estimates https://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/pfds\_map\_cont.html

**Project:** The Canopy

JN: 22181

Date: 1/19/2023
Designer: AP

Location: Santa Rosa, CA



NOAA Atlas 14 Data Rainfall Intensity (in/hr)								
Duration (min)	10-yr	100-yr						
5	4.15	6.01						
10	2.98	4.30						
15	2.40	3.47						
30	1.61	2.32						
60	1.06	1.53						

**Rainfall Intensity vs Duration** 

 $I = a * t^b$ 

**I** = intensity (in/hour)

**t** = time of concentration/ rainfall duration (minutes)

10-Year Trendline Values							
a =	10.420						
b =	-0.552						

100-Year Trendl	ine Values
a =	15.107
b =	-0.553

# Appendix I a. IRM for Stormdrain Analysis

## **Incremental Rational Method Drainage Study**

10 -Yr Storm Event Post Construction

Project:	24174	-Barlov	w Hotel			Date:	7/31/2024								
Point of						Travel	Total						Sum	Q	
Concentration	Area Eleva	ntion	Distance	Slope	V(ft/s)	Time (min)	Time (min)	I	С	A	A <sub>total</sub>	AC	AC	(cfs)	Remarks
10 ye	ar														
ON SITE FLC Hotel	OW RATES														
1	A 1 ·		-	-	-	7.00	7.00	3.56	0.90	0.18	0.18	0.16	0.16	0.58	Total Flow to SD-1
		-		1		7.00	7.00	2.56	0.00	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.40	
2	A Z		-	-	-	7.00	7.00	3.50	0.90	0.15	0.15	0.14	0.14	0.48	Roof Flow to SD-2
3	A 3 ·		-	-	-	7.00	7.00	3.56	0.90	0.30	0.30	0.27	0.27	0.96	Overland Flow to SD-2
3										Combin	es POC 2 a	& 3		1.44	Total Flow to SD-2
4	A 4		-	-	-	15.00	15.00	2.34	0.90	0.82	0.82	0.74	0.74	1.72	Overland Flow to SD-3
						10100	10100	2.0.	0.00	0.02	0.02			102	
4										Combines	POC 1, 2, 3	3, & 4		3.74	Total Flow to SD-3
5	A 5 ·		-	-	-	7.00	7.00	3.56	0.90	0.14	0.14	0.13	0.13	0.45	Total Flow to SD-7
5									(	Combines P	POC 1. 2. 3	4. & 5		4.19	Total Flow to Ex SD-8
											, , . ,	, ,			
6	A 6 ·		-	-	-	15.00	15.00	2.34	0.90	0.72	0.72	0.65	0.65	1.51	Overland Flow to Ex SD-9 & Curb Inlet-1
6									(	Combines P	POC 1, 2, 3	, 4, & 5		5.71	Total Flow to Ex SD-9,10,11, & Curb Inlet-1
Parking Lot															
7	A 7 ·		-	-	-	7.00	7.00	3.56	0.90	0.45	0.45	0.41	0.41	1.44	Total Flow to SD-12
8	A 8 ·		-	-	-	15.00	15.00	2.34	0.90	0.72	0.72	0.65	0.65	1.51	Total Flow to SD-13
9	A 9 .		-	-	-	10.00	10.00	2.92	0.90	0.37	0.37	0.33	0.33	0.97	Overland Flow to SD-14
10	A 10 ·		-	-	-	10.00	10.00	2.92	0.90	0.54	0.54	0.49	0.49	1.42	Overland Flow to SD-14
16										Combines I	POC 7, 8, 9	, & 10		5.35	Total Flow to SD-14
								-							
11	A 11 ·		-	-		7.00	7.00	3.56	0.90	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.08	0.29	Overland Flow to SD-15
15										Combine	s POC 15 a	& 16		5.64	Total Flow to SD-15 & Curb-Inlet-2

#### ON SITE - POST CONSTRUCTION RUNOFF COEFFICIENT

DRAII AR	NAGE EA	ACRES	С	t (min)	LAND* USE	SOIL TYPE	AVE SLOPE (%)
Α	1	0.18	0.90	7.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	2	0.15	0.90	7.00	MD	С	>2-6
A	3	0.30	0.90	7.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	4	0.82	0.90	15.00	MLD	С	>2-6
Α	5	0.14	0.90	7.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	6	0.72	0.90	15.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	7	0.45	0.90	7.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	8	0.72	0.90	15.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	9	0.37	0.90	10.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	10	0.54	0.90	10.00	MD	С	>2-6
Α	11	0.09	0.90	7.00	MLD	С	>2-6

*Land	Use	Designation
-		

HD - High Density LD - Low Density

MD - Medium Density

MLD - Medium/Low Density

R - Rural

B/C - Business or Commercial

I - Industrial

P - Parks and Recreation

AG - Agricultural or Open Space Reference Table C-1 of the Sonoma County FMDM, 2020.

Rainfall II	ntensity vs Duration
I =	10.42 /t <sup>0.552</sup>

I = intensity (in/hour)

**t** = time of concentration (minutes)

T:\2024 PROJECTS\24174\Reports\Drainage\Preliminary Drainage Report\[24174-Incremenatal Rational Method (w IDF Curve) Prelim.xlsx]10\_Year Storm

# Appendix I b. Stormdrain Analysis

# **Hydraulic Analysis Report**

### **Project Data**

Project Title: 24174-Barlow Hotel Designer: Project Date: Thursday, August 1, 2024 Project Units: U.S. Customary Units Notes:

## **Channel Analysis: SD-1**

Notes:

### **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 0.8300 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0050 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 0.5800 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.3386 ft Area of Flow: 0.2075 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 1.1501 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.1804 ft Average Velocity: 2.7956 ft/s Top Width: 0.8158 ft Froude Number: 0.9770 Critical Depth: 0.3344 ft Critical Velocity: 2.8429 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0052 ft/ft Critical Slope: 0.0052 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.81 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.1056 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.0563 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 0.8300 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 1.4400 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.4697 ft Area of Flow: 0.3158 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 1.4135 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.2234 ft Average Velocity: 4.5596 ft/s Top Width: 0.8228 ft Froude Number: 1.2969 Critical Depth: 0.5378 ft Critical Velocity: 3.8820 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0066 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.79 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.2931 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.1394 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 3.7400 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 3.7400 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 3.7400 cfs

## **Result Parameters**

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 3.7400 cfs

## **Result Parameters**

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 0.4500 cfs

## **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.2148 ft Area of Flow: 0.1405 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 1.0687 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.1315 ft Average Velocity: 3.2018 ft/s Top Width: 0.9432 ft Froude Number: 1.4617 Critical Depth: 0.2606 ft Critical Velocity: 2.4268 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0046 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 1.02 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.1341 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.0821 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 4.1900 cfs

## **Result Parameters**

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 5.7100 cfs

## **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.8581 ft Area of Flow: 0.8981 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 2.4413 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.3679 ft Average Velocity: 6.3580 ft/s Top Width: 1.1598 ft Froude Number: 1.2733 Critical Depth: 0.9674 ft Critical Velocity: 5.6030 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0075 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 1.05 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.5355 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.2296 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.2500 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0100 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 5.1700 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.7993 ft Area of Flow: 0.8286 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 2.3167 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.3577 ft Average Velocity: 6.2395 ft/s Top Width: 1.2004 ft Froude Number: 1.3235 Critical Depth: 0.9216 ft Critical Velocity: 5.3302 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0068 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 1.10 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.4988 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.2232 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 4.5000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0026 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 5.7100 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.7010 ft Area of Flow: 1.5803 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 3.6517 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.4328 ft Average Velocity: 3.6132 ft/s Top Width: 3.2639 ft Froude Number: 0.9151 Critical Depth: 0.6702 ft Critical Velocity: 3.8569 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0031 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 3.20 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.1137 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.0702 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 0.6700 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0200 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 1.4400 cfs

## **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.4400 ft Area of Flow: 0.2455 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 1.2660 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.1939 ft Average Velocity: 5.8665 ft/s Top Width: 0.6362 ft Froude Number: 1.6644 Critical Depth: 0.5627 ft Critical Velocity: 4.5555 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0113 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.49 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.5491 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.2420 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 0.5000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0200 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 0.7500 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.3615 ft Area of Flow: 0.1520 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 1.0165 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.1495 ft Average Velocity: 4.9339 ft/s Top Width: 0.4475 ft Froude Number: 1.4919 Critical Depth: 0.4336 ft Critical Velocity: 4.1465 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0139 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.34 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 0.4511 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.1866 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0200 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 5.3500 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.8022 ft Area of Flow: 0.6754 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 2.2199 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.3042 ft Average Velocity: 7.9217 ft/s Top Width: 0.7966 ft Froude Number: 1.5162 Critical Depth: 0.9331 ft Critical Velocity: 7.0137 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0166 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.50 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 1.0012 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.3797 lb/ft^2

Notes:

# **Input Parameters**

Channel Type: Circular Pipe Diameter: 1.0000 ft Longitudinal Slope: 0.0200 ft/ft Manning's n: 0.0120 Flow: 5.6400 cfs

### **Result Parameters**

Depth: 0.8532 ft Area of Flow: 0.7138 ft^2 Wetted Perimeter: 2.3551 ft Hydraulic Radius: 0.3031 ft Average Velocity: 7.9017 ft/s Top Width: 0.7079 ft Froude Number: 1.3867 Critical Depth: 0.9443 ft Critical Velocity: 7.3420 ft/s Critical Slope: 0.0185 ft/ft Critical Slope: 0.0185 ft/ft Critical Top Width: 0.46 ft Calculated Max Shear Stress: 1.0647 lb/ft^2 Calculated Avg Shear Stress: 0.3782 lb/ft^2 Appendix II Soil Analysis



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural

Resources Conservation Service A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Sonoma County, California





	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION
Area of In	nterest (AOI) Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	å	Very Stony Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
~	Soil Map Unit Lines	\$	Wet Spot Other	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil
Special	Point Features Blowout	Water Fea	Special Line Features tures Streams and Canals	contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed scale.
×	Borrow Pit Clay Spot	Transport	ation Rails	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
×	Closed Depression Gravel Pit	~	Interstate Highways US Routes	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (ERSG:3857)
Ø	Landfill	%	Major Roads Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
م بلا	Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry	Backgrou	<b>i</b> Aerial Photography	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
Ô	Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
~	Rock Outcrop Saline Spot			Soil Survey Area: Sonoma County, California Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 11, 2023
- :: e	Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
لے د	Sinkhole Slide or Slip			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 26, 2022—Apr 25, 2022
ß	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

# **Map Unit Legend**

		1	
Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
BcA	Blucher fine sandy loam, overwash, 0 to 2 percent slopes	1.1	18.2%
SbC	Sebastopol sandy loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes	4.9	81.8%
Totals for Area of Interest		6.0	100.0%

# **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the

development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

# Sonoma County, California

### BcA—Blucher fine sandy loam, overwash, 0 to 2 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: hfb4
Elevation: 0 to 500 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 25 to 50 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 61 degrees F
Frost-free period: 250 to 270 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Blucher and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Blucher**

#### Setting

Landform: Drainageways, alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 20 inches: fine sandy loam
H2 - 20 to 34 inches: fine sandy loam
H3 - 34 to 60 inches: clay loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 42 to 60 inches
Frequency of flooding: Occasional
Frequency of ponding: Occasional
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.7 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2w Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3w Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R014XG907CA - Loamy Bottom Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### **Minor Components**

#### Pajaro

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Steinbeck

Percent of map unit: 7 percent Hydric soil rating: No

### SbC—Sebastopol sandy loam, 2 to 9 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: hfjc Elevation: 100 to 1,000 feet Mean annual precipitation: 40 inches Mean annual air temperature: 55 degrees F Frost-free period: 200 to 240 days Farmland classification: Not prime farmland

#### Map Unit Composition

Sebastopol and similar soils: 85 percent Minor components: 15 percent Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.

#### **Description of Sebastopol**

#### Setting

Landform: Terraces Landform position (two-dimensional): Backslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

- H1 0 to 24 inches: sandy loam
- H2 24 to 28 inches: sandy clay loam
- H3 28 to 57 inches: clay
- H4 57 to 62 inches: clay loam
- H5 62 to 72 inches: sandy clay loam

#### Properties and qualities

Slope: 2 to 9 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Well drained
Runoff class: High
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: More than 80 inches

*Frequency of flooding:* None *Frequency of ponding:* None *Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches:* Moderate (about 8.3 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2e Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3e Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R014XG912CA - Loamy Terrace Hydric soil rating: No

#### **Minor Components**

#### Blucher

Percent of map unit: 5 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Goldridge

*Percent of map unit:* 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No

#### Cotati

*Percent of map unit:* 5 percent *Hydric soil rating:* No



United States Department of Agriculture

Natural Resources

Conservation Service

A product of the National Cooperative Soil Survey, a joint effort of the United States Department of Agriculture and other Federal agencies, State agencies including the Agricultural Experiment Stations, and local participants

# Custom Soil Resource Report for Sonoma County, California





	MAP LEGEND			MAP INFORMATION
Area of In	<b>terest (AOI)</b> Area of Interest (AOI)	8	Spoil Area Stony Spot	The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at 1:20,000.
Soils	Soil Map Unit Polygons	00 V	Very Stony Spot Wet Spot	Warning: Soil Map may not be valid at this scale.
	Soil Map Unit Points		Other Special Line Features	Enlargement of maps beyond the scale of mapping can cause misunderstanding of the detail of mapping and accuracy of soil line placement. The maps do not show the small areas of contrasting soils that could have been shown at a more detailed
() () () () () () () () () () () () () (	Blowout Borrow Pit	Water Fea	tures Streams and Canals	scale.
¥ ⊘	Clay Spot Closed Depression	Transporta	ation Rails Interstate Highways	Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.
*	Gravel Pit Gravelly Spot	~	US Routes Maior Roads	Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL: Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
@	Landfill Lava Flow	Backgrou	Local Roads	Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts
\$ \$	Marsh or swamp Mine or Quarry		Aerial Photography	distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.
0	Miscellaneous Water Perennial Water			This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.
~ +	Rock Outcrop Saline Spot			Soil Survey Area: Sonoma County, California Survey Area Data: Version 17, Sep 11, 2023
· ··	Sandy Spot Severely Eroded Spot			Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.
¢ s	Sinkhole Slide or Slip			Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Mar 26, 2022—Apr 25, 2022
ø	Sodic Spot			The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

# **Map Unit Legend**

Map Unit Symbol	Map Unit Name	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
BcA	Blucher fine sandy loam, overwash, 0 to 2 percent slopes	2.4	68.1%
CfA	Clear Lake clay, ponded, 0 to 2 percent slopes	1.1	31.9%
Totals for Area of Interest		3.5	100.0%

# **Map Unit Descriptions**

The map units delineated on the detailed soil maps in a soil survey represent the soils or miscellaneous areas in the survey area. The map unit descriptions, along with the maps, can be used to determine the composition and properties of a unit.

A map unit delineation on a soil map represents an area dominated by one or more major kinds of soil or miscellaneous areas. A map unit is identified and named according to the taxonomic classification of the dominant soils. Within a taxonomic class there are precisely defined limits for the properties of the soils. On the landscape, however, the soils are natural phenomena, and they have the characteristic variability of all natural phenomena. Thus, the range of some observed properties may extend beyond the limits defined for a taxonomic class. Areas of soils of a single taxonomic class rarely, if ever, can be mapped without including areas of other taxonomic classes. Consequently, every map unit is made up of the soils or miscellaneous areas for which it is named and some minor components that belong to taxonomic classes other than those of the major soils.

Most minor soils have properties similar to those of the dominant soil or soils in the map unit, and thus they do not affect use and management. These are called noncontrasting, or similar, components. They may or may not be mentioned in a particular map unit description. Other minor components, however, have properties and behavioral characteristics divergent enough to affect use or to require different management. These are called contrasting, or dissimilar, components. They generally are in small areas and could not be mapped separately because of the scale used. Some small areas of strongly contrasting soils or miscellaneous areas are identified by a special symbol on the maps. If included in the database for a given area, the contrasting minor components are identified in the map unit descriptions along with some characteristics of each. A few areas of minor components may not have been observed, and consequently they are not mentioned in the descriptions, especially where the pattern was so complex that it was impractical to make enough observations to identify all the soils and miscellaneous areas on the landscape.

The presence of minor components in a map unit in no way diminishes the usefulness or accuracy of the data. The objective of mapping is not to delineate pure taxonomic classes but rather to separate the landscape into landforms or landform segments that have similar use and management requirements. The delineation of such segments on the map provides sufficient information for the

development of resource plans. If intensive use of small areas is planned, however, onsite investigation is needed to define and locate the soils and miscellaneous areas.

An identifying symbol precedes the map unit name in the map unit descriptions. Each description includes general facts about the unit and gives important soil properties and qualities.

Soils that have profiles that are almost alike make up a *soil series*. Except for differences in texture of the surface layer, all the soils of a series have major horizons that are similar in composition, thickness, and arrangement.

Soils of one series can differ in texture of the surface layer, slope, stoniness, salinity, degree of erosion, and other characteristics that affect their use. On the basis of such differences, a soil series is divided into *soil phases*. Most of the areas shown on the detailed soil maps are phases of soil series. The name of a soil phase commonly indicates a feature that affects use or management. For example, Alpha silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is a phase of the Alpha series.

Some map units are made up of two or more major soils or miscellaneous areas. These map units are complexes, associations, or undifferentiated groups.

A *complex* consists of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas in such an intricate pattern or in such small areas that they cannot be shown separately on the maps. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar in all areas. Alpha-Beta complex, 0 to 6 percent slopes, is an example.

An *association* is made up of two or more geographically associated soils or miscellaneous areas that are shown as one unit on the maps. Because of present or anticipated uses of the map units in the survey area, it was not considered practical or necessary to map the soils or miscellaneous areas separately. The pattern and relative proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas are somewhat similar. Alpha-Beta association, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

An *undifferentiated group* is made up of two or more soils or miscellaneous areas that could be mapped individually but are mapped as one unit because similar interpretations can be made for use and management. The pattern and proportion of the soils or miscellaneous areas in a mapped area are not uniform. An area can be made up of only one of the major soils or miscellaneous areas, or it can be made up of all of them. Alpha and Beta soils, 0 to 2 percent slopes, is an example.

Some surveys include *miscellaneous areas*. Such areas have little or no soil material and support little or no vegetation. Rock outcrop is an example.

# Sonoma County, California

### BcA—Blucher fine sandy loam, overwash, 0 to 2 percent slopes

#### **Map Unit Setting**

National map unit symbol: hfb4
Elevation: 0 to 500 feet
Mean annual precipitation: 25 to 50 inches
Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 61 degrees F
Frost-free period: 250 to 270 days
Farmland classification: Prime farmland if irrigated and either protected from flooding or not frequently flooded during the growing season

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Blucher and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Blucher**

#### Setting

Landform: Drainageways, alluvial fans Landform position (two-dimensional): Footslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Side slope, tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Parent material: Alluvium derived from sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

H1 - 0 to 20 inches: fine sandy loam
H2 - 20 to 34 inches: fine sandy loam
H3 - 34 to 60 inches: clay loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Somewhat poorly drained
Runoff class: Medium
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)
Depth to water table: About 42 to 60 inches
Frequency of flooding: Occasional
Frequency of ponding: Occasional
Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm)
Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.7 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2w Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3w Hydrologic Soil Group: C Ecological site: R014XG907CA - Loamy Bottom Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### **Minor Components**

#### Pajaro

Percent of map unit: 8 percent Hydric soil rating: No

#### Steinbeck

Percent of map unit: 7 percent Hydric soil rating: No

### CfA—Clear Lake clay, ponded, 0 to 2 percent slopes

#### Map Unit Setting

National map unit symbol: 2y8vg Elevation: 50 to 210 feet Mean annual precipitation: 27 to 40 inches Mean annual air temperature: 57 to 58 degrees F Frost-free period: 265 to 315 days Farmland classification: Farmland of statewide importance

#### **Map Unit Composition**

*Clear lake and similar soils:* 85 percent *Minor components:* 15 percent *Estimates are based on observations, descriptions, and transects of the mapunit.* 

#### **Description of Clear Lake**

#### Setting

Landform: Basin floors Landform position (two-dimensional): Toeslope Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Concave Parent material: Alluvium derived from volcanic and sedimentary rock

#### **Typical profile**

Apg - 0 to 8 inches: clay Assg - 8 to 25 inches: clay Bssg - 25 to 46 inches: clay Bkssg - 46 to 52 inches: clay 2Bkg - 52 to 60 inches: clay loam 2Btg - 60 to 72 inches: clay loam

#### **Properties and qualities**

Slope: 0 to 2 percent
Depth to restrictive feature: More than 80 inches
Drainage class: Poorly drained
Runoff class: Negligible
Capacity of the most limiting layer to transmit water (Ksat): Moderately low to moderately high (0.06 to 0.20 in/hr)

Depth to water table: About 0 inches Frequency of flooding: None Frequency of ponding: Frequent Calcium carbonate, maximum content: 7 percent Maximum salinity: Nonsaline to very slightly saline (0.0 to 2.0 mmhos/cm) Sodium adsorption ratio, maximum: 10.0 Available water supply, 0 to 60 inches: High (about 9.7 inches)

#### Interpretive groups

Land capability classification (irrigated): 2s Land capability classification (nonirrigated): 3s Hydrologic Soil Group: C/D Ecological site: R014XG907CA - Loamy Bottom Hydric soil rating: Yes

#### **Minor Components**

#### Wright

Percent of map unit: 6 percent Landform: Stream terraces Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Huichica

Percent of map unit: 6 percent Landform: Flood plains Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Concave Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No

#### Zamora

Percent of map unit: 3 percent Landform: Alluvial fans Landform position (three-dimensional): Tread Down-slope shape: Linear Across-slope shape: Linear Hydric soil rating: No