

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
MINUTES FOR MEETING OF: Tuesday – July 2, 2024

MINUTES FOR Regular City Council Meeting of July 2, 2024
As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of July 16, 2024

Please note that these are action minutes only. Detailed raw transcript is attached to the minutes and made a part of the public record. These action minutes are the City’s record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City and City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

6:00 pm City Council Regular Meeting, In Person – Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA/Virtual Format (Zoom)

Call to Order: Mayor Rich called the Regular Meeting to Order at 6:10 pm.

Roll Call:

Present: Mayor Diana Gardner Rich
Councilmember Neysa Hinton
Councilmember Sandra Maurer

Absent: Vice Mayor Zollman
Councilmember McLewis

Staff: City Manager Don Schwartz (Zoom)
City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Administrative Services Director Ana Kwong
City Engineer/GHD Consultant Toni Bertolero
Interim Planning Director David Woltering

SALUTE TO THE FLAG : Mayor Rich led the salute to the flag.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). None Required.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:

The following was presented:

- Years of Service Award: Joseph Aiello, Volunteer Fire Fighter: 10 Years
- Years of Service Award: Matthew Senn, Volunteer Fire Fighter: 20 Years
- Years of Service Award: Raymond Senn, Volunteer Fire Fighter: 45 Years
- Years of Service Award: Fidel “Tito” Quintero, Public Works: 20 Years

Reference Order Number: 2024-145

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

There were no stated conflicts of interest by City Councilmembers.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):

Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following members of the public spoke during public comment:

Patty Freeman (Water Rate Hikes/Wants Town Hall)

Linda (deserve to have voice/Gravenstein Commons Project)

Kyle (Exiting City Attorney comments to Sebastopol Times/Supreme Court Ruling/ACLU/Fire Department/Ad Hoc Committee)

Mayor Rich responded to public comment.

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Councilmembers may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Mayor Rich asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.

Councilmember Maurer requested Item Number 5 be pulled from the consent calendar.

Mayor Rich opened for Public Comment(s). The following member(s) of the public provided public comment.

Linda (Know subject matter of meetings/agree with pulling number 5)

Kyle (Closed session; add agenda item title)

Mayor Rich responded to public comment.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Item Number(s) 1, 2, 3, and 4.

Item number 5 was removed from the consent calendar.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: Councilmember McLewis and Vice Mayor Zollman
Abstain: None

1. Approval of Minutes of Special City Council Meeting of June 10, 2024 – Closed Session (Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approve Minutes of Special City Council Meeting of June 10, 2024 – Closed Session
Minute Order Number: 2024-146

2. Approval of Minutes of Special City Council Meeting of June 17, 2024 – Closed Session (Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approve Minutes of Special City Council Meeting of June 17, 2024 – Closed Session
Minute Order Number: 2024-147

3. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes for Meeting of June 18, 2024 -Regular Meeting (Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes for Meeting of June 18, 2024 -Regular Meeting
Minute Order Number: 2024-148

4. Approval of Notice of Completion for State Route 116 & Bodega Avenue Pedestrian Improvements (Responsible Department: City Engineer)

City Council Action: Approved Notice of Completion for State Route 116 & Bodega Avenue Pedestrian Improvements
Minute Order Number: 2024-149

- ~~5. Departmental Reports Attached as Informational Items to City Manager Report Out (Requestor: Vice Mayor Zollman/Responsible Department: City Manager)~~

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

NONE

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

6. Public Hearing – Capital Improvement Plan Budget (Responsible Department: Engineering)

City Manager Schwartz provided opening comments.

Toni Bertolero, GHD, Engineering Consultant, provided the agenda item recommending the City Council adopt a resolution approving the Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2024-25, and note changes to the CIP, if any.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council. The Council asked questions of staff and consultant.

Mayor Rich opened the public hearing. The following members of the public provided comments during the public hearing:

Kyle (detail justification for costs/preconstruction costs/cost overruns)/potential conflicts of interest/)

- Rick (SCCC President/\$70,000 costs/fire safety improvements)
- Linda (Accessibility to agendas/Discussed accident on Healdsburg/Canopy Project/AmeriCorp Project)
- Tanya (SCCC/Interim Acting Executive Director/Echo comments/Keep Main Hall Open)
- Robert (Concern of way City sets priorities for projects/not much done on streets/identify priorities)
- Oliver (Good start/Not enough discussion/document well put together/focus on more vision/discussion for each project)
- John (Works at SCCC/24 years; value and merit of Community Center to community important)

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Rich closed the public hearing.

Mayor Rich responded to public comments.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Comments/Direction:

The Council provided additional questions.

MOTION:

Mayor Rich moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to adopt a resolution approving the Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2024-25, and approved changes to the CIP as listed below:

1. Approve the Community Cultural Center Master Plan Project in the amount of \$85,000.00, from Fund 127, Flood Mitigation.
2. Add and approve \$70,000.00 for the SCCC Fire-Related Building Improvements, subject to a General Plan Consistency Finding by the Planning Commission, from Fund 127, Flood Mitigation.
3. Concept Plan for Bodega Avenue Bicycle Connection Ragle Rd. to Atascadero Creek Project to return to City Council once completed before moving forward with final design efforts.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

- Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, and Mayor Rich
- Noes: None
- Absent: Councilmember McLewis and Vice Mayor Zollman
- Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approve adoption of Resolution Approving the Capital Improvement Plan for Fiscal Year 2024-25, and approved changes to the CIP as listed below:

- Addition of \$70,000 for the SCCC to replace sheetrock on the walls/fire safety part of the building
- Project / Concept Plan to return to Council after Concept Plan developed for Atascadero / Bodega Avenue project

Minute Order Number: 2024-151
Resolution Number: 6600-2024

7. Public Hearing - First Reading and Introduction of Ordinance to approve a Development Agreement for the Hotel Sebastopol at 6828 Depot Street (Responsible Department: Planning)

City Manager Schwartz provided opening comments.

David Woltering, Interim Planning Director, presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Approve Introduction and First Reading of the Ordinance Approving a Development Agreement for Hotel Sebastopol.

The applicant was in attendance.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council. The Council asked questions of staff and applicant.

Mayor Rich opened the public hearing. The following members of the public provided comments for the public hearing:

Kyle (alarming to hear comments for extension; no development on space; permit extensions; TOT dollars)
Linda (General Plan reduction to wireless radiation; movie theater roof (3 antennas)
Oliver (Horrendous reputation; hard time; previous Council frightened off developers; giving away tax money)
Robert (Excited of downtown hotel; key to reversing direction; Sebastopol not proven market; what can we do to help them)

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Rich closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Comments/Direction:
The Council asked additional questions and provided comments.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Mayor Rich seconded the motion to Approve Introduction and First Reading of the Ordinance Approving a Development Agreement for Hotel Sebastopol; with addition:

- Applicant to return annually for update on the status of the project
- Three year extension

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: Councilmember McLewis and Vice Mayor Zollman
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Introduction and First Reading of the Ordinance Approving a Development Agreement for Hotel Sebastopol.

Minute Order Number: 2024-152

Ordinance Number: 1150 (First Reading and Introduction)

5. Departmental Reports Attached as Informational Items to City Manager Report Out (Requestor: Vice Mayor Zollman/Responsible Department: City Manager)

City Manager Schwartz provided opening comments and presented the agenda item.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from Council.

Mayor Rich opened for public comments. The following members of the public spoke:

Kyle (watch process; lack of transparency; seeking make reports more available to public/write it down)
Oliver (Quality over quantity/not viable at this point in time)

Robert (Ditto; takes effort to do right; written two weeks (make work) not useful; metrics develop relate back to goals and priorities)
Linda (Dysfunction/see reports at the front of the meeting)

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/Comments/Direction:

The council discussed the request.

Provided direction to staff for Departmental Reports; once a month reporting in Council Meeting Packets.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

City Council Action: Provided direction to staff for Departmental Reports; once a month reporting in Council Meeting Packets.

Minute Order Number: 2024-150

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION)(CONTINUED): NONE

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor’s discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers. There was none.

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:

- 8. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

City Manager Schwartz reported:

BAHFA Process

Budget Update

Fire Ad Hoc Committee

Town Hall Meetings

Monday	July 8 th	6:00 pm	Zoom
Wednesday	July 10 th	6:00 pm	In Person

- 9. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

Councilmember Maurer reported:

Peace town Concerts

June 6th Sonoma Clean Power Meeting

Budget Meetings

July 16th Full budget to Council

Zero Waste Committee

Bike and Pedestrian Paths

Gravenstein Apple Trail

Councilmember Hinton reported:

Met with three major people and SCTA and Supervisor Hopkins/Discussed West County projects

Weed abatement at 12/Bridge – completed
Pavement repair at crosswalk by Fircrest Market/Caltrans Crosswalk
Timing for Signal at Morris and how Sebastopol backs up at Llano Rod
Plan at crosswalk of Hotel Sebastopol/crosswalk mid block
Fire Ad Hoc Committee – Pilot Program
Draft annexation schedule
Monte Rio interested to go through process with Sebastopol

Mayor Rich reported:
Meet Your Neighbor regular meetings
Radio classes
Marin Sonoma Mosquito Vector Control
EIFD moving forward
Zero Waste working group
Elderberry Commons/Occupancy date January 2025

a. Budget Committee Report Out

Councilmember Maurer provided a report out on the committee meetings.
Created rolling document.

City Council Reference Order Number: 2024-153

10. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers) There was no communications received.

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

Mayor Rich adjourned the Regular City Council Meeting of July 2, 2024 at 9:45 pm to the next City Council Special Meeting – Closed Session – City Attorney Interviews of Monday July 15, 2024 .

July 15th 2024 City Council Special Meeting- Closed Session will be adjourned to the City Council Regular Meeting of Tuesday, July 16, 2024 at 4:00 pm (Special Meeting Time) Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street.

Respectfully Submitted:



Mary Gourley
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment:
Raw Zoom Minutes

City Council Meeting - July 2, 2024

PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS. PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS.

I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION, UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

RECORDING IN PROGRESS.

THERE IT IS. OKAY. OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. JUST FOR PURPOSES OF THE RECORDING, WE DID THE CALL TO ORDER, THE ROLLCALL, AND THE SALUTE TO THE FLAG. WE ARE NOW GOING TO MOVE ON TO PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS. WE HAVE A NUMBER OF THEM THIS EVENING. THREE ARE FOR FIREFIGHTERS, AND THOSE ARE TO JOSEPH AIELLO, TO MATTHEW SENN, AM I PRONOUNCING THAT CORRECTLY? THANK YOU. AND ALSO TO RAYMOND SENN. SOUNDS LIKE A FAMILY THAT IS DOING THEIR VERY BEST TO HELP US OUT ON THE FIREFIGHTER SIDE. WE WILL ALSO BE RECOGNIZING TITO QUINTERO, BUT IF I COULD INVITE STEVE TIBITO TO THE PODIUM, WE WILL READ EACH OF THE PROCLAMATIONS TO THESE FABULOUS FIREFIGHTERS. THEN I THINK STEVE PROBABLY HAS SOME COMMENTS TO MAKE. SO THE FIRST ONE IS FOR 45 YEARS TO RAYMOND SENN. CONGRATULATIONS ON REACHING THE SIGNIFICANT MILESTONE WITH THE CITY. YOUR EFFORT AND DEDICATION AS A VOLUNTEER IN THE SEBASTOPOL FIRE DEPARTMENT PLAYS A TREMENDOUS PART IN THE SUCCESS OF THE CITY OF CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. WE APPRECIATE YOUR COMMITMENT TO THE CITY AND THIS COMMUNITY. THIS CERTIFICATE IS IN GRATEFUL APPRECIATION OF YOUR 45 YEARS OF VALUED SERVICE AND DEDICATION TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. SO WE HAVE , AND I AM GOING TO READ EACH ONE OF THEM AND MAYBE YOU CAN CALL THE FIREFIGHTERS UP AND MAKE SOME COMMENTS. THE SECOND ONE IS JOSEPH AIELLO AND HIS IS FOR 10 YEARS OF SERVICE. CONGRATULATIONS ON REACHING THIS SIGNIFICANT MILESTONE WITH THE CITY. YES, EACH ONE OF THESE IS VERY SIGNIFICANT. THE TENURES, HOPEFULLY, WILL LEAD TO MANY, MANY MORE YEARS. AGAIN, THIS RECOGNIZES THIS FIREFIGHTER, JOSEPH AIELLO. AND THEN WE HAVE, I BELIEVE, MUST BE THE SON OF RAYMOND SENN, IS THAT CORRECT? LINEAGE. THIS IS FOR MATTHEW SENN, AGAIN RECOGNIZING HIS COMMITMENT AND EFFORTS AND DEDICATION TO FIREFIGHTING HERE IN THE WONDERFUL CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AND THIS IS FOR 20 YEARS OF SERVICE. SO DO YOU HAVE SOME COMMENTS TO SHARE WITH US?

I DO.

THEN WE WILL WANT TO HAND THESE OFF TO YOU OR THE FIREFIGHTERS IF THEY ARE IN THE HOUSE.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. GOOD EVENING, EVERYBODY PRETTY TONIGHT IS KIND OF A SPECIAL NIGHT AS WE CELEBRATE OUR MILESTONES ON FIVE YEARS. I WANT TO MENTION THAT TODAY, JULY 2nd, IS ALSO NATIONAL WILDLAND FIREFIGHTER APPRECIATION DAY. I ACTUALLY DIDN'T KNOW ABOUT IT UNTIL THIS MORNING, BUT IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE ACKNOWLEDGE THE FOLKS THAT HAVE SERVED OUR COMMUNITIES AND FOR ALL THESE FIRES THAT WE HAVE AND FOR THE ONES THAT MADE THE ULTIMATE SACRIFICE, ALSO. TONIGHT, I WANT TO START, I WANT TO GO IN A

DIFFERENT ORDER. WE ARE GOING TO START WITH JOSEPH AIELLO. JOE, WOULD YOU PLEASE COME UP TO THE PODIUM. HE IS OVER HERE.

SO THIS IS THE YOUNGSTER?

THIS IS THE YOUNGSTER. YES. JOE STARTED COMING BY THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WHEN HE WAS ATTENDING COLLEGE AND HE DEVELOPED AN INTEREST IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND DECIDED THAT IT WAS A GOOD THING FOR HIM TO START A VOLUNTEER. SO JOE JUMPED RIGHT IN. HE COMPLETED AN EMT COURSE IN HIS FIRST YEAR WITHOUT APARTMENT AND HE ALSO, THE SECOND YEAR OF BEING A VOLUNTEER, HE HIT 98% ON THURSDAY NIGHT TRAININGS, MISSING ONLY ONE. SO KIND OF OUR GOAL FOR EXCELLENCE IN THURSDAY NIGHT TRAININGS IS 80%, SO YOU CAN IMAGINE THAT 98% IS VERY GOOD. JOE ALSO HAS ATTENDED OVER 400 THURSDAY NIGHT TRAININGS AND JOE HAS ALSO RESPONDED TO OVER 3000 CALLS FOR SERVICE, INCLUDING WILDLAND FIRE DEPLOYMENTS TO THE 2017 TUBS FIRE AND HE SPENT SEVERAL WEEKS IN THE TAHOE AREA ON A WILDLAND FIRE. ONE OF JOE'S BIGGEST ACCOMPLISHMENTS IS SPEARHEADING AN AGGRESSIVE RECRUITMENT CAMPAIGN FOR NEW VOLUNTEERS. HE DID THIS IN 2022 AND IN A SHORT AMOUNT OF TIME, OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT GREW TO OVER 30 ACTIVE VOLUNTEERS AFTER SUFFERING A LOW OF ABOUT 18 SEVERAL YEARS AGO. SO THIS IS A HUGE BOOST. IT BROUGHT DOWN OUR RESPONSE TIMES AND PROVIDED THE NEW GENERATION OPPORTUNITIES TO SERVE OUR TOWN. THAT BEING SAID, CONGRATULATIONS, JOSEPH AIELLO. WE CALL HIM JOE A, BY THE WAY. WE ALSO HAVE ANOTHER JOE. CONGRATULATIONS FOR TENURES. ALL RIGHT. NEXT IS GOING TO BE MATT. MATT, DO YOU WANT TO COME UP? SO MATT JOINED THE SEBASTOPOL FIRE DEPARTMENT IN 2004 WITH ENCOURAGEMENT FROM HIS FATHER, RAY SENN, WE WILL SEE IN A FEW MINUTES. THEY COME FROM A LONG LINE OF FATHER/SON FATHERED/DAUGHTER DUOS THAT HAVE SERVED OUR COMMUNITY AND IT IS EXTERMINATED THEY HAVE COMBINED FOR 65 YEARS OF SERVICE. CURRENTLY, SEBASTOPOL HAS THREE FATHER/SON DUOS AND THIS IS A LEGACY OF MULTIGENERATIONAL VOLUNTEERING AND SERVING OUR COMMUNITY. HERE IS A SHORT LIST OF SOME OF MATT'S SERVICE. MATT HAS RESPONDED TO OVER 9000 CALLS FOR SERVICE. MATT HAS ALSO ATTENDED OVER 700 THURSDAY NIGHT MEETINGS. MATT HAS BEEN A BIG PART OF MANY STRIKE TEAM ASSIGNMENTS, INCLUDING KINCAID, WALBRIDGE, AND RECENTLY, THE POINT FIRE. SEBASTOPOL'S LARGEST FIRE DEPARTMENT FUNDRAISER IS OUR ANNUAL PANCAKE BREAKFAST, WHICH A LOT OF YOU ATTEND. WE NORMALLY HAVE IT COULD BE OVER 1000 ATTENDEES AND WE SERVE OTHERS PEOPLE IN FIVE HOURS. MATT HAS LED THE CHARGE AS THE CHAIRPERSON FOR THE LAST 12 YEARS DEDICATING TIME AND ENERGY TO MAKE OUR BREAKFAST OF SUCCESS. MATT WAS ALSO PROMOTED TO ENGINEER STATUS IN 2017 AND IT WAS FOR PERFECT TIMING IN THE MULTIPLE WILDFIRES THAT ENSUED. MATT WAS SELECTED AS FIREFIGHTER OF THE YEAR IN 2014 AND CONSIDERING THAT MATT IS MARRIED WITH TWO CHILDREN AND EMPLOYED FULL-TIME WITH U.P.S., HE HAS BEEN ABLE TO SERVE OUR COMMUNITY WITH GREAT INVOLVEMENT. THANK YOU, MATT SENN, FOR 20 YEARS OF SERVICE.

THANK YOU.

MAYOR, I THINK I AM AHEAD OF SCHEDULE. I CAN GO LONGER ON THIS ONE, RIGHT? OKAY. I WOULD LIKE TO CALL UP RAY SENN TO THE PODIUM. SO I

WAS THINKING BACK TO 1979 TIMES WHEN RAY JOINED THE FIRE DEPARTMENT A LONG TIME AGO. IT WAS ACTUALLY IN HIGH SCHOOL. RAY GOT THROUGH PROBATION FAIRLY EASILY. BACK THEN, PROBATION WAS BASICALLY A CHIEF WOULD SIT YOU DOWN AND SAY, HEY. I NEED YOU TO BE HERE EVERY THURSDAY NIGHT. BY THE WAY, I AM GOING TO GIVE YOU ONE OF THESE THINGS. WHEN THIS GOES OFF, YOU GET YOUR BUTT DOWN TO THE FIRE STATION. HE ALSO SAID, THE SODA MACHINE HAS BEER IN IT AND YOU ARE ONLY ALLOWED TO HAVE TWO. WE DON'T HAVE THE SODA MACHINE ANYMORE. THAT KIND OF WENT AWAY AS THINGS PROGRESS. LIKE I SAID, RAY JOINED THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IN 1979. HE WAS ENCOURAGED TO JOIN BY HIS LATE BROTHER-IN-LAW, RUSS. HERE IS A BRIEF LIST OF HIS ACCOMPLISHMENTS. HE WAS PROMOTED TO ENGINEER IN 1983. HE ALSO ATTAINED HIS EMT CERTIFICATE AT THAT TIME. RAY ALSO VOLUNTEERED AT ANALY HIGH SCHOOL FOOTBALL FIELD FOR MANY, MANY YEARS. HE ALSO MANNED THE ARTIST CHAINS. RAY WAS A GREAT MENTOR TO ME AND MANY IN THIS ROOM. HE JOINED THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IN THE BOSQUE 80s AND '90s AND GOT A LOT OF FIREFIGHTERS UP TO SPEED QUICKLY. HE HAS RESPONDED TO OVER 15,000 CALLS AND HE HAS ATTENDED OVER 2000 THURSDAY NIGHT TRAININGS. IN 1984, RAY HIT THE 100% MARK FOR ATTENDANCE. THAT MEANS HE DID NOT MISS A THURSDAY NIGHT. LIKE I SAID BEFORE, 80% IS EXTRAORDINARY. RAY ALSO HIT 98% IN 1998, AND RAY WAS SELECTED AS FIREFIGHTER OF THE YEAR IN 1991. HE WAS ALSO RESPONSIBLE FOR THE STAINLESS STEEL METAL SIGN ON THE FRONT OF THE FIRE STATION THAT INDICATES YOU ARE AT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. IT IS THAT BEAUTIFUL STAINLESS STEEL SIGN ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THE BUILDING. RAY WAS ALWAYS INVOLVED WITH PROJECTS AROUND THE STATION, THE BARBECUES, CONSTRUCTION, METAL FABRICATION, AND THE LIST JUST GOES ON AND ON AND ON. AS RAY WRAPPED UP HIS TIME AS AN EMERGENCY RESPONDER, HE TRANSFORMED INTO A RESERVE FIREFIGHTER. HE HAS BEEN A RESERVE FIREFIGHTER, MEANING THAT HE DOESN'T RESPOND ON THE ENGINES ANYMORE, SO HE DOES OTHER STUFF. SO ONE OF THE BIG THINGS THAT RAY DOES WITH HIS SIDEKICK, JOE , IS THAT THEY GO OUT ALMOST EVERY DAY IT SEEMS LIKE AND THEY TAKE CARE OF THE CITY'S 450 FIRE HYDRANTS. WHEN I SAY THEY TAKE CARE OF THEM, THEY EXERCISE THE VALVES, THEY LOOP THE THREATS, THEY FLOW TEST THEM TO MAKE SURE THAT WHEN WE REALLY NEED THEM, THEY ARE GOING TO BE THERE. SO THAT IS A HUGE JOB. THEY ALSO PAINT THEM AND REPLACE THE LITTLE BLUE THINGS YOU SEE IN THE STREET. THE LITTLE REFLECTORS. THAT SHOWS US WHERE WE ARE ROLLING UP TO A SCENE. LET'S SEE. THEY HAVE BEEN DOING THIS TASK FOR MORE THAN A DOZEN YEARS. OBVIOUSLY, THIS GOES ABOVE AND BEYOND THE EXPECTATION OF A VOLUNTEER. BOTH RAY AND JOE CONTINUE SERVICING OUR HYDRANTS. PLEASE NOTE THAT THEY ARE BOTH IN THEIR 80s. RAY HAS ACCOMPLISHED THIS ALL WERE RAISING TWO SONS, BOTH IN THE FIRE SERVICE. HE HAS ALSO LIVED HIS ENTIRE LIFE IN SEBASTOPOL, SO PLEASE CELEBRATE WITH ME TO HONORÉ FOR 45 YEARS OF SERVICE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, CAPTAIN , AND ALL THE FIREFIGHTERS WHO ARE HERE. THANK YOU FOR HONORING THESE INCREDIBLE, EDUCATED PEOPLE WHO DO SO MUCH FOR OUR COMMUNITY. YES. LET'S HAVE ONE MORE ROUND. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. AND NOW I THINK WE'RE GOING TO CALL ERIC BILLING UP

TO THE PODIUM BECAUSE WE HAVE A CERTIFICATE OF RECOGNITION TO PRESENT TO TITO QUINTERO . THIS IS FOR 20 YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AS SENIOR PARKS AND FACILITIES MAINTENANCE WORKER NUMBER THREE AND WE HAVE SEEN THIS GUY AROUND TOWN FOR MANY, MANY YEARS AS HE IS HELPING OUT WITH PUBLIC WORKS. AGAIN, RECOGNIZING THIS SIGNIFICANT MILESTONE AND HIS DEDICATED WORK FOR THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. AND I BELIEVE THAT OUR SUPERVISOR PRESENT WOULD LIKE TO SHARE SOME WORDS.

I AM HERE TO TALK ABOUT TITO, BUT I ALSO WANT TO TALK ABOUT RAY. EVERY TIME THERE IS A PROBLEM WITH THE HYDRANT, HE CALLS ME. THANK YOU, RAY.

SMART MAN.

UNFORTUNATELY, DANTE CAN BE HEARD TONIGHT SO HE ASKED ME TO SAY A FEW WORDS FOR TITO. THE SUPERINTENDENT FOR PUBLIC WORKS. I AM HERE TO HONOR FIDEL TITO QUINTERO . WE CALL HIM TITO. HE HAS BEEN HERE FOR 20 YEARS WITH THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL PUBLIC WORKS. SEBASTOPOL AND THE SURROUNDING AREA HAS BEEN A PART OF HIS LIFE FOR LONG TIME. HE GRADUATED FROM -- HIGH SCHOOL AND WORKED AT THE BARLOW WHERE THEY PROCESSED APPLES BEFORE TURNING INTO THE BUSINESS THAT IT IS NOW. AFTER DOING THAT, TITO CAME AND JOINED US HERE AT PUBLIC WORKS. HE STARTED OFF AS A LABORER, MOVED ON TO MAINTENANCE WORKER ONE AND THEN MOVED ON AS AN INSPECTOR AND NOW TO HIS CURRENT POSITION AS SENIOR PARKS AND FACILITY MAINTENANCE. TITO IS A HARD WORKER WHO INTERACTS WITH THE PUBLIC AND IS QUICK TO OFFER A HELPING HAND TO ANYONE WHO NEEDS IT. HE IS WELL LIKED AND RESPECTED BY HIS COWORKERS IN PUBLIC WORKS AS WELL AS OTHER CITY STAFF. ON BEHALF OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL , THE PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT, SUPERINTENDENT AND MYSELF, CONGRATULATIONS AND THANK YOU FOR YOUR 20 YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE.

THANK YOU TO ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT ERIC BILLING FOR THAT PRESENTATION. AND TO TITO, WHO HAS ALWAYS BEEN HERE FOR US FOR AS LONG AS I CAN REMEMBER. AND ALSO, AGAIN, TO ALL OF THE FABULOUS FIREFIGHTERS WHO WERE RESCUED THIS EVENING AND ALSO THOSE OF YOU WHO ARE IN THE ROOM TO JUST BE PRESENT AND HONOR THOSE INDIVIDUALS. WE ARE NOW MOVING ON TO STATEMENTS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST AND THEN WE WILL GO INTO PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. SO THE JOYFUL PART HAS ENDED AND NOW WE GET INTO THE REAL BUSINESS BUT THAT IS IMPORTANT TOO. STATEMENTS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST. SCENE NONE. WE WILL MOVE ON TO PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. I WILL DEFER TO ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER MARY GOURLEY TO HANDLE THAT PROCESS AND TO REMIND THE PUBLIC ABOUT THE TOTAL AMOUNT OF TIME THAT WE WILL BE ALLOCATING IN THE END OF MEETING OPPORTUNITY TOO. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR NOT ON THE AGENDA ITEMS THAT ARE ON THE AGENDA FOR TONIGHT. IT IS A 20 MINUTE TIME LIMIT FOR THIS POST PUBLIC COMMENT. TWO MINUTES PER SPEAKER. I GO TO IN CHAMBER FIRST AND THEN I GO OUT TO ZOOM AND THEN A RETURN TO CHAMBER AND THEY GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. AFTER 20 MINUTES, WE WILL CLOSE PUBLIC COMMENT AND THERE WILL BE AN

OPPORTUNITY AT THE END TO CONTINUE PUBLIC COMMENT IF YOU ARE NOT ABLE TO SPEAK DURING THIS PUBLIC COMMENT. FIRST I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBER. IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANY ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. OH, I'M SORRY. COULD YOU GO TO THE PODIUM? THANK YOU, STEVE. AND I WILL JUMP IN WHEN YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS LEFT.

OKAY. MY NAME IS PATTY FREEMAN. I LIVED IN SEBASTOPOL NOW FOR OVER 63 YEARS. I AM COMMENTING ON THE WATER WEIGHT HIKES AGAIN. WE CAN'T AFFORD IT. WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE SOMETHING AT A SLOWER PACE. WITH SMALLER INCREASES. LAST WEEK, SOME PEOPLE ON ZOOM ASKED FOR A STANDALONE MEETING. A TOWN MEETING SO THAT WE CAN GET MORE INFORMATION ABOUT THIS. MAYBE WE CAN WORK TOGETHER AND GET SOME OTHER KIND OF SITUATION GOING. WE WOULD NOT LIKE TO LOSE OUR HOUSES BECAUSE OF US SENIORS THAT ARE ON FIXED INCOMES. AND I JUST READ IN MY WATER BOWL THAT THERE IS A NEW TAX COMING IN NOVEMBER, ALSO. SO IT HAS TO DO WITH THE 1.1 SOMETHING MILLION DOLLARS THAT WE NEED TO START TAXING THEM. I DID TALK TO A LOT OF CITIZENS OVER THIS LAST WEEK. I WAS HOPING A LOT OF THEM WOULD BE HERE, BUT MAYBE WITH THE HEAT, THEY WON'T. SO I AM ASKING YOU, AGAIN, PLEASE GIVE US A TOWN HALL MEETING, A STANDALONE MEETING MAY BE AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER WHERE WE CAN TALK ABOUT THESE WATER RATES SOME MORE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, PATTY, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU. I WOULD LIKE TO AGREE WITH THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER. WE DESERVE TO HAVE A VOICE. WHAT YOU ALL ARE DOING, THE DECISIONS THAT YOU ARE MAKING FOR US, ACTUALLY NOT --. AND ALSO, THE FIREFIGHTERS, I THANK THEM PERSONALLY. THEY DESERVE A LOT MORE APPRECIATION THAN THEY GET. SO I AM SUGGESTING THAT WE NEED TO HAVE SOME KIND OF MEMORIAL OR ART PROJECT, SOMETHING WITH THEIR NAMES ON IT OR BOOK ABOUT THEIR STORIES OR SOMETHING. THEY NEED MORE RECOGNITION IF ANYTHING. OTHERWISE, I WANT TO REMIND YOU ABOUT THE ABSOLUTE IN CAVING TO JACK TIBBETTS AND ASSUMING MANAGERIAL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE -- DRUG DEALERS, VERY FEW LEGITIMATELY DISABLED PEOPLE NEAR ME AND PUTTING THEM ALTOGETHER IN ONE PLACE. WHAT DO YOU THINK HAPPENS? THIS IS EXACTLY WHAT HAPPENED WITH THE RV BUILDING. TSUNAMI OF PASSION TURNED INTO A CRIME WAVE AT A COST OF ABOUT \$2 MILLION TOTALLY WASTED. FIXING THOSE OVERDOSES, THAT I KNOW OF. ONE DEATH. ONE TOTALLY DESTROYED RV. AN UNKNOWN MIDNIGHT FIRE ACROSS THE ROAD FROM THE REDWOOD MARKETPLACE AND ONGOING --

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

-- PARTIAL VACANCIES AND REVENUE LOSSES FOR THIS TOWN. IT'S CHANGING THE WHOLE CHARACTER OF THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. PEOPLE ARE AFRAID TO USE THE PUBLIC SIDEWALKS THERE BECAUSE THERE ARE AGGRESSIVE DOGS OFF THE LEASH. AND DIANA RICH HAD MORE THAN TWO YEARS, MILLIONS OF DOLLARS AND GOODWILL TO DEMONSTRATE THIS PILOT PROJECT TO BE A SUCCESS. THEY FAILED MISERABLY AND ARE NOW CONDEMNING THIS TOWN.

LINDA, THAT'S TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YES, I CAN.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I FIND IT FITTING THAT OUR EXITING CITY ATTORNEY LARRY McLAUGHLIN CHOSE TO ALIGN HIMSELF WITH TRUMP SUPREME COURT AND GIVING HIS LEGAL OPINION TO THE SEBASTOPOL TIMES AT THE END OF LAST MONTH. MR. McLAUGHLIN INTERPRETS THIS DECISION AS THE PAST VERSUS -- FOR SEBASTOPOL WITH ITS LEGAL DISPUTE WITH CALIFORNIA OVER THE CITIES RV CAMPING ORDINANCE. HE BELIEVES THE RULING AFFIRMS THE CITY'S RIGHTS TO ACT ON THE LAWS OF HOMELESSNESS WITHOUT FEDERAL INTERFERENCE UNDER THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT. McLAUGHLIN NOTES THAT THE SUPREME COURT'S RULING, WHICH FOUND THE NOLTE IN GRANTS PAST NOT CRUEL AND UNUSUAL, ALIGNED WITH SEBASTOPOL'S APPROACH, PROVIDING A SOLID APPROACH AGAINST THE CLAIMS. HE EMPHASIZES THAT THE COURT FOCUSED ON THE ACTIONS OF THE HOMELESS, NOT JUST THE STATE OF BEING UNHOUSED, WHICH SUPPORTS SEBASTOPOL'S RATIONALE FOR THE ORDINANCE. HE CRITICIZED THEM FOR CHALLENGING LOHSE POLICIES WITHOUT CONSIDERING THE IMPACTS TO SEBASTOPOL, HIGHLIGHTING THE SOCIAL AND FINANCIAL STRAINS CAUSED BY RV AND CANVAS. DESPITE THE SUPREME COURT'S DECISION, McLAUGHLIN ADMITS THAT THE LEGAL BATTLE IS ONGOING AND THERE ARE MULTIPLE CLAIMS BEYOND THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT ISSUES. SOME HAVE USED THE SUPREME COURT DECISION AS ENDORSING LOCAL AUTONOMY AND ADDRESSING HOMELESSNESS. IN SEBASTOPOL'S DEFENSE, HIGHLIGHTING THE COMPLEXITIES OF THE LEGAL DEFENSE OF THE ACLU. I TRULY HOPE WE GET TO THE END OF THE MEETING THIS EVENING BECAUSE I WOULD LOVE TO SEE THIS MEETING BOOK ENDED WITH CELEBRATING OUR POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THEN FOLLOWING AT THE END WITH THE LONG, LONG OVERDUE REPORT OUT FROM THE BUYER AD HOC COMMITTEE, WHERE WE MOVED FURTHER TOWARDS THE DECISION TO ABANDON OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT AND INSTEAD TACKS ARE PUBLIC FOR OFFLOADING OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT TO ANOTHER DISTRICT. PLEASE, LET'S GET THROUGH THE MEETING TONIGHT AND LET'S HEAR FROM OUR FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE, WHO HAS NOT REPORTED OUT IN QUITE SOME TIME, DESPITE AN AGREED-UPON DECISION BY THIS COUNCIL TO HAVE REGULAR REPORTING OUT BY THAT AD HOC COMMITTEE.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK TO IN CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM GREAT IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. I AM SEEING NONE COMES A PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER. IN REGARDS TO THE WATER AND SEWER COMMENT FROM A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC, WE DID HAVE MULTIPLE MEETINGS. I THINK THERE WERE A TOTAL OF SIX ON

THIS TOPIC. STAFF IS STILL AVAILABLE TO ANSWER QUESTIONS. AGAIN, MARY GOURLEY, REGARDING ANY CONTINUING QUESTIONS ON WATER AND SEWER, TO WHOM SHOULD THOSE BE DIRECTED?

SEND THEM TO ME AND I WILL MAKE SURE THEY GET TO THE RIGHT DEPARTMENT.

SO THAT WOULD BE MGOURLEY@CITYOFSEBASTOPOL.GOV AND SHE WILL FOLLOW THROUGH AS SHE ALWAYS HAS. AS FOR PAUL, THEY HAVE BEEN WITHIN THIS COUNTY AND THEY HAVE PROVEN THEMSELVES TO BE EXCELLENT MANAGERS OF PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING FACILITIES. I POINT OUT THAT THEY, IN FACT, ON THE LAND, WHILE HORIZON SHINE WAS IN OPERATION, BUT THEY DIDN'T MANAGE HORIZON SHINE. FINALLY, AS TO OPINIONS REGARDING ARTICLES THAT ARE IN THE SEBASTOPOL TIMES, I JUST REFER PEOPLE TO READ THE SOURCE. LOOK AT THE SEBASTOPOL TIMES. WE DO APPRECIATE THE OPINIONS THAT EVERYONE IS SHARING. OUR NEXT ITEM AFTER PUBLIC COMMENT IS TO GO TO THE CONSENT CALENDAR. I AM REQUIRED TO READ THE FULL TITLE OF EACH OF THESE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. THERE ARE FIVE OF THEM. I AM GOING TO INTERRUPT MYSELF FOR A MINUTE BECAUSE I HAVE A QUESTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

THIS IS A QUESTION FOR MARY. TONIGHT IS THE FIRST NIGHT WE HAVE ONLY HAD THREE PEOPLE. WE DO HAVE A QUORUM, BUT WHAT DOES IT MEAN IN TERMS OF OUR VOTING? DO WE NEED A UNANIMOUS VOTE? DO ALL THREE OF US HAVE TO AGREE, OR CAN IT BE A 2-1 VOTE IN ORDER TO PASS? IT IS MAJORITY SO IT WOULD BE A 2-1 VOTE.

THANK YOU.

WHICH UNDERSCORES WHITE EACH PERSON'S VOTE IS SO IMPORTANT. OUR TWO COUNCILMEMBERS WERE NOT ABLE TO ATTEND AND THEY ARE TRUSTING US TO MAKE THE RIGHT DECISIONS APPEAR. SO THE FIVE ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ARE AS FOLLOWS. ITEM NUMBER ONE, APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 10, 2024. THAT WAS A CLOSED SESSION. ITEM NUMBER TWO, APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF JUNE 17, 2024, A CLOSED SESSION. ITEM NUMBER THREE, APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES FOR THE MEETING OF JUNE 18, 2024. THAT WAS A REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING. ITEM NUMBER FOUR, APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF COMPLETION FOR STATE ROUTE 116 AND BODEGA AVENUE PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS. NUMBER FIVE, DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS ATTACHED AS INFORMATIONAL ITEMS TO CITY MANAGER REPORT OUT. DO I HAVE ANY ITEMS THAT ARE GOING TO BE REQUESTED TO BE PULLED BY CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

NUMBER FIVE , DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS.

THIS WILL BE PULLED AND WHAT THAT MEANS IS THAT WE WILL ADDRESS IT AT THE END OF THE AGENDA. THE ITEM IS DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS ATTACHED TO THE CITY MANAGER REPORT. AND MARY GOURLEY, IF YOU COULD PLEASE HELP US TRACK THAT SO WE DON'T LOSE IT IN THE SHUFFLE HERE. SO LET'S GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC, IF WE COULD. ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER, FOR COMMENTS ON THIS ITEM. ITEMS NUMBER ONE THROUGH FOUR, PLEASE.

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NUMBER ONE THROUGH FOUR AS STATED BY THE MAYOR. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT

YOU WILL HAVE TWO MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? THANK YOU, LINDA. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR,, PLEASE.

ITEMS ONE AND TWO, I HOPED THERE WOULDN'T BE TOO MUCH IMPOSITION ON THIS WITH THE MAYOR WHO LET US KNOW WHAT THE SUBJECT MATTER OF THOSE MEETINGS WERE. AS YOU KNOW, I AM COMPUTER FREE, SELF UNFREE, TV FREE DUE TO BEING ELECTRO SENSITIVE AND WANTING TO RETAIN MY GOOD HEALTH. I ALSO AGREE WITH SANDY. I APPRECIATE WITH PULLING NUMBER FIVE OFF THERE. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? WHAT ARE THE INFORMATIONAL ITEMS FOR THE CITY MANAGER TO REPORT OUT? WHAT DOES OUT MEAN? I AM GLAD THAT IS REMOVED BECAUSE WE ALL NEED MORE ACCLAMATION ABOUT WHAT IS GOING ON IN OUR TOWN. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, LINDA, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM. SEEING NONE. I WOULD GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? KYLE. THERE YOU GO. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I ADDITIONALLY WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST THAT WE HAVE CLOSED SESSION ITEMS PUT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR THAT RATHER THAN STATE THERE WERE CLOSED SESSION MEETINGS, INSTEAD, THE ACTUAL AGENDA ITEM SHOULD BE STATED. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT TO PROVIDE TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS IN GOVERNMENT AND A PART OF DOING THAT IS INFORMING THE PUBLIC ABOUT THE THINGS THAT ARE HAPPENING. PROVIDING DOCUMENTATION WITH THE TITLE THAT IT HAS AN AGENDA ITEM IS NOT SUFFICIENT TO DO SO. IT DOESN'T TAKE MUCH TIME OR ADDITIONAL ENERGY TO STATE WHAT THE AGENDA ITEM IS FOR A CLOSED SESSION MEETING. I THINK THAT SHOULD BE STANDARD PRACTICE. I WOULD HOPE TO SEE THAT MOVING FORWARD. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A, ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL POINT OUT FOR EVERYONE IN ATTENDANCE TODAY, AND ALSO THOSE THAT MIGHT BE VIEWING THIS RECORDING, THAT THE FULL AGENDA ITEMS FOR EACH OF THE CLOSED SESSION ITEMS ARE INCLUDED IN THE PACKET AND THEY ARE AVAILABLE AT CITY HALL FOR THOSE THAT MIGHT BE UNABLE TO ACCESS THEM ONLINE. SO WE DO HAVE, FOR INSTANCE, ATTACHED TO THIS PACKET, THE STAFF REPORT, WHICH IS THE APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING. THAT REPORTS THERE WITH THE FULL TITLE, AS IS THE CASE WITH ALL OF THE OTHER CLOSED SESSION ITEMS AND CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. FOR PURPOSE OF TRANSPARENCY, PLEASE UNDERSTAND THE INFORMATION IS FULLY AVAILABLE. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, DID YOU HAVE A COMMENT?

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM LOOKING FOR A MOTION ON ITEMS ONE THROUGH FOUR.

I AM HAPPY TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE CONSENT ITEMS ONE THROUGH FOUR THIS EVENING.

I WILL SECOND.

MARY GOURLEY. ROLE CALL, PLEASE.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

AYE.

AYE.

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER LEWIS IS ABSENT AND VICE MEMBER ZOLLMAN IS ABSENT. PASSES 3-2.

PUBLIC HEARINGS. WE HAVE TWO OF THEM THIS EVENING. THE FIRST ONE IS A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN BUDGET. THE RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT IS ENGINEERING AND I BELIEVE WE HAVE TONY TONI BERTOLERO TO PRESENT FOR US.

TWO THINGS, IF I MIGHT. FIRST OF ALL, MY VIDEO IS NOT OPERATING PROPERLY. I APPRECIATE FOR NOT BEING ABLE TO BE ON VIDEO. NOT THAT THAT IS ANY LOST TO ANYBODY. THE FIRST IS THAT THIS IS THE FIRST PART OF A BUDGET. I AM REALLY PLEASED THAT TONI WAS ABLE TO PUT THIS TOGETHER FOR YOUR CONSIDERATION TONIGHT. IT GIVES YOU TIME TO WEIGH IN ON THIS AND IT MAKES IT EASIER IN TWO WEEKS TO HAVE A MORE COMPLETE BUDGET HEARING. SO IT IS REALLY BUDGET AND A COUPLE OF PARTS. WITH THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO TURN IT OVER TO TONI .

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE NOTE I WOULD MAKE IN THE SAME CONTEXT THAT YOU ARE MENTIONING, CITY MANAGER, IS THAT WE DO HAVE THE BENEFIT OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, HAVING BEEN HEAVILY INVOLVED IN THE PROCESS THIS YEAR. SO WE SEE THE BENEFITS OF THAT AND PERHAPS THE PUBLIC DOESN'T SEE THAT, BUT THAT WHOLE TEAM EFFORT AND THE BUDGET COMMITTEE TO CITY COUNCILMEMBERS, I THINK THIS PRODUCES A RELIABLE AND THOROUGH REPORT AND WE ARE SEEING THAT REFLECTED IN THE PRODUCT THAT IS IN FRONT OF US. SO WITH THAT, TONI BERTOLERO. PLEASE. WE CANNOT HEAR YOU. THE GREENLIGHT SHOULD BE ON. SO LET'S MAKE SURE THAT I.T. ISSUES CAN BE CHALLENGING. THANK YOU TO OUR ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR FOR BEING RESILIENT THERE. OKAY.

LET ME TRY AGAIN. OKAY. GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS. I NAME IS TONI BERTOLERO AND I AM AN ENGINEERING CONSULTANT WITH GHD PROVIDING TECHNICAL SUPPORT TO THE CITY'S ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT. THE AGENDA PACKET INCLUDES THE PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, WHICH IS CALLED THE CIP. YEAR ONE OF THE FIVE YEAR CIP IS THE FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN. TONIGHT'S ITEM IS A PUBLIC HEARING CONSIDERATION OF THE ADOPTION OF FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN. PRIOR TO TONIGHT'S PUBLIC HEARING, STAFF MET WITH THE BUDGET COMMITTEE ON JUNE 24, 2024 AND LISTED THE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS. THESE CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE TWO OF 64. OF YOUR AGENDA PACKET. THE CIP BUDGET REPORT STARTS ON PAGE 10 AND HAS BEEN DRAFTED TO INCORPORATE THE COMMENTS MADE BY THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. FOR TONIGHT'S ORAL PRESENTATION OF THIS ITEM, I WOULD LIKE TO FOCUS ON THE NEW CAPITAL PROJECTS. SINCE THE BALANCE OF THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN IS FOR

CARRYOVER PROJECTS THAT HAVE BEEN PREVIOUSLY IMPROVED BY COUNSEL. HOWEVER, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS PERTAINING TO THESE ONGOING CARRYOVER PROJECTS. THERE ARE SIX NEW CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS PROPOSED FOR FISCAL YEAR 2024-25 AND I WILL DESCRIBE THEM ONE AT A TIME. THEY CAN ALSO BE FOUND ON PAGES SEVEN AND EIGHT IN YOUR POCKET WITH THE WORD NEW IN BOLD ON THAT LIST SO THAT IT SHOULD BE EASY TO LOCATE. FIRST IS THE BODEGA AVENUE BIKE CONNECTION PROJECT FROM REGAL ROAD TO TASK AT ARROW CREEK. THIS IS THE DESIGN OF THE PROJECT AND APPLYING FOR A GRANT FOR FUTURE CONSTRUCTION. THE PROJECT CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE 15 AND CONSISTS OF A MULTI USE TRAIL CONNECTING TO THE EXISTING TRAIL AT THE CREEK. AS REQUESTED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER, STAFF WILL FIRST HAVE A PLAN PREPARED FOR THE PROJECT AND PREPARE THIS TO COUNSEL BEFORE MOVING FORWARD WITH FURTHER DESIGN IN THE GRANT APPLICATION.

SO, TONI BERTOLERO, I AM GOING TO INTERRUPT FOR JUST A MOMENT HERE. THIS IS PROBABLY A QUESTION TO TRINH MARY GOURLEY. WOULD THIS BE DIFFICULT TO DISPLAY ON THE SCREEN? THIS WOULD BE PAGE 7 OF 64 AND A OF 64. I THINK IT MIGHT BE HELPFUL FOR THE PUBLIC TO HAVE THAT AVAILABLE, ESPECIALLY THOSE ON ZOOM. AND IF THAT WILL CREATE SOME DELAY, WE WILL JUST MOVE ALONG.

ALSO POSSIBLY THIS, 15? IT SHOWS THIS PROJECT SPECIFICALLY SINCE IT IS NEW. I DON'T KNOW. WE HAVE GOT SIX OF THEM. IT WOULD BE NICE TO PUT THEM UP ON THE SCREEN.

SEVEN AND EIGHT. PAGE SEVEN? THAT WAY, I COULD POINT TO THE LIST SO PEOPLE ARE SEEING IT.

I KNOW TONI BERTOLERO IS TRYING TO BE RESPECTFUL OF OUR REQUEST THAT SHE LIMIT HER PRESENTATION TO 10 MINUTES, BUT I THINK HAVING THAT DOCUMENT UP WOULD BE HELPFUL. THERE WE GO.

PERFECT. YOU CAN SEE LITTLE WORDS NEW ON THERE. THE ONE I AM TALKING ABOUT IS THE VERY FIRST ONE. YOU CAN ACTUALLY GO TO PAGE 15 TO GO TO THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION. IT IS ALSO LISTED ON THAT PAGE BUT IT IS A LITTLE HARDER TO READ.

AND THIS IS BODEGA AVENUE BICYCLE CONNECTION?

CORRECT. RIGHT. SO AS I MENTIONED --

CAN I JUST MAKE A COMMENT? I AM FINE. I FEEL LIKE IF TONI NEEDS MORE TIME THAN THE TYPICAL 10 MINUTES, THAT IS REALLY IMPORTANT. SO I WOULD RATHER HAVE THE PUBLIC BE REALLY CLEAR ABOUT OUR SIX ITEMS.

SO THE SUGGESTION I THINK HERE IS THAT YOU GO THROUGH EACH ITEM AND NOW THAT YOU ARE ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, MAYBE WE GO TO THE SPECIFIC PAGE?

THANK YOU.

IF YOU COULD DO THAT, MARY GOURLEY, THAT WOULD BE GREAT.

QUESTION.

QUESTION FROM COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

WE TALKED ABOUT SCALING IT BACK FROM THE FEASIBILITY STAGE TO A CONCEPT PLAN. WHAT WAS THE COST FOR THE CONCEPT PLAN?

THAT WAS PART OF THE COST THAT I HAVE LISTED AS 50,000, SO THAT WOULD BE THE CONCEPT FOR THE GRANT APPLICATION AND THE FINAL

DESIGN. SO AS I MENTIONED, WHAT WE WOULD DO IS BEFORE MOVING ON TO THE FINAL DESIGN, WE WOULD ACTUALLY FIRST COME TO COUNSEL WITH THE CONCEPT PLAN. I AM GUESSING THAT THAT LEVEL OF EFFORT MIGHT BE IN THE AREA OF ABOUT \$10,000 BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD WITH THE FINAL DESIGN. THAT WAY, THE COUNSEL IS AWARE OF WHAT THE LAYOUT IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE AND YOU ARE FINE WITH MOVING AHEAD WITH THAT. SO ALTHOUGH WE ARE LOOKING AT A \$50,000 LINE ITEM, IT SOUNDS LIKE AFTER THE CONCEPT STAGE, WHICH WOULD BE LESS THAN THAT, COUNSEL WOULD SEE THE PROJECT?

YES.

SO WE HAVE THE BENEFIT OF COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, SO THAT HELPS. GREAT. SO THE SECOND NEW PROJECT THAT WAS ON THE LIST. THE COVERT LANE SEMPER DRIVE CROSSING.

BEFORE WE MOVED TO THE SECOND, CAN I CLARIFY HERE? CAN WE MOVE UP THE SCREEN JUST A LITTLE BIT? THIS IS OUR SCREEN SO I CAN SEE IT. YOU LIKE TO SEE THE NUMBERS?

YEAH. THERE WE GO.

I JUST WANT TO POINT OUT TO THE PUBLIC. THIS IS GETTING READY TO APPLY FOR GRANTS TO HOPEFULLY FIND THE 350,000 TO YOU ALSO. I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY.

ALL RIGHT. NEXT ITEM.

THE SECOND PROJECT IS A COVERT LANE CROSSING AND PROJECT, WHICH IS THE INSULATION OF A FLASHING BEACON AT THIS LOCATION. THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE 21. I WILL WAIT FOR MARY TO PULL THAT ONE UP. THERE WE GO. SO THIS IS PREPARATION OF A CONCEPT PLAN AND A GRANT APPLICATION THAT IS DUE IN SEPTEMBER. WE DON'T ACTUALLY HAVE TO PREPARE THE FINAL DESIGN ON THIS ONE. WE CAN ASK FOR GRANT FUNDING FOR THAT. THIS IS A PARTICULAR TYPE OF GRANT APPLICATION THAT WE ARE APPLYING FOR. IT IS HIGHWAY SAFETY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND BECAUSE IT IS A SAFETY PROJECT, ON CALTRANS IT, WE ARE ALLOWED TO DO A REQUEST FOR THE CONCEPT PLAN. THANK YOU. ANY COUNSEL ON THAT ONE BEFORE WE MOVE ON? OKAY. THANKS.

THE THIRD NEW PROJECT IS A SUNSET AVENUE JOHNSON STREET IMPROVEMENT NEAR ANALY HIGH SCHOOL AND THE PROJECT DESCRIPTION AND FUNDING CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE 22. THE SCOPE OF THE PROJECT INCLUDES A NEW CROSSWALK, RAMPS, MARKING, AND SIDE RABBIT MODIFICATIONS. THIS IS THE DESIGN IN THE GRANT APPLICATION. THIS ONE, WE DO NOT HAVE A TARGETED PROJECT LIKE THE OTHER ONES SO WE BELIEVE THAT WE NEED TO MOVE THROUGH THE DESIGN TO MAKE IT A LITTLE MORE COMPETITIVE FOR OBTAINING A GRANT.

QUESTION?

YES. SO DO WE HAVE A BENEFIT? BECAUSE THIS IS CLOSE TO A SCHOOL TO APPLY TO A SCHOOL SPECIFIC GRANT.

YES. THAT MAKES IT MORE COMPETITIVE BECAUSE IT IS MORE IMPORTANT. WE ALSO CAN GET LETTERS OF SUPPORT FROM THE SCHOOL DISTRICT AND THAT ALWAYS HELPS, SO WE WILL DO THAT.

THANK YOU.

THE FOURTH NEW PROJECT IS THE FLOOD RESILIENCY MASTER PLAN. IT CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE 55. THIS MASTER PLAN IS A FLOOD CONTROL AND

DRAINAGE MASTER PLAN THAT WILL IDENTIFY FLOOD AREAS AND THE C.A.P. TO MANAGE AND MITIGATE FLOODING THAT OCCURS, PRIMARILY ALONG HOLDREN CREEK AND THE LAGUNA. THIS MASTER PLAN WILL ALSO ALLOW THE CITY TO APPLY FOR GRANTS FOR PROJECTS IDENTIFIED IN THE MASTER PLAN. WE HAVE HAD A NUMBER OF PROJECTS HERE FOR A LONG TIME AND WE HAVE NEVER UNABLE TO GET THEM FUNDED. THEY ARE VERY EXPENSIVE PROJECTS THAT WE ARE HOPING WITH A MASTER PLAN YOU CAN START APPLYING FOR THESE WITH THE RESOURCE AGENCIES. APPLYING FOR GRANTS. OKAY.

ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT ONE? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I HAVE TO CLARIFY. SO \$170,000 TO DO THE MASTER PLAN?

YEAH. A LOT OF HYDRAULIC MODELING OCCURS, SO YES.

ALL RIGHT.

SO THE FIFTH WEEK PROJECT IS THE WATER MASTER PLAN, WHICH WE DISCUSSED AT LENGTH DURING THE WATER LENGTH STUDY MEETINGS. UNDER OPTION TWO. THIS CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE 59. THERE IS A FUNDING. NOW THE PLAN WILL IDENTIFY PRIORITIZATION OF NEEDING TO UPGRADE THE CITY'S WATER SYSTEM AND THIS WILL HELP US OUT IN THE FUTURE. IDENTIFYING THOSE PROJECTS AND COSTS.

QUESTIONS? NO.

THE FIFTH AND LAST PROJECT INCLUDED IS THE COMMUNITY CULTURAL CENTER MASTER PLAN. THIS CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE 56. OKAY. THIS PROJECT HAS NOT BEEN RECOMMENDED BY BOTH BUDGET COMMITTEE MEMBERS BUT THEY DID ASK TO BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE FULL COUNCIL FOR DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION. THIS PROJECT WILL CONSIDER SHORT AND LONG-TERM NEEDS FOR THE BUILDING AND UPDATE ORDERS BACK TO COSTS ASSOCIATED WITH THOSE IMPROVEMENTS. IN THE MASTER PLAN IS INTENDED TO SERVE AS A TOOL FOR COUNSEL AS A LOOKAHEAD FOR WHAT HAPPENS NEXT WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER.

I DO HAVE A QUESTION ON THIS ONE. THIS AMOUNT OF 85,000 WOULD COME OUT OF FLOOD MITIGATION FUNDS? IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT IS CORRECT.

A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. I NOTED THE COMMENT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE STAFF REPORT REGARDING A \$70,000 AMOUNT INTENDED TO PROVIDE FOR SPRINKLER INSTALLATION AT THE MAIN HALL OF THE COMMUNITY CENTER. I DON'T SEE THAT ON THE LIST.

IT IS NOT ON THE LIST. I WAS GOING TO MENTION THAT. THAT WAS BROUGHT UP IN A SUBSEQUENT MEETING AS WE TALKED ABOUT THE COMMUNITY CENTER AND THE BUDGET MEETING NOT AT THE CIP. ONE OTHER PROJECT THAT WAS MENTIONED, AS YOU STATED, HAS TO DO WITH FIRE RELATED BUILDING IMPROVEMENTS. NOT REALLY FIRE SPRINKLERS, BUT IMPROVEMENTS TO THE BUILDING TO MAKE IT MORE FIREPROOF. IN THE AMOUNT OF \$70,000. AGAIN, THERE WAS NOT AGREEMENT OF BOTH BUDGET MEMBERS TO INCLUDE THIS ITEM, BUT THEY HAD ASKED THAT THE PROJECT BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE COUNCIL FOR DISCUSSION IN SIN CONSIDERATION. THAT WOULD BE ONE OF THE THINGS TO DECIDE UPON, WHETHER IT SHOULD BE INCLUDED OR NOT.

THANK YOU. GO AHEAD.

IS THE 70,000 SAFETY UPGRADES PART OF THE CIP OR IS THAT PART OF THE REGULAR BUDGET?

BECAUSE OF THE AMOUNT IT IS A \$70,000 COST. IT WOULD HAVE TO BE ADDED TO THE CIP. IT IS A CAPITAL COST. SO THIS WOULD BE PAID FOR WITH THE FLOOD MONEY. IF WE ADDED TO THE C.A.P. TONIGHT, I DID WANT TO MENTION THAT WE WILL HAVE TO TAKE THIS ITEM BACK TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION TO DO GENERAL CONSISTENCY. I DON'T THINK THAT WOULD BE DIFFICULT TO DO BUT THAT IS SOMETHING THAT WE WOULD MAKE SURE WE DO.

WHAT IF WE LEFT IT IN THE BUDGET? WHICH IS THE BETTER WAY TO GO? AWAY TO THE BUDGET?

I THINK BECAUSE IT IS A CAPITAL PROJECT, IT WOULD BE BETTER TO INCLUDE IT TONIGHT. HOWEVER, I THINK, I GUESS WE COULD ALWAYS BRING IT BACK, JUST LIKE ANY OTHER CAPITAL PROJECT THAT IS CONSIDERED. I HAVE IT IN HERE AND IF YOU WOULD PREFER THE OTHER TWO COUNCILMEMBERS TO BE IN IT, YEAH.

MY FOLLOW-UP QUESTION WOULD BE, WHAT IS THE DOWNSIDE IF WE ADD THE \$70,000 FOR OUT OF FLOOD MITIGATION FUNDS FOR FIRE SAFETY PURPOSES IN THE MAIN HALL? IS THERE A DOWNSIDE TO IT FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE CIP? DOES IT DELAY APPROVAL OF OTHER CIP PROJECTS? NO. YOU COULD SIMPLY INDICATE THAT YOU DON'T EVEN HAVE TO TALK ABOUT IT BECAUSE IT IS NOT ACTUALLY THERE RIGHT NOW. SO YOU COULD JUST APPROVE THE CIP WITHOUT THAT ITEM.

BUT COULD WE ADDED TO THE C.A.P. TONIGHT AND WOULD THERE BE ANY DETRIMENTAL EFFECT?

NO.

IT WOULD JUST HAVE TO GO TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION THEN?

NO. THE PLANNING COMMISSION IS JUST FOR THAT ONE ITEM.

GOT IT. THANK YOU.

I KNOW IN THE STAFF REPORT THAT, LET ME LOOK AT IT HERE. STAFF DOES NOT BELIEVE THAT FEMA NOR ANYONE ELSE WOULD RETURN WITH FLOOD DAMAGE MONIES FOR THE BUILDING IN THE FUTURE. WHY IS IT THE CASE? WHO IS STAFF?

I WILL LEAVE THAT TO THE CITY MANAGER.

THIS REFLECTS COMMENTS I HAVE HEARD FROM A VARIETY OF STAFF MEMBERS. SINCE I HAVE BEEN HERE, I CAN'T RECALL THE PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL OR PARTICULAR TIME THAT I CAN RECALL SOMETHING WITH THE FEMA MONEY AND THEY ARE NOT GOING TO COME BACK AND GIVE US MORE MONEY. WE TRIED TO FIND DOCUMENTATION BUT WE HAVEN'T BEEN SUCCESSFUL AND THAT IS SOMETHING I HAVE HEARD FROM MULTIPLE SOURCES.

I WOULD NOTE THAT IN THE FUTURE, WE SHOULD HAVE SOMEBODY SPECIFIC THAT WILL HAVE THAT INFORMATION. I DID NOT BELIEVE THAT WAS THE CASE HAVING BEEN HERE IN THE 2019 FLOOD AND HAVING MET WITH FEMA PEOPLE MYSELF. SO I WONDERED WHERE THAT CAME FROM. THANK YOU.

IN REGARDS, SO A QUESTION FOR TONI BERTOLERO. I HAVE QUESTIONS REGARDING , COMMENTS AND QUESTIONS REGARDING ITEMS HERE. DID YOU WANT TO WRAP UP ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS YOURSELF?

NO. THAT WAS THE CONCLUSION OF MY PRESENTATION. I AM READY FOR QUESTIONS.

ANYONE HAVE ANY QUESTIONS OR SHOULD I LEAVE IT THERE? OKAY. SO GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

SO I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE TRAIL ALSO. IT SAYS IT COMMENCES IN THE FALL OF 2025. I AM NOT SURE WHERE WE ARE GETTING THAT DATE. I DO RECALL WE BROUGHT IT BACK MANY TIMES AND APPROVED ADDITIONAL FUNDING. I JUST PERFORMED ON THIS PROJECT, AND OPEN-SPACE PROJECT.

THAT IS A TYPO. CAN YOU DIRECT ME TO WHERE YOU READ THAT?

YEAH. I HAVE TO LOOK THROUGH. BUT IT SAID IT COMMENCED IN 2025.

NO, IT IS 2024.

OKAY. I WAS LIKE, WHAT?

THAT IS WHEN IT HAS TO BE COMPLETED BY.

ARE WE ON TRACK WITH THAT? IT HAS TAKEN FOREVER AND WE ARE CONTINUING TO GET DOWN SCORED TO APPLY FOR FUTURE GRANT FUNDING, I AM CONFIDENT OF IT. I ALSO HAVE A QUESTION RELATED TO NED. I SAW THIS AND WE HAVE SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THESE PROJECTS. I THINK SINCE 2017, THE ENTIRE TIME I HAVE BEEN IN OFFICE.

IT HAS BEEN A FRUSTRATING PROJECT FOR US AS WELL. IT HAS BEEN GOING THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW. THIS IS ALMOST COMPLETED. THE WORK WILL BE STARTING THIS SUMMER. IT IS THE SUMMER, AND LIKE I SAID, IT HAS TO BE COMPLETED. I BELIEVE FALL OF NEXT YEAR IS WHEN THE REQUIREMENTS ARE FOR PURPOSES OF THE GRANT. BUT OBVIOUSLY, I DID WANT TO LET YOU KNOW THAT IT IS ON TRACK NOW. SO THAT IS GOOD NEWS. AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO REPORT THAT OUT TO YOU ON A MONTHLY BASIS.

THANKS. I WILL TRY TO FIND OUT.

I WILL GET THAT CORRECTED.

IT IS ON PAGE EIGHT ON THE TABLE. 2025.

IT IS THE AMERICORPS TRAIL EXTENSION. THE VERY FINAL LINE SAYS IT IS ESTIMATED TO COMMENCE AND FALL OF 2025 BUT IT SOUNDS LIKE IT IS ESTIMATED TO BE COMPLETED -- YEAH.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

DID YOU NEED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE SCULPTURE?

IF WE HAVE ANYTHING TO REPORT, ARE WE WAITING FOR THE TRAIL OR ARE THEY CONNECTED AT ALL? OR IS IT TOTALLY SEPARATE?

THEY ARE NOT CONNECTED. I THINK THAT COULD BE SOMETHING THAT THE PLANNING DIRECTOR COULD RESPOND TO.

ON THIS PROJECT, THE PROJECT IS BEING HELD UP SOMEWHAT BECAUSE OF WHAT SOIL. AS SOON AS THE SOIL DRIES OUT, THE PROJECT CAN MOVE FORWARD. WITH RESPECT TO THE OTHER PROJECT YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT, THE DELINEATION WAS UNDERWAY RIGHT NOW. AS TONI INDICATED, IT IS ON TRACK IN TERMS OF THE PROCESS AND ONCE THAT IS COMPLETED, THE SITE CONDITIONS WOULD ACCEPT CONSTRUCTION. IT IS WET OUT THERE. THE ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS IS MOVING FORWARD, ON SCHEDULE AT THIS POINT. AS SOON AS THE SITE CAN TAKE THE CONSTRUCTION IT WILL MOVE FORWARD INTO THAT PHASE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. FIRST OF ALL, AS I LOOK AT THIS FOR TONI BERTOLERO, AS A LOOK AT THE INFORMATION BEFORE US, WHAT I AM CONCLUDING IS THAT THERE IS FUNDING CURRENTLY FOR ALL OF THESE PROJECTS WITH THE EXCEPTION AND I AM

LOOKING AT PAGES EIGHT AND NINE WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE PURCHASE OF MARKET RATE UNITS. IS THAT THE ONLY PROJECT FOR WHICH WE DON'T HAVE CURRENT FUNDING IN THIS 24-25 LIST?

THAT IS CORRECT.

I HAVE A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. HOW LIKELY IS IT THAT THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL IS GOING TO GET FUNDING FOR THE PURCHASE OF MARKET RATE UNITS? THAT WAS A NEW ITEM TO ME. PERHAPS IT IS RELATED. ARE WE SITUATING OURSELVES?

I THINK THAT PROJECT HAS BEEN IN THE BOOKS FOR TWO OR THREE YEARS NOW. I THINK THE PREVIOUS PLANNING DIRECTOR HAD INCLUDED THAT IN THERE. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE MARKET RATE UNITS, THE INTENTION IS TO HAVE A PROJECT WITH THE SONOMA COUNTY LAND TRUST. THAT JUST HASN'T COME TO FRUITION YET. I THINK THE DIRECTOR WANTED TO IMPROVE IT IN THE CIP SO WE DON'T LOSE TRACK OF IT BUT IT IS CURRENTLY UNFUNDED.

ASPIRATIONAL GOALS. MY NEXT QUESTION HAS TO DO WITH THE HOUSING ITEM THAT IS UP FOR A VOTE IN NOVEMBER. THERE IS AN ALLOCATION INTENDED FOR ALL JURISDICTIONS WITHIN SONOMA COUNTY AND ACCORDING TO A PRESENTATION THAT I RECENTLY HEARD BY MICHELLE WHITMAN, THERE IS A PROCESS IN PLACE IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHAT JURISDICTION THEY WILL RECEIVE. IT HAS TO BE FOR A SPECIFIC HOUSING PROJECT BUT IT WAS PRETTY CLEAR TO ME THAT THOSE PROJECTS NEEDED TO BE SHOVEL READY IN ORDER TO RECEIVE FUNDING. SO I AM CURIOUS. THIS SEEMS LIKE AN OPPORTUNITY TO ASK STAFF WHETHER THERE IS ANY PLANNING IN PLACE TO LEVERAGE THIS AND SITUATE OURSELVES SO THAT WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO RECEIVE THOSE FUNDS.

AGAIN, DAVID WILL TRAIN. I AM NOT AWARE OF ANY PROJECTS THAT ARE COMING FORWARD TO BE QUALIFIED TO BE ELIGIBLE. IN TERMS OF A PROJECT THAT IS UNDERWAY AND APPROVED, WE HAVE THIS PROJECT, OF COURSE, IN THE CATEGORY OF BEING SHOVEL READY. I AM NOT REALLY FAMILIAR WITH THE PROGRAM YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT AND HOW THAT WOULD APPLY TO THIS PROJECT. THAT IS THE ONLY ONE THAT I CAN THINK OF THAT WOULD BE CLOSE TO THAT CATEGORY OR IN THAT CATEGORY.

SO THEN, MY ASK OF STAFF WOULD BE --

JUST TO FOLLOW UP ON THAT. WE WERE CONTACTED BY MICHELLE WHITMAN ABOUT A WEEK AGO. I THINK IT WAS PRETTY SIMILAR TO WHAT THE COUNCILMEMBERS HAVE SAID. WE RESPONDED ABOUT HOW WE CAN GET ENGAGED IN A TIMELY FASHION ON THIS.

OKAY. THANK YOU. I WOULD REQUEST THAT WE GET INTO THOSE OPPORTUNITIES. I WAS INFORMED THAT MICHELLE WHITMAN AND HER TEAM HAD MET WITH REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AND SO THIS IS MILLIONS OF DOLLARS THAT MAY COME INTO SONOMA COUNTY. SO PERHAPS WE DON'T HAVE PROJECTS. I THINK WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF AVAILABLE LAND, AS STEVE BROWN WOULD KNOW. AND THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT WITH NO THAT IT MIGHT BE AVAILABLE FOR A NEW BUILD. THANK YOU. DON'T WANT TO PUSH US OFF TOPIC. I'VE SEEN THAT THIS ITEM TRIGGERED THAT QUESTION. I HAD SOME ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS BUT I CAN HOLD ONTO THEM SO WE CAN GET OUT TO THE PUBLIC. ANY QUESTIONS AT THE MOMENT FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? OKAY. WHY DON'T WE GET OUT TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC AND THEN WE WILL COME BACK.

THIS IS FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING ON THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, IT IS A TWO-MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENTS. AND THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT, SCENE NONE. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, COULD YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

I CAN.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER STILL?

I CAN.

GO AHEAD PLEASE.

I HAVE SO MANY QUESTIONS AND I WOULD HOPE THAT MY COUNCILMEMBERS WOULD HAVE SIMILAR QUESTIONS. UNFORTUNATELY, MAYBE THEY ARE WELL INFORMED, AND MAYBE IT IS JUST ON THE PUBLIC TO TRY TO INFORM THEMSELVES. COST CONCERNS. BUDGET JUSTIFICATION. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE DETAILED JUSTIFICATIONS FOR THE COST ESTIMATES, PARTICULARLY PRECONSTRUCTION COSTS. THE ESTIMATE SHOULD BE BASED ON ACCURATE MARKET DATA. COST OVERRUN. I MENTIONED THIS MULTIPLE TIMES. HIGHLIGHTING CONCERNS ABOUT POTENTIAL COST OVERRUNS AND WE SHOULD BE REQUESTING A DETAILED CONTINGENCY PLAN TO ADDRESS UNEXPECTED EXPENSES. RETURN ON INVESTMENT. QUESTION THE PROJECT AND PROTECT RETURN ON INVESTMENT. ARE THE BENEFITS TO THE COMMUNITY WORTH PROJECTED COSTS? POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. CONSULTING FIRMS ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR DEVELOPING THE PROJECT PLAN AND THEN POTENTIALLY CARRYING OUT THE RECONSTRUCTION WORK THEMSELVES. SOMETHING THAT WE NEED TO BE TRANSPARENT ABOUT IN AVOIDING CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. NOT GOING TO CONSIDER AN INDEPENDENT REVIEW AS THIS CITY HAS PLENTY TO CONSULT FOR EVERY ACTION ITEM. I'M NOT GOING TO SUGGEST THAT TONIGHT. PRIOR AUTHORIZATION OF PROJECTS. PROJECT NECESSITY. WE SHOULD BE QUESTIONING THE NECESSITY OF THESE PROJECTS. ARE THEY THE MOST URGENT NEEDS TO THE COMMUNITY? ARE THERE MORE CRITICAL ISSUES THAT SHOULD TAKE PRECEDENCE? ALTERNATIVE SOLUTIONS. MAYBE THERE ARE ALTERNATIVES, INTENTIONALLY LOWER COST WAYS TO ACHIEVE SIMILAR GOALS WITH LOWER EXPENDITURE. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS FOR THE PROJECTS. HIGHLIGHTING ANY SORT OF POTENTIAL NEGATIVE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS FOR MORE SUSTAINABLE SOLUTIONS. LONG-TERM SUSTAINABILITY. COMMUNITY INPUT. THE IMPORTANCE OF EXTENSIVE PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT AND THE PLANNING PROCESS.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS.

HELLO. MY NAME IS RICK WILLIAMS. I AM THE PRESIDENT OF THE SEBASTOPOL CITY. UNFORTUNATELY MANY OF THE OTHERS HAD PLANS TONIGHT. TO START OFF, I'M A LITTLE CONFUSED ABOUT THE 70,000 AND WHY THAT IS BEING INCLUDED OR EXCLUDED OR DOWN THE ROAD. IT HAS BEEN AN ONGOING THING. IF WE DON'T FIREPROOF THE BUILDING, I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT ARE THE RAMIFICATIONS TO THE COMMUNITY CENTER. I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND WHY THIS IS CONTINUING TO GO DOWN THE ROAD. I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT THE BOARD, MYSELF, AND ALL THE STAFF HERE TONIGHT WHO HAVE BEEN WORKING OVERTIME AND JUST AN UNBELIEVABLE AMOUNT TO KEEP THE DOORS OPEN TO REACH GOALS OF SUSTAINABILITY AND SELF-SUFFICIENCY BY THE END OF THIS

YEAR. IT WOULD BE NICE TO BE ABLE TO KNOW THAT THESE IMPROVEMENTS ARE GOING TO BE MADE SO THAT WE CAN CONTINUE TO MOVE FORWARD WITHOUT ANY KNEE-JERK REACTION. IF WE COULD GET CLARIFICATION ON THAT IT WOULD BE GREATLY APPRECIATED. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME.

THANK YOU. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, LINDA. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

I WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY MY ACCESSIBILITY TO THE AGENDA. I AM COMPUTER FREE, CELL PHONE FREE, TV FREE DUE TO ELECTRO-SENSITIVITY. BUT ALSO I AM PREVENTED FROM COMING TO CITY HALL TO ACCESS ANY TYPE OF INFORMATIONAL PACKET BECAUSE IT IS HIGH-LEVEL RADIOFREQUENCY AND THE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD THROUGHOUT THIS TOWN, WHICH ARE ONLY GETTING HIGHER, AND PEOPLE ARE GETTING SICKER. THE REFUSAL TO MEASURE THESE THINGS UNTIL THE PUBLIC ABOUT THEM. ANYWAY, ALSO ABOUT STUFF LIKE THAT, COVERT, THAT IS AN INTERESTING THING. HILLS BERG AVENUE, WE NEED TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION ABOUT THIS TIME OF YEAR, JULY. IT WAS AN ACCIDENT THERE, YOU KNOW. THE BULLETIN CROSSWALK DURING THE FOURTH OF JULY. SHE WAS HIT BY A SOBER DRIVER WHO WAS BLINDED BY THE SUN, WHICH IS DIRECTLY IN YOUR EYES AND YOU ARE COMPLETELY BLINDED WHEN YOU ARE GOING NORTHWEST UPHILLS BERG AVENUE AT THIS TIME OF YEAR, THIS TIME OF DAY. DESPITE THAT FACT, YOU HAVE APPROVED THE CANOPY PROJECT, WHICH IS GOING TO PUT A 684 -- YOU HAVE 25 SECONDS.

-- ON THAT ROAD. SO ABOUT THE AMERICORPS PROJECT, THAT WILL JUST MAKE A LOT MORE PEOPLE GET SICK WITH LYME DISEASE BECAUSE IT IS FILTHY. I'M SUGGESTING YOU PUT IN EIGHT FOOT STATUE WITH 5000 EGGS THERE BECAUSE YOU ARE MAKING A LOT OF PEOPLE SICK.

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT.

HELLO. MY NAME IS TONYA SIERRA. I AM THE INTERIM ACTING EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SEBASTOPOL COMMUNITY CULTURAL CENTER. I WANTED TO ALSO ECHO SOME OF WHAT RICK SAID ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF THE MAIN HALL AND KEEPING THOSE DOORS OPEN. THE MAIN HALL IS REALLY CRITICAL TO WHAT WE DO HERE. WE OFFER ALL OF OUR SERVICE THERE. WE HAVE CLASSES THAT TAKE PLACE WEEKLY. WE ALSO PRINT OUT THE FACILITY FOR COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO HOST THEIR IMPORTANT MEETINGS, LIFE EVENTS, MILESTONES, WHERE WE ARE ABLE TO HOST LIVE EVENTS AND CONCERTS. WE HAD A CONCERT THERE ON FRIDAY THAT HELPS SUSTAIN THE COMMUNITY CENTER. I JUST WANT TO, AGAIN, ECHO WHAT RICK SAID ABOUT THE IMPORTANCE OF THE IMPROVEMENTS TO KEEP THE MAIN HALL OPEN FOR US. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I CAN HEAR YOU.

I AM A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THE WAY THAT THE CITY SETS PRIORITIES FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT. NOT JUST THIS YEAR BUT THE LAST

COUPLE OF YEARS. THIS SEEMS TO HAVE GRANT FUNDING AVAILABLE AND POSSIBLY AT THE EXCLUSION OF THINGS THAT MIGHT BE MORE IMPORTANT. I'VE HEARD OF A STUDY OF OUR STREETS THAT SUGGEST THEY ARE IN HORRIBLE CONDITIONS. SPEAKING OF RUMORS, I GUESS, THERE HAS NOT BEEN MUCH GOING ON IN TERMS OF REBUILDING STREETS OVER THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. NOT MUCH GOING ON HERE OTHER THAN RESURFACING AND BIKE LANES. ALMOST EVERYTHING WE DO IS BIKE LANES. I AM NOT SURE THAT BIKE LANES ARE OUR MOST IMPORTANT PRIORITY. WE NEED TO FIX THE STREETS. WE JUST WENT THROUGH THIS. THE MAYOR SAYS SIX. I THINK IT WAS ONLY THREE. WE HAVE TO RAISE RATES TO BE ABLE TO PAY FOR IT. AGAIN, THERE IS NOT MUCH GOING ON HERE IN TERMS OF ADDRESSING THOSE ISSUES OR WHAT THEY ARE. I AM HOPEFUL THAT THERE IS A PLAN OR SOME MONEY THIS YEAR TO BE ABLE TO LOOK AT THOSE AND IDENTIFY PRIORITIES. COMING UP WITH A PLAN OF WHAT NEEDS TO BE FIXED. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NOW BACK TO CHAMBERS. IF ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO GIVE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE. NOW BACK TO ZOOM. OLIVER, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? I'M UNMUTE IT.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

VERY BRIEF. I THINK THIS IS A GOOD START. I WILL ECHO WITH THE PREVIOUS COMMENTERS SAID THAT 10 MINUTES FOR THIS PRESENTATION FOLLOWED BY SOME DISCUSSION AND PUBLIC COMMENT IS NOT REALLY ENOUGH FOR THIS, I DON'T THINK. I THINK THE DOCUMENT IS WELL PUT TOGETHER. THERE IS A LOT MORE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS THAT NEED TO BE FOCUSED ON. I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SEE MORE OF A VISION FOR NOT JUST THE COMING BUDGET YEAR, BUT ALSO THE COMING TWO OR THREE YEARS SO WE HAVE AN IDEA OF WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN WITH THE ROADS. WE WERE DISCUSSING THIS EARLIER AND THIS HAS BEEN KNOCKING AROUND FOR A DECADE. WE HAVE THE SOIL STILL WET. NOT TERRIBLY IMPRESSIVE ON THAT LEVEL. THIS IS A VERY TRUNCATED THING. YOU COULD ARGUE THAT THERE HAVE ACTUALLY IN DISCUSSIONS FOR EVERY SINGLE ONE OF THESE TOPICS. SOMETHING FOR CITY FOR WITH THEIR COMMENTS AND NOT WASTE THE COUNCILS TIME IN THESE 122nd COMMENT PERIODS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT.

HELLO. MY NAME IS JOHN WARNER. I WORK AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER. I HAVE BEEN THERE FOR, THIS MONTH IT WILL BE 24 YEARS THAT I HAVE WORKED AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER. WHAT I REALLY WANT TO SAY IS THAT THE VALUE OF THE COMMUNITY CENTER TO THE COMMUNITY IS EXTRAORDINARY. FOR ANYONE THAT --

JOHN, WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS SUPER IMPORTANT. CAN YOU SPEAK INTO THE MICROPHONE?

OH. OKAY. AS I SAY, THIS MAY BE THE FIRST TIME I HAVE SPOKEN TO THE COMMUNITY, BUT YES. I HAVE BEEN HERE FOR 24 YEARS THIS MONTH. I HAVE BEEN VERY DEEPLY INVOLVED IN PASSIONATE ABOUT THE WORK AND MUSIC AND ALL OF THE CLASSES AND EVERYTHING THAT WE PROVIDE TO THE COMMUNITY. I THINK IT IS VERY IMPORTANT THAT WE TAKE A CLOSE

LOOK AT WHAT THE COMMUNITY CENTER IS PROVIDING THE COMMUNITY. IF YOU HAVEN'T BEEN THERE, I STRONGLY RECOMMEND THAT YOU GO TO THE WEBSITE, WHICH IS REALLY EASY TO FIND. SEB.ORG AND AGAIN, I STRONGLY RECOMMEND THAT YOU GO TO SEE WHAT IS PROVIDING THE COMMUNITY CENTER TO THE, ALL OF OUR NEIGHBORS AND THE COMMUNITY ITSELF. I THINK THAT IT IS EXTRAORDINARY AND IF THERE IS ANYWAY IN WHICH WE CAN WORK WITH THE NECESSITIES AND IN ORDER TO KEEP THE DOORS OPEN, I THINK IT IS EXTRAORDINARY VIABLE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, JOHN, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE. ONE LAST TIME TO THE CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE. PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, AND IN RESPONSE TO A COUPLE OF COMMENTS, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT IT IS NOT A WASTE OF TIME TO ANY OF US TO HEAR THE COMMENTS THAT WE HEAR IN THESE MEETINGS OR THAT WE RECEIVE BY EMAIL. THEY ARE ALL APPRECIATED NO MATTER WHAT THE PERSPECTIVE IS THAT IS SHARED. AS TO THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRIORITIZE PROJECTS IN THIS TOWN, INFRASTRUCTURE, GRANT MONEY, I AM SURE TONI BERTOLERO AND STEVE BROWN AND OUR CITY MANAGER WOULD EAGERLY EMBRACE THAT. IN OUR FISCAL CRISIS, THAT IS A TOUGH CALL, BUT THAT WOULD BE GREAT. THE QUESTIONS PRESENTED BY ONE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC SUGGESTING THAT EACH PROJECT NEEDS TO BE ASSESSED FROM VARIOUS PERSPECTIVES, I AM CERTAIN THAT TONI BERTOLERO AND HER TEAM IS DOING THAT BUT THOSE ARE GOOD QUESTIONS. WE APPRECIATE IT. THE COMMENT THAT SEEMS TO SUGGEST THIS, WE DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. I HAVE A LIST OF QUESTIONS HERE. AS A COURTESY, I FELT IT WAS WORTHWHILE TO LET THE PUBLIC HAVE AN EARLY OPPORTUNITY. FINALLY, AS TO THE COMMUNITY CENTER FUNDING, THERE WERE TWO PIECES. ONE WAS A \$70,000 AMOUNT FOR FIREPROOFING AND THE OTHER ONE WAS 85,000 TO DEVELOP A MASTER PLAN TO PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY TO INQUIRE INTO THE FUTURE OPTIONS. I THINK AT THIS POINT WE ARE UP AT THE DAYS FOR THE DISCUSSION. COUNCILMEMBERS, I DO HAVE REMAINING QUESTIONS. OKAY. STAFF, ANYTHING TO OFFER BEFORE I ASK MY QUESTIONS? NO? OKAY. MY REMAINING QUESTIONS ARE THE FOLLOWING. I AM REALLY CURIOUS ON THE LIST OF PROJECTS, ABOUT THE CITY HALL A.D.A. WORK THAT WAS REDUCED FROM 1100 TO 20,000. THAT IS A HUGE CHANGE, IF I READ IT CORRECTLY. AM I MISSING SOMETHING THERE?

NO, YOU ARE NOT. WE PRODUCED IT BECAUSE OF THE FISCAL CRISIS THAT THE CITY IS GOING THROUGH. WE DID HAVE QUITE A BIT MORE THAT WAS APPROVED FOR THAT PROJECT DURING FISCAL YEAR 2023-24, IN FACT. BECAUSE OF THE SITUATION, WE REDUCED THE SCOPE OF WORK. WE DID NOT DO A GREAT DEAL OF WORK IN THE EXTERIOR OF THE BUILDING BECAUSE THAT WOULD HAVE TAKEN A LOT OF IMPROVEMENTS AND ALSO THERE WERE ISSUES WITH THE BREAK ROOM AND SOME OTHER LOCATIONS THAT IT JUST DIDN'T MAKE SENSE TO MAKE THOSE IMPROVEMENTS. SO THE PROJECT SCOPE GOT REDUCED AND THAT WAS OKAY BY THE CDC. BECAUSE OF THE FUNDING AVAILABLE FOR THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT GRANT, WE ARE ONLY GOING TO BE RECEIVING ABOUT \$50,000. WE HAD REQUESTED MORE THAN THAT AND IT DID NOT GET APPROVED FOR THAT AMOUNT. SO IT GOT REDUCED TO \$20,000 IS A COMBINATION OF REDUCING THE SCOPE OF

WORK, AND ALSO WITH PUBLIC WORK STAFF PERFORMING THE WORK. YOU CAN DO THAT UNDER STATE LAW. THAT WILL SAVE SOME COSTS AS WELL.

EXCELLENT. WHICH PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY TO REPRESENT THE HARD WORK THAT THE STAFF AND THE BUDGET COMMUNITY UNDOUBTEDLY DID IN ORDER TO TAILOR DOWN THESE ITEMS. THIS GOES TO A COMMENT FROM THE PUBLIC IN WHICH WE LOOK AT AN ITEM THAT WAS \$100,500 AND IT HAS COME DOWN TO A \$20,000.

I WOULD LIKE TO CLARIFY THAT THAT 20,000 IS NOT ACTUALLY FOR THE TOTAL PROJECT. THAT IS JUST FOR THE GENERAL FUND PORTION OF IT. THE RESERVES.

THANK YOU FOR THAT PRESENTATION. MARY GOURLEY, CAN WE MAKE SURE EVERYONE IS MUTED? IT SOUNDED LIKE SOMEONE WAS GETTING THROUGH THERE. MY NEXT QUESTION HAS TO DO WITH THE BALANCE. WE SEE THE AMOUNTS THAT WOULD BE TAKEN OUT OF VARIOUS FUNDS. 123, AND THEN THE FLOOD MITIGATION FUND. WE DON'T SEE THE ENDING BALANCES IN THOSE. FOR MY PURPOSES, AS A MATTER OF CONTEXT, THAT WOULD BE VERY USEFUL.

UNFORTUNATELY, THE WAY THIS BUDGET REPORT COMES FROM A SPECIFIC SOFTWARE AND THERE IS NO ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE WITH THE CITY'S FISCAL SPREADSHEETS, IF YOU WILL, FOR ALL OF THESE, WE HAVE TO DO IT IN TWO PARTS. WE HAVE TO DO THIS REPORT AND THEN I HAVE TO SEND ALL THIS INFORMATION TO THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT AND THEN THEY SPREAD IT IN AND MAKE SURE THAT ALL THE FUN BALANCES ARE CORRECT. SO WE HAVE BEEN DOING THAT PROCESS FOR THE CIP PROJECTS TO MAKE SURE THERE IS NO FUND THAT WILL BE IN THE RED. SO WE HAVE BEEN DOING THAT THROUGHOUT.

THANK YOU FOR THAT. WE WILL SEE THAT INFORMATION WHEN THE BUDGET COMES TO US AT THE NEXT MEETING. CORRECT? IN MY FOLLOW-UP QUESTION, SPECIFIC TO A TOPIC OF PARTICULAR INTEREST TO ME. THE FLOOD MITIGATION. WE SEE FOR THE CITY COUNCIL, COMMUNITY CULTURAL CENTER AND AMOUNT OF 85,004 A MASTER PLAN. FOR FIREPROOFING, WHAT IMPACT DOES THAT HAVE ON OUR FLOOD MITIGATION? IS THERE SOMETHING THAT WOULDN'T GET DONE BY HAVING THIS AMOUNT OF MONEY ALLOCATED? THE 85,000 AND 70 75,000 TO THE COMMUNITY CENTER NEEDS.

IF THEY WERE GROUPED FOR THE MASTER PLAN, THE BALANCE OF THE FLOOD MONIES WOULD BE \$325,000.

DOES THAT CREATE SOME RISK FOR OTHER PROJECTS THAT WE SHOULD BE AWARE OF?

WE ARE NOT AWARE OF OTHERS AT THIS TIME. THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF HAVING THAT MASTER PLAN.

I HAVE A QUESTION. MY UNDERSTANDING IS THERE MAY BE NO PARTICULAR PROJECTS AT LIST, BUT THERE MAY BE ADDITIONAL FLOOD PREVENTION RELATED PROJECTS THROUGHOUT THE CITY. TO SPEND THESE DOLLARS HERE, IT WOULD BE LESS THAN OTHER PROJECTS THAT DON'T HAVE THOSE PARTICULARLY IDENTIFIED.

YOU ARE CORRECT. IF YOU LOOK AT THE CIP BOOK, WE HAVE THE STORM TRAINERS SECTION. A NUMBER OF PROJECTS THAT WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THAT HAVE BEEN IN THE BOOKS FOR A WHILE. THEY ARE FOR FLOOD MITIGATION TYPE WORK, AND OBVIOUSLY THOSE PROJECTS ARE VERY

EXPENSIVE. IT IS THE REASON, AGAIN, FOR THE FLOOD RESILIENCY MASTER PLAN. SO THE CITY WILL BE ABLE TO GO OUT AND HOPEFULLY OBTAIN SOME GRANT FUNDING. I KNOW WE KEEP TALKING ABOUT GRANT FUNDING BUT THAT IS HOW A LOT OF AGENCIES HAVE BEEN ABLE TO DO THESE PROJECTS. ABOUT THE ONLY WAY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WONDERING, ON PAGE SIX OF 64, THERE ARE TWO PROJECTS WHERE IT IS INDICATED THAT REIMBURSEMENT HAS BEEN REQUESTED BUT HAS NOT BEEN SUBMITTED. I AM CURIOUS WHY WE HAVE NOT SUBMITTED THAT.

I THINK YOU ARE TALKING ABOUT THE BODEGA AVENUE, THE 116 RAMP PROJECT AS WELL AS THE BIKE LANES REHAB PROJECT. THOSE TWO ARE FUNDED WITH THE FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION GRANT FUND. WE HAVE BEEN GETTING REIMBURSEMENTS BUT WE ARE TRYING TO GET THE FINAL REIMBURSEMENT DONE. WHEN THEY DO THE FINAL, THEY EXPECT AN AWFUL LOT OF PAPERWORK SO WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF GETTING THAT DONE BUT THERE SHOULDN'T BE AN ISSUE WITH GETTING THAT FINALIZED. WE ARE HOPING TO DO IT BEFORE THE END OF THE FISCAL YEAR. IT WILL PROBABLY OCCUR IN THE NEXT MONTH.

SO NO FAILURE OF DUE DILIGENCE HERE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THOSE WERE MY QUESTIONS. ANY COMMENTS OR DISCUSSION HERE?

I HAVE SOME QUESTIONS REGARDING THE SEBASTOPOL COMMUNITY CENTER AS WELL. OKAY. SO WHEN TALKING ABOUT THE MASTER PLAN, I HEARD IT IS LISTED IN OUR AGENDA AS A MASTER PLAN FOR THE MASTER-PLANNED COMMUNITY CENTER. THEN YOU JUST MADE MENTION OF A MASTER PLAN WITH LAGUNA. IS IT THE SAME THING?

TWO DIFFERENT PROJECTS.

GOING TO THE MASTER PLAN FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER. WHO ELSE FOR THAT? DID THEY ASK FOR THAT? I DIDN'T HEAR THEM COMMENT FOR THAT TONIGHT. DID THEY ASK FOR THE MASTER PLAN? WHERE DID THAT COME FROM?

THAT CONCEPT STARTED LAST FISCAL YEAR. STAFF BROUGHT THAT UP AS A DOCUMENT THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL IN TRYING TO IDENTIFY PRIORITIES FOR THE COMMUNITY CENTER IN TERMS OF FLOOD MITIGATION. THERE HAS BEEN SOME WORK FOR THE S.E.C. SO THE IDEA IS TO GATHER EXISTING INFORMATION, UPDATE THE COST ESTIMATES, AND LOOK AT OPTIONS FOR THE EXAMPLE. ONE OPTION MIGHT BE TO REPURPOSE ANOTHER BUILDING. HOW MUCH WOULD THAT COST? IT IS A MASTER PLAN FOR SHORT-TERM AS WELL AS LONG-TERM NEEDS, SO THE COMMUNITY CENTER DID NOT ASK FOR IT. IN FACT, LAST YEAR, STAFF HAD PUT THAT ON THE CIP AND SPECIFICALLY ASKED COUNSEL TO NOT DO THAT LAST YEAR BECAUSE THEY WANTED TO FOCUS ON ADDITIONAL WORK THAT THEY NEEDED TO HAVE DONE. THAT'S WHAT HAPPENED. IT GOT TABLED AND ADDITIONAL FLOOD MITIGATION WORK OCCURRED AT THE S.E.C. NOW WE ARE BRINGING THIS BACK.

IT SOUNDS LIKE IT WAS AN IDEA THAT ORIGINATED WITH STAFF.

IT DID, BECAUSE THERE IS A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT WHAT SHOULD HAPPEN WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER AND SO TO PUT EVERYTHING INTO A DOCUMENT WOULD REALLY HELP TO TRY TO FRAME THE NEXT STEPS AND PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION TO COUNSEL.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

CAN I CLARIFY THAT? IS BECAUSE THE COMMUNITY CENTER BUILDING BELONGS TO THE CITY.

CORRECT.

JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT.

MARE.

WE DID DISCUSS THIS. BOTH OF US WERE PUT OPPOSED TO THIS MASTER PLAN BUT INSTEAD WE WERE SUGGESTING THAT WE FORM EITHER A NEW AD HOC TO DEAL WITH THIS SPECIFIC ISSUE OF THE COMMUNITY CENTER BUILDING OR WE COULD ALSO DO IT WITHIN THE BUDGET COMMUNITY TO HOLD MEETINGS AND REALLY LOOK CLOSELY AT WHAT ARE THE NEXT STEPS. SO THAT'S WHERE WE LANDED ON THIS.

OKAY. IT FEELS A LITTLE AWKWARD. WE ALSO ON THE BUILDING FOR THE SENIOR CENTER AND THEY HAVE THEIR OWN BOARD IS THE COMMUNITY CENTER DOES. WE ARE NOT CREATING MASTER PLANS FOR THEIR SITUATION, PER SE. I GUESS I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM THE COMMUNITY CENTER. ABOUT WHERE THEY ARE AT THIS YEAR. THEY ASKED US TO PUSH IT BACK AND IT SEEMS RESPECTFUL TO HEAR FROM THE BOARD THAT IS OPERATING. AS WE KNOW, WE DON'T HAVE A PARK AND REC DEPARTMENT. WE COUNT ON VOLUNTEERS.

I DON'T RECALL ASKING THE CITY MANAGER OR CITY STAFF TO PUSH THAT OFF. I'M NOT SAYING IT DIDN'T HAPPEN. I JUST DON'T RECALL THAT THERE WERE A LOT OF CONVERSATIONS LIKE WHAT WILL HAPPEN WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER. DO WE WANT TO PUT MORE MONEY INTO IT OR BASICALLY TRY TO FIND ANOTHER PLACE TO GO? THERE WAS A LOT OF DISCUSSION ABOUT ALTERNATIVE PLACES TO HAVE A COMMUNITY CENTER THAT WERE EXPLORED AND PURSUED. LARRY IS NOT HERE AND HE FELT VERY INVOLVED IN THAT, AS WAS DANTE. EXCUSE ME. IT WAS NOT OUR BEHEST OR REQUEST TO POSTPONE OR DELAY A MASTER PLAN. HOWEVER, I BELIEVE THAT THERE WAS A DISCUSSION ABOUT HOW THERE NEEDS TO BE A STUDY FOR WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN, WHERE IT WILL BE MOVED, IF, IN FACT, THE RESOURCES FOR A PLACE WAS FOUND. THAT'S ALL. BECAUSE WHERE IT IS NOW IS IN A FLOODPLAIN. WILL IT FLOOD AGAIN? YEAH. DO WE KNOW WHEN? NO. EVERYTHING THAT WE HAVE DONE AND EVERY UPGRADE WE HAVE MADE INTO THE FACILITY THUS FAR HAS BEEN FLOOD MITIGATING. THIS HAS BEEN GREATLY REDUCED IN THE AMOUNT OF DAMAGE TO THE BUILDING SHOULD IT FLOOD AGAIN. UNFORTUNATELY, THIS FIREWALL, THIS FIRE PREVENTION LAW, WAS ONE OF THE VICTIMS THAT WAS CASUALTY OF THE FLOOD. SO THAT WAS WHY WE WANT TO REDO THAT AS PART OF THE REPAIR, REALISTICALLY, TO GET BACK TO WHERE IT WAS AND MAKE IT ACTUALLY SAFE. SO WE HAVE JUST BEEN MOVING FORWARD AS BEST WE CAN, MAKING A FEW MINOR CHANGES AS WE CAN AND AS WE GO. BUT THE MASTER PLAN, I BELIEVE, THE CONVERSATION WAS THAT YOU ARE GOING TO NEED ONE. WE ALL AGREE. REALISTICALLY, THIS IS NOT IN A GOOD LOCATION AND I AM NOT GOING TO TRY TO CONVINCING YOU OTHERWISE. I WOULD BE A FOOL. THE REALITY IS THAT RIGHT NOW, THAT IS WHAT WE HAVE. SO LET'S GET IN THERE AND TRY TO MAKE IT WHAT IT CAN BE AND KEEP IT GOING. WE ARE MAKING HEADWAY IN THAT DIRECTION, BUT IF THIS IS SHUT DOWN BECAUSE IT IS NOT SAFE, WE DON'T MAKE THAT DECISION. THAT IS ENTIRELY UP TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN BECAUSE WE CAN'T KEEP THE DOOR OPEN. BY FINDING AN

ALTERNATIVE, IT WILL BE NECESSARY BECAUSE AT SOME POINT, THE COMMUNITY CENTER WILL NEED TO MOVE. AND THAT'S AS FAR AS I KNOW. THAT'S ALL THE INFORMATION I'VE GOT.

I THINK THAT IS VERY HELPFUL. I AM GOING TO TAKE JUST A MINUTE FOR A BUILDING OFFICIAL BECAUSE I BELIEVE, TONI BERTOLERO, DID YOU HAVE SOMETHING TO ADD?

I THINK IT WAS KENYON WEBSTER THAT MADE A PUBLIC COMMENT LAST YEAR AT OUR CIP MEETING. HE IS THE ONE THAT ASKED TO DELAY IT. HE JUST SAID TO DELAY IT BECAUSE THEY HAD OTHER PRIORITIES THAT THEY WANTED THE CITY TO SPEND THE MONEY ON.

THANK YOU FOR THAT CLARIFICATION. STEVE BROWN, ARE BUILDING OFFICIAL.

WE REALLY HAVE TWO ITEMS ON THAT CIP. ONE FOR 85. TWO COMPLETELY SEPARATE THINGS. TONI IS RIGHT. I JUST DREW A BLANK ON HIS NAME. WHO ASKED FOR THAT? THE \$70,000 IS TO REPLACE SHEET ROCK ON THE WALLS. THERE ARE WALLS OVER THERE THAT A SMALL KID COULD WALK RIGHT THROUGH THE WALLS. THERE IS NOT SHEET ROCK ON EITHER SIDE. THAT IS THE FIRE SAFETY PART OF THE BUILDING. THE 85,000 IS FOR A COMPLETELY SEPARATE PLAN JUST TO DECIDE IF THAT BUILDING IS FEASIBLE WHERE IT IS THAT OR IF IT NEEDS TO MOVE, BASICALLY. EVERYBODY IS RIGHT. WE HAVE THROWN OUT A LOT OF DIFFERENT LOCATIONS WHERE IT WOULD BE MUCH BETTER. IF WE HAVE A FLOOD, THERE WOULD BE FOUR FEET OF WATER NO MATTER WHAT WE DO, BUT WE NEED TO KEEP IT SAFE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE HAVE BEEN TALKING ABOUT THE COMMUNITY CENTER A LOT. IT IS AN IMPORTANT TOPIC. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION HERE ABOUT THE C.A.P. IN GENERAL? PLEASE. GO AHEAD.

I GUESS FOR ME, ONE OF THE BIGGEST CONCERNS WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER BUILDING IS THE IDEA THAT IT MAY BE UNINSURABLE IN THE FUTURE. SO THAT IS A CONCERN. BUT I THINK IT NEEDS MORE INVESTIGATION. SO I DID RECEIVE A COUPLE OF REPORTS ON SOME OF THE FIXES THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO MAKE THAT BUILDING MORE FLOOD RESISTANT AND IT IS INCREDIBLY VERY EXPENSIVE. SO IT'S A LOT OF WORK. I DID HAVE SOME COMMENTS ABOUT THE TUSCADERO CREEK BIKE WALK TRAIL. I MET WITH OUR SCT A MEMBER AND WE WALKED IT. SO WE WALKED FROM THE SOUTH SIDE OF BODEGA AND WE WALKED ALONG THAT GRASSY PATH. THERE ARE TREES THERE AND WE WALKED ALL THE WAY UP TO WATER TROUGH. SO THERE ARE PIECES ABOUT THIS THAT I THINK ARE NEEDED AND THERE ARE ALSO PIECES THAT I THINK, FOR EXAMPLE, WE DON'T WANT TO ENCOURAGE BIKES ON THE NORTH SIDE OF THAT ROAD BECAUSE YOU JUST ARE LEADING THEM INTO A VERY NARROW SECTION WHERE CARS ARE DRIVING VERY FAST. WE HAD WORKED ON GETTING GUARDRAIL PATHWAYS FROM WATER TROUGH DOWN INTO THIS SECTION. PROBABLY IN 2013, 2011 MAY BE. I WALKED THAT TODAY AND EVEN THOUGH IT HAS A GUARDRAIL RIGHT THERE, IS VERY CLOSE TO THE TRAFFIC. THE TRAFFIC IS MOVING VERY FAST. IT DOES NOT FEEL SAFE. I KNOW PEOPLE USE THAT FROM WATER TROUGH COMING DOWN. THEY DO USE THAT. THE PERSON I WAS WITH, THE COMMITTEE MEMBER, SAID HE WOULDN'T LET HIS 10-YEAR-OLD RIGHT ON IT. SO HE ALSO RECOMMENDED THAT WE TRY A TEMPORARY ROUNDABOUT FOR BODEGA AND SPOKE WITH SEVERAL OF MY NEIGHBORS WHO SUPPORTED THAT IDEA OF A ROUNDABOUT THERE. SOME

CONCERNS WITH DRIVERS, COMING DOWN THE HILL, GOING WEST ON BODEGA, BUT THIS COMMITTEE MEMBER HAD SUGGESTED THAT THERE WAS A TEMPORARY ROUNDABOUT, SO IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING TO LOOK INTO. IN THE FUTURE CIP THERE IS A STREETLIGHT PROPOSED FOR THAT AREA AND WE LIVE IN THAT AREA. OFTENTIMES, I DO NOT TURN THAT WAY BECAUSE THERE IS SO MUCH TRAFFIC WE ARE GOING AROUND. I DO THINK IMPROVEMENTS ARE NEEDED BUT I APPRECIATE THE SCALING DOWN TO A CONCEPT. I WOULD ENCOURAGE US TO NOT DO THE FULL 50,000. JUST THE 10,000 CONCEPT. SOME OTHER FEEDBACK I HAVE RECEIVED FROM THE SEBASTOPOL MEMBER WAS THE, AND ANOTHER BIKE MEMBER, WAS TO PUT SOME MONEY, TO FOOT -- PUT A FEASIBILITY STUDY OR CONCEPT PLAN TOWARDS THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL. THIS IS A TRAIL THAT HAS BEEN BROUGHT UP BEFORE AT THIS COUNSEL. I HAVE REVIEWED THE PLANS FOR THAT. I HAVE SPOKEN TO PEOPLE AND THERE IS A LOT OF SUPPORT FOR THAT, SO I WOULD ASK THAT WE ADD THAT TO THE CIP. THE CONCEPT PLAN. SIMILAR TO WHAT YOU ARE SAYING FOR, WHICH IS A CONCEPT PLAN FOR THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL. AND I SUPPORT ADDING \$70,000 FOR THE SAFETY REPAIRS AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER. I RECOMMEND THAT WE REMOVE THE MASTER PLAN FOR 85 IN ORDER TO DEAL WITH THIS IN A MORE INTIMATE WAY. AND THEN DECIDE WHAT IS NEEDED BASED ON THE AD HOC COMMITTEE OR THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. I THINK THAT IS IT.

OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I HAVE SOME WRAP-UP COMMENTS.
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

ALSO, THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL IS FROM OUT OF THE BLUE. I AM NOT FAMILIAR WITH THAT AT ALL. I AM JUST NOT UP TO SPEED TO KNOW WHETHER I COULD SUPPORT THAT ARE NOT SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE THAT, BECAUSE IT HAS JUST BEEN BROUGHT UP TONIGHT.

SURE.

AND THEN ARE WE GOING TO GO AHEAD?

YEAH. I WANTED TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR WRAP-UP COMMENTS. SO I WOULD SAY, GENERALLY, I HAVE BEEN SUPPORTED ALL ALONG OF THIS. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, THAT'S FINE. I WAS TOLD BY TRAFFIC ENGINEERS THAT THERE IS NOT ENOUGH LAND TO DO A ROUNDABOUT AT THAT LOCATION AND THAT IT WOULD BE EXPENSIVE TO TRY TO GET LANDOWNERS TO SELL US THE CORNERS OF THE INTERSECTION. NOW, I BROUGHT THAT UP AT PREVIOUS MEETINGS, SO MAYBE TONI COULD WEIGH IN ON THAT. THAT WOULD HELP MAKE MY DECISION ABOUT THE 50,000 FUNDING. LASTLY, I AM GOING TO BE SUPPORTIVE OF TAKING FROM THE FLOOD MITIGATION MONEY, WHICH WE HAVE HAD SINCE 2019 AND WAS ALWAYS MEANT TO RESEARCH FLOOD MITIGATION AND SUPPORT BOTH THE 70,000 TO MAKE THE COMMUNITY CENTER SAFE. I CERTAINLY DON'T WANT ANYBODY FALLING THROUGH A WALL OR FIRE THERE WHILE WE HAVE PEOPLE IN THERE TOMORROW. AND SO SUPPORTING BOTH THE 70 K AND 85 FOR THE FLOOD MITIGATION PLAN, I DO RECALL THAT FEMA DOES HAVE, WE KEEP TALKING ABOUT GRANTS, BUT THEY DO HAVE GRANTS. AND I REMEMBER EVEN THIS BIG BLOWUP THING THAT WE INVESTIGATED THAT FEMA FUNDED RIGHT AFTER THAT FLOOD, I CAN'T REMEMBER WHAT THAT WAS. I REMEMBER IT WASN'T FEASIBLE BUT MAYBE THERE WAS SOMETHING ELSE. I WOULD SUPPORT A SUBCOMMITTEE TO WORK WITH THE COMMUNITY

CENTER ON COMMUNITY OUTREACH AND HELP SPEND THE 85,000 FOR THE MASTER PLAN APPROPRIATELY.

OKAY. SO MY TURN. I HAVE HAD MULTIPLE LENGTHY CONVERSATIONS WITH LINDY LERNER ABOUT THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL. I DO NOT SUPPORT ADDING THAT TONIGHT. THAT MIGHT BE A DISCUSSION THAT COUNCILMEMBER MAURER COULD HAVE WITH STAFF IN ORDER TO DETERMINE WHETHER IT COULD BE BROUGHT BACK TO THE COUNCIL BUT I AM NOT SUPPORTIVE OF ALLOCATING MONEY TO THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL FOR A CONCEPT OR OTHERWISE AT THE MOMENT. IN TERMS OF THE \$50,000 ALLOCATION TO THE BODEGA BICYCLE CONNECTION, AND TUSCADERO CREEK, I HEARD THAT THE PLAN WAS THAT OF THAT 50,000, APPROXIMATELY 10,000 WOULD BE SPENT ON A CONCEPT PLAN AND THEN THE COUNCIL WOULD BE GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO WEIGH IN ON NEXT STEPS. WAS I CORRECT ABOUT THAT UNDERSTANDING?

THAT'S CORRECT.

SO THAT IS WHAT I WAS SUPPORT. ALLOCATING THE 50,000 BY HAVING THE CONCEPT PLAN COME BACK TO US AFTER 10,000. IN TERMS OF THE COMMUNITY CENTER, I SUPPORT MAKING IT FIRE SAFE FOR THE \$70,000. THIS COMES FROM MY MANY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE AS EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE COMMUNITY CENTER STRUGGLING WITH THE ISSUES REGARDING FLOODING. THIS BUILDING IS DIFFERENT FROM OTHER BUILDINGS THAT THE CITY OWNS BECAUSE IT IS, IN FACT, IN A FLOODPLAIN, AND IT DOES FLOOD. THERE HAS NEVER BEEN A THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF OPTIONS BUT THIS ALLOCATION WOULD ALLOW A THOROUGH EXAMINATION OF OPTIONS AND PLANNING FOR THE FUTURE, SO I SUPPORT THAT ALSO. I THINK THE SUGGESTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WAS THAT THERE BE AN AD HOC ESTABLISHED OF TWO COUNCILMEMBERS TO ASSIST IN THE MASTER PLAN PROCESS. I AM WONDERING HOW STAFF FEELS ABOUT THAT. IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE PROPOSING?

THAT IS WHAT I WAS PROPOSING. WITH ONLY THREE OF US TONIGHT, I WOULD SAY WE WOULDN'T MAKE THAT DECISION TONIGHT. WE WOULD BRING IT BACK WITH ALL FIVE OF US HERE.

IC COUNCILMEMBER MAURER HAS A COMMENT.

A QUESTION FOR TONI. MAYOR RICH IS ASKING ABOUT THIS. MAKING A STATEMENT THAT THE MASTER PLAN WILL COVER ALL THE POTENTIAL OPTIONS. WOULD YOU SAY THAT IS ACCURATE IN TERMS OF WHAT THE MASTER PLAN WILL DO? WILL COVER THE OPTIONS FOR THAT BUILDING?

WHAT WE ARE LOOKING AT IS THE OPTIONS THAT WE ARE AWARE OF. THERE IS AN ENGINEERING STUDY LOOKING AT THE OPTIONS FOR FLOOD PROOFING AND SO WE WOULD BE INCLUDING THAT, OBVIOUSLY. WE WOULD ALSO BE LOOKING AT THE MITIGATION REPAIRS THAT I THINK I SUBMITTED TO YOU. WE WOULD UPDATE THE COST OF THAT BECAUSE THOSE COSTS ARE A LITTLE DATED SO THAT YOU WOULD HAVE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE COST COULD BE. WE ARE ALSO GOING TO BE LOOKING AT OTHER OPTIONS IN TERMS OF OTHER BUILDINGS AND FACILITIES IN TOWN. THIS COULD NOT BE DONE IN A VACUUM. IT IS NOT A DESKTOP FOR SOME OF THESE FACTORS. IT WOULD HAVE TO BE SOME KIND OF DISCUSSION WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER STAFF AND THEIR BOARD BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO BE PART OF IT AS WELL AS IF YOU HAVE THIS AD HOC THAT YOU ARE PROPOSING. THEN IT COULD BE INCLUDING THAT TOO. IT IS MORE

INTERACTIVE THAN A TYPICAL MASTER PLAN AND I THINK THIS IS AN ENGINEERING TYPE MASTER PLAN WITH MODELING AND ALL THIS. IT'S A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENT. THAT'S WHAT WE STARTED OUT WITH SO THAT'S WHAT WE CALLED IT.

WOULD IT BE AGREEABLE TO YOU TO TAKE IT BACK TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE? WITHOUT INFORMING A NEW AD HOC?

I WOULD THINK IT WAS APPROPRIATE TO FORM AN AD HOC AND THAT WOULD WORK WITH MEMBERS FROM THE COMMUNITY CENTER. IF THE PERSON THAT IS ASSOCIATED WITH THE COMMUNITY CENTER AS A LIAISON IS WILLING, I THINK THEY SHOULD BE ONE OF THE AD HOC MEMBERS. I BELIEVE IT IS COUNCILMEMBER Mc LEWIS. AND THEN ONE OTHER PERSON. I THINK IT IS A LONGER PROCESS THAN THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEES.

THIS IS SOMETHING I AM ASKING THAT WOULD NEED TO COME BACK. YOU CANNOT DECIDE ON IT TONIGHT. IT IS NOT PART OF THE AGENDA ITEM. BUT WE ARE APPROVING THE FUNDING FOR THAT TONIGHT, CORRECT? YOU ARE APPROVING THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN. YOU JUST CAN'T HAVE THE AD HOC COMMITTEE.

OKAY. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? I AM PROBABLY READY TO MAKE A MOTION BEFORE WE DO THAT. OKAY. SO MY MOTION WOULD BE TO APPROVE THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AS PRESENTED TO US WITH A COUPLE OF, SPECIFICALLY TO INCLUDE AN \$85,000 ALLOCATION FOR A MASTER PLAN TO ASSESS THE OPTIONS. YES.

THAT IS ALREADY IN THE PLAN.

OKAY. SO TO APPROVE THE CIP WITH THE ADDITION OF \$70,000 TO DO THE FIREPROOFING AS HAS BEEN DESCRIBED IN THE STAFF REPORT. I THINK ADDITIONALLY WE ARE REQUESTING THAT THE FIRST PROJECT THAT HAS A \$50,000 ALLOCATION COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL AFTER THE CONCEPT PLAN HAS BEEN DEVELOPED, WITH AN EXPECTATION OF THAT AMOUNT TO BE APPROXIMATELY \$10,000 FOR THE CONCEPT PLAN. THAT WOULD BE MY MOTION. AM I MISSING ANYTHING?

NO, YOU ARE NOT. I JUST WANT A CLARIFICATION ON THE CONCEPT PLAN. THAT WOULD BE COMING BACK FIRST?

IS PART OF THE CIP. HOWEVER, THE SPECIFIC ADDITION, YEAH. THAT STATEMENT AFTER THE CONCEPT PLAN, WE HAVE TO BRING THAT BACK TO COUNSEL.

I THINK THAT IS WHAT WE HEAR FROM COUNSEL IN THE INTEREST OF HAVING THAT COME BACK BEFORE ANY FURTHER WORK IS DONE. ANNIE, BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD?

SOUNDS GOOD.

I WILL SECOND THE MOTION.

AT WHAT POINT, MARY GOURLEY, I THINK WHAT WE DO IS WE DEFER TO THE AGENDA SETTING COMMITTEE AND THE CREATION OF AN AD HOC RECOGNIZING THAT THERE IS A GENERAL THUMBS-UP INTEREST IN AN AD HOC FROM THESE THREE COUNCILMEMBERS. WOULD THAT BE APPROPRIATE?

THAT'S CORRECT.

SO WE HAVE A MOTION IN A SECOND.

SO MOVED BY MAYOR RICH AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION APPROVED FOR FISCAL YEAR 24-25 WITH THE CHANGES AS LISTED. THE ADDITION OF \$70,000 FOR THE SEBASTOPOL

COMMUNITY CENTER FOR THE REPLACING OF THE SHEET ROCK FOR THE FIRE SAFETY PART OF THE BUILDING TO BE USED FROM THE FLOOD MITIGATION FUND AND THAT THE CONCEPT PLAN BE DEVELOPED FOR THE BODEGA AVENUE PROJECT. THAT PROJECT BE RETURNED TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR REVIEW ONCE THAT PLAN IS COMPLETED. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

AYE.

MAYOR RICH.

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER LEWIS AND VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN IS ABSENT. IT PASSES. CLOSING THIS ITEM, I JUST WANTED TO OBSERVE THAT THE PROCESS WE HAVE IN PLACE HERE WAS REALLY VALIDATED FOR ME. THE PROCESS IN THIS TOWN. THE VARIOUS REVIEW STEPS BECAUSE THIS WAS A PLAN THAT CAME TO US AFTER PLANNING COMMISSION REVIEW, AFTER BUDGET COMMITTEE REVIEW, AND EMBEDDED IN THE STAFF REPORT OR WHAT I FOUND TO BE SOME VERY USEFUL QUESTIONS THAT WERE PRESENTED BY THE BUDGET COMMITTEE THAT PRESENTED SUBSTANTIAL, USEFUL INFORMATION TO US. I THINK THAT MADE OUR PROCESS A LOT EASIER AND I JUST WANT TO THANK STAFF IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. THANK YOU, STEPHEN ZOLLMAN, WHO IS NOT HERE BUT WORKED HARD, FOR DOING THAT. WE ARE CLOSING OUT THIS ITEM. WE HAVE ONE OTHER SUBSTANTIAL ITEM FOR TONIGHT. OKAY, TWO. MY QUESTION AT THIS POINT OF COUNSEL IS WHETHER ANYONE NEEDS A FIVE MINUTE BREAK OR IF WE SHOULD JUST SHOULDER ON. FIVE MINUTE BREAK? THAT MEANS FIVE MINUTES, FOLKS. SO WE COME BACK AT ABOUT 7:55. THANKS VERY MUCH. [CAPTIONERS TRANSITIONING]

[THE EVENT IS ON A RECESS. THE SESSION WILL RECONVENE SHORTLY. CAPTIONER ON STANDBY.]

ALL RIGHT. THUMBS UP FROM I.T. HOW ARE WE DOING ON THE OUTSIDE? MARY GOURLEY? GREAT. OKAY, WE ARE CALLING THIS MEETING BACK TO ORDER AT 8:00 P.M. WE COMPLETED ITEM NUMBER SIX AND WE WILL NOW MOVE ON TO ITEM NUMBER SEVEN. THAT IS A PUBLIC HEARING. THE FIRST READING AND INTRODUCTION OF TWO APPROVE THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE HOTEL AT SEBASTOPOL AT 6828 DEPOT STREET FOR THE RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT IS PLANNING, BUT I WILL TRY TO OUR CITY MANAGER FIRST TO ASK HIM IF HE HAS ANY INTRODUCTORY COMMENTS.

AT ABOUT THIS WHOLE EFFORT STARTED BEFORE -- KIND OF JUMPING ON THE -- MOVING FORWARD. [INAUDIBLE]

THANK YOU. PLANNING DIRECTOR?

THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH AND COUNCILMEMBERS. THE INTRODUCTION AND FIRST READING THE ORDINANCE APPROVING THE DEVELOPMENT OF GRIEVING FOR THE -- HOTEL SEBASTOPOL. THAT IS THE 66 ROOM BOUTIQUE HOTEL WITH MANY AMENITIES. AS WAS INDICATED, IT IS LOCATED AT 6828 DEPOT STREET. PARKING IS ACROSS THE STREET. ACROSS THE STREET AT 6826 DEPOT STREET. THE PROJECT WAS ACTUALLY APPROVED IN 2017. IN THE MEANTIME, WE HAD THE COVID PANDEMIC. MORE RECENTLY, WE ARE DEALING WITH HIGH INTEREST RATES. THE DEVELOPER HAS DONE QUITE A BIT IN TERMS OF TRYING TO MOVE THIS PROJECT FORWARD FOR CONSTRUCTION. BUT THE PROJECT HAS BEEN DELAYED. AT THIS POINT, THE

DEVELOPER HAS APPROACHED THE CITY AND IS REQUESTING A DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. I THINK WE SHOULD KEEP IN MIND THAT THE NUMBER OF APPROVALS THAT ARE IN PLACE THERE, AND QUITE A BIT OF ACTIVITY AND ACTIONS TAKEN AND INCURRED BY THE DEVELOPER. FOR EXAMPLE, APPROVAL IN 2017, THAT IS THE -- APPROVAL. THERE IS A FEE REDUCTION AND FEE DEFERRAL AGREEMENT APPROVED IN 2018 FOR THIS PROJECT. THE DEVELOPER HAS GONE FORWARD AND BEEN ISSUED A BUILDING PERMIT WHICH IS STILL ACTIVE. THE DEVELOPER HAS REACHED OUT TO PG&E AND TWO -- FOUR ENCROACHMENT PERMIT FOR QUITE A BIT OF ACTIVITY HAS BEEN DONE, BUT IN TERMS OF GETTING THIS PROJECT READY TO CONSTRUCT, THERE IS A NEED FOR CONSTRUCTION. AT THIS TIME, THE DEVELOPER IS EXPERIENCING HIGH INTEREST RATES AND IS IN KIND OF A PAUSE MODE. THE DEVELOPER HAS APPLIED FOR FEDERAL LOAN FOR CONSTRUCTION, BUT MAY NEED THE WORK OF OTHER OPTIONS IN TERMS OF TOGETHER A FINANCING PACKAGE TO ACTUALLY BEGIN CONSTRUCTION. WHILE THE BUILDING PERMIT IS ACTIVE, IT IS IMPORTANT TO KEEP THAT ACTIVE, BUT GIVEN THE FACT THAT THERE ARE THINGS THAT NEED TO BE DONE IN TERMS OF GETTING INSTRUCTION FINANCING IN PLACE, THE DEVELOPMENT IS QUITE IMPORTANT. QUITE IMPORTANT FOR THE DEVELOPER TO KEEP ALL OF THE WORK OF THE ENTITLEMENT PACKAGE IN PLACE AND ACTIVE. BY THE FINANCING PACKAGES PREFERRED PREDATORS OF THE CITY, WHAT IS THE CITY INTEREST IN THIS? A 66 ROOM HOTEL IN DOWNTOWN, TO HELP ENTER DOWNTOWN, WITH THE OPPORTUNITY FOR TRANSIENT OCCUPANCY TAX. PROPERTY TAXES, SALES TAXES, AND IF YOU CAN IMAGINE, WITH OCCUPANCY EVENT HOTEL, HAVING 66 OR SO GUEST ROOMS AND OTHER AMENITIES, RESTAURANTS, ET CETERA, THAT WOULD BRING PEOPLE TO SEBASTOPOL'S DOWNTOWN AND GENERATE ACTIVITY IN THE WIDER DOWNTOWN AREA WITH FINANCIAL BENEFIT. THE DEVELOPER HAS ACTUALLY ASKED FOR TWO YEARS ON THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT SAID AS STAFF HAS, THE WORK FOR THE DEVELOPER AND UNDERSTANDING THE HIGH INTEREST RATES AT THIS TIME, EVEN WITH THE LOAN AND PACKAGE SEEKING AT THIS TIME, THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS ACTUALLY FOR THREE YEARS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF PAYMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT A COUPLE PARTICULARS ON THIS BID IN TERMS OF THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT ARE ALLOWED FOR BY STATE LAW. UNADDRESSED IN THE LOCAL WHEN THE CIVIL CODE WITH THEM IN THE CIVIL CODE. THERE ARE CERTAIN FINDINGS. THINK THE COUNCIL NEEDS TO MAKE IN APPROVING THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT. AND WHAT OF THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT DO? THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT RECENTLY, IN A CASE LIKE THIS, HOLD THOSE PRIOR APPROVALS IN PLACE SO THEY STAY IN PLACE DURING THE EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME. AND IN TERMS OF THE REVIEW, THE PROJECT GOING THROUGH AN ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS, THERE MAY BE A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR THE PROJECT. SO THE ENVIRONMENT TO REVIEW, THE GETTING DECLARATION STAYS IN PLACE. THE PROJECT APPROVALS STAY IN PLACE. THE 2018 THE REDUCTION AND DEFERRAL AGREEMENT STAYS IN PLACE. ALL OF THOSE THINGS STAY IN PLACE. AND FOR THE LOCAL COMMUNITY, WELL, WHAT OF THE LOCAL COMMUNITY GET? A LOT OF WORK HAS GONE INTO THIS. AGAIN, THERE'S THE OPPORTUNITY FOR SUBSTANTIAL TAUGHT SALES TAX, PROPERTY TAX, AND OTHER TAX

INVOLVEMENTS AS PEOPLE -- IN DOWNTOWN. IN TERMS OF REQUIRED FINDINGS, BASICALLY, THE CITY COUNCIL, THEY FIND THAT THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT IS CONSISTENT WITH THE CITY '-- GENERAL PLAN, THE MISSILE CODE, AND STATE LAW. STAFF HAS LOOKED AT ALL OF THESE AREAS AND IS RECOMMENDED, YES, YOU CAN MAKE THOSE FINDINGS. TO GET INTO SOME SPECIFICS ABOUT THE REDUCTION AND DEFERRAL AGREEMENT, I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT FOR YOU TO HAVE AN INFORMATION FOR THAT IS ACTUALLY IN YOUR PACKET. IN FACT, IT CAN GIVE YOU SOME HIGHLIGHTS FOR THE 2018 REDUCTION FEE DEFERRAL AGREEMENT, WHICH IS IN PLACE, AND WOULD STAY ACTIVE, BASICALLY REDUCES THE BUILDING CONTRACT BY ABOUT \$40,000. THOUGH WATER CONNECTION FEE BY ABOUT \$15,000, AND THE SEWER CONNECTION FEE \$13,000. THOSE ARE REDUCTIONS. IN TERMS OF DEFERRALS, TRAFFIC, PARKS, AND HOUSING LINKAGE FEES WOULD ALL BE DEFERRED. THE TOTAL SUM OF THOSE IMPACT FEES, AGAIN, TRAFFIC, PARKS, AND HOUSING LINKAGE IS ABOUT \$329,471. BUT UPON OCCUPANCY OF THE HOTEL, THERE WOULD BE AN UNDERSTANDING AS PART OF THIS AGREEMENT THAT THESE FEES WOULD BE PAID BACK TO THE CITY OVER A FIVE-YEAR PERIOD. SO THE WAY THIS WOULD WORK IS EITHER ONCE THE HOTEL IS OPEN AND HAS 75% OCCUPANCY WITH THREE SUCCESSFUL GRANT, THAT POINT, THEY WOULD START PINGBACK ON A MONTHLY BASIS THE 329,000, OR ONE YEAR AFTER OCCUPANCY, THEY WOULD START DOING THAT BACK MONTHLY INCREMENTS UNTIL THAT SUM IS PAID OFF. AT THIS POINT, THE DEVELOPER HAS PUT IN ABOUT \$500,000 INTRINSIC FEE FOR THIS PROJECT. AS LARRY MENTIONED, THE ENTITLEMENT PACKAGE, PG&E AND OTHER WORK THAT IS BEEN DONE ON THIS PROJECT. FOR THE CITY, THE TRANSIT ACCURACY TAX, PROPERTY TAX, AND INDIRECT BENEFIT OF THE GUEST SHOPPING AND DINING AND USING FACILITIES IN THE COMMUNITY ARE SUBSTANTIAL. FOR THE CITY, THAT IS THE LONGER-TERM BENEFIT AND ALL THE WORK THAT HAS GONE INTO THIS. AS STAFF LOOKS AT THIS REQUEST, THE DEVELOPER FOR THIS AGREEMENT, THIS DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, STAFF IS SUPPORTIVE. STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND THAT YOU GO FORWARD AND APPROVE, ACTUALLY, GO FOR IT AND APPROVE INTRODUCTION AT FIRST READING TONIGHT AND THIS WOULD BE TO COME BACK FOR A SECOND READING AND ADOPTION. GO FORWARD AND APPROVE INTRODUCTION OF THE FIRST READING OF THE ORDINANCE. WITHIN THE ORDINANCE AND THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT, AND ALSO THE FEE REDUCTION AND REFERRAL AGREEMENT. THIS EVENING, WE HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE DEVELOPMENT GROUP OF THIS PROJECT. THE HOSPITALITY GROUP, THEY ARE HERE THIS EVENING. THEY'RE AVAILABLE TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE, COUNCIL MEMBERS BID THANK YOU AND THAT CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. QUESTIONS FROM THE DAIS? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

NOT REALLY A QUESTION. BUT I HAVE, IN MY ROLE AS REPRESENTATIVE TO A -- WITH SUPERVISOR -- THERE WAS A JOINT CALL LAST MONTH TO THIS A NUMBER OF PROJECTS IN THE CROSSWALK THAT WOULD GO FROM THE PLAZA TO THE HOTEL. WAS ONE OF THOSE PROJECTS. AND THERE IS A PLAN I HAVE A CAPPIELLO FROM CALTRANS BUT I BELIEVE THE HOTEL WILL -- THE ENCROACHMENT FROM IT. BUT CALTRANS IS STILL PLANNING TO DO THAT

CROSSWALK THAT WOULD COME OUT OF THE HOTEL BUILDING. I WILL MAKE A MENTION OF THAT BECAUSE IT IS RELATED TO THE PROJECT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. QUESTIONS, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

A COMMON QUESTION THAT I RECEIVE IS WHEN EVENT HOTEL GOING TO GO UP? BECAUSE PEOPLE HAVE BEEN, THEY HAVE BEEN DOING ABOUT THIS HOTEL AND THEY BEEN WAITING. AND IT HAS BEEN VENEERS SINCE IT HAS BEEN APPROVED. SO I THINK FOR MYSELF, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM YOU IS TO EXPLAIN TO THE PUBLIC WHY THIS IS TAKING SO LONG. AND THAT'S ONE I WOULD LIKE TO ASK OF YOU. THE SECOND THING I WANT TO ASK OF YOU IS THAT YOU WROTE A LETTER TO THE PLANNING DIRECTOR IN FEBRUARY OF THIS YEAR AND STATED THAT YOU'RE EXPECTING TO GET A LOAN IN NINE MONTHS. THAT WOULD BE NOVEMBER OF 2024 WHEN THE LOAN WOULD COME THROUGH. SO I DO NOT UNDERSTAND THE NEED FOR A THREE-YEAR EXTENSION IF YOU HAVE A LOAN PENDING IN NINE MONTHS. THAT IS THE SECOND PART. COULD YOU PLEASE EXPLAIN THAT? AND THEN THE THIRD PART IS, WHAT HAPPENS IF THE INTEREST RIGHT DO NOT GO DOWN? WHAT WILL YOU DO IF THEY DO NOT GO DOWN? AND LASTLY, I KNOW WHAT YOU HAVE DONE SOME BUILDING AND YOU HAVE A PENDING PROJECT, I BELIEVE? I DO NOT KNOW WHAT STATUS IT IS IS A 16 ROOM BOUTIQUE HOTEL. WHY DO NOT PRIVATIZING THE SEBASTOPOL HOTEL. IF YOU COULD BE HONEST ABOUT WHY IT IS NOT TAKING -- WHY IT IS TAKING SO LONG AND WHY WE ARE NOT A PRIORITY HERE.

I WOULD LIKE TO INVITE WHOEVER WOULD LIKE TO EKE ON BEHALF OF THE PIAZZA TO COME TO THE PODIUM SO WE CAN GET THOSE QUESTIONS ANSWERED. I THINK COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, YOU MAY WANT TO REPEAT THOSE QUESTIONS, WHATEVER TIME?

MAYBE I CAN START ANSWERING THEM AND I CAN RECALL THE QUESTIONS. ONCE YOU START YEARNING FOR US TO GOOD EVENING, CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS BID I AM DANIEL -- AND I AM A PROJECT MANAGER OF THE TRINITY. I AM DOING TONIGHT BY PAOLO AND -- . THE DEVELOPERS BEHIND THE PROJECT. I WILL START WITH WHAT I THINK IS YOUR SECOND QUESTION SPECIFICALLY ABOUT THE LOAN PACKAGE THAT WAS MENTIONED OUR LETTER BACK IN FEBRUARY. AS WAS ALLUDED TO EARLY IN THE MEETING, IT INCLUDES FEDERAL FUNDING. IT COMES WITH SORT OF A MORRIS PRINTED ENVIRONMENT OF NEGLIGENCE ON THE ENVIRONMENT TO REGULATIONS WE WERE SUBJECT TO UNDERSEA QUIT AS IT WENT THROUGH THE ENTITLEMENT PROCESS BUT AS A REVOLT OF THAT. WE HAVE HAD TO DEAL WITH ARCHAEOLOGICAL AGENCIES. IT IS BEEN SORT OF THE LONGEST DELAY AND THE ACTUAL FUNDING APPROVAL PROCESS. THEY ARE JUST OVERWHELMING AND SORT OF MATERIAL IN THE WAY THEY COMMUNICATE THEIR TIMELINES TO US. IT IS JUST THE WAY THEY OPERATE, UNFORTUNATELY. SO WHEN THERE WAS A LETTER, THAT WAS SORT OF THE DIRECTION WE HAVE BEEN GETTING. THAT IS NOT THE ULTIMATE TIMELINE THAT HAPPENS. WHAT I CAN SHARE AND UPDATE WE SHARED WITH THE PLANNING COMMISSION AT THE LAST MEETING WHEN THIS WAS FIRST INTRODUCED, IS THAT WE HAVE BEEN TOLD BY THAT FEDERAL AGENCY WE HAVE BEEN ALLOCATED THAT FUNDING IN THE FUNDING CYCLE. SO WHAT THAT MEANS IS IT IS KIND OF A PREAPPROVAL. THE FUNDING THAT THE GOVERNMENT PROGRAM HAS SET ASIDE FOR THIS PROJECT. THAT MEANS A TERM SHEET FOR THE LOAN IS FORTHCOMING. THE CAVEAT THERE AND THE REASON WHY THE

DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT IS FOR THREE YEARS AND WHY THAT EXTENSION IS IMPORTANT IS THERE IS A CHANCE THAT FUNDING PACKAGE COMES AT AN INTEREST RATE THAT IS TOO HIGH FOR THE PROJECT. SO WHAT WE DO THEN? WHAT WE HAVE BEEN KIND OF KICKING AROUND ON OUR END IS ONE, THAT LOAN APPROVAL IS GOOD FOR ONE YEAR. SO THERE'S A CHANCE THE YEAR WILL BE LONG ENOUGH OR COMES DOWN .25. NO ONE CAN REALLY TELL. BUT WHAT WOULD MAKE THE NUMBERS A LITTLE BIT BETTER AND ALLOW US TO PULL THE TRIGGER AND ACTUALLY BREAK GROUND. ANOTHER THING WE ARE KICKING AROUND IS WE HAVE SEEN AN UPTICK IN PRIVATE INVESTOR INTEREST. SO IN RAISING MORE MONEY, FROM LOCAL PRIVATE INVESTORS, THAT REDUCES OUR TOTAL LOAN AMOUNT WHICH REDUCES OUR LOAN PAYMENT. YOU KNOW, WE CAN SEE THE BOTTOM LINE. THAT ALLOWS THE PROJECT GENERATE CASH AND POTENTIAL. SO THAT IS THAT IT IS THAT CLEAR?

TO CLARIFY, SO THE LEVEL YOU WROTE TO THE PLANNING DIRECTOR IN FEBRUARY STATED THAT YOU EXPECTED TO GET THE LOAN NINE MONTHS LATER. BUT WHAT YOU'RE SAYING IS IT IS GOING TO BE MORE DELAYED THAN THAT?

WELL, WHAT I AM SAYING IS THAT WE ARE JUST REPORTING BACK WHAT WE HEAR FROM THE FEDERAL AGENT FEE.

RIGHT PIT BUT YOU DO NOT HAVE A TIMELINE FOR THAT?

AGAIN, IT IS NEUTRAL AND WHAT THEY HAVE TOLD US THAT THE FUNDING IS ALLOCATED. AND A TERM SHEET IS FORTHCOMING FROM THE PACKAGE OF THINGS FOR LOANING THE MONEY. I HESITATE TO GIVE YOU A TIMELINE BECAUSE OBVIOUSLY, THE LAST TIME I DID, IT DID NOT END UP BEING RIGHT. BUT THAT IS WHAT WE ARE LEANING TOWARD.

OKAY. I KNOW THERE WERE MORE QUESTIONS. [LAUGHTER]

THESE QUESTIONS, THE COMMUNITY ASK US IS, ONE OF THE HOTEL GOING TO GO IN? LITERALLY. THEY ASKED THAT A LOT. SO WHAT IS YOUR STRAIGHTFORWARD ANSWER?

YEAH, SO WHEN WE FIRST OMITTED THIS PROJECT IN 2017, I HAVE JUST STARTED IN THIS ROLE. I CONSIDER MYSELF A YOUNG PLANNER DEVELOPER. I DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER -- I DON'T KNOW IF I CAN STILL CONSIDER MYSELF A YOUNG PLANNER. [LAUGHTER] BUT I THINK IT IS A PROJECT THAT WE ARE HEAVILY INVESTED IN. TO DATE, WE HAVE INVESTED OVER \$8 MILLION IN THIS PROJECT IN TERMS OF LAND ACQUISITION, ARCHITECTURAL FEES FIT ALL THE DRAWINGS AND FEES. WE UPHOLD THE BUILDING PERMIT. YES, THERE ARE SOME FEES THAT IT BEEN REDUCED AND DEFERRED, BUT I THINK WE PAID ABOUT \$400,000. SOME OF IT GOES TO THE CITY, BUT A LOT OF IT IS ALSO TO THE COUNTY AGENCIES.

HOW MUCH HAVE YOU MADE SPECIFICALLY TO SEBASTOPOL?

THAT IS A GOOD QUESTION. I CAN FOLLOW UP TO YOU WHAT THAT AFTER THE MEETING.

250,000. IS THAT -- OKAY.

AND SO I THINK THE DEBT OF THIS PROJECT WOULD BE VERY CATASTROPHIC FOR US BUT WHEN YOU ASK US ABOUT PRIORITIES , WE ARE ABSOLUTELY PRIORITIZING THIS PROJECT THAT IT IS JUST A VERY TRICKY MOMENT. IT IS BEEN A SERIES OF VERY TRICKY MOMENT AS IT RELATES TO THE FIRES, THE PANDEMIC, AND TO THIS VERY, VERY HIGH INTEREST RATES, PARTICULARLY FOR HOSPITALITY LENDING AND IN IMPROVING MARKETS

LIKE SEBASTOPOL. IF WE BRING HONEST, THIS PROJECT IN A PLACE LIKE -- I SIGN A CONSTRUCTION LOAN WOULD'VE BEEN A LOT EASIER. KIND OF HAVING TO PROVE THE MARKET, MUCH LIKE WE DID WITH -- WHEN WE WILL SIT IN 2001. SO ALL OF THESE FACTORS ARE KIND OF CONTRIBUTING TO THIS MOMENT WHERE WE ARE 100% IN ON THE PROJECT, BUT CANNOT YET PULL THE TRIGGER. BECAUSE OF THE SITUATION WE ARE IN.

SO YOU ARE BUILDING IN -- RIGHT NOW. SO YOU ARE ABLE TO OBTAIN A LOAN FOR THAT PROJECT.

NO. THE BUILDING THAT WAS JUST APPROVED BY THE PLANNING COMMISSION. I BELIEVE IT WAS LAST YEAR. NO, THAT PROJECT WAS NOT RECEIVING ANY FINANCING. ARE STILL IN A PLACE WHERE WE HAVE JUST SECURED ENTITLEMENTS BETTER NOW WE ARE STARTING TO CREATE THE WORKING DRAWINGS SO WE CAN SUBMIT FOR A BUILDING PERMIT. WE DO NOT ANTICIPATE BREAKING GROUND THERE FOR AT LEAST TWO YEARS.

SO IF YOU ARE BREAKING GROUND THERE IN TWO YEARS, I AM NEEDING SOME ASSURANCE THAT THIS PROJECT IS ACTUALLY GOING TO GO THROUGH. I MEAN, WE REALLY NEED A HOTEL HERE CAN REALLY LOOKING FORWARD TO THE PROJECT. BUT WE JUST KEEP WAITING. SO I NEED SOME REASSURANCE AND TONES OF, CAN YOU REASSURE ME THAT THIS IS ACTUALLY GOING TO GO FORWARD, ESPECIALLY IF YOU HAVE A PROJECT IN HEALDSBURG BREAKING GROUND IN TWO YEARS. CAN YOU ACTUALLY HAVE THE CAPACITY TO BREAK GROUND IN HEALDSBURG AND BREAK GROUND HERE IN SEBASTOPOL?

THIS IS A \$90 MILLION PROJECT. SO WE ARE NOT TALKING PEANUTS HERE. -- WE ARE BUILDING SIX ROOMS, A HIGHER END RESTAURANT, SPA, ROOFTOP, A LOT OF ROOMS. YOU KNOW, MEGA SPACES FOR THE COMMUNITY AS WELL. SO YOU NEED TO BE PATIENT. SEBASTOPOL NEEDS TO BE PATIENT. WE COULD NOT MAKE A MISTAKE OF DOING SOMETHING WHICH IS NOT GOING TO DO WELL. OR IS NOT FINANCIALLY SOUND. THE PROJECT IN HEALDSBURG IS 16 UNITS BUT THAT IS A SMALL PROJECT. LIKE DANIEL SAID, THE BANKS, ONE OF THEM -- SO TO DESCRIBE THAT IS NOT A PROVEN MARKET. YOU GO TO THE BANK GIVE ME SOME -- ABOUT SEBASTOPOL. WHAT DID I SAY? THE FAIRFIELD OKAY, THEY CHARGE \$150 PER NIGHT. WE HAVE TO CHARGE UP TO MAKE THE NUMBERS WORK. SO THEY ARE NOT GOING TO TAKE THAT. SO WHAT CAN HAPPEN THERE, IN 2001, MYSELF AND -- WE ARE PROCESSING -- MILLION DOLLARS AT THE TIME BUT WE'VE ONLY GOT A \$10 MILLION LOAN FROM -- WHERE TO COME UP WITH THE REST OF THE MONEY. SAME THING HAPPENS HERE. YEAH, 30 MILLION OR 90 MILLION. HAVE TO COME UP WITH 60 MILLION. SO IT IS NOT AN EASY PROJECT OF FINANCE. BUT WE KNOW WE CAN GET IT DONE. YOU KNOW, WE HAVE INVESTED \$1 MILLION ALREADY INTO THIS PROJECT. WE DO NOT WANT TO THROW AWAY ANY DOLLARS TOO. WE HAVE TO UNDERSTAND ABOUT THIS PROJECT. AND YOU HAVE TO UNDERSTAND THE RISK WE ARE TAKING. IT IS A BIG RISK. AND I AM TOO OLD TO FAIL. [LAUGHTER] I AM NOT, YOU KNOW, I AM NOT A YOUNGER GUY HAD. I UNDERSTAND THE FRUSTRATIONS OF THE SERVICES. BUT YEAH, AT THE END OF THE DAY, YOU KNOW, IF THEY WANT TO GIVE US 90 MILLION TO BUILD, WE WILL BUILD IT TOMORROW. IT IS JUST, YOU KNOW, WHO IS GOING TO RISK ALL THIS MONEY UNTIL YOU GET A GOOD CONSTRUCTION LOAN AND A GOOD COST OF CONSTRUCTION? SO I THINK IT'S UNFAIR THAT YOU ARE PRESSING US TO KNOW EXACTLY WHERE WE ARE GOING TO START. YOU

KNOW, WE HAVE WE NEED TO TAKE OUR TIME AND DO IT IN A WAY THAT WE WILL NOT.

IF I COULD JUST ADD, THE QUESTION ABOUT POSSIBLY TO DELIVER TWO HOTELS AT THE SAME TIME. WHEN WE BUILT THE -- IN HEALDSBURG, WE UNDER THE CONSTRUCTION OF THE HOTEL FLOW PROJECT IN SAINT-LOUIS HAVE AS WELL. THAT IS -- AND A SPA. SO I THINK WE ARE VERY COMFORTABLE WITH THE TIMELINE WE HAVE KIND OF DESCRIBED TO YOU.

OKAY. ABOUT HOTEL FLOW, YOU STARTED IN 2005. AND THEN WE IN 2008, CAME ALONG. THE ECONOMY IN 2008? WE HAD ONE OF OUR BANKS WENT UNDER. FOR A HOTEL IN HEALDSBURG SO WE HAD TO BAIL THAT HOTEL OUT. WE ARE SMALL, VERY THOUGHTFUL DEVELOPERS. SO YOU ARE GOING TO GET A REALLY AMAZING PROJECT AND WE SAVE OUR PROJECTS WHEN THEY ARE NOT, YOU KNOW, IN GOOD SHAPE BECAUSE OF WHATEVER FINANCIAL WAVES THAT COME THROUGH. BUT WE ARE COMMITTED. I'M VERY PROUD THAT THE PROJECT JUST GOT A MICHELIN KEY. AND THAT WAS SO EXCITING FOR US BUT WE OPEN THAT ONE BEFORE THE PANDEMIC, RIGHT BEFORE THE PANDEMIC. AND WE HAD TO MANAGE THROUGH THAT. SO WE ARE VERY COMMITTED TO OUR PROJECTS AND THEY ARE ALWAYS GREAT ONCE THEY ARE DONE. I CANNOT WAIT TO START THIS ONE.

TRICKY. AND ALSO TO ADD, WE'RE GOING TO SEE OVER \$1 MILLION PER YEAR IN -- I KNOW, I KNOW, BUT WE FEEL WE NEED THE REVENUE. BUT WE WILL STILL TURN IT TO KEEP THE BLOCK AS IT IS. BUILDING HOUSES. I SAY YES. WHAT IS THE ALTERNATIVE? SO I THINK, YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE GOT A DEVELOPER HERE THAT IS DONE SOME PROJECT AND YOU SHOULD BE, YOU KNOW, PROUD AND PATIENT. I MEAN, I CAN SEE WE DID NOT SPEND ANY MONEY ON IT, I COULD SEE THAT COULD HAVE \$8 MILLION INVESTED IN THIS AND THAT IS A LOT OF MONEY.

OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ALL THAT INFORMATION. I WANTED TO POINT OUT IN THE LETTER, THAT IT ACTUALLY SAYS, IT IS OUR BEST GUESS. IT WILL LIKELY BE, SO THERE ARE SOME MODIFIERS BED NINE-MONTH AT THE EARLIEST BEFORE THE LOAN PACKAGE REQUEST FOR [LAUGHTER] AND THEY CAME IN AND DRAFTING THIS LETTER, YOU ARE CONVEYING A CERTAIN DEVIL AROUND 30. I HAD A FEW QUESTIONS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, DO YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT?

NO QUESTIONS BUT I'LL JUST WAIT FOR COMMENTS.

OKAY. MY QUESTION IS REALLY TO STAFF, I SEE, YOU ARE WELCOME TO SIT DOWN. YOU CAN COME BACK IF WE NEED YOU TO. I SEE THE ADVANTAGE TO THE DEVELOPER IN THIS CASE HAVING THE STABILITY AGREEMENT. IT PROVIDES SOME SECURITY, STABILITY, AND THEY DO NOT HAVE TO COME BACK EVERY YEAR AND DO SOME SYMBOLIC DIGGING ON THE PROPERTY. TO GET AN EXTENSION OF THE PERMIT. WHAT I DO NOT REALLY UNDERSTAND IS WHAT IT GETS THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. THIS IS A REQUEST FOR ONE TO TWO YEARS BUT UNTIL THE CITY HAS TAKEN THE INITIATIVE AND ACTUALLY THE REQUEST IS 1 TO 2 YEARS, NOT FOR TWO YEARS FOR THE CITY HAS TAKEN THE INITIATIVE TO NEGOTIATE A THREE YEAR COMMITMENT. SO WHAT IS THE AT THE CITY? COMMITMENT? THIS EXTENSION, THIS EXTENSION OF COURTESIES TO THIS PARTICULAR GROUP?

I WANT TO POINT OFF, FIRST OFF, IT IS YOUR DECISION, OBVIOUSLY, WHAT THAT TERM WOULD BE. AND AS YOU HEARD FROM THE DEVELOPER TEAM, THEY ARE -- AT THIS TIME. BRINGING TOGETHER THE FINANCING PACKAGE.

WHAT THE CITY GOT, AGAIN, WITH THE DEVELOPER TEAM WAS MENTIONED THIS EVENING, THEY HAD AN EXCELLENT TRACK RECORD AND THEY PUT TOGETHER SOME WONDERFUL PROJECTS. THEY HAD A LARGE INVESTMENT IN THIS PROPERTY. IT PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY, AND UNDERSCORE OPPORTUNITY. THERE IS NO GUARANTEE HERE. AN OPPORTUNITY FOR THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL TO HAVE A REALLY QUALITY HOTEL AS THEY DESCRIBED IT IT ANCHORS YOUR DOWNTOWN WITH STANSEL FINANCIAL RETURN. IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY. WE UNDERSTAND THAT BEEN VERY CANDID, I THINK, THIS EVENING ABOUT THAT. IT IS THAT OPPORTUNITY. IT IS REALLY UP TO ULTIMATELY THE COUNCIL TO DECIDE, YOU KNOW, IN TERMS OF DO YOU GIVE UP ON THIS OPPORTUNITY? DO YOU STAY WITH IT? AND THEN TRY TO WORK WITH THEM? BUT IF THAT PROJECT IS REALIZED, IT IS AN ANCHOR TO YOUR DOWNTOWN THAT RINGS IN THE GUESTS. THE GUESTS WILL SHOP DOWNTOWN. SO IT IS THAT OPPORTUNITY WITH THE TEAM. THEY ARE CHALLENGE AT THIS TIME IN TERMS OF BRINGING THE FINANCING PACKAGE TOGETHER. THAT IS WHY WE ARE RECOMMENDING THE LONGER TIME. BUT HE THINK A SHORTER PERIOD OF TIME, TWO YEARS OR WHAT HAVE YOU WOULD BE MORE PRUDENT, THAT IS YOUR DECISION. BUT IT IS A QUALITY PROVEN TEAM THAT HAS THE ENTITLEMENTS IN PLACE. TO GO BACK AND START OVER AGAIN, I THINK FOR ALL PARTIES INVOLVED, THE SEQUEL, THE DESIGN REVIEW, ALL OF IT, IT IS SO TIME-CONSUMING FOR ALL PARTIES. THE CITY AND THE DEVELOPING TEAM.

I'M SORRY DID YOU THINK THAT AN ABSENCE OF THIS AMENDMENT AGREEMENT, THIS DEVELOPER WOULD HAVE TO GO BACK AND REDO ALL OF THESE STEPS?

I AM SAYING, TO CLARIFY. THAT FOR THE DEVELOPER TO KEEP THE BUILDING PERMIT ACTIVE, DURING AN UNCERTAIN TIME IN TERMS OF OBTAINING THE FINANCING PACKAGE, THERE IS A REAL CHANCE THAT THAT BUILDING PERMIT COULD YOU PLEASE ASK YOUR, ALONG WITHOUT THE ENTIRE ENTITLEMENT PACKAGE. SO THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT PROVIDES MORE CERTAINTY FOR THE DEVELOPER SO THAT AS THEY GO OUT AND TRY TO BRING TOGETHER A FINANCING PACKAGE, THEY KNOW THEY HAVE A PROJECT WITH MORE CERTAINTY. OTHERWISE, THEY ARE WORKING WITH THE BUILDING OFFICIAL, ESSENTIALLY, YOU KNOW, TIME PERIOD BY TIME PERIOD DOING MINOR PERMITS TO KEEP IT ACTIVE WITH UNCERTAINTY. I'M NOT SURE THAT WOULD HELP THEM IN TERMS OF SEEKING FINANCING.

I UNDERSTAND. THANK YOU FOR THAT ANSWER. IT SOUNDS LIKE WE HAVE A DEVELOPER THAT IS ACTING IN GOOD FAITH. AND THERE IS A SUGGESTION WE NEED TO DO THAT IN RETURN. BUT WITH A QUESTION-AND-ANSWER SECTION, GOING BACK TO THE PUBLIC. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? ALL RIGHT, TITLE 11, CAN YOU HANDLE THE PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE GIVE ISOMETRIC YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE FIRST READING OF THE ORDINANCE FOR THE DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT FOR THE HOTEL SEBASTOPOL. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT , AND IS A 10 MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENT BUT I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN GO ON RECORD AS ANYBODY IN CHAMBERS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT KEY. KYLE, CAN YOU YOURSELF, PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIGER?

I SURE CAN.

AHEAD, PLEASE.

I'M NOT SURPRISED, BUT IT IS A LITTLE ALARMING TO HEAR THE COMMENTS OF THIS TEAM THAT IS PROPOSING FOR YET ANOTHER EXTENSION. FROM OUR CITY. YEARS AND YEARS OF NO DEVELOPMENT ON THIS SPACE, EARS AND EARS OF PERMANENT EXTENSIONS. AND ALL THIS PROMISED. \$24 BUT SOMETIMES THE EVIDENCE OR ARGUMENT THAT EIGHT MIN DOLLARS INVESTMENT IN PUBLIC THAT MONEY GOES IN DAMAGES, RIGHT? BASED ON THE PROPERTY OVER THE TIME PEER ALONE, WE ARE LOOKING AT ABOUT \$2 MILLION GROWTH IN THAT PROPERTY. SO THEY CAN WALK AWAY WITH THEIR \$500,000 LOSS. WALKING AWAY WITH NEARLY ONE \$.5 MILLION IN PROFIT ALONE. WHAT ARE THEY ASKING FOR TODAY? THEY'RE ASKING FOR AN EXTENSION ON THEIR LAND SPECULATION BECAUSE THERE IS NOTHING IN PLACE, RIGHT? IF YOU THROW SOME DOLLARS AT THE PERMITTING PROCESS, YOU CONTINUE TO BANK ON SOME KIND OF CASINO, BUT JUDGE THE INTEREST RATE MARKET AND DISCREDIT OUR LOAN HOTEL IN CITY AND SUGGESTING THE CAPACITY OF THAT HOTEL GOT THERE BRINGING IN SIGNIFICANT DOLLARS WOULD THERE BRINGING IN SIGNIFICANT RATE FOUR DOLLARS. I DO NOT THINK THAT IS THE JUSTIFICATION TO NOT GET ALONE ON THIS. WE NEED TO REALLY START EXPLORING VACANCY TAXES. I REALLY NEED TO STOP LOOKING AT OUR URBAN CORE AS BEING SOME, LIKE, YOU KNOW, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ZONE, RIGHT? APPROVING A CAR WASH AND OUR CENTRAL CORE ZONE. WE NEED HIGH DENSITY, LOW INCOME, NON-VEHICULAR CENTERED HOUSING IN OUR URBAN CORPORATE IT IS IN OUR GENERAL PLAN. STUDY THAT GENERAL PLAN. THINK ABOUT THAT GENERAL TAB IN MAKING DECISIONS LIKE THIS BUT THIS CANNOT GO ON FOR MUCH LONGER.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS . SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK ONLINE. LINDA , CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD, LINDA.

AS I UNDERSTAND, IN THE GENERAL PLAN THERE IS A REDUCTION -- THE ELECTRIC MAGNETIC FIELDS AND RADIATION. THE MICROWAVE RADIATION. IT JUST SO HAPPENS, YOU ARE PROBABLY UNAWARE OF IT, BUT JUST THE 100 FEET OR MAYBE 200 FEET FROM YOUR ROOFTOP AND ENTERTAINMENT PLACE. IT IS ON THE TOP OF THE MOVIE THEATER. AT LEAST THREE CENTIMETERS AND TRANSMITTER -- KILLING YOUR TENANTS WITH THE MICROWAVE AND MAN-MADE ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD THAT UP IN, LIKE I SAID, IN THE GENERAL PLAN. TO REDUCE THEM. IN ADDITION TO THAT, I COULD COME OUT AND I COULD NOT SEE 75% OCCUPANCY. ONLY VERY MANY. \$450 FOR A ROOM. THIS TOWN, THIS IS UNFORESEEABLE FAILURE. WE DO NOT WANT YOU. YOUR HOTEL DOES NOT FIT HERE. THIS PLACE OUGHT TO BE A PART. WE NEED MORE OPEN SPACES. WITH THE HIGH DENSITY AND STUFF, WE ARE MAKING THIS ON SATURDAY, ANGRY THAT MY GRAVE RADIATION FROM ALL THE WIRELESS DEVICES. THAT IS COMING -- AND I CAN SEE -- AN LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

BEING HELD RESPONSIBLE BECAUSE OF THE PERMITTING AND EVERYTHING FOR YOUR FORESEEABLE FAILURE. ALL YOU HAVE TO DO IS LOOK TO SEE AT THE OTHER HOTEL AND SEE HOW IT IS DOING. I DO NOT THINK IT IS PROBABLY DOING THAT WELL. IT IS PROBABLY NOT CHARGING ANYWHERE NEAR -- \$450 PER NIGHT? NO WAY! THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE,

OLIVER, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIGER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

-- THOSE PREVIOUS TWO COMMENTS ARE EXACTLY WHY THEY PROBABLY HAVE NOT DONE -- IN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. HAVE A HORRENDOUS REPUTATION. A STRICTLY FROM FIGHTING UP BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT. THAT IS A PARTICULARLY HARD TIME PIT IS A TERRIBLE ROLLER COASTER WITH MONEY ANYWAY. BUT THE HARD FACTS ARE THE PREVIOUS CANCELS ARE PROBABLY FRIGHTENED FROM THE DEVELOPERS. THEY'RE PROBABLY TOO POLITE TO SAY THAT. YOU KNOW, AGAIN, WE HAVE A VERY POOR REPRESENTATION IN SEBASTOPOL FOR GIVING AWAY TAXPAYER MONEY ON THE ULTRA STICK PROJECTS ON THE HOMES WAS SO ON AND SO FORTH. WHAT THE DEVELOPERS ARE TRYING TO DO WE DESPERATELY NEED THE SALES TAX THEN IT WOULD BE GOOD FOR THE CITY. TO GET SOME SORT OF, YOU KNOW, CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ON THE MAP. SO AS AN EXAMPLE OF THAT, WE HAVE OH WONDERFUL EXHIBITION AT THE ART CENTER WHICH LOCAL CITIZENS HAVE KILLED TO PUT ON. I STRONGLY RECOMMEND PEOPLE TO COME IN HERE. ONE OF THE GREAT REASONS TO COME AND VISIT TRY TO PREVENT THE OTHER SIDE OF THAT COIN AS WE HAVE THIS TERRIBLE REPUTATION FROM PEOPLE THAT HAVE NO RESPECT FOR BUSINESSES THAT DO NOT THINK WE NEED TO GENERATE ANY MONEY TO SURVIVE EVEN THOUGH WE ARE -- CITY. VERY FEW RESIDENTS AND VERY FEW TAX REVENUE. YOU HAVE GOT TO SQUARE THAT CIRCLE. FRANKLY, THAT IS THE JOB OF THE COUNCIL. I THINK YOU NEED TO DO EVERYTHING YOU CAN TO MAKE THIS PROJECT GO FORWARD IN WHATEVER WAY YOU CAN. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS . SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIGER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE. YEAH, I THINK THIS IS A GREAT DISCUSSION. I'M VERY EXCITED ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY WE MIGHT GET A DOWNTOWN HOTEL. WE DESPERATELY NEED THESE T.O.T. TAX REVENUES. ALSO OWNING THE LOT WITH WHAT APPEARS TO BE A GREAT HOTEL IN DOWNTOWN SEBASTOPOL . IT WOULD TRULY BE TRANSFORMATIVE FOR THE CITY. I THINK WOULD BE THE KEY TO REVERSING THE DIRECTION WE HAVE BEEN HEADED FOR HER FOR A WHILE. SEBASTOPOL IS NOT APPROVING THE MARKET FOR SUCH A HOTEL. MY LESSON IS, WHY DID U.S. BUT WE COULD DO TO HELP THEM TO SUCCEED? WHAT COULD WE DO TO HELP MAKE SEBASTOPOL A BETTER REST FOR THE LENDERS, FOR THE GOVERNMENT, FOR EVER NEEDS TO MAKE THOSE DECISIONS? LET'S SEE IF WE COULD COME UP WITH THINGS WE COULD DO TO MAKE THIS PROJECT BE CREATED MORE QUICKLY. WE JUMP TO PARTNER WITH PEOPLE LIKE -- TO GENERATE ANY PROFITS FOR THE CITY BUT IF THEY CAN DO TO KEEP FUNDING PROJECTS LIKE THIS, WE ALSO NEED TO PARTNER WITH BUSINESS PARTNERS THAT CAN GENERATE TAX REVENUE FOR THE CITY. THOSE ARE MY THOUGHTS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, -- NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS . SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, THE PUBLIC, DISCLOSED INTERNET LIKE VERY MUCH TO MARY GOURLEY. WE'RE BACK AT THE DAIS TO SUPPORT THOSE AMONG THE

COUNCIL OF SEBASTOPOL. IT LOOKS LIKE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WOULD LIKE TO START.

YES BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY, I DO NOT WHY I RAN FOR OFFICE IN 2016. THIS PROJECT WAS COMING TO SEBASTOPOL AND IT WAS SO EXCITING. I WENT THROUGH THE WHOLE PLANNING PROCESS BUT IT IS GOING TO BE BEAUTIFUL. I HAVE A LOT OF CONFIDENCE IN THE GROUP WE PARTNERED WITH AND PREVIOUS COUNCILS WERE SUPPORTIVE. IN FACT, WE PUT OUT TO TRY TO BRING A BROCHURE TO TRY TO BRING BUSINESSES THAT WE ENVISION BEING HERE. SO I JUST HAVE TO SAY THAT FOR THE RECORD. HAVING FOLLOWED THE PROCESS, THERE IS -- PLAN. AND IS GOING TO BE GREAT, NOT JUST FOR VISITORS, BUT ALSO FOR THE PEOPLE THAT LIVE HERE, THE ENTIRE DOWNTOWN. I'M SUPER EXCITED ABOUT IT. I UNDERSTAND SIMPLE BUSINESS. RIGHT NOW, MY DAUGHTER WOULD LIKE TO BUY A CONDO. SHE CANNOT AFFORD IT AT THE 7% INTEREST RATE FOR HER FIRST TONE. I CAN ONLY IMAGINE A \$90 MILLION PROJECT. WE HAVE OTHER PROJECTS IN OUR CITY AS WELL. DELIVERY BEING ONE, DUE TO HIGH INTEREST RATES. IT IS JUST A REALITY. I FEEL VERY STRONGLY ABOUT THE COMMITMENT THIS OPERATOR HAS BEEN IN FACT, I HAVE VISITED. I DID VISIT THIS HOTEL BEFORE COVID. BECAUSE SEBASTOPOL WAS GOING TO BE THE NEXT HOTEL THAT WAS GOING. I REMEMBER VERY CLEARLY. A LOT OF US, NOT JUST MYSELF, WENT DOWN AND SAW THAT HOTEL. AND THEN WE ALL HAD COVID. I KNOW THAT THE INDUSTRY HAS NOT REALLY 100% RECOVERED FROM COVID BUT I THINK PEOPLE ARE STILL STRUGGLING. RESTAURANTS ARE STILL STRUGGLING. SO I AM IN FAVOR OF THE EXTENSION. I THINK THEY PROBABLY WANT TO GET IT BUILT AS SOON AS POSSIBLE YOU MIGHT AS WELL EXTEND IT FOR THREE YEARS. I AGREE IT WILL BE GOOD FOR THE COMMUNITY. ALL THE ROOMS ARE NOT GOING TO BE HIGH-PRICED. THERE ARE THESE ONCE THAT ARE GOING TO CATER TO BIKE RIDERS RATHER A LOT OF BIKE LANES AROUND HERE. I CANNOT WAIT TO SEE BIKE RIDERS IN OUR COMMUNITY USING THE BIKE LANES, STAYING AT THE HOTEL. YOU KNOW, VENTURING ONTO OTHER AREAS. I APPRECIATE YOU GUYS BEING HERE. I APPRECIATE YOU DO NOT HAVE TO BE SO OPEN AND TRANSPARENT. THAT IS VERY RARE. FOR ME, THAT IS JUST VERY AUTHENTIC. AND I APPRECIATE YOU ALL THREE GOT UP AND YOU SPOKE FROM YOUR HEARTS. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. TO THAT THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? SAY THAT THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE WITH MY QUESTIONS. AND I LEARNED SOME THINGS TONIGHT THAT I HAD NO IDEA IT WAS A \$90 MILLION PROJECT. I DO NOT THINK THAT WAS IN THE STAFF REPORT. AND I THINK IT SOUNDS LIKE ALL REALLY GREAT PROJECT. I ALSO WANT TO KIND OF BALANCE WHAT OUR HUMIDITY NEEDS. IF WE DO APPROVE THIS PROJECT FOR THREE YEARS, I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THAT YOU RETURN ONCE A YEAR FOR AN UPDATE. LET US KNOW BUT I THINK WOULD BE AN ENORMOUSLY HELPFUL TO HAVE UPDATES ALL ALONG. SO THE COMMUNITY CAN BE INFORMED ABOUT WHERE THE PROJECT STANDS, WHAT YOUR EXPECTATIONS ARE. IF YOU WOULD BE WILLING TO DO THAT? OF MY COLLEAGUES WANT TO APPROVE THAT ADDITIONALLY. YEAH. I WOULD BE IN SUPPORT OF THAT, ASSUMING THE APPLICANT IS INTERESTED. I SEE THEM NODDING THEIR HEADS READ I ALSO WOULD WALK IT BACK TO MAYBE TO A TWO YEAR EXTENSION. YOU KNOW, BECAUSE YOU CAN ALWAYS BRING IT BACK AFTER TWO YEARS.

I WILL SUPPORT THIS. I FEEL LIKE, I THINK WE HAVE APPROVED TWO EXTENSIONS ALREADY. JACK I MEAN, I WOULD SUPPORT, AS LONG AS WE COULD GET REPORTS.

OKAY. SO YEAH, GO AHEAD.

I HAVE ONE COMMENT. WE CERTAINLY HAVE PHYSICAL CHALLENGES IN SEBASTOPOL. ONE OF THE CHALLENGES IS BRINGING TAXPAYING GENERATING BUSINESSES HERE AND HELPING OUR LOCAL BUSINESSES AS WELL. SO THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT -- FOUR PRIOR GIGS. TO BE ABLE TO TELL A PROJECT, A DEVELOPER THAT WE DID SOMETHING LIKE THIS, EVEN IF IT IS A TWO YEAR AGREEMENT, BUT ESPECIALLY FOR THIS THREE YEARS BUT TO DEMONSTRATE HOW SERIOUS WE ARE ABOUT TRYING TO MAKE IT EASY FOR BUSINESSES TO COME HERE. IT IS THE KIND OF COMPELLING STORY WITH -- YOU ARE NOT JUST TALKING ABOUT IT AND YOU ARE DOING IT. THAT HELPS SELL PEOPLE ON THE SINCERITY ABOUT WHAT YOU'RE TRYING TO DO. THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, THE STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVE. I THINK THIS IS A VERY BIG POSITIVE IN THE CITY WHICHEVER WAY YOU DECIDE TO GO. I THINK IT HELPS TELL THE STORY A LITTLE BETTER. IT IS A STORY FOR US TO TRY TO ATTRACT BUSINESSES.

I WILL WEIGH IN HERE BUT I THINK IT IS A FABULOUS PROJECT BUT I ALWAYS THOUGHT IT WAS A FABULOUS PROJECT. MY ONLY CONCERN ABOUT THE THREE YEARS, HONESTLY, IF I WERE JUST GIVING THEM A FREE RIDE FOR THREE YEARS? NOW THEY CAN GO DO SOMETHING ELSE? BUT I THINK WHAT WE ARE HEARING IS A COMMITMENT THAT THEY ARE INVESTED. THEY ARE COMMITTED TO GETTING THIS PROJECT DONE IN OUR TOWN. I THINK SOMEONE MADE A COMMENT FROM THE PUBLIC THAT THIS HOTEL WAS NOT A GOOD FIT FOR THIS TOWN BUT I THINK THIS HOTEL IS A FABULOUS FIT FOR THIS TOWN. I ALSO FEEL THE FAIRFIELD IS A GOOD FIT FOR THIS TOWN. HAVING THIS VERY HIGH END HOTEL FROM A FABULOUS PARTNER WHO HAS CONTINUED TO BE COMMITTED TO GETTING IT DONE, ALL THREE OF THEM ARE TAKING THEIR EVENING TO COME AND SIT THROUGH OUR CITY COUNCIL MEETING IN ORDER TO DEMONSTRATE THEIR COMMITMENT. I KNOW THEY WOULD COME BACK ANNUALLY IN ORDER TO REASSURE US THEY CONTINUE TO BE ENGAGED. I BELIEVE THEM. THE INVESTMENT OF \$8 MILLION BUT THEY ARE HERE FOR THE DURATION IN ORDER TO BUILD THIS FABULOUS HOTEL. I ALSO APPRECIATE DAVID'S COMMENTS IN OUR CITY MANAGER'S COMMENTS. I THINK WE HAVE HERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO SHOW A GOOD FAITH COMMITMENT TO A FABULOUS, UPSCALE, ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROJECT THAT WILL, HOPEFULLY, HAVE SOME IMPACT. NOT JUST MINIMAL IMPACT FOR THIS GROUP, BUT ALSO SYMBOLIC IMPACT FOR OTHERS WHO MIGHT ENTERTAIN COMING TO OUR TOWN WAS A PROJECT THAT I VERY MUCH IN SUPPORT OF MOVING FORWARD WITH IT. I WAS A WORTH THE THREE YEAR COMMITMENT. I THINK THAT IS A GOOD THING TO DO. WHAT DO YOU THINK, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YEAH. I'LL GO ALONG WITH THAT, AS LONG AS WE CAN ADD AN ANNUAL UPDATE BUT I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR ME AS A COUNCILMEMBER, BUT ALSO FOR OUR PUBLIC WHO DO NOT KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. THOUGH THE BEEN LEFT IN THE DARK. PEOPLE ASK ME WHAT IS GOING ON. I DON'T KNOW. SO IT IS REALLY GREAT THAT YOU HAVE BEEN HERE TONIGHT BUT I REALLY HOPE I DID NOT DISCOURAGE YOU TOO MUCH

BY MY QUESTIONING. AND IT FELT LIKE A WONDERFUL PROJECT. WE REALLY WOULD LIKE YOU TO COME AND BUILD IT HERE AND HOPE THAT SOMETHING YOU SAID, WHICH WAS THE UNPROVEN MARKET IN SEBASTOPOL. WELL, WHEN I THINK ABOUT SEBASTOPOL AND THE WHOLE OF WEST COUNTY, WE SERVICE 40 TO 50,000 PEOPLE AROUND US, PEOPLE CAN AFFORD A NICE HOTEL. PEOPLE WOULD LIKE A NICE HOTEL IN SEBASTOPOL. I REALLY BELIEVE THAT IS TRUE. IF YOU LOOK AT THE AMOUNT AND NUMBER OF AMAZING RESTAURANTS WE HAVE IN TOWN, AND THE ONLY REASON WE ARE ABLE TO HAVE ALL THOSE RESTAURANTS IS BECAUSE THE WEST COUNTY SUPPORTS THEM. THEY COMMENTED SEBASTOPOL AND THEY EAT HERE. I MEAN, I AM JUST, I JUST LOVE OUR RESTAURANTS IN TOWN. THERE ARE OTHER CITIES THAT ARE OUR SIZE THAT MIGHT'VE ONE OR TWO RESTAURANTS BECAUSE THEY DO NOT HAVE THIS WEST COUNTY WE HAVE. AND THAT SUPPORT ENJOYS THE GOOD CUISINE. SO I DO. I SUPPORT IT BUT I HOPE I DID NOT DISCOURAGE YOU. I WOULD JUST LIKE THAT ANNUAL REPORT.

OKAY. I THINK WE HAVE ALL WEIGHED IN. DOES ANYONE WANT TO MAKE A MOTION?

SURE, I WILL GO AHEAD AND MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE WITH A THREE-YEAR EXTENSION. THE DEVELOP AGREEMENT FOR HOTEL SEBASTOPOL IN THE FIRST READING. AND THAT WE HAVE REQUESTED THEY COME BACK TO COUNCIL ANNUALLY TO DO AN UPDATE FOR BOTH THE COUNCIL AND THE CITY.

OKAY, I'LL GO AHEAD AND SECOND THAT MOTION.

OKAY. GO AHEAD.

SUM OWED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND A SECOND BY MAYOR RICH TO APPROVE THE FIRST READING AND THE ORDINANCE APPROVING THE DEVELOP AGREEMENT FOR HOTEL SEBASTOPOL WITH THE ADDITION THAT IT IS CONFIRMED FOR A THREE-YEAR EXTENSION, AND THAT THE APPLICANT IS RETURNED ANNUALLY FOR AN UPDATE ON THE STATUS OF THE PROJECT. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON .

AYE .

-- VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ARE ABSENT . THE MOTION PASSES. THAT DRINK YOU VERY MUCH TO THE GROUP FOR BEING HERE AND BEING SO GENUINE AND FORTHRIGHT IN THEIR COMMENTS. WE APPRECIATE IT AND WE LOOK FORWARD TO THAT HOTEL BREAKING GROUND. AT THE VERY LEAST, WE WILL SEE YOU IN A YEAR. [LAUGHTER] THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU. OKAY. THAT CLOSES OUT THAT ITEM WHICH IS ITEM NUMBER SEVEN. WE ARE MOVING ONTO ITEM NUMBER EIGHT. YES?

ITEM NUMBER FIVE. TOBACCO, I AM SORRY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE IT RETURN TO ITEM NUMBER FIVE BREAD THAT WAS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR BUT HAD BEEN PULLED. THIS IS A DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS ATTACHED AS INFORMATIONAL ITEMS TO CITY MANAGER REPORT OUT. THE REQUESTER WAS VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN WHO IS NOT PRESENT, BUT I SEE THE RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT AND THE CITY MANAGER. CITY MANAGER, WOULD YOU LIKE TO PRESENT THIS ITEM?

I WOULD BE HAPPY TO PRESENT IT OR START WITH QUESTIONS.

IT WOULD BE WORTHWHILE TO HAVE A GROUP PRESENTATION OF THE ITEM.

I THINK IT IS A VERY FAIR REQUEST WE DO A BETTER JOB OF KEEPING THE COUNCIL AND THE PUBLIC INFORMED OF WHAT IS GOING ON WITH WHAT WE ARE DOING. IS A VERY ENGAGED COMMUNITY AND A VERY ENGAGED COUNCIL. FRANKLY, I WISH WE HAD BEEN DOING BETTER SINCE I HAVE BEEN HERE. THE MECHANICS OF PUTTING TOGETHER SOMETHING LIKE THIS, I HAVE CONFIDENCE IN OUR TEAM, AND MAYOR AND I CHATTED SOME ABOUT GETTING YOU THE INFORMATION IN A TIMELY FASHION. I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING WE CAN FIND A WAY TO DO. I WOULD NOT NECESSARILY EXPECT, ESPECIALLY ON A BIWEEKLY BASIS, A DETAILED REPORT, BUT MORE, I THINKING ABOUT THIS ALL IS BULLET POINTS AND HIGHLIGHTS ABOUT WHERE THINGS ARE. WE NEED MORE DETAILED REPORTS BUT I THINK WE NEED TO PROVIDE THOSE. IT COULD BE INFORMATIONAL ITEMS THAT COUNCIL MEETINGS FIT ACTIVELY SOME OTHER KIND OF UPDATE. BUT THE REAL VALUE HERE IS BEING INFORMATION WITH COMMUNITY. SO I THINK IT IS, AGAIN, I WISH WE HAD BEEN DOING BETTER. I THINK IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO GET BETTER. CLICK OKAY. AS I READ THIS SUGGESTION, IT IS THAT THERE BE A REQUIREMENT THAT THE DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS BE INCLUDED IN CITY COUNCIL PACKET FOR EACH CITY COUNCIL MEETING? IS THAT AN ACCURATE SUMMARY?

I THINK MINE IS SIMILAR TO YOURS. THERE WOULD BE, WILL PROBABLY COME UP WITH SOME KIND OF A TEMPLATE THAT WILL HAVE THE DEPARTMENTS COMPLETE AND INCLUDE THAT AS PART OF THE PACKAGES. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES SO THE QUESTION IS, I KNOW WE GET DEPARTMENT REPORTS, BUT WE HAVE NOT BEEN GETTING THEM AS PART OF COUNCIL PACKETS, CORRECT? I THINK THAT IS FAIR. I AM NOT SURE YOU ARE GETTING THE REPORTS THAT WE OUGHT TO BE PROVIDING TO YOU. SUFFICIENTLY, TIMELY.

OKAY, YEAH. SO HIS SUGGESTION IS THAT THEY ARE ATTACHED TO COUNCIL PACKETS AND THEY ARE NEW REPORTS FOR THE PUBLIC? RIGHT HERE THAT IS BASICALLY IT.

ASSISTED CITY MANAGER, MARY GOURLEY? AND YOU PULL THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS?

THIS MAY CONCERN STAFF TIME IN ORDER TO COMPLETE THESE BIWEEKLY. OR TWICE A MONTH. AND I KNOW FOR MYSELF, IF I HAD TO CREATE A WHOLE REPORT, I GUESS IT WOULD PROBABLY TAKE ME TWO HOURS. I AM JUST WONDERING, HOW DO YOU SEE THIS IN TERMS OF STAFF TIME? HOW MUCH TIME WOULD YOU EXPECT THAT PERSON TO SPEND WRITING A REPORT? AND WOULD IT BE REQUIRED? SO TWICE A MONTH, THE REQUIRED TO WRITE A REPORT OF THEIR ACTIVITIES ?

THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING. THE EXPECTATION FROM THE COUNCIL. I WOULD LIKE, ABOUT HOW I ENVISION THIS BUT WE HAVE NOT TALKED ABOUT THIS PRIOR TO THE MEETING. SO WHAT I'D HOPE AND ANTICIPATE IS THERE WOULD BE SOME REGULAR DATA OUT OF SOME SYSTEMS FOR WHICH WE CAN DRAW DATA AND THAT WE CAN PROVIDE THAT FOR YOU. AND GENERATED FAIRLY EASILY FROM A TEMPLATE ABOUT THE NUMBER OF CALLS, FOR EXAMPLE. WE HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO TALK TO THE CHIEFS ABOUT THAT. THAT WOULD BE SOME REGULAR DATA WE CAN PROVIDE YOU THAT I HOPE WOULD NOT BE OVERLY CUMBERSOME TO PROVIDE. BUILDING PERMITS, BUSINESS LICENSES, THOSE KIND OF EASILY MEASURABLE, QUANTITATIVE ITEMS. BEYOND THAT, WE STILL HAVE TO

EQUIP WITH THIS WOULD ACTUALLY LOOK LIKE. AND I ENVISION THIS BEING MORE ASSISTANT SUMMARY OF BULLET POINTS RATHER THAN -- DETAILED REPORTS OF EVERYTHING GOING AT EVERY TWO WEEKS, THAT WOULD BE EVER -- VERY CUMBERSOME. WE BE SPENDING MORE TIME REPORTING ON THE WORK DOING THE WORK WHICH I DO NOT THINK IS THE INTENT PRECISE SUMMARIES OF THE DATA AND PROJECT THAT WERE ABLE TO GENERATE REGULARLY WITHOUT HAVING -- AND THOSE SORTS OF THINGS, -- AN SO A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. OFFERING SCREENINGS AND PUTTING TOGETHER THE STAFF REPORTS. WHAT IS YOUR PERSPECTIVE ON THIS, ON THE BURDEN THIS MIGHT OR MIGHT NOT PLACE ON DEPARTMENT HEADS? I THINK THAT IS GOING TO BE A GENERAL CONCERN. AS THE COUNCIL, WE DO NOT WANT TO HAVE IT BE DISTRACTING ALL DEPARTMENT HEADS FROM OTHER CORE WORK THEY NEED TO DO.

SO DEPARTMENT HAS YOUR MONTHLY REPORTS AS IT IS. SO THEY PROVIDE MONTHLY REPORTS. THAT IS WHAT COUNCILMEMBER HINTON ALLUDED TO. IN THE LAST FEW MONTHS, WE HAVE BEEN DEALING WITH A LOT OF OTHER ISSUES. WE HAVE NOT HAD ALL THE DEPARTMENT HAS PROVIDED THE REPORTS BUT BUT IT HAS BEEN A REQUIREMENT RECEIVE MONTHLY REPORTS FOR THE DIFFERENCE WOULD BE WHETHER OR NOT WE YOU WANTED TO SEE THESE REPORTS TWO WEEKS VERSUS ONCE A MONTH. SO THE ADDITIONAL BURDEN FOR STAFF TIME WOULD BE TO DO IT FOR TWO WEEKS. THEY ARE CURRENTLY DOING MONTHLY REPORTING AS IT IS RIGHT NOW.

SO WHAT IS YOUR RECOMMENDATION?

FIRSTLY, I THINK IF THEY ARE CONCISE AND BULLET POINTS, I THINK WE COULD DO TWO WEEKS. I LOOKED FOR MORE DETAILED REPORTS, SIMILAR TO WHAT WE HAVE DONE IN THE PAST, AND I WOULD SAY ONCE A MONTH. WHILE I CONCERN ABOUT THAT IS IF YOU HAVE SOMETHING COMING UP, LIKE IF WE DO A MONTHLY REPORT, LET'S SAY WE HAVE A CONSTRUCTION PROJECT COMING UP THAT WILL IMPACT ROADS OR TRAFFIC OR THINGS LIKE THAT, IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE THAT IN THE REPORT FOR A REPORT OUT. MAYBE JUST READ PORTAL AT THAT POINT. DEPENDS ON THE DETAIL OF THE REPORT HAD

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

WELL, I'M GOING TO LEAVE MY COMMENTS TO THE SECOND PART OF THIS. YOU JUST MENTIONED REPORTS FOR IT MANY TIMES, AS A COUNCILMEMBER, WE HAVE DIFFERENT STRENGTHS AMONGST US. SOME PEOPLE LIKE TO DO A WRITTEN REPORT, SOME PEOPLE LIKE TO DO A VERBAL REPORT. I JUST WANTED TO SAY, YOU DON'T SEE ANY DIFFERENCE IN SICILY BETWEEN THE WRITTEN DATA VERBAL?

I DO THAT IF IT IS THE REPORTS WE HAVE DONE IN THE PAST, THOSE ARE DEFINITELY NEED TO BE WRITTEN. IF IT IS SOMETHING WE HAVE A PROJECT COMING UP AND IT IS GOING TO IMPACT TRAFFIC, WITH AN EVENT COMING UP IT NOTIFYING THE COMMUNITY OF SOMETHING THAT IS UPCOMING, THAT COULD BE A VERBAL REPORT OUT.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

OKAY, SO WE ARE STILL IN QUESTIONS. GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER .

SOMETIMES, AS WE ALL KNOW, OUR MEETING GOES UNTIL THE 10TH:30 AND WE DO NOT EVEN HEAR THE REPORTS BUT I THINK THAT IS HAPPENED

PROBABLY MORE THAN IT HAS NOT HAPPENED. SO IF WE ARE ASKING STAFF TO MAKE THESE REPORTS, THEN WOULD WE WANT TO PUT THEM AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING RATHER THAN AT THE END? WHAT ARE YOUR THOUGHTS ON THAT?

IF THEY ARE WRITTEN REPORTS, SIMILAR TO OTHER COMMITTEE REPORTS WE HAVE DONE THAT I HAD WRITTEN REPORTS, WE JUST ATTACH IT TO THE PACKET AND IT IS ON THE WEBSITE FOR PEOPLE TO GO TO THE WEBSITE TO LOOK AT. IF THERE WERE TO REPORTS, IT WOULD BE OUT THERE AND POSTED.

OKAY. LET'S GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND THAT WE CAN BRING IT BACK TO COUNCILMEMBER DISCUSSION

THANK YOU. THIS IS FOR THE AGENDA ITEM DISCUSSING THE DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS TO BE PART OF THE CITY COUNCIL PACKET. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT, I WILL DO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE , I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM . KYLE, CAN YOU ADMIT YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU. CAN YOU STILL SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I WANT THE PUBLIC TO BE VERY, VERY CONSCIOUS OF THE PROCESS WE WATCH AS THEY MAKE A DECISION TONIGHT. WE HAVE SEEN REPEATEDLY AND REGULARLY A LACK OF TRANSPARENCY AND DODGING THE TRANSPARENCY AND OPENNESS IN GOVERNANCE FROM OUR CITY. AND I SAID WE ARE GOING TO SEE SOME PUSHBACK AGAIN TONIGHT ON WHAT WAS ACTUALLY AGENDA EYES. THAT IS REPORTS OUT TO THE PUBLIC. OUR COUNCIL RECEIVES MONTHLY REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENT HEADS BUT THOSE ARE NOT MADE PUBLIC. THIS IS SEEKING TO MAKE THOSE THINGS MORE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC. NOT SOME BULLETED LIST, NOT SOME VERBAL REPORT THAT WILL NOT ACTUALLY HAPPEN, I REALLY GOOD AT MAKING VERBAL REPORTS I DO NOT ACTUALLY MAKE. TRY 20 DOWN FOR THERE SOMETHING VERY VALUABLE ABOUT WRITING ON YOUR PROCESS OF WORK THAT IMPROVES YOUR PROCESS. BEING REFLECTIVE.

COMMUNICATING TO OTHERS AND INDIVIDUALS WOULD BE OPEN TO CRITICISM AND FEEDBACK. IMPROVING ON YOUR PROCESS DID PROVING THE DOCUMENTATION IN YOUR PROCESS AND WE NEED TO BE THINKING IN A FISCAL CRISIS ABOUT HOW WE EVALUATE OUR PROCESSES. HOW WE ASSESS THOSE PROCESSES. WHAT ARE OUR OUTCOMES? WHAT ARE OUR METRICS ON THOSE OUTCOMES? AND WHAT IS THE RUBRIC ON HOW WE ARE GOING TO BE ASSESSING THOSE METRICS IN OUR OUTCOMES IF YOU WANT TO GO AHEAD AND HAVE SOME LAISSEZ-FAIRE , WE WILL NOT HAVE TRANSPARENCY IN GOVERNANCE. EVEN THOUGH WE CLAIM IT IS A CORE VALUE OUR CITY. WRITTEN REPORTS, REGULARLY, THAT ARE MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC, NOT JUST OUR COUNCILMEMBERS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE , I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM.

I THINK QUALITY NOT QUANTITY IS MY FEELING ON THIS. -- FOR EVERYBODY IS JUST -- THE BUREAUCRACY. EVERYBODY WHO IS WORKING FOR THE CITY, LESS THAN. THERE ARE CREDIBLY OVERWORKED AND INCREDIBLY BUSY ALL THE TIME. I DO NOT THINK THE VICE MAYOR'S IDEA IS VIABLE AT THIS POINT IN TIME BUT I AGREE WITH WHAT KYLE SAID TO SOME EXTENT. IF THERE IS ANYONE WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENT THAT I AM AWARE OF IN THE MEETING TONIGHT, I DO NOT WHO WROTE THAT, BUT IT IS REALLY GOOD BUT IT GOES INTO SOME DETAIL ABOUT THE BEST WAY TO ORGANIZE

THIS. THAT IS CERTAINLY WHERE MY HEAD IS BEEN IT IS FROM A BUSINESS PERSPECTIVE. WE NEED TO PUT MATERIAL IN FRONT OF THE PUBLIC. NOT EVEN COUNCIL MEETINGS. NO, INFORMATION AVAILABLE ON THE WEBSITE. SO YOU CAN LOOK UP WHAT IS GOING ON. AT A VERY BASIC LEVEL. I WOULD SAY THIS IS NOT APPROPRIATE FOR A COUNCIL MEETING. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT . ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? I CAN HEAR YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

DITTO TO OLIVER AND KYLE. I GUESS WE ALL COME FROM THE BACKGROUND WHERE WE HAVE DONE THIS SORT OF THING. I'VE SEEN IT TRANSFORMED A MULTIBILLION-DOLLAR CORPORATION IF DONE RIGHT. IT DOES TAKE A LITTLE BIT OF EFFORT TO DO IT RIGHT. THE IDEA OF A STAFF REPORT EVERY TWO WEEKS, YOU WILL GET A LOT OF INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT PEOPLE ARE DOING BUT IT WILL NOT BE USEFUL TO YOU. IT IS CRITICALLY IMPORTANT THAT THE METRICS YOU DEVELOP IN THE REPORT RELATE BACK TO THE MOST IMPORTANT GOALS AND PRIORITIES YOU HAVE FOR THE CITY. GOING FORWARD. I THINK IT WAS SORT OF ALLUDED TO IN SOME OF THE THOUGHTS THERE. I THINK HAVING REPORTS ON THE NUMBER OF CALLS FOR POLICE AND FIRE IS ACTIVITY. HAVING THE NUMBER OF CALLS FOR FIRE, FOR EXAMPLE, AND RESPONSE TIMES COULD BE MEANINGFUL TO YOU PARTICULARLY AS WE GO INTO THE TRANSITION. EVERYBODY IS LOOKING AT THE BIG PICTURE, THE MONEY, ALL OF THESE THINGS COULD'VE RESPONSE TIMES ARE TO FALL OFF, WE HAVE BIG PROBLEMS. SO THAT IS SOMETHING YOU WOULD WANT TO SEE A METRIC ON MAYBE EVERY MONTH. WATER INSERT. WE JUST RAISED RATES. WE ACTUALLY DO NOT KNOW FOR SURE THAT THE RATE METHODOLOGY WILL GENERATE THE REVENUES WE EXPECT DID I THINK IT PROBABLY WILL, BUT WE FIND HISTORICALLY THAT DID NOT HAPPEN FIRST WERE FOR THE LAST FOUR YEARS BUT IT'S IMPORTANT TO HAVE A METRIC BIMONTHLY BUT IF THEY COLLECT THE BILLS, HOW MUCH REVENUE IS BEEN GENERATED AND HOW MUCH IS EXPECTED? ARE WE HITTING THOSE MILESTONES? THOSE KIND OF METRICS CAN BE HELPFUL TO EVERYBODY. IT THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD, LINDA.

YOU KNOW, I AM IN AGREEMENT WITH KYLE AND SOME OTHER SPEAKERS THAT I WILL TELL YOU, THIS LAST WEEK, I PARTICIPATED IN BOTH THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND THE -- TWO SEPARATE MEETINGS, ONE FOLLOWING THE OTHER ONE. BUT IT TURNS OUT THAT NOBODY SEEMS TO HAVE A CLUE WHAT IS GOING ON THAT I WILL BET YOU THERE IS NOT ONE PERSON ATTENDING THIS MEETING HERE THAT IS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION. OR THE OTHER COMMISSION I JUST MENTIONED. THEY DO NOT KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON. AND I WILL TELL YOU, IT IS MY IMPRESSION, MY EXPERIENCE, THIS DYSFUNCTION IS, BEST DESCRIBED, THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE WAY THIS CITY IS RUN. BECAUSE THERE IS A MISCOMMUNICATION. AND ALSO THE -- FOR MAYOR RIGHT NOW TO PREVENT AND NOT ANSWER, YOU KNOW, THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE BROUGHT UP BY THE PUBLIC. FOR LINDA, I WILL ASK YOU PLEASE FOCUS ON THIS ITEM WHICH IS THE DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS AND WHETHER THE COUNCIL SHOULD BE REQUIRING THEY BE INCLUDED IN COUNCIL PACKETS. THANK YOU.

GOING TO ASK YOU GET EXTRA TIME BECAUSE YOU INTERRUPTED ME. SO ANYWAY, I ALSO AGREE WITH SANDY. THESE REPORTS ARE TO BE UP FRONT AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING. HERE WE HAVE GOT THIS STUPID MAYOR WHO MEASURES EVERYTHING BY THE BREVITY OF THE MEETING INSTEAD OF THE CENSUS OR QUALITY OR TRANSPARENCY. YOU KNOW, I WILL BE VERY GLAD, WE NEED SOME REPLACEMENTS. KYLE, OLIVER, WE NEED A COUPLE MORE RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE ON THE CITY COUNCIL. I'M HOPING YOU WILL PUT THE REPORTS UP FRONT LIKE SANDY SUGGESTED. SO WE ALL CAN KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON IN ORDER TO MAKE MORE INTELLIGENT DECISIONS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC, IS CLOSE ON THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU TO COUNCILMEMBER MAURER FOR BRINGING THIS FORTH TO LOOK AT IT MORE CLOSELY. I AM NOT A FAN OF EVERY TWO WEEKS. I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT TIME AND THE REPORTS CREMATED -- CREATED BY DEPARTMENT HEADS. I DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH THEY MAKE PER HOUR THESE DAYS BUT WE HAVE ESTIMATED THE REPORT A THOROUGH COUPLE OF HOURS, BUT I DO AGREE THAT GETTING REPORTS AS CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS, I DO NOT KNOW WHY THOSE REPORTS CANNOT BE MODIFIED AND BECOME PUBLIC REPORTS. I AM FINE WITH THAT. YOU KNOW, I LOOKED AT A COUPLE REPORTS AND SOME REPORTS TALK ABOUT SPECIFIC BUSINESS LICENSES AND THINGS THAT MAYBE THAT IS NOT APPROPRIATE. BUT I DO NOT THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO ME TO HAVE DEPARTMENT HEADS DO TWO REPORTS. AND B, DO THEM MORE THAN ONCE MONTHLY. I WOULD HOPE, IF THERE WAS A ROAD CLOSURE OR SOMETHING THAT WAS BROUGHT UP EARLIER, THE DEPARTMENT HAD COULD BE ON EITHER THINK THAT INFORMATION FOR THE CITY MANAGER TO DO HIS REPORTER OR THEY CAN APPEAR THEMSELVES, RIGHT? GIVE US THIS INFORMATION VERBALLY. BUT I BELIEVE OUR WRITTEN REPORT, ONCE A MONTH, AND IT DOES NOT HAVE TO BE A SEPARATE REPORT THAT WHAT WE ARE READY RECEIVED, THAT WOULD MAKE SENSE TO ME. SO THAT IS WHAT I WOULD BE IN FAVOR OF TONIGHT IN FULL TRANSPARENCY.

THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

I AGREE. AND I THINK I AM NOT A FAN OF THE EVERY TWO WEEKS BECAUSE I'M CONCERNED WITH THE BURDEN ON STAFF. IT IS A LOT FOR US AS WELL. AND I WANT OUR PUBLIC TO BE INFORMED. IF WE CAN BE INFORMED, I WOULD HOPE ALL REPUBLICAN BE INFORMED. I WAS THINKING THAT IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU WOULD LIKE, THE STAFF WOULD LIKE TO CREATE A TEMPLATE AND THAT TEMPLATE HAS NOT BEEN CREATED. I APPRECIATE OUR PUBLIC COMMENTS LOOKING AT WHAT ARE THE METRICS WE ARE LOOKING AT IN TERMS OF REPORTING. I THINK DATA IS GOOD. BUT ALSO, I THINK BETTER WOULD BE MORE INFORMATION ON THE CIP PROJECTS WE HAVE PLANTED WHERE WE AT WHICH IT OF THESE THINGS? WHAT ARE WE DOING? WHAT ARE WE WORKING ON? JUST SNIPPETS OF THE IMPORTANT STUFF RELATED TO OUR GOALS, RELATED TO OUR PROJECTS. SO I DON'T THINK, WE DO NOT HAVE ANYTHING IN FRONT OF US RIGHT NOW THAT TELLS US WHAT THE TEMPLATE IS. AND I THINK WE NEED THAT. I THINK IT

WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE THAT. BUT I ALSO WOULD SUPPORT AT THE BEGINNING, AT LEAST TO GET THESE REPORTS OUT TO THE PUBLIC. BUT I HAVE AN IDEA I WOULD LIKE TO ASK TREATED IS SIMILAR TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE ROLLING REPORT WE CREATED. AND IN THAT, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE, INSTEAD OF HAVING 10 DOCUMENTS POSTED TO THE WEBSITE, I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE ONE DOCUMENT WITH ALL THE STAFF REPORTS COMPILED INTO THAT ONE DOCUMENT. I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THE TEMPLATE FOR THAT REPEAT EVERY SINGLE TIME IT IS POSTED. FOR EXAMPLE, IS ARE THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND THEN YOU GO TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND THEN PUBLIC WORKS. AND THE NEXT TIME IT IS POSTED, IT IS THE SAME ORDER. SO ANYBODY WHO JUST WANTS TO READ ABOUT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT CAN STICK TO THAT PART. BUT I WOULD LIKE THAT TO BE CONSISTENT AND SO COMPILED INTO ONE DOCUMENT. WITH ALL THE DEPARTMENTS. THE PUBLIC WOULD JUST HAVE TO CLICK ONCE TO GET STAFF REPORTS. AND THEY CAN READ WHATEVER THAN THEY WANT. THAT WOULD BE MY SUGGESTION MONTHLY.

OUT OF THE COUNCIL MEETING? SO THE FIRST TUESDAY?

OR THE LAST.

WHATEVER.

SO I HAVE SOME COMMENTS WOULD INPUT FROM STAFF AT THIS TIME. I'M HEARING CONCERNS ABOUT THE BURDEN ON DEPARTMENT HEADS. BUT THEN OF THESE IDEAS THAT ARE COMING FORTH ARE CAUSING ME TO HAVE SOME CONCERN WE ARE CREATING ADDITIONAL BURDEN ON STAFF. SO I'M JUST WONDERING, STAFF RESPONSE TO THESE IDEAS?

FROM MY MIND, THIS IS ADDITIONAL WORK. WE DOING IT ANYWAY? LIKE I SAID, I THINK IT IS AN AREA, ESPECIALLY IN SEBASTOPOL, THERE'S SO MUCH ENGAGEMENT IN PUBLIC INTEREST. HAVING VISITATION ABOUT SHARING WHAT IS GOING ON IS NOT, I'VE NEVER HEARD OF ANY CITY DOING ANYTHING LIKE THIS. NOT THAT IT IS NOT HAPPEN, BUT I AM NOT AWARE OF. ABSOLUTELY.

AGAIN, I AM JUST ASKING, WE ARE READY DOING MONTHLY -- IF WE COULD MAKE THIS FOR THE PUBLIC, WHERE WITH THE ADDITIONAL WORK BE?

I DO NOT THINK THEY ARE GETTING -- WITH ALL DEPARTMENTS. IN THAT SENSE, IT IS. BUT ONE OF MY CONCERNS IS WE HAVE FOUR TIMES DEPARTMENT HEADS BUT WE ALSO HAVE, I JUST COUNTED, FOUR THAT ARE TURNING OVER IN THE NEXT SIX MONTHS OR SO. SO I AM CONCERNED ABOUT THAT. THAT WORKLOAD. BUT I THINK WE NEED TO DO A BETTER JOB OF GETTING MORE INFORMATION. WHAT WE MIGHT DO IS COME UP WITH THE TEMPLATE, TRY THIS OUT FOR A FEW MONTHS. THE TEST IS GOING TO BE, DOES IT HELP YOU? DOES IT HELP AND FROM THE PUBLIC? DOES IT HELP YOU MAKE BETTER DECISIONS? WORK WITH OUR RESIDENTS? MAYBE WE COULD TRY SOMETHING FOR A WHILE AND CHECK IN IF IT IS MEETING YOUR NEEDS AND WHAT THE BURDEN LOOKS LIKE FOR THE TEAM.

GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER.

I KNOW I GUESS, WE HAVE NOT BEEN DOING THE DEPARTMENT HAD REPORTS. WE WERE. AND THOSE WERE JUST ALL OVER THE BOARD. DEPENDED ON THE DEPARTMENT HEAD. IT LOOKS VERY DIFFERENT THAN PUBLIC WORKS, FOR EXAMPLE, WHEN THEY WERE BEING DONE. SO A TEMPLATE WOULD SEEM TO MAYBE HELP THEM GET WHAT IS A TASK WE HAVE OR ALREADY EXPECTED THEM TO GET IT DONE? AND THEN THEY FILL

IT A LITTLE COMMENTARY AND STATS. YOU KNOW, LOOKS LIKE IT IS A COMBINATION OF THINGS LIKE THAT. AND THERE ARE OTHER PEOPLE THAT COULD DO IT, CREATE IT. LIKE SOMEONE IN, LET'S JUST SAY, YOU KNOW, AN ADMINISTRATIVE ROLE. PUT IT TOGETHER. THE DEPARTMENT HEAD HAS IT, CHECKS IT. MAYBE EVERY DEPARTMENT HAD DOES NOT HAVE AN ADMINISTRATOR. I DON'T KNOW. -- COULD DO IT. YOU KNOW Q? AND THEN CHECK IT OUT. I THINK IT WOULD BE, IN A TEMPLATE FORMAT, IT WOULD BE EASIER. AND WE CAN DELIVER TO THE PUBLIC. FROM WHAT WE HAVE BEEN GETTING.

OKAY. WE HAVE A LOT OF IDEAS HERE. GO AHEAD.

RECEIVING SOME COMMENTS ON THE BUDGET AND AN EMAIL A COUPLE OF TIMES RECENTLY AND SOME OF THE COMMENTS THE BONE -- RUN METRICS AND GOALS. THOSE SORT OF THINGS FOR THAT IS THE IDEAL WAY TO DO THIS. WE DO NOT HAVE CLEAR GOALS OR OBJECTIVES. THAT IS NOT SIMPLE EXERCISE TO GET DOWN TO THAT POINT. AND THE OTHER PART OF DATA ON ALL OF THIS IS IF OUR SYSTEMS ARE NOT GENERATING AND WE ARE NOT CAPTURING INFORMATION, WILLING IT BE BUSINESS PERMITS, RESPONSE TIMES, NUMBER OF CALLS, THEN IT BECOMES A TREMENDOUS BURDEN. WE NEED OUR INFORMATION SYSTEMS TO GENERATE THE DATA THAT ALLOWS US TO GET THIS TO YOU. LIKE I SAID, I'VE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO TALK TO THE DEPARTMENT HEADS. MY IDEA WOULD BE TO SET UP A TEMPLATE THAT ALLOWS US TO READ THE REPORTS WITH DATA THAT IS EASILY GENERATED. THAT WOULD BE A MAJOR SOURCE OF WHAT WE CAN GIVE TO YOU.

I WILL TRY TO WRAP THIS UP. GO AHEAD.

I HAVE TO SAY THIS BUT A REPORT DOES NOT NEED TO BE FILLED ALWAYS WITH DATA. WE COULD'VE JUST HAD FROM THE DEPARTMENT PLANNING A LITTLE UPDATE ON HOTEL SEBASTOPOL, RIGHT? THE PLANNING COMMISSION. HE DOES NOT HAVE TO BE ALL STAFF SHOULD UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. WE DO NOT HAVE THE SYSTEMS TO PUT STATS. BUT A REPORT IS NOT ALWAYS ALL STATS. I DON'T CARE ALWAYS ABOUT THAT.

SO JUST WING ENOUGH TOPIC MYSELF BUT I THINK THIS IS A GREAT IDEA TO HAVE THE MONTHLY REPORTS ATTACHED. I WOULD GIVE DISCUSSION JUST HAVE TO DECIDE WHETHER THAT IS AT THE BEGINNING OF THE MONTH THROUGH THE END OF THE MONTH. I THINK IT IS A GREAT IDEA TO HAVE THAT BE A PART OF A MONTHLY CITY COUNCIL PACKET. I WANT TO PUSH BACK AGAINST THE CHARACTERIZATION OF THIS COUNCIL DODGING TRANSPARENCY. WE DO NOT DODGE TRANSPARENCY. THAT IS WHY WE'RE HAVING THIS DISCUSSION. WE DO NOT APPROACH ANY OF THESE ISSUES WITH A LAISSEZ-FAIRE ATTITUDE. WE TAKE THEM VERY SERIOUSLY. I WOULD SUPPORT WRITTEN REPORTS FOR SURE AND WOULD KEEP THEM AT THE END OF THE COUNCIL AGENDA AS THEY HAVE BEEN BEFORE. AND MY SUGGESTION WOULD BE THAT WE ALLOW OUR ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF TO TAKE INTO COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS THAT OF BEEN MADE HERE AND, IN OH, START THE PROCESS. AND AS AN EXPERIMENT, WHICH I THINK IS WHAT THE CITY MANAGER WAS SAYING. LET THEM SUBMIT SOMETHING TO ME BUT I LOVE THE IDEA OF ONE DOCUMENT WITH EVERYTHING IN THE SAME ORDER. I LIKE THAT. BUT OTHER THAN THAT, LIKE THE IDEA OF SOME NARRATIVE BUT I THINK THERE IS SOMETIMES A NEED FOR DATA. THAT DATA CAN BE MISLEADING AND THE NARRATIVE IS IMPORTANT. MAYBE WE JUST LEAVE IT TO RE-APPROVE THIS AS A MONTHLY REPORT TO CITY

COUNCIL. MONTHLY CITY COUNCIL AGENDA, AND LEAVE IT TO WHERE ADMINISTRATOR DISCUSSION TO BRING BACK SOME FORMAT TO START DOING IT. WE CAN REVIEW THE FORMAT AND PROVIDE INPUT. DOES THAT SOUND ACCEPTABLE? OKAY. WE PROBABLY NEED A MOTION. RIGHT? TO GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF DEVELOP A SYSTEM. IS THAT ACCEPTABLE?

YET.

OKAY, WE GIVE DIRECTION. YOU NEED ANYTHING MORE FROM US? I AM HOPING THAT STEPHEN WILL BE PROUD OF US BUT INTERESTING DISCUSSION. I APPRECIATE THE PUBLIC COMMENT AND INPUT. I ALSO THINK THAT HELPS DRIVE THE ULTIMATE PRODUCT HERE. OUR NEXT ITEM, I THINK, IS ITEM NUMBER EIGHT. OH, EXCUSE ME. DAVID, WHEN IS YOUR LAST DAY? ARE WE GOING TO SEE YOU AGAIN AT ANOTHER CITY COUNCIL MEETING? OKAY. WE WILL SEE YOU ON THE 16th? OKAY. NEVERMIND. [LAUGHTER] THANK YOU. FUSING FOR THE NEXT ITEM IS THE CITY MANAGER AND CITY CLERK REPORTS WRITTEN REPORTS?

I DO. JUST A FOLLOW-UP. IT CAME UP EARLIER AND I WAS ABLE TO GET SOME FEEDBACK FROM THE COMMITTEE DEVELOPMENT ABOUT THE -- PROCESS. THEY TELL ME THEY ARE, ABSOLUTELY ALL THE CITIES AND TOWNS ARE ALREADY PART OF THE PROCESS. THAT MAKES ME WONDER WHO IS NOT INCLUDED IN THE PROCESS. AND THEY WILL CONTINUE TO BE ENGAGED. I'M JUST ASSUMING WILLOUGHBY PART OF THAT BUT WE WILL CONFIRM IT. THEY'RE LOOKING AT A STEERING COMMITTEE. I'M GOING TO RECOMMEND THAT TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. IT INCLUDES COUNCILMEMBER REPRESENTATION AS SUGGESTED BY THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBER ASSOCIATION. FELICITY MANAGERS, PLANNING DIRECTORS, A COUPLE OF MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS IN THE COUNTY AND AN ADMINISTRATOR. THEY ARE STILL WORKING THAT PROCESS THROUGH AND TAKING THIS AS A GOOD SIGN. MICHELLE -- IS ALWAYS ONE WHO HAS BEEN COLLABORATIVE AND WONDERFUL TO WORK WITH. I NEED TO CHECK THE DATA AND TO WHAT EXTENT HAVE WE BEEN INVOLVED? AND HOW WE MIGHT BE INVOLVED. IT IS ONE OF THOSE PART-TIME DEPARTMENT HEADS. WE WILL DOWNLOAD AS MUCH AS WE CAN. THAT IS THE UPDATE THERE. ALSO, SORT OF MY REPORT. THE BUDGET AND LITTLE MORE BUDGET LATELY THAT IS BEEN THE FOCUS. WE MET TODAY AND THAT IS GEARING UP. I WILL LEAVE THE REST TO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON TO ELABORATE ON THAT. AND A REMINDER AN INVITATION FOR OUR TOWN HALL MEETING NEXT WEEK ABOUT THE CITY'S FINANCIAL SITUATION. MONDAY AT 6:00. I BELIEVE ALSO WEDNESDAY, AT THE COMMUNITY CENTER AT 6:00 IN PERSON AS WELL. I LOOK FORWARD TO THE TURNOUT FROM OUR RESIDENTS TO PROVIDE TRANSPARENT INFORMATION ABOUT OUR FINANCIAL SITUATION.

EXCELLENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, CITY CLERK AND MARY GOURLEY. ALL RIGHT. NOW WE ARE BACK TO COUNCILMEMBERS FOR REPORTS OUT. GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

I WILL BACKTRACK A LITTLE BIT TO JUNE. JUNE 5th I ATTENDED THE RIBBON CUTTING CEREMONY ALONG WITH THE MAYOR TO OPEN THE PIECE TOWN CONCERTS THAT ARE BEING HELD AT ICE PARK ABOUT THE SUMMER ON WEDNESDAYS AT 5:00. EXCEPT NOT JULY 3rd. BECAUSE THERE IS FIREWORKS BY THE QANTAS CLUB. MY GRANDCHILDREN ARE VISITING FOR 11 DAYS. WE

TOOK THEM TO -- CONCERTS WHILE THEY WERE HERE. THE THING THEY'RE MOST EXCITED ABOUT WAS GETTING A TATTOO ON THEIR HANDS FROM THE GROUP. I TENDED THE POWER MEETING AS AN ALTERNATE. AND THEY ADDRESSED ISSUES OF EQUITY, TRANSPORTATION, AND THEY ANNOUNCED A RECENT CBC DECISION THAT WILL FUNDAMENTALLY CHANGE THE WAY PG&E BRINGS IN CUSTOMERS BUT FOURTHLY, THIS CHANGE REWARDS CUSTOMERS FOR USING MORE ELECTRICITY. WE HAVE HAD FIVE BUDGET MEETINGS FOR ABOUT 15 1/2 HOURS ON BUDGET. THESE MEETINGS ARE REPORTED AND AVAILABLE AND WE EXPECT TO BRING THE BUDGET TO THE FULL COUNCIL ON JULY 16th AT 4:00 P.M. THE WASTE MEETING AND AD HOC COMMITTEE WAS FORMED FOR DESIGNING A REVIEW FOR THE NEW HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY ON PRUITT AVENUE AND WINDSOR. RECEIVED REPRESENTATION OF COMPOST. AS I SAID EARLIER, I CONNECTED WITH A COUPLE RESIDENTS ON THE PEDESTRIAN PATHS AND REVIEWED THE DNP PROJECT THAT I WAS GIVEN A TOUR OF THE APPLE BLOSSOM AND -- TRAILS, I TALKED WITH THE OWNER OF THE BIKE STORE. I WALKED ON -- AVENUE SOUTH FROM -- WITH THE ADVISER. THE COMMITTEE APPOINTED TO UNDERSTAND THE BIKING AND WALKING AVAILABILITY IN THAT AREA. RECEIVED BACK ON THE POST TRAIL FROM THE [INAUDIBLE] THANK YOU VERY MUCH . COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH. FIRST OF ALL, WHEN WE TALKED ABOUT, I MIGHT'VE MENTIONED IT BEFORE. WE HAD A FOLLOW-UP. I MET WITH THREE MAJOR PEOPLE, PRETTY HIGH UP. IT WAS FACILITATED BY CTA WITH LINDA HOPKINS AND WE TALKED ABOUT ALL THE WEST COUNTY PROJECTS. AND I CONNECTED WITH DANTE ABOUT SEBASTOPOL PROJECTS BEFORE I DID THAT MEETING. I JUST WANT TO REPORT OUT THAT AT THAT MEETING WE TALKED ABOUT THE RE-ABATEMENT AT 12 AND -- BRIDGE FOR THAT IS BEEN IMPEDED. SO THEY WERE VERY THOROUGH. THERE WAS A PAVEMENT REPAIR AT THE CROSSWALK THAT BASICALLY IS DOWN BY REQUEST MARKET. THEY HAVE CONFIRMED THAT THE MAINTENANCE CREW WENT OUT THERE AND PATCHED HOLES IN THE CROSSWALK AND THAT WILL BE CONTINUE TO BE MONITORED. THERE WAS SOMETHING THAT PAVEMENT UP TO THE CROSSWALK AND THERE WAS A DEBATE ABOUT WHETHER THAT CROISSANT BELONG TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL OR THE CALTRANS. IT WAS CROSSWALK MAINTENANCE IS ALWAYS A THING THAT IS NEGOTIATED. LITTLE DID I KNOW. THAT IS A CALTRANS CROSSWALK. SO DOING A LITTLE MAINTENANCE DID NOT REALIZE THAT. SO THAT HAS BEEN DONE. AND THEN WE TALKED ABOUT THE TIMING FOR THE SIGNAL BOTH AT MORRIS AND ALSO HOW SEBASTOPOL REALLY BACKS UP ALONG THE ROAD WHEN YOU'RE TRYING TO GO OUT AT 4:30. AND CALTRANS TRAFFIC OPERATIONS IS WORKING ON THAT REQUEST. THERE WERE ADDITIONAL ASKS THAT WE -- THEY WERE INTERESTING FOR MYSELF THAT I HAVE TO SEE THE PLAN AND I DO HAVE THE PLAN OF THE CROSSWALK. WHEN IT GETS BUILT, WE WILL STILL BE ABLE TO HAVE A CROSSWALK MIDBLOCK THERE. THEY ARE GOING TO REMOVE THE CROSSWALK DOWN BY SCREAMING MEANIES. THEY'RE PUTTING A CROSSWALK BY THE BEEFEATER. THAT ZONE AT THAT CORRIDOR. BUT NOBODY FEELS LIKE ALL THE PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE VISITING THE HOTEL THAT MEANS THEY ARE ALL GOING TO WALK ALL THE WAY UP TO CROSS THE STREET SAFELY. SO THAT IS STILL A POSSIBILITY FOR US. WE DO HAVE A COPY OF THAT PLAN. AND THEN THERE WAS SOME

INTERESTING STUFF ALSO HAPPENING IN THE WEST COUNTY. THERE IS THE MAJOR CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS IN HAPPENING ON MAIN STREET LATER. THERE IS SOME INTERESTING -- PLAY POLES FURTHERING THE PUT, IT WAS THOUGHT IT WAS INTERESTING. I THINK THOSE ARE GOING TO BE ACROSS THE STREET. THEY WILL PUT THAT ON THE FLAG POLES THAT I THOUGHT THAT WOULD BE REALLY HELP WILL IN SEBASTOPOL BUT I WANT TO WATCH THAT PROJECT AND KEEP TRACK OF IT. THAT MAY BE SOMETHING WE COULD ADOPT HERE FOR SOME INTERSECTIONS WHERE PEOPLE DO NOT TO ME. THEY'RE ALSO LOOKING AT THE POSSIBILITY OF A RAINBOW SIDEWALK OUT IN -- I THOUGHT THAT WAS REALLY INTERESTING. MIGHT BE INTERESTING FOR US, TOO. JUST SAYING. SO THAT IS THAT EATING. THEN WE HAVE HAD TWO FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE FIRST ONE WAS ON JUNE 20th AT 1:30 IN THE AFTERNOON. AND THAT WAS A MEETING ABOUT IT NOT INCLUDE THE THREE BOARD MEMBERS THAT ARE A COMPLETE PART OF THE GOLD RIDGE AD HOC. SO IT WAS A MEETING TO GET US STARTED AND GET US UP TO DATE. WE DID HAVE A PILOT PROGRAM THAT WAS DONE THAT WAS INITIATED BY OUR INTERIM FIRE CHIEF, TODD. AND -- WAS VERY INVOLVED IN IT. IT WAS TESTED FOR PART OF ME AND PART OF JUNE. ABOUT HAVING A SLEEPER INSTEAD OF A NIGHT RESPONDER. A STATEMENT RESPONDED BIT INSTEAD OF WHEN THE FIRE ALARM GOES OFF, WAKE UP IN YOUR BOARD -- BED AND YOU DRIVE TO THE FIREHOUSE BUT THEY DID AN EXPERIMENT WHERE THE OVERNIGHT SLEEPER GOT PAID A STIPEND FOR THE OVERNIGHT. SO THERE IS SOMEBODY AT THE FIREHOUSE DID YOU JUST WAKE UP AND GO TO THE FIRE. SO THAT WAS A PILOT PROGRAM. AND THEN THERE MAKING SOME SUGGESTIONS FOR THAT PILOT PROGRAM TO CONTINUE. WE ARE GOING TO LOOK AT THAT ONCE WE GET PAST OUR BUDGET PERIOD AND THE SUBCOMMITTEE CAN BRING THAT FORWARD FOR NEXT YEAR. UNTIL WE GET CLEAR -- AND COMPLETE THIS PROCESS TO HELP GET FASTER RESPONSE TIMES. WE ARE STILL KIND OF VETTING THAT HAVE NOT HEARD A LOT ABOUT IT, TO BE FAIR. I WANTED TO RING IT UP. BECAUSE NOW WE ARE AWARE OF IT. AND HAD A MEETING TODAY BUT UNFORTUNATELY, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS WAS ILL. SO I WAS THE ONLY ONE THERE. WE HAVE A DRAFT ANNEXATION PROCEDURAL SCHEDULE WHICH IS BEEN POSTED ON OUR WEBSITE. MARY GOURLEY I AM SURE CAN SEND IT OUT TO ALL OF YOU. THIS IS MY COPY WITH NO . BASICALLY, THE LONG AND SHORT OF IT IS WE MET WITH THE AD HOC WITH THE THREE MEMBERS BECAUSE THEY HAVE A SEVEN MEMBER BOARD. AND THEN COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND I WILL MAKE UP OUR TWO TEAM MEMBERS AND THE CITY MANAGER, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER WAS IN THE ROOM. REPRESENTATIVES FROM GOLD RIDGE. AND WE TALKED ABOUT PROCEDURES. ONE PROCEDURE THEY ASKED IF WE DID FOR THESE MEETINGS, HAVE SOME MORE OFFICIAL NOTETAKING COULD TO KIND OF TRACK A PROCESS. I SUGGESTED THAT PATTY WORKS FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, THEY COULD DO THAT FOR OUR SIDE AND OUR TEAM. SO WE MET WITH THEIR LEGAL COUNCIL , BILL ADAMS. HE TALKED TO US ABOUT THE PROCESS. HE WENT TO THE SCHEDULE. THE ONLY OTHER BIG, WE HAVE SOME HOMEWORK TO DO. WE ARE BRINGING THIS REPORT BACK ON, I BELIEVE, IT IS THE 17th OF JULY. IT WILL BE THE SCOPE OF WHAT THE AD HOC WILL BE DOING. BUT ONE NOTABLE THING IS THAT GONORRHEA FIRE DISTRICT OR DEPARTMENT IS INTERESTED IN COMING INTO GOLD RIDGE.

AND THEY ARE SUGGESTING THAT WE GO THROUGH THE PROCESS WITH THAT FIRE DEPARTMENT. SO THEY ARE VOTED TO EXPLORE IT. AND SO IT IS EASIER TO GO THROUGH THEIR PREVIOUS MARGIN WITH MULTIPLE FIRE DISTRICT'S FAILURE ON THE SAME TRACK AND IT HELPS WITH THE PROCESS. I JUST LEARNED ABOUT THAT FOR THE FIRST TIME TODAY. WE WILL HAVE MORE ON THAT AS WE KIND OF GET THROUGH THIS PROCESS.

OKAY. THAT IS ALL SUPER INTERESTING. WILL HAVE A WRITTEN REPORT ON THE 16th?

WELL, WE WILL HAVE A REPORT ABOUT THE TASK THAT THIS FIRE SUBCOMMITTEE -- YEAH.

THE SCOPE. AND MARY GOURLEY, IF YOU COULD PLEASE SEND US A COPY OF THIS DOCUMENT. THE ONE THAT COUNCILMEMBER HINTON IS REFERRING TO. IS IT AVAILABLE ON THE WEBSITE? IF SO, WHERE?

YES BIT IS ON THE WEBSITE UNDER THE CITY MEETING PAGE FOR TODAY UNDER OTHER DOCUMENTS.

OKAY. SO IF PEOPLE WOULD GO TO THE MEETING PAGE FOR TODAY? AS AN ATTACHMENT. OKAY. AND THEN A QUESTION I HAD WAS, IN TERMS OF TOPICS LIKE THE -- QUESTION. ARE THOSE QUESTIONS THAT WILL BE COMING TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR CONSIDERATION? FOR INSTANCE, ADDING THEM TO THE CONSOLIDATION EFFORT?

YEAH, IT IS NOT REALLY LIKE THAT. WE'RE ADDING THEM TO THE CONSOLIDATION EFFORT. IT IS MORE THAT WHEN WE APPLY, THEY WILL LIKELY BE ON THE SAME TRACK. I THOUGHT YOU SAID THAT -- AN YEAH, THERE IS NO DECISION THAT THEY HAVE NOT APPROVED IT, TECHNICALLY. BUT THEY ARE IN SUPPORT OF IT. THAT WE WOULD BE ON THE SAME TRACK. EVEN THOUGH OUR DETAILS WOULD BE DIFFERENT, WE'D BE GOING TO THEM KIND OF AT THE SAME PACE AS MY UNDERSTANDING POOL WE JUST HEARD ABOUT THIS FOR THE FIRST TIME. I DO NOT, YOU KNOW, IT WAS A LOT TO TAKE IN.

YEAH. SO JUST AS -- AN I WILL BRING IT UP WHEN I HEAR IT. I WANT TO REPORT THAT WE HAVE HEARD AND -- WE WILL GET MORE FROM THEIR TEAM TO MAYBE EXPLAIN IT.

YEAH, SO HOPEFULLY IN THE JULY 16th -- WHEN THE SCOPE IS DESCRIBED, THERE WILL BE SOME SUGGESTION FROM THE AD HOC IN TERMS OF THE REGULARITY OF REPORTS TO THE CITY COUNCIL.

YEAH, I HAD SOME QUESTIONS TODAY FOR THEM. WHERE DID IT COME FROM? YOU KNOW? AND IS THE FIRST I HEARD ABOUT IT AS WELL. WE NEED TO HAVE MORE INFORMATION THAN -- AND SOMEBODY THAT IS MORE VERSED IN IT THAN MY FIVE MINUTES.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

THERE IS A HAND RAISED AND I'M WONDERING DID WE DO OUR SECOND COMMENT PERIOD? I THINK WE DID. I AM NOT SURE THIS PERSON WHO HAS THEIR HAND RAISED ACTUALLY COMMENTED THE FIRST TIME.

I DID BUT I UNDERSTAND, BUT I AM NOT SURE -- [INAUDIBLE - OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS] I THOUGHT YOU COMMENTED ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR --

SHE DID ON BOTH.

OKAY. SO THEN, THANK YOU FOR THAT REPORT OUT SORRY IT IS NOT MORE THOROUGH. WE KIND OF, WE HAVE NOT HAD BOTH MEMBERS TODAY. ANYWAY. DOING OUR BEST.

OKAY. I HAVE JUST SOME BRIEF COMMENTS HERE. MEET YOUR NEIGHBOR. I CONTINUE TO HAVE REGULAR MEETINGS WITH SKIP AND THEY ARE MOVING FORWARD. THEY ARE DOING RADIO CLASSES AND ARE CONTINUING TO DO THOSE COMMUNICATIONS WITH COMMUNITY MEMBERS. -- MOSQUITO VECTOR CONTROL DISTRICT THAT I GOT TO GO OUT ON THE AIRBOAT IN LAGUNA. THE LOGO NORMALLY HAS VERY LITTLE WATER IN IT IT IS REALLY A BREEDING GROUND FOR MOSQUITOES. SO THAT WAS KIND OF DISTRESSING. IT DOES NOT LOOK LIKE IT DID BEFORE. MIKE THOMPSON IS INVOLVED IN AN EFFORT TO TRY TO PULL TOGETHER A PLAN TO AGGRESS THE LOCAL SITUATION. HAS REACHED OUT TO ME. HE CAN PULL TOGETHER A MEETING AND -- POLITICAL REPRESENTATIVES FROM SONOMA COUNTY. HAS ALSO BEEN INVOLVED MEETING WITH LINDA HOPKINS -- A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THE LAGUNA AND MOSQUITOES. CHANGING THE ECOSYSTEM OUT THERE. EIFD IS MOVING FORWARD. WE HAVE A GROUP MEETING THAT INCLUDES LINDA HOPKINS. -- THE REPRESENTATIVE COMING UP, I THINK IT IS THIS WEEK OR EARLY NEXT WEEK. THERE IS ALWAYS FREAKING GROUP THAT IS CONTINUE TO -- TALKING ABOUT IDEAS RELATED TO THE CONTRACT, WHICH I SHARED WITH OUR CITY MANAGER. ABOUT THE RFP THAT IS OUT THERE. ALL THE VERY COMMENTS. I KNOW THE CITY MANAGER, THIS IS ALSO BEEN REPORTED OUT. MICHELLE LITTMAN HAS INFORMED US THAT THE OCCUPANCY DATE FOR ALL THE VERY COMMENTS HAS NOW BEEN PUSHED BACK TO JANUARY RATHER THAN DECEMBER. AND THAT IS RELATED TO UNPREDICTABLE DELAYS BY HCD. I THINK WE WILL HEAR MORE ABOUT THAT AS WE CONTINUE WITH THAT PROJECT. THERE SEEMS TO BE UNPREDICTABLE DELAYS RELATED TO THAT ORGANIZATION. SO THAT IS MY REPORT OUT. I THINK THAT COVERS CITY COUNCIL REPORTS. OUR NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS THE BUDGET, WELL, THE BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT IS ATTACHED, CORRECT? AS A WRITTEN REPORT? BUT I DID -- OKAY. BUT I DID WANT TO NOTE WHAT WAS MENTIONED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. THAT IS THAT WE DID NOT GO BACK TO ENTERTAIN POSSIBLE PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS DONE IN THE AGENDA THAT OUT OF BEEN SHARED BY ATTENDEES. DURING THE BEGINNING OF OUR MEETING. CAN WE GO BACK, MARY GOURLEY?

YOU ARE CORRECT , THEY ARE. THIS IS THE SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD BUT IF YOU DO NOT SPEAK AT THE FIRST PART OF THE MEETING, THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY. I WILL GO IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM BUT IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT AND DID NOT DO SO AT THE FIRST PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. SEEING NONE, NO PUBLIC COMMENT .

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, MARY GOURLEY. WE ARE COMING BACK TO COUNCILMEMBER MAURER WHO IS A MEMBER OF OUR BUDGET COMMITTEE. 16 HOURS I THINK SHE SAID. ANY COMMENTS ON THE BUDGET COMMITTEE REPORT OUT? THE PURPOSE FOR THE PUBLIC IS IN ATTACHMENT AND IS INCLUDED IN THE CITY COUNCIL PACKET THIS TIME. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

WE HAVE HAD SIX MEETINGS AND WE HAVE MET FOR 15 HOURS. -- 24, 25, JULY 1st, AND WE'RE GOING TO MEET AGAIN FOR ONE FINAL MEETING ON JULY 8, MONDAY. DURING ALL OF THESE, THEY ARE RECORDED. THERE ON THE CITY WEBSITE VIDEOS. WE HAVE CREATED, SO THE BUDGET YOU ARE GOING TO LOOK AT IS ACTUALLY A ROLLING DOCUMENT. IT STARTS, SO THE

VERY END OF THAT DOCUMENT WILL BE ONE MEETING. SO WE ARE ADDING TO IT. YOU CAN JUST LOOK AT ONE DOCUMENT AND CATCH UP WITH THIS. AND I DO NOT REALLY HAVE TOO MUCH TO SAY ABOUT IT. I'VE ENJOYED THE PROCESS OF WORKING WITH STAFF. AND UNDERSTANDING ABOUT THE BUDGET MORE. WE WILL BRING IT TO YOU ON THE 16th.

EXCELLENT. I SEE I AM NOW LOOKING AT THE ITEM, THE REPORT, THE BUDGET COMMITTEE RECAP MEETING DOCUMENT YOU ARE REFERRING TO. I SEE THE MOST RECENT. PRIOR TO THAT IS THE MEETING THAT WAS IMMEDIATELY BEFORE THAT ONE. THAT IS WHAT YOU MEAN BY THE ROLLING DOCUMENT?

EXACTLY.

THE JULY 1st IS UP AND UP NOTED -- UPLOADED YET. I JUST FINALIZED IT. I WILL UPLOAD THAT AS SOON AS I CAN.

WHERE IS THAT LOCATED? IS IT AVAILABLE ON THE WEBSITE NUMBER?

IT IS THAT EACH OF THE RECAPS IS ON THE BUDGET MANY MEETING HELD. THE GROUP WILL BE UPDATED ON THE COUNCIL MEETING PAGE TONIGHT OR WHENEVER I GET TO IT. I WILL POST THE JULY 1st ALL THE OTHER DOCUMENTS.

GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I BELIEVE THAT CITY MANAGER, AND LIKE -- ANY COMMENTS BEFORE WE CLOSE OUT THE MEETING? ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER, ANY COMMENTS? WE HAVE ONE STAFF MEMBER WHO IS STILL HERE. ANY COMMENTS? SO I THINK WE ARE CLOSING THE MEETING RIGHT NOW AT 9:40. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, EVERYONE. A PLEASURE.

RECORDING STOPPED.

[Event Concluded]