

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF: Tuesday – November 19, 2024**

MINUTES FOR Regular City Council Meeting of November 19, 2024
As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of December 3, 2024

Please note that these are action minutes only. Detailed raw transcript is attached to the minutes and made a part of the public record. These action minutes are the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City and City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

6:00 pm City Council Regular Meeting, In Person – Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA; and by Virtual Format (Zoom)

Call to Order: Mayor Rich called the Regular Meeting to Order at 6:05 pm.

Roll Call:

Present: Mayor Diana Gardner Rich
Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman
Councilmember Neysa Hinton
Councilmember Sandra Maurer
Councilmember Jill McLewis

Absent: None

Staff: City Manager Don Schwartz
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
City Attorney Alex Mog

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). AB 2449 provides that a member may not participate in meetings solely by teleconference due to “just cause” or “emergency circumstances” for a period of more than three consecutive months or 20 percent of the regular meetings for the local agency within a calendar year

A Member wishing to participate under this exception must provide notice as soon as possible. The City Council may act on this request at the earliest opportunity, including at the beginning of a meeting (by majority vote) if the request does not allow sufficient time to place it on the agenda for the meeting for which the request is made. - Eligible Reason: A physical or family medical emergency that prevents a member from attending in person.

The member must provide general description of the circumstances relating to the need to appear remotely, which need not be more than 20 words or disclose any personal medical information.

Members must also publicly disclose at the beginning of the meeting whether any other individuals 18 years of age or older are present in the same room at their remote location with the member and explain the general nature of the member's relationship with the individual.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION: Councilmember Maurer: Statement: I am requesting per AB 2449 that I participate in tonight's (Nov.19th) meeting virtually for medical reasons. There are no other individuals in the room with me. I will participate through both audio and visual technology during the entire meeting.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to authorize attendance by Councilmember Maurer by use of AB 2449; emergency circumstances.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action : Approved attendance by Councilmember Maurer by use of AB 2449; emergency circumstances.

Minute Order Number : 2024-277

SALUTE TO THE FLAG : Mayor Rich led the salute to the flag.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS/INTRODUCTIONS: NONE

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

There were no stated conflicts of interest by City Councilmembers.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):

Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Myriah
- Barbara
- Linda

- Redwing
- James

Mayor Rich responded to public comments.

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Councilmembers may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Mayor Rich asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.

City Staff provided corrections to Item Number 8 as follows:

“there is a typo in one place in the agenda report referring to the City Hall ADA project, rather than the Burbank Farms ADA project.” . The Agenda itself refers to Burbank Farms not City Hall. While there is one mistaken reference to “City Hall” in the agenda report, it is very clear in from the report what the project is.

Councilmember Hinton requested Item Number(s) be removed from the consent calendar.

Mayor Rich opened for Public Comment(s). The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Linda

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Items Number(s) 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7 and 8.

Item number 6 has been removed.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

1. Approval of Minutes of City Council Special Meeting - Closed Session Meeting of November 4, 2024 (Responsible Department: City Clerk)

City Council Action : Approval of Minutes of City Council Special Meeting - Closed Session Meeting of November 4, 2024

Minute Order Number: 2024-278

2. Approval of Minutes of Regular City Council Meeting of November 5, 2024 (Responsible Department: City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approved Minutes of Regular City Council Meeting of November 5, 2024

Minute Order Number: 2024-279

3. Approval of Biennial Review of City of Sebastopol Conflict of Interest Code. As required by the Political Reform Act (Government Code Section 87300 et. seq.), the City is required to review and amend, biennially, the previously adopted Conflict of Interest Code. This Code designates employees who must periodically disclose certain personal financial holdings based on their position by filing a Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700). These filers are informally referred to as designated officials.
(Responsible Department: City Attorney/City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approved Biennial Review of City of Sebastopol Conflict of Interest Code. As required by the Political Reform Act (Government Code Section 87300 et. seq.), the City is required to review and amend, biennially, the previously adopted Conflict of Interest Code. This Code designates employees who must periodically disclose certain personal financial holdings based on their position by filing a Statement of Economic Interests (Form 700). These filers are informally referred to as designated officials.

Minute Order Number: 2024-280

Resolution Number: 6626-2024

4. Approval of City Council Protocols and Procedures (As directed at the November 5, 2024 City Council Meeting):
 - a. Policy Number 6 City Council Policy for Agenda Preparation/ Agenda Review Committee Standing Committee
 - b. Policy Number 7 Rules of Conduct of Its Meetings, Proceedings and City Business

City Council Action: Approved of City Council Protocols and Procedures:

- a. Policy Number 6 City Council Policy for Agenda Preparation/ Agenda Review Committee Standing Committee
- b. Policy Number 7 Rules of Conduct of Its Meetings, Proceedings and City Business

Minute Order Number: 2024-281

5. Approval of City Council Protocols and Procedures:
 - a. Policy Number 8 City Council Liaison
 - b. Policy Number 9 City Council and City Staff Annual Committee Assignments
 - c. Policy number 10 City Council Ad Hoc Committees

(Responsible Department: City Clerk/Ad Hoc Committee for Council Protocols and Procedures)

City Council Action: Approved City Council Protocols and Procedures:

- a. Policy Number 8 City Council Liaison
- b. Policy Number 9 City Council and City Staff Annual Committee Assignments
- c. Policy number 10 City Council Ad Hoc Committees

Minute Order Number: 2024-282

- ~~6. Approval of Amendment to Electronics Device Policy/Newly Named City Council Policy Number 11
(Responsible Department: Administrative Services/City Clerk/City Attorney)~~
7. Adoption of Resolution Revising the City's Publicly Available Pay Schedule, Effective July 1, 2024, Revised November 19, 2024 (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/Human Resources Consultant)

City Council Action: Approved of Resolution Revising the City's Publicly Available Pay Schedule, Effective July 1, 2024, Revised November 19, 2024

Minute Order Number: 2024-284

Resolution Number: 6627-2024

8. Approval of Funding Agreement for Use of the Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for the Burbank Farm Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Project (Responsible Department: Public Works/Engineering)

City Council Action: Approved Funding Agreement for Use of the Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds for the Burbank Farm Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) Project

Minute Order Number: 2024-285

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

9. Informational Presentation – Quarterly Report – Enterprise Funds (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/City Manager)

Administrative Services Director Kwong presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the quarter report – enterprise funds.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comments. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Linda
- Robert

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

City Council Action: **None Taken/Informational Only.**

Minute Order Number: **2024-286**

10. Informational Presentation – Quarterly Report – General Fund(Responsible Department: Administrative Services/City Manager)

City Manager Schwartz presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the quarter report – enterprise funds.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comments. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Oliver
- Linda
- Robert

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

City Council Action: **None Taken/Informational Only.**

Minute Order Number: **2024-287**

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

11. Public Hearing – Building Valuation Fees; Building Valuation Data for Building Permits To conduct a Public Hearing for Resolution setting the Valuation rates for certain building projects and affirming the Building Officials authority to set rates for construction not listed in this resolution. These set rates and any rates set by the City Building Official will be subject to annual cost of living increases on July 1 of each year

based on The Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the previous year (Responsible Department: Building)

Steve Brown, Building Official, presented the agenda item recommending the City Council To conduct a Public Hearing for Resolution setting the Valuation rates for certain building projects and affirming the Building Officials authority to set rates for construction not listed in this resolution. These set rates and any rates set by the City Building Official will be subject to annual cost of living increases on July 1 of each year based on The Construction Cost Index (CCI).

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff .

Mayor Rich opened the public hearing. The following member(s) of the public spoke during the public hearing:

- Kyle
- Linda
- Oliver

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Rich closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the fees.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Councilmember McLewis seconded the motion to approve Resolution setting Valuations for Certain Types of Construction and Designating Authority to Building Official to set rates for construction types not listed. The Resolution provides authority for annual cost of living increases on July 1 of each year based on the Construction Cost Index (CCI) for the previous year.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Resolution setting Valuations for Certain Types of Construction and Designating Authority to Building Official to set rates for construction types not listed. The Resolution provides authority for annual cost of living increases on July 1 of each year based on the Construction Cost Index (CCI) for the previous year.

Minute Order Number: 2024-288

Resolution Number: 6628-2024

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

12. Draft Active Transportation Plan – Update & Discussion. The Sebastopol Active Transportation Plan (ATP) is an update to the Sebastopol Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan adopted in 2011. The ATP is being developed as part of the Countywide Active Transportation Plan through a grant awarded to the Sonoma County Transportation Authority (SCTA) and a contract held by SCTA. The primary focus of this meeting is to receive an update regarding the Draft ATP content. Feedback on the presentation contents and the Draft ATP are requested from the City Council to help ensure local needs are prioritized and met through this effort. (Responsible Department: Planning)

John Jay, Associate Planner, presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive an update regarding the Draft ATP content and provide feedback on the presentation contents and the Draft from the City Council to help ensure local needs are prioritized and met through this effort.

FEHY & PEERS, Consultant, provided a presentation.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff and/or consultant.

Mayor Rich opened for public comments. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Lynn
- Gavin
- Member of the Public
- Linda
- Michael
- Oliver
- Martin
- Silas
- Roberto
- Charltey
- Tor
- John
- Steve
- Sunny
- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council provided comments to the consultant and city staff.

City Council Action: No action taken. Item to be returned to City Council.

Minute Order Number: 2024-289

13. Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD) Feasibility Analysis Findings and Next Steps. The purpose of this item is to submit to the City Council a report out from the EIFD Ad Hoc Committee to the City Council. The action for consideration tonight is as follows:
 - Receive the report of Kosmont EIFD Feasibility Analysis Findings
 - Provide direction to continue EIFD formation process and bring back a non-binding Resolution of Intention for City Council consideration at a future meeting, subject to certain conditions being met; or
 - Other direction as Council deems appropriate(Responsible Department: Planning/EIFD Ad Hoc Committee)

John Jay, Associate Planner, presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive an update regarding the Draft ATP content and provide feedback on the presentation contents and the Draft from the City Council to help ensure local needs are prioritized and met through this effort.

Joseph Dieguez, Kosmont, Consultant, provided a presentation.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff and/or consultant.

Mayor Rich opened for public comments. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Robert
- Linda
- Oliver

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the next steps.

MOTION:

Mayor Rich moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion for the following items for the Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD):

- Dollar for Dollar Match or some additional amount
- Full fiscal analysis; staff to come back to confirm potential funding
- Negotiation with County to contribute for fiscal analysis
- Know exactly staff time obligation to get through EIFD resolution of intention
- Consultant to work with staff and ad hoc committee to ask Board of Supervisors

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Provided the following items for the Enhanced Infrastructure Financing District (EIFD):

- Dollar for Dollar Match or some additional amount
- Full fiscal analysis; staff to come back to confirm potential funding
- Negotiation with County to contribute for fiscal analysis
- Know exactly staff time obligation to get through EIFD resolution of intention
- Consultant to work with staff and ad hoc committee to ask Board of Supervisors

Minute Order Number: 2024-290

Councilmember Maurer departed the meeting at 10:37 pm.

14. Receipt of Meet Your Neighbors (MYN) and Sebastopol Neighborhood Communication Unit (SNCU) Presentation and Approval of Agreement to Provide Funding Assistance to Gravenstein Health Action Coalition (GHAC) (Responsible Department: Fire)

Fire Chief Bray presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the Meet Your Neighbors (MYN) and Sebastopol Neighborhood Communication Unit (SNCU) Presentation and Approval of Agreement to Provide Funding Assistance to Gravenstein Health Action Coalition (GHAC)

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comments. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Linda
- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the next steps.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve Agreement to Provide Funding Assistance to Gravenstein Health Action Coalition (GHAC) for the Meet Your Neighbors (MYN) and Sebastopol Neighborhood Communication Unit (SNCU) Program.

Presentation to be returned to a future City Council Meeting.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: Councilmember Maurer

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Provide Funding Assistance to Gravenstein Health Action Coalition (GHAC) for the Meet Your Neighbors (MYN) and Sebastopol Neighborhood Communication Unit (SNCU) Program.

Minute Order Number: 2024-290

15. Consideration of Approval of Resolution of the City Council of the City of Sebastopol appointing Marsha Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Project. The Fiscal Impact of appointing Marcia Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Projects will be no more than \$1,500 This is included in the approved fiscal year 2025 budget (Human Resources).

Deborah Muchmore, MuchmoreThanConsulting, Human Resources Consultant, presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve a resolution appointing Marsha Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Project. The Fiscal Impact of appointing Marcia Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Projects will be no more than \$1,500 This is included in the approved fiscal year 2025 budget.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff and/or consultant.

Mayor Rich opened for public comments. The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment.

- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

There was no discussion.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember McLewis seconded the motion to approve resolution

appointing Marsha Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Project. The Fiscal Impact of appointing Marcia Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Projects will be no more than \$1,500 This is included in the approved fiscal year 2025 budget.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved resolution appointing Marsha Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Project. The Fiscal Impact of appointing Marcia Raines, Retired Annuitant Special Projects will be no more than \$1,500 This is included in the approved fiscal year 2025 budget.

Minute Order Number: 2024-291
Resolution Number: 6629-2024

The following items were not heard due to length of meeting and Council protocols.

- 6. ~~Approval of Amendment to Electronics Device Policy/Newly Named City Council Policy Number 11 (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/City Clerk/City Attorney)~~

City Council Action: None Taken. Item Not Heard.
Reference Order Number: 2024-283

~~ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor’s discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.~~

~~CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:~~

- ~~1. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)
 - ~~a. Departmental Reports~~
Reference Order Number: ~~2024-292~~~~
- ~~2. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)~~
- ~~3. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)~~

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

November 19, 2024 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the Regular Meeting of Tuesday, December 3, 2024 at 6:00 pm, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Mayor Rich adjourned the City Council Meeting of November 19, 2024 at 10:54 pm to the City Council Regular Meeting of Tuesday, December 3, 2024 at 6:00 pm, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Respectfully Submitted:

Mary Gourley
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment:
Raw Zoom Minutes

PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS. >> PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS.

I WANT TO DO A CHECK IN . IS I.T. READY? IS STAFF READY? WE ARE NOT GOING TO GO ON YET. WE ARE GOING TO WAIT FOR JUST A MINUTE CELL TOWER COUNCILMEMBERS ARE PREPARED. STAFF, ARE YOU READY TO GO, ALSO? YES? OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, AND YOU HEAR US? THUMBS-UP, YES. THANK YOU. ALL RIGHT, AS SOON AS WE HEAR THE MAGICAL RECORDING HAS BEGUN, WE WILL START.

RECORDING IN PROGRESS. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WELCOME TO EVERYONE TO OUR CITY COUNCIL MEETING THIS EVENING. WE ARE GOING TO JUST RUN THROUGH THIS AGENDA AS EFFICIENTLY AS WE CAN. WE HAVE A LOT OF ITEMS TO COVER TONIGHT. THE FIRST ITEM IS A ROLLCALL FROM OUR CITY CLERK.

THANK YOU. THIS FOR THE FOUR NOVEMBER 19 CITY COUNCIL MEETING. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON , COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, AND COUNCILMEMBER MAURER IS ATTENDING. IT IS AN EMERGENCY CIRCUMSTANCE, WE WILL ME TO DO A MOTION TO AUTHORIZE THE A.B. 2499 TO THE PATIENT.

I AM REQUESTING THAT I PARTICIPATE IN TONIGHT'S MEETING VIRTUALLY FOR MEDICAL REASONS. THERE ARE NO OTHER INDIVIDUALS IN THE ROOM WITH ME. I WILL PARTICIPATE THROUGH BOTH AUDIO AND VISUAL TECHNOLOGY DURING THE ENTIRE MEETING. >> THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. >> MOTION TO APPROVE.

SECOND. >> ROLLCALL VOTE, PLEASE.

[ROLL BEING CALLED] MOTION PASSES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SOUNDS LIKE WE HAD VOICES COMING THROUGH ON THIS ZOOM. HOPEFULLY WE CAN PREVENT THAT. NEXT ITEM IS SALUTE TO THE FLAG, PLEASE STAND.

THANK YOU, EVERYONE. WE NOW ARE AT ACCLAMATION'S AND INTRODUCTIONS. THERE ARE NONE. OUR NEXT ITEM IS STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, LOOKING TO MY FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS, ANY STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST , SEEING NINE, WE WILL MOVE ON. THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. COULD YOU PLEASE LEAVE THAT FOR US, MAYOR?

THIS IS THE FIRST PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. IT IS A 20 MINUTE TIME LIMIT. IT IS TWO MINUTES PER SPEAKER . WOULD COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM. I WILL JUMP IN WHEN YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS LEFT. MARIAH, CAN YOU CHECK TO SEE IF THE GREEN BUTTON IS ON? CAN YOU PRESS IT?

HI, I'M MARIAH, THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF THE SEBASTOPOL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND I'M BARBARA WITH THE FARMERS MARKET. WE WANTED TO READ A STATEMENT FOR YOU. ON BEHALF OF THE FARMERS MARKET, THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND THE DOWNTOWN ASSOCIATION . IN RESPONSE TO RECENT CONCERNS REGARDING THE EXPANSION OF THE LOCAL FARMERS MARKET AND ITS IMPACT ON DOWNTOWN BUSINESSES AND PARKING , REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL , THE CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, DOWNTOWN BUSINESS ASSOCIATION AND THE FARMERS MARKET MET TODAY TO DISCUSS THE SITUATION . THIS COLLABORATIVE

MEETING REFLECTED THE STRONG COMMITMENT ALL PARTIES HAVE TO WORK TOGETHER TOWARDS A RESOLUTION THAT BENEFITS THE ENTIRE SEBASTOPOL COMMUNITY. WE UNDERSTAND THE VALUE THAT THE FARMERS MARKET RINGS AS A BELOVED COMMUNITY INSTITUTION AS WELL AS THE IMPORTANCE OF ENSURING A THRIVING DOWNTOWN BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT . OUR DISCUSSION FOCUSED ON IDENTIFYING ACTIONABLE STEPS TO ADDRESS THE CURRENT CHALLENGES SUCH AS PARKING AND PERMANENT AREAS WHILE MAINTAINING THE VIBRANCY AND SUCCESS OF BOTH OF THE MARKET AND LOCAL BUSINESSES. WE ARE UNIFIED IN OUR BELIEF THAT BY WORKING TOGETHER WE CAN FIND SOLUTIONS THAT SUPPORT ALL STAKEHOLDERS. SEBASTOPOL THRIVES WHEN WE PRIORITIZE COLLABORATION OVER DIVISION . WE WANT TO REASSURE THE COMMUNITY THAT WE ARE COMMITTED TO FOSTERING A POSITIVE AND PROTECT THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN ALL PARTIES. THE CITY MARKET ORGANIZERS AND DOWNTOWN BUSINESSES ARE ALL ESSENTIAL THREADS IN THE FABRIC OF OUR TOWN AND WE ARE DEDICATED TO ENSURING MUTUAL SUCCESS.

I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I CONCUR WITH EVERYTHING THAT SHE SAYS AND I APPRECIATE THE CITY IN WORKING WITH US TO IRON OUT ALL THE ISSUES.

THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF , PLEASE? THANK YOU, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

BEFORE YOU START MY TWO MINUTES , I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A POINT OF ORDER. IF YOU WOULD JUST ANSWER ME, THE COMMUNICATION VIA ZOOM , SINCE IT WAS AN ACTION ITEM AS FAR AS I CAN TELL SINCE THERE WAS A MOTION --.

I HAVE TO INTERRUPT. CAN WE PLEASE START THE TWO MINUTES, I CAN BE CORRECTED BY CITY ATTORNEY OR CITY CLERK IF YOU FEEL THERE IS A NEED.

THE POINT OF ORDER , IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THERE SHOULD HAVE BEEN --AND I'M ASKING YOU, IS THERE A PUBLIC COMMENT, SHOULD THERE HAVE BEEN A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THAT ACTION ITEM THAT WE DID? OF COURSE I'M IN AGREEMENT, BUT JUST POINT OF ORDER, SHOULD THERE HAVE BEEN PUBLIC COMMENT BEFORE YOU ALL VOTED ON IT? SO, I'M ASKING, AND THIS IS NOT MY PUBLIC COMMENT.

I'M SORRY, LINDA, THIS IS PART OF YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. YOU DO HAVE A LITTLE OVER ONE MINUTE LEFT, PLEASE CONTINUE.

YOU ARE JUST THE WORST MAYOR EVER AND I WILL BE SO GLAD WHEN YOU ARE GONE. UNFORTUNATELY, THE DAMAGE THAT YOU HAVE DONE, SILENCING EVERYBODY AS MUCH AS YOU CAN HAS ALREADY DONE --YOU HAVE LEFT THIS POOR TOWN BARRELING TOWARD BANKRUPTCY , THE \$5 MILLION LOAN THAT YOU STILL WON'T ACKNOWLEDGE, THE 2 MILLION FOR THE WIRELESS WATER METERS THAT ARE FAILING. YOU STILL WON'T ACKNOWLEDGE , YOU ARE THE WORST AND I HOPE TO GOD THAT THE REST OF THE CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS DO NOT FOLLOW IN YOUR FOOTSTEPS.

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

VERY BAD ROLE MODELS , EVEN PATRICK SLATER AND THE PREVIOUS MAYORS HAD THE COURTESY TO ANSWER THE QUESTIONS THAT THE PUBLIC BROUGHT UP AT PUBLIC COMMENT . YOU KNOW, DURING THE PERIOD OF TIME, DURING THE CITY COUNCIL MEETING , YOU KNOW, AND YOU HAVE NOT OBSESSED YOU DECIMATED OUR POLICE FORCE AND OFFICE.

THANK YOU, LINDA. THAT IS TWO MINUTES. AND I WILL INTERJECT TO REMIND EVERYONE THAT THE FIRST AMENDMENT ALLOWS FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND WE HAVE TO RESPECT EVERYONE'S OPINIONS INCLUDING THOSE THAT MIGHT NOT THE ONES THAT WE WOULD EXPRESS. THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR YOUR PATIENCE.

THANK YOU, I WILL GO BACK INTO CHAMBERSBURG IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. SEEING NINE I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? >> YES I CAN. I'M NOT ABLE TO UNDO THE VIDEO FOR SOME REASON.

THAT'S CORRECT. WE DON'T UNDO THE VIDEO BUT GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

I DID SEND A LETTER TO THE CITY COUNCIL ABOUT TWO WEEKS AGO CONCERNING A QUESTION AND CONCERN OVER THE WOODMARK DEVELOPMENT , THAT AS YOU KNOW FOR MANY YEARS DURING THE PANDEMIC, THERE WERE QUITE A FEW PEOPLE WHO WERE CONCERNED ABOUT THE DEVELOPMENT. I LIVE NEXT DOOR TO IT AT 7720 BODEGA AVENUE. THAT BUILDING WAS BUILT THROUGH , BY THEM GOING AROUND OUR CITY GOVERNMENTS, GOING AROUND OUR SANTA ROSA COUNTY GOVERNMENT AND GOING TO THE STATE AND GETTING FUNDING FOR A DEVELOPMENT THAT WAS FOR FARMWORKERS THAT WAS NOT WELL THOUGHT OUT . IT WAS WOODMARK APARTMENTS PACIFIC WEST DEVELOPERS ARE FROM HIGH TO LOW -- I DO HOPE YOU THEY BUILD HUGE BUILDINGS ALL OVER CALIFORNIA FROM OUR STATE MONEY. THAT WHOLE DEVELOPMENT IS SITTING HERE EMPTY BECAUSE THEY CAN'T GET FARMWORKERS WHO THEY SWORE LIVED NEARBY AND HAD PUBLIC TRANSPORTATION FROM SANTA ROSA. WE HAVE 48 UNITS AND WE ARE IN A DESPERATE NEED FOR HOUSING FOR PEOPLE , BUT NO ONE CAN LIVE THERE BECAUSE THEY DON'T FIT THE CRITERIA . WHAT I WANT TO KNOW IS, WHAT RESPONSIBILITY OUR CITY AND COUNTY GOVERNMENT HAS TOWARDS OUR REPRESENTATIVES IN THE STATE TO DEALING WITH THIS ISSUE. >> THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERSBURG IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM. SEEING THAT I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. MATTHEW, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF ? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

DO WE NEED TO ISSUE A WARNING TO THIS INDIVIDUAL ? SO, IT LOOKS LIKE HE IS OFF. BUT I WILL TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO ISSUE A REMINDER TO EVERYONE THAT WE DO HAVE A ROLE HERE DISRUPTIVE CONDUCT OR COMMENTS ARE NOT ALLOWED WITHIN THESE CHAMBERS . WHAT WE ARE OBLIGATED TO DO IS GIVE A QUICK REMINDER TO THOSE WHO MIGHT DISRUPT THIS MEETING AND THEN GIVE THEM THE OPPORTUNITY TO CORRECT THEIR ACTIONS SO THAT THEY MIGHT SHARE THEIR SPEECH WITH US. GO AHEAD, MAYOR.

I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, JAMES, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YEAH, CAN YOU HEAR ME? I JUST WANTED TO SAY, KILL ALL --.

I'M GOING TO TALK OVER THIS INDIVIDUAL. JAMES, TO THE EXTENT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SHARE YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT WITH US, PLEASE KNOW THAT THE STATEMENT YOU ARE TRYING TO SHARE WITH US IS NOT APPROPRIATE WITHIN THESE CHAMBERS. PLEASE SHARE YOUR OPINION BUT DO NOT USE LANGUAGE OR ANY SORT OF VERBIAGE THAT IS DISCRIMINATORY , THAT IS MARGINALIZING OR INSULTING TO ANY PARTICULAR INDIVIDUALS OF RACE AND PLEASE DO NOT CURSE. THANK YOU, MARY.

JAMES, I WILL MUTE YOU AGAIN. PLEASE GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. >> JAMES, CAN YOU HEAR US? I WILL COME BACK TO IN JUST A SECOND. JAMES P, CAN YOU HEAR US OKAY? JAMES, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? >> YEAH. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER.

IT SAYS TWO MINUTES, YEAH.

GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS BUT YOU HAVE BEEN WARNED.

HOW OLD ARE YOU? I MEAN YOU STILL HAVEN'T LEARNED? YOU SHOULDN'T POST YOUR RESUME ON GOOGLE. HOLD ON LET ME SEND THIS LINK , I WANTED TO SAY AGAIN, -

I'M GOING TO KEEP TALKING. JAMES SPACER, YOU ARE BEING EXCUSED FROM THIS MEETING . YOU ARE NOT ALLOWED TO MAKE ANY COMMENTS AT THIS MEETING , PLEASE PICK UP WITH THE NEXT INDIVIDUAL. >> I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS, I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. SINGH NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SINGH NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH . A COMMENT TO THE WONDERFUL OPEN-MINDED AND POSITIVE AND OPTIMISTIC COMMUNITY ENGAGED PUBLIC THAT WE HAVE IN THIS TOWN, IT IS UNFORTUNATE WHEN WE HAVE THESE INCIDENTS , BUT FOR ME IT JUST UNDERSCORES WHAT A WONDERFUL TOWN WE HAVE. THIS IS VERY UNUSUAL FOR US AND WE DO STILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE RIGHT TO FREE SPEECH IN THIS TOWN , SO THANK YOU FOR YOUR TOLERANCE AND YOUR PATIENCE AS WE MOVE THROUGH THE PROCESS THAT OUR CITY ATTORNEY ALEX HAS MADE CLEAR THAT WE NEED TO RESPECT. WE ARE MOVING ONTO THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA, WHICH IS THE CONSENT CALENDAR . ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR TONIGHT WE HAVE EIGHT ITEMS. THE PROCEDURE IS, I WILL READ THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. HAVE WE PASSED THE POLICY THAT WOULD ALLOW ME TO APPROACH THESE IN A SUMMARY FASHION?

THE POLICIES ARE ON THE CONSENT AGENDA.

ARE CURRENT POLICIES TO READ EACH TITLE AND TO THE EXTENT THAT COUNCILMEMBERS WANT TO REMOVE ANY ITEMS FROM THE AGENDA WE WILL DO THAT AND THEN WE GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT ON ANY OF THE AGENDA ITEMS. I SHOULD TURN TO YOU, IF YOU COULD, THERE WAS A QUESTION PRESENTED BY ONE OF OUR PUBLIC SPEAKERS ABOUT WHETHER THERE WAS A NECESSITY ON THE APPROVAL OF COUNCILMEMBER MAURER PARTICIPATION WHETHER THERE WAS A NEED TO --. >> FOR THAT LIMITED ACTION.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. HERE IS OUR CONSENT CALENDAR. APPROVAL OF THE MINUTES OF CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING , CLOSED SESSION, NOVEMBER 4th, 2024 . NUMBER TWO, APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF NOVEMBER 5th, 2024. NUMBER THREE, APPROVAL OF THE BIENNIAL REVIEW OF CITY OF SEBASTOPOL CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE. AS REQUIRED BY THE POLITICAL REFORM ACT . THE CITY IS REQUIRED TO REVIEW AND AMEND BIENNIALLY , THE PREVIOUSLY ADOPTED CONFLICT OF INTEREST CODE. DISCO DESIGNATES EMPLOYEES WHO MUST PERIODICALLY DISCLOSE CERTAIN PERSONAL FINANCIAL HOLDINGS BASED ON THEIR POSITION BY FILING A STATEMENT OF ECONOMIC INTERESTS , THAT IS FORM 700. THESE FILERS ARE INFORMALLY REFERRED TO AS DESIGNATED OFFICIALS. ITEM NUMBER FOUR, APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES AS

DIRECTED AT THE NOVEMBER 5th, 2024 CITY COUNCIL MEETING . THESE WERE POLICY NUMBER SIX , CITY COUNCIL POLICY FOR AGENDA PREPARATION, AGENDA REVIEW COMMITTEE , ITEM B, RULES OF CONDUCT OF ITS MEETINGS, PROCEEDINGS AND CITY BUSINESS. CONSENT ITEM NUMBER FIVE , APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES . THERE ARE THREE, POLICY NUMBER EIGHT NINE AND 10, POLICY NUMBER EIGHT IS CITY COUNCIL LIAISON, NUMBER NINE IS CITY COUNCIL AND CITY STAFF ANNUAL COMMITTEE ASSIGNMENTS, POLICY NUMBER 10 IS CITY COUNCIL AD HOC COMMITTEES. OUR NEXT ITEM ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS NUMBER SIX, APPROVAL OF THE AMENDMENT TO ELECTRONICS DEVICE POLICY , NEWLY NAMED CITY COUNCIL POLICY NUMBER 11. CONSENT ITEM NUMBER SEVEN, ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION REVISING THE CITIES PUBLICLY AVAILABLE PAY SCHEDULE, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024. ITEM NUMBER EIGHT, APPROVAL OF FUNDING AGREEMENT FOR USE OF THE FISCAL YEAR 2022-2023 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT BLOCK GRANT CDBG FUNDS FOR THE BURBANK FARM AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT PROJECT . I KNOW THAT THERE IS A MODIFICATION ON THIS ITEM NUMBER EIGHT AND I'M GOING TO TURN TO OUR CITY CLERK MARY GURNEY.

THERE IS A TYPO ON ITEM NUMBER EIGHT WHERE IT REFERS TO THE CITY HALL A.D.A. PROJECT RATHER THAN THE BURBANK FARMS A.D.A. PROJECT . THE AGENDA ITSELF REFLECTS THE BURBANK FARMS, NOT CITY HALL, --

CAN WE TAKE CARE OF THAT IMAGE. LET'S ELIMINATE THAT INDIVIDUAL, PLEASE. JUST SO EVERYONE KNOWS, THOSE VIDEOS , WHEN THEY HAVE UNACCEPTABLE IMAGES ARE EDITED OUT BEFORE THEY ARE POSTED. GO AHEAD, MARY.

WHILE THERE IS ONE PLACE IN THE REPORT WHERE REFERENCES CITY HALL AND THE AGENDA REPORT IT IS CLEAR IN THE REPORT THAT THE PROJECT RELATING TO THE BURBANK FARMS A.D.A. PROJECT. IT CAN BE APPROVED AS SUCH AND DOES NOT NEED TO BE REMOVED FOR THAT SPECIFIC ISSUE. >> LOOKING TOWARDS OUR CITY ATTORNEY FOR CONFIRMATION, WE CAN GO AHEAD AND CONSIDER THIS ITEM AND JUST CORRECT THAT ONE ERROR IN THE STAFF REPORT.

YES.

WE HAVE THESE EIGHT ITEMS . I AM NOW LOOKING AT MY FELLOW CITY COUNCILMEMBERS TO SEE IF ANYONE WANTS TO REMOVE THEM. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I WOULD LIKE TO REMOVE ITEM NUMBER SIX, PLEASE.

ITEM NUMBER SIX HAS BEEN REMOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND THAT ITEM FOR THE PUBLIC IS THE APPROVAL OF AMENDMENT TO ELECTRONICS DEVICE POLICY NEWLY NAMED CITY COUNCIL POLICY NUMBER 11. THAT ITEM UNDER OUR CURRENT POLICY WOULD BE ADDRESSED BY THE CITY COUNCIL AT THE END OF OUR REGULAR AGENDA. CAN I ASK QUICKLY WHETHER THERE IS AN URGENCY ON THIS ITEM IF IN FACT WE DON'T GET TO IT UNTIL NEXT MEETING? IS THERE ANY PROBLEM WITH THAT?

THERE IS NO URGENCY. WE CAN DEAL WITH IT AT THE NEXT MEETING IF WE DON'T GET TO TONIGHT. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY OTHER ITEMS THAT COUNCILMEMBERS WOULD LIKE TO REMOVE? LOOKING TO COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ONLINE. I DON'T SEE HER INDICATING ANY INTEREST . SO, MARY, IF YOU COULD PLEASE GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON ALL ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR EXCEPT ITEM NUMBER SIX, PLEASE?

THANK YOU. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ONLY OTHER THAN ITEM NUMBER SIX. PLEASE IF WE COULD BE RESPECTFUL . YOU HAVE BEEN WARNED ALREADY. IF THERE IS ANY DEROGATORY COMMENTS OR VIDEOS WE WILL REMOVE YOU FROM THE MEETING.

I WAS SURPRISED THAT A VIDEO WAS ABLE TO BREAK THROUGH . LOOKING TO I.T., IS THERE ANYTHING WE NEED TO DO TO ENSURE THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN AGAIN? >> WE WILL NEED TO WORK WITH I.T. TO SEE IF THERE IS ANY I -- UPDATE. I WILL WORK WITH THEM RIGHT AFTER.

GO AHEAD, MARY GOURLEY.

AGAIN, THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS ONLY . IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, WE WILL GO INTO CHAMBERS FIRST, TWO MINUTE TIME LIMIT AND THEN I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN THE CHAMBER THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR, SEEING THEN I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

THANK YOU FOR GETTING MY QUESTION ANSWERED , ALBEIT . SEE HOW EASY THAT WAS? ANYWAY, ON THE PROCEDURES AND PROTOCOL HERE, I HAVE SOMETHING ELSE COME UP AND THAT IS A COUPLE OF MEETINGS AGO WHEN HE PRESENTED HIS [INAUDIBLE] PRESENTATION ON HIS MUNICIPAL WATER SYSTEM --. >> LINDA, I DON'T MEAN TO INTERRUPT YOU BUT IF YOU COULD SPEAK UP, WE ARE HAVING DIFFICULTY HEARING YOU.

THANK YOU. MARY , A LITTLE MORE TIME, SO THE POINT IS THAT I'M GETTING TO THE PROCEDURES AND PROTOCOL . IT TURNS OUT THAT APPARENTLY WE ARE LACKING SOME CRITICAL INFORMATION THAT DID NOT GET RECORDED WHEN DANTE GAVE HIS VERY GOOD PRESENTATION ON WATER SYSTEM ALONG WITH SOME OF THE BUDGET CONSTRAINTS AND COSTS THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT LIKE A \$4 MILLION WATER WELL . MARY, YOU KNOW, APPARENTLY THAT THE AUDIO OF HIS PRESENTATION DID NOT GET RECORDED AND THAT MEANS THAT I WHO ARE COMPUTER FREE AND EVERYBODY ELSE CAN I GET A RECORD OF THE AUDIO OF WHAT HE SAID. AND SO,

LINDA, I'M VERY SORRY. MARY, COULD YOU STOP LINDA'S TIME HERE. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT YOU ARE INTENDING -- WE UNDERSTAND WHICH ITEM CONSENT ITEM YOU ARE INTENDING TO COMMENT ON. BECAUSE THERE IS NOT A CONSENT ITEM THAT INVOLVES WATER AND SEWER BUT WE MAY BE MISUNDERSTANDING YOUR PERSPECTIVE HERE. WHICH CONSENT ITEM?

AS I SAID EARLIER, MAYOR, PROCEDURES AND PROTOCOLS . IT SEEMS TO ME THAT WE NEED -- BECAUSE WHAT HE SAID WAS CRITICAL AND IT DID NOT GET RECORDED , DID NOT GET RECORDED . THE AUDIO DID NOT GET RECORDED. SANDY AND I HAVE REQUESTED --. I PAY \$25 HAPPILY FOR A DVD OF --.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 10 SECONDS.

ANYWAY, I WANT TO KNOW , I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE HIM DO THAT ALL OVER AGAIN BECAUSE IT WAS CRITICAL INFORMATION.

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE IS ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR, PLEASE COME UP TO THE PODIUM .

SEEING THEN I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. GERALD, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, --. >> [INDISCERNIBLE] >> THIS IS YOUR WARNING. IF YOU WANT TO CONTINUE WITH PUBLIC COMMENT -- OR WORDS WITHIN THIS CHAMBER. MARY GOURLEY.

WE HAVE GIVEN THE WARNING TO EVERYONE, SO WE HAVE WARNED THEM TWICE NOW SO IF IT HAPPENS AGAIN WE WILL BE REMOVING THEM FROM THE MEETING . AND THAT WOULD APPLY TO ANYONE.

LITTLE WARNING TO EVERYONE ABOUT WHETHER IN CHAMBERS OR ON ZOOM, ANY VIOLATION OF OUR POLICIES WILL RESULT IN YOUR IMMEDIATE REMOVAL FROM THIS MEETING . OF COURSE WE HOPE THAT INSTEAD YOU WILL SHARE YOUR APPROPRIATE COMMENT WITH US.

I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT. SEEING I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM . ADAM, WOULD YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

[INDISCERNIBLE] . >> I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WANTS TO MAKE ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM . JAMES, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF AND, AGAIN, THIS IS A WARNING FOR EVERYONE THAT ONCE WE -- IF YOU HAVE DEROGATORY COMMENTS , VIDEOS OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT YOU WILL BE REMOVED FROM THE MEETING. GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE YOURSELF, JAMES. JAMES, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS . SEEING NONE , I WILL GO ONE LESS TIME-OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU. AND JUST FOR CLARIFICATION, IF THERE IS ONE INDIVIDUAL WHO DURING A MEETING GETS A WARNING , DOES THAT WARNING EXTEND TO ALL OTHERS WHO MIGHT THEN VIOLATE OUR POLICIES?

YES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT IS VERY HELPFUL. >> WE ARE BACK TO THE COUNSEL TABLE ON OUR CONSENT ITEMS . THESE ARE ITEMS ONE THROUGH EIGHT WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ITEM NUMBER SIX. ANY DISCUSSION FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? AND I SEE NOTHING FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. I AM LOOKING FOR A MOTION TO APPROVE ALL THE ITEM NUMBERS.

I MOVED TO APPROVE CONSENT ITEMS , ALL OF THEM MINUS NUMBER SIX.

SECOND?

I WILL SECOND. >> SO MOVED BY VICE MAYOR IS ONLY AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON TO APPROVE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS WITH ITEM NUMBER SIX REMOVED. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? COUNCILMEMBER MAUER? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, MAYOR RICH, MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. >> EXCELLENT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE NOW ARE --AND I DO WANT TO TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO THE EXTENT THAT THERE ARE QUESTIONS THAT HAVEN'T BEEN ANSWERED THAT ANYONE IN THE PUBLIC HAS REMAINING EITHER THEY PRESENTED THEM OR THEY OCCUR DURING THIS MEETING, PLEASE KNOW THAT YOU WILL GET AN ANSWER TO THOSE QUESTIONS BY REACHING OUT TO OUR CITY CLERK WHO WILL THEN EITHER RESPOND OR WILL MAKE SURE THAT YOUR QUESTION IS SENT TO THE RIGHT

DEPARTMENT HEAD WITHIN CITY HALL. MARY, CAN YOU PLEASE REMIND ME WHAT THE APPROPRIATE MAILING ADDRESS WOULD BE FOR THOSE TO ADDRESS THE QUESTIONS? >> CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.GOV. SPOKE TO THE PERSON WHO WAS ASKING A QUESTION ABOUT WHAT MARK, I SUGGEST THAT IF YOU HAVEN'T ALREADY, DIRECT THE QUESTION TO THE EMAIL ADDRESS THAT MAYOR GOURLEY HAS PROVIDED. NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA , WE ARE GOING INTO OUR INFORMATIONAL ITEMS AND PRESENTATIONS. WE ARE AT ITEM NUMBER 9 , INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION , QUARTERLY REPORT, ENTERPRISE FUNDS AND I DO BELIEVE THIS ITEM IS BEING PRESENTED BY ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES AND CITY MANAGERS , SO I WILL LOOK TO OUR CITY MANAGER TO START PICK

I WOULD LIKE TO GET OFF BRIEFLY BEFORE TURNING OVER TO OUR DIRECTOR OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES. ONE OF THE REQUESTS WE HAVE HEARD FOR QUITE A WHILE NOW AND WE ARE ABLE TO DELIVER ON TONIGHT IS TO HAVE QUARTERLY REPORTS ON THE STATE OF OUR FUNDS . TONIGHT WE ARE STARTING WITH THE ENTERPRISE FUND AND THEN WE WILL GO TO GENERAL FUND. FIRST QUARTER REPORTS ARE RATHER UNUSUAL, THE PRACTICE OF GOING OUT TO THE COUNCIL AND COMMUNITY IS A FAIRLY COMMON PRACTICE AND A GOOD THING TO DO. I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO START THIS OFF WITH A FIRST EVER AS I KNOW QUARTERLY REPORT AND THEN IF YOU HAVE FEEDBACK ON FORMAT AND CONTENT, AND YOU HAVE THAT ALONG WITH THE SUBSTANCE OF THE PRESENTATION I WELCOME THAT AS WELL. WITH THAT I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO ANA KWONG.

I'M GOING TO INTERJECT A COMMENT I SHOULD HAVE MADE EARLIER, BUT YOU REFERENCED TO DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS AND THIS OPPORTUNITY REMINDED ME THAT I SHOULD MAKE SURE THE PUBLIC IS AWARE OF THE VERY USEFUL AND HELPFUL DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN TONIGHT'S PACKET. THERE ARE DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS FROM EVERY SINGLE DEPARTMENT AND THEY ARE REALLY WORTH LOOKING AT AND PROVIDE A VERY USEFUL REFERENCE POINT FOR ANYONE WHO IS WONDERING WHAT OUR HARD-WORKING STAFF MEMBERS ARE DOING. GO AHEAD.

I'M READY TO HAVE ANA KWONG TAKE IT FROM HERE. >> I JUST WANTED TO TEST BECAUSE THERE IS NO LIGHT IN HERE. AUDIO IS GOOD. SO, THANK YOU, CITY MANAGER. GOOD EVENING , COUNCILMEMBERS. I WILL SHARE A POWERPOINT. I JUST HAD A BRIEF POWERPOINT TO GO THROUGH. SO, THIS WILL PROVIDE A SNAPSHOT OF SEPTEMBER 30th FOR THE ENTERPRISE FUND. THE FUND WERE SIGNIFICANTLY UNFUNDED UNTIL THE INCREASE IN JULY FIRST OF 2024, A COUPLE MONTHS AGO. THESE FUNDS COVER ACTIVITY RELATED TO MAINTAINING WATER AND WASTEWATER SERVICES AND INCLUDING OPERATION , MEETINGS, BILLING COLLECTION AND RELATED DEBT . AS OF SEPTEMBER 30th, 2024, THE CITY WATER FUND HAS BILLED 31% OF THE BUDGETED REVENUE FOR THE FISCAL YEAR. WHY THE EXPENDITURE SIDE IS TRENDING CLOSE TO THE 25% MARK FOR THE FIRST QUARTER . SIMILARLY TO THE WASTEWATER FUND HAS BILLED 26% OF BUDGETED REVENUE WITH EXPENSES AT 21% BELOW THE MARKET DUE TO THE NATURE OF THE EXPENSES. AT THE BUDGETS COMMITTEE MEETING HELD ON NOVEMBER 7th , THERE WAS INQUIRY REGARDING THE NUMBER OF ACCOUNT DELINQUENCIES FOR THIS QUARTER COMPARED TO THE SAME QUARTER IN A PRIOR YEAR. YOU CAN SEE IN THIS LIGHT IT IS A SMALL INCREASE FROM 304 FOUR THIS QUARTER COMPARED TO THE LAST QUARTER OF 302 ACCOUNTS. THIS SEGWAY INTO THE SLIDE THAT SHOWED THE PENALTY INCOMES THAT THE CITY RECEIVED 40% OF THIS BUDGETED AMOUNT FOR THE PENALTY FOR WATER AND IN CONTRAST , IT IS 213% OF BUDGETED AMOUNT FOR THE WASTEWATER. FINAL THOUGHTS , STAFF CONTINUE TO MONITOR ACTIVITY TO ENSURE FINANCIAL STABILITY FOR THE ENTERPRISE FUND. THE UPCOMING UTILITY STATEMENT INCLUDING IMPORTANT INFORMATION ON HOW TO MANAGE UTILITY ACCOUNTS AND TO

THIS END, MY PRESENTATION AND THIS IS , STAFF RECOMMENDS THE CITY COUNCIL ACCEPT THIS QUARTERLY REPORT SNAPSHOT FOR THE ENTERPRISE FUND AS OF SEPTEMBER 30th, 2024.

THANK YOU PEER QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, I'M SORRY, I CANNOT SEE YOU. PLEASE SPEAK UP IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS.

I DON'T HAVE ANY QUESTIONS. I'M ON THE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

THANK YOU. I DO HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS , FIRST, TURNING TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WHO WOULD BE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD TO WHAT WE HAVE LEARNED SO FAR?

NO.

NOTHING FROM YOU, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. ONE IS , GIVEN THAT WE HAVE RECEIVED MORE IN THIS FIRST QUARTER IN REVENUE, IT'S 31% OF BUDGETED REVENUE VERSUS I THINK SYNDICATED 29% OR SO LAST YEAR, AS I RECALL, BUT THAT POINT IS WE HAVE RECEIVED MORE IN THIS FIRST QUARTER OF OUR BUDGETED REVENUE THEN WE DID LAST YEAR IN THE BUDGETED REVENUE. AT WHAT POINT, THIS RAISES FOR ME AN IMPORTANT QUESTION, AT WHAT POINT DOES THE CITY LOOK AT THE POSSIBILITY OF LOWERING RATES . AT WHAT POINT ARE WE TAKING IN SO MUCH FROM OUR REVENUE THAT IN FACT WE ARE OUTPACING OUR EXPENSES AND SHOULD REASSESS WHAT OUR RATES ARE?

MY SUGGESTION WOULD BE WAIT FOR AT LEAST A YEAR OF EXPERIENCE. WE WOULD EXPECT THE NUMBERS TO BE HIGHER IN THE FIRST QUARTER BECAUSE IT DOES REFLECT THE WARMER SUMMER MONTHS AND THAT IS SHOWN BY THE 29% LAST YEAR AND THE 31% THIS YEAR. BASED ON EXPERIENCE AND DISCUSSION , IT'S LIKELY A RESULT OF SUMMER THAN ANYTHING ELSE . ONE QUARTERS DATA IS NOT ENOUGH IN MY OPINION TO REVISIT RATES . ONE YEARS DATA WOULD BE NOTEWORTHY BUT WHEN QUARTER IS WAY TOO EARLY.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I HAD THE SAME QUESTION BECAUSE BEING ON THE RIGHT STRUCTURE THAT WE DID IT IS CONCERNING TO ME BUT I AGREE WITH THE DATA POINTS --. I WAS WONDERING, I WONDERED --I WAS TRYING DIRECTOR HERE TO FIND OUT HOW MANY COMPLAINTS WE HAVE HAD OR HOW MUCH COMMUNICATION WE RECEIVED BACK FROM THE COMMUNITY BECAUSE WE RECEIVED EMAILS BUT CALLS. I WANT A GENERAL SENSE OF THE COMMUNITY CONCERN AND FRUSTRATION WITH THIS. >> I DON'T HAVE A SOLID NUMBER AS TO HOW MANY COMPLAINTS. IT WASN'T AS BAD AS WE THOUGHT. I MEAN, THERE ARE CONFUSION ABOUT WATER RATE INCREASE AND OF COURSE THERE WAS A BILL WHERE IT WAS A SPLIT BILL. THERE WAS AN OLD RATE VERSUS NEW RATE. SO, WE WERE DEALING WITH THAT , BUT AS FAR AS THE WATER RATE COMPLAINTS OR WATER RATE INCREASE, I DIDN'T SEE A WHOLE LOT. >> I'M GOING TO PUSH ON THAT A LITTLE BIT. WE HAVE HOW MANY CUSTOMERS, CUSTOMERS FOR WATER AND SEWER IN TOWN?

ABOUT 3000 ACCOUNTS.

LOOKING AT 3000 ACCOUNTS AS YOUR ESTIMATE WITHOUT HAVING THE NUMBERS IMMEDIATELY IN FRONT OF YOU , THAT THERE ARE FEWER THAN 10% OF THOSE ?

I WOULD SAY YES.

FEWER THAN 300 COMPLAINTS?

YES.

AND HOW MANY OF THOSE HAVE BEEN RESOLVED SO FAR? >> MOST OF THEM HAVE BEEN RESOLVED.

THAT LEADS ME TO FOLLOW-UP QUESTION WHICH IS, AS WE LOOK AT THESE PROJECTED NUMBERS , WE ARE AWARE THAT THERE HAVE BEEN SOME BILLING ISSUES AND SOME OF THEM HAVE BEEN PEOPLE WHO HAVE BEEN BILLED TOO MUCH, SOME UNDER BILLED . DO YOU EXPECT, AS THOSE REMAINING ISSUES ARE RESOLVED THESE PROJECTIONS TO CHANGE SUBSTANTIALLY?

LIKE THE CITY MANAGER JUST SAID, THIS IS THE FIRST THREE MONTHS OF THE FISCAL YEAR AND THEY ARE SUMMER MONTHS. HE TENDED TO BE A LITTLE HIGHER. I WOULD EXPECT THEM TO TAPER OFF JUST LIKE HOW THE RATES STUDY WAS ESTIMATING. AND IN ADDITIONALLY, STAFF ARE MONITORING THE INCOMES AND EXPENSES THAT COME IN AND SEE HOW THEY LINE UP WITH THE RIGHT MODEL AS WELL. SO WE DON'T HAVE ENOUGH DATA TO SAY EITHER WAY WHETHER WE SHOULD BE GIVING BACK OR REASSESS THE RIGHT STUDY. WE WILL KNOW MORE AFTER A YEAR OR WHEN WE LOOK AT --AS WE GET TO THE WINTER MONTHS, WE WILL KNOW MORE. >> GO AHEAD. >> CAN YOU REMIND ME WHAT THE PROCESS WILL BE FOR US IF WE DO RE-EVALUATE THIS IN A YEAR? WHAT DOES THAT ENTAIL?

WE ARE PLANNING TO BRING THESE REPORTS QUARTERLY. AND THAT IF THE COUNCIL WANTS TO START ANOTHER RATE STUDY , FOR EXAMPLE, YOU WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO AUTHORIZE US TO DO THAT. REALLY AT ANY TIME, BUT THAT WOULD BE MY SUGGESTION , TO GET A YEARS WORTH OF DATA TO SEE WHERE WE ARE. ON BOTH REVENUE AND EXPENSE. >> I HAD ONE OTHER QUESTION. I WAS LOOKING IN HERE TO SEE, BECAUSE I KNOW WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT THE WATER HOLLERS AND MAKING CERTAIN THAT THEY ARE BEING CHARGED A HIGHER RATE , I JUST WANT TO VERIFY AGAIN, THAT IS HAPPENING AND THERE IS NO ISSUES WITH IT.

IT IS HAPPENING. THEY ARE BEING CHARGED A HIGHER RATE? >> OKAY I THINK, I WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTAND, WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS THAT ONE OF YOUR REASONS FOR SAYING WE SHOULD WAIT LONGER TO ASSESS IT IS BECAUSE THERE MIGHT IN FACT BE SOME REDUCTION OR INCREASE, SOME CHANGE IN THESE PROJECTIONS AS REMAINING WATER USER AND WATER AND SEWER USER ACCOUNTS ARE ADJUSTED BECAUSE OF ORIGINAL BILLING ISSUES THAT AROSE. >> THIS IS STILL SUMMER MONTHS WHEN PEOPLE IRRIGATE. AND AS WE APPROACH WINTER MONTHS, CONSUMPTION COULD BE FLUCTUATING .

MY QUESTION WAS WHETHER YOU EXPECT THAT CORRECTIONS TO BILLINGS ARE GOING TO SUBSTANTIALLY OF DUCT PROJECTIONS.

I DON'T BELIEVE SO .

OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GO AHEAD COUNCILMEMBER. >> HAVE YOU RECEIVED ANY COMPLAINTS, CONCERNS FROM THE SCHOOLS, BUSINESSES ? THAT IS ONE OF OUR

BIGGEST CONCERNS. SOME OF THE -- SOME OF THOSE WOULD HAVE SIGNIFICANT INCREASES. I HAVEN'T SEEN ANY IN EMAILS.

I HAVEN'T HEARD FROM SCHOOL OR BUSINESSES.

I HAD AN ADDITIONAL QUESTION, WHICH IS REGARDING THE PENALTY AMOUNTS. I WAS STRUCK BY THE FACT THAT THE NUMBER OF ACCOUNTS ARE APPROXIMATELY THE SAME , DELINQUENT ACCOUNTS. GET THE PENALTY AMOUNT COLLECTED IS SUBSTANTIALLY HIGHER. IT LOOKS LIKE , FOR THE PRIOR YEAR THAT TOTAL COLLECTED WAS 16,000. YOU INDICATED IN THE STAFF REPORT AND THIS YEAR IT IS ABOUT 37,000. CAN HELP US UNDERSTAND THAT? DID THE PENALTY AMOUNT GO UP? WAS IT PER CUSTOMER HIGHER?

THE 23 -24 FOR WATER WAS ABOUT 21,000 --21,600 FOR THE WHOLE YEAR AND THEN SO FAR WE RECEIVED ABOUT \$6000 FOR THE FIRST QUARTER .

I MUST BE MISUNDERSTANDING THIS. THE SCHOOL YOUR 23-24 TOTALS WERE ABOUT 37,000?

WITH THE SLIGHT WITHOUT PENALTY, IT'S SAYING WE BUDGETED \$15,000 FOR WATER AND WE RECEIVED 6000 SO FAR. IT'S LIKE 40% OF THE BUDGETED .

SAME QUESTION, A SOUND LIKE THE AMOUNT COLLECTED FROM PENALTIES IS HIGHER AT THIS POINT IN THE YEAR THAN IT WAS LAST YEAR AT THE SAME POINT, IS THAT CORRECT?

I DON'T KNOW IF IT IS HIGHER AT THIS YEAR . I DON'T HAVE THE ANSWER WHETHER IT IS HIGHER AT THIS QUARTER. I JUST --THE PREVIOUS SLIDE WHICH IS THING HOW MANY ACCOUNTS WE HAVE AT THE SAME QUARTER , AS FAR AS WE ELECTED FROM LAST QUARTER TO THIS QUARTER, I DIDN'T LOOK AT THAT. I'M JUST LOOKING AT THE SLIDE, I'M LOOKING AT THE ENTIRE YEAR AND WHAT WAS BUDGETED COMPARED TO WHAT WE HAVE COLLECTED FOR THE FIRST QUARTER.

THAT IS FINE FOR NOW. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? CAN WE GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE?

THANK YOU. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE INFORMATIONAL ITEM ON THE ENTERPRISE FUND. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. THING ON, I WILL GO TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, GO AHEAD. >> OKAY, SO I GUESS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT WATER, IN RECENT THE LAST TWO YEARS --DAYS, I HAVE A LOT OF GOOD INFORMATION ABOUT OUR WATER SYSTEM AND MASTER PLAN ELEMENTS FROM THE PRESENTATION MEETINGS AGO AND ALSO I HAVE THE WATER MASTER PLANS FROM SEPTEMBER 2005 WHICH IS THE MOST RECENT THING. ANYWAY, IT IS PRETTY BLEAK ON WHAT OUR NEEDS ARE AS FAR AS IN THE STRUCTURE GOES. SEWER AND THE PAVEMENT THING BUT THE WATER THING, WE HAVE THE MAIN PIPELINE AT AVENUE THAT HAS RECENTLY COLLAPSED . WE HAVE A FOUR FOOT BY FOUR FOOT SINKHOLE RIGHT THERE NEAR THE UNOCCUPIED -- I GUESS IT IS NEAR THE UNOCCUPIED WHAT MARK , 45 UNITS THAT ARE VACANT FOR A MONTH DESPITE THE HOUSING NEEDS. ANYWAY, THE WATER SYSTEM IS CRUMBLING . IT IS WAY PAST DUE. IF YOU WERE PAYING ATTENTION TO THE PRESENTATION, A LOT OF IT WAS BUILT IN THE 1930S AND THEN THERE WAS ANOTHER BUILDING BOOM IN THE 1960S TO THE 1980S AND NOW WE ARE EXPRESSING ANOTHER ONE -- . SO THAT IS NOT EVEN COUNTING THE WATER --WIRELESS WATER METERS WHICH ARE FAILING. YOU OUGHT TO LOOK INTO THAT ,

WHY YOU ARE GETTING MESSED UP READINGS , AND I HAVE TWO DIFFERENT STORIES. ONE FROM ERIC AND THEN DANTE WHO LIED ABOUT THE WHOLE THING AND WE ARE PAYING \$5 MILLION FOR A FAILED WATER SYSTEM AND WE WILL BE PAYING FOR THE NEXT 12 YEARS FOR A SYSTEM .

THANK YOU, LINDA. THAT IS TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, NEXT I WILL COME ANY CHAMBERS . IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT, SEEING THAT I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? >> CAN YOU HEAR ME? JUST A QUICK REMINDER I THINK THAT NOT TO FORGET IT LOOKS LIKE THERE IS A LITTLE BIT OF EXTRA REVENUE WHICH IS A GREAT. THE PLAN AS I RECALL , WHICH HASN'T BEEN MENTIONED IS, NUMBER ONE TO RESTORE THE RESERVE BALANCE, WHICH WAS A NEGATIVE MILLION DOLLARS . NUMBER TWO TO REPAY THAT MILLION DOLLARS TO THE GENERAL FUND, WHICH STILL HAS TO TAKE PLACE, SO IF THERE IS A COUPLE OF EXTRA DOLLARS, THEORETICALLY THOSE HAVE BEEN QUICKER. NUMBER THREE, I DON'T BELIEVE THERE IS ANY PLAN TO BUDGET FOR CAPITAL EXPENSES WITH THAT DILAPIDATED INFRASTRUCTURE THAT LINDA SPOKE UP. AT LEAST UNTIL THE RESERVE IS RESTORED AND THE LOAN IS REPAYED. THERE IS NOT EXTRA MONEY AT THIS POINT, EVEN THOUGH IT LOOKS LIKE THERE IS A COUPLE EXTRA DOLLARS. THAT WOULD BE MY COMMENT. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU, ROBERT. NEXT I WILL COME INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. BACK AT THE COUNSEL TABLE FOR DISCUSSION OR COMMENTS. ANYONE WANT TO KICK IT OFF? I DON'T SEE ANY COMMENTS HERE. I HAVE TO SAY, I LOOK BACK ON THE STAFF REPORT AND I MISREAD IT. IT DOESN'T SAY THAT THERE IS 37,000 THAT HAS BEEN COLLECTED IN PENALTY AMOUNTS. IT ACTUALLY SAYS 11,000. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE WITH ME. I STAND CORRECTED. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND THEN I SEE THE CITY MANAGER HAD A COMMENT , TOO. >> TWO QUICK COMMENTS. FIRST OF ALL WE DO HAVE CAPITAL OBJECTS BUDGETED AND WE HAVE THOSE REFLECTED IN THE CIP. I CAN'T RECALL THEM OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD. I SIGNED ABOUT TWO HOURS AGO THE AUTHORIZATION TO RELEASE THE RFP FOR THE WATER MASTER PLAN SO THAT SHOULD BE HAPPENING WITHIN THE NEXT DAY OR TWO THERE. THAT IS ONE OF OUR PRIORITIES FOR THIS YEAR AND THE WASTEWATER PLANT WILL BE FOLLOWING . THAT BALL IS ROLLING FORWARD NOW. >> THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I WAS GOING TO SAY I'M GLAD WE ARE HAVING THIS TONIGHT AND QUARTERLY BECAUSE I THINK TRANSPARENCY IS IMPORTANT AND I WANT EVERYONE TO KNOW THAT AS WE DO HAVE TO GO THROUGH THESE DIFFICULT DECISIONS OF RAISING ALL OF THESE COSTS THAT WE ARE COMMITTED TO DOING THAT MUST I THINK TONIGHT IS THAT FIRST SHOW OF THAT SO I JUST APPRECIATE THAT AND LOOK FORWARD TO THE REST OF THEM AND SEE WHERE WE ARE AT.

OKAY, ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS. I BELIEVE WE ARE SIMPLER RECEIVING THIS REPORT? SO WE NOW CAN CLOSE THIS ITEM AND MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM , WHICH IS RELATED AND THIS IS ITEM NUMBER 10 , INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION QUARTERLY REPORT. ON THE GENERAL FUND. AND I WILL TURN TO THE CITY MANAGER TO KICK THIS OFF.

I WILL BE PRESENTING THIS ITEM. ANA HAD A LARGE ROLE IN HELPING TO DRIVE AND PUT THE MATERIAL TOGETHER AND TOOK IT TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, HAD SOME GOOD CONVERSATION AND EXPENDED THE REPORT CONSIDERABLY. NOT JUST THE

FIRST QUARTER BUT A VARIETY OF OTHER TOPICS. I WILL SHARE MY SCREEN AND LET'S SEE. TRYING TO GET THE VARIOUS PIECES TO LINEUP HERE. I WILL STOP SHARING FOR A MOMENT. >> I FEEL LIKE THE VISUAL SHOULD HAVE BEEN ON THE WATER WHEN.

BUBBLY TRUE. LET ME TRY THE SCREEN SHARING . SEE IF THAT WORKS. OKAY, HOPEFULLY THIS WILL WORK FROM HERE. THIS IS THE FIRST --AS FAR AS I KNOW, THE FIRST QUARTER REPORT ON THE GENERAL FUND. IS ARE MORE COMPLICATED BUT THE ENTERPRISE FUNDS BECAUSE THE NATURE OF THE REVENUES AND EXPENDITURES ARE NOT AS LINEAR OR STEADY, BUT NONETHELESS AWAY WE GO. SO, ON THE GENERAL FUND , THE ACTUAL DAIRY AND TIMING PARTICULARLY ON REVENUE. FOR EXAMPLE WE WON'T GET OUR FIRST PAYMENT ON PROPERTY TAXES UNTIL DECEMBER AND THE SECOND ONE WON'T COME UNTIL NEXT YEAR. SOME OF THE EXPENDITURES ARE ALSO LESS CERTAIN THAN THEY ARE IN THE WATER AND WASTEWATER FUNDS. IT'S HARD TO DRAW ANY CONCLUSIONS THIS EARLY IN THE FISCAL YEAR. WE WILL DO A BETTER JOB MIDYEAR. THAT'S WHY MANY CITIES TO PRODUCE FIRST QUARTER REPORTS. ACTUAL REVENUES THROUGH SEPTEMBER ARE BELOW 10% OF THE BUDGET AND EXPENSES ARE ABOUT 23% OF THE BUDGET. NUMBERS MIGHT LOOK CONSIDERABLY OFF, BUT WE HAVE OTHER INFORMATION WE CAN SHARE ABOUT CONCERNS , BUT THAT 9.6% NUMBER IS NOT SOMETHING IN AND OF ITSELF THAT IS OF CONCERN FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR. AS A REMINDER, WE BUDGETED DOWN THE MIDDLE ON OUR REVENUES IN PARTICULAR . WE WEREN'T PARTICULARLY AGGRESSIVE ABOUT ASSUMING WHAT WE WOULD GET MORE WERE WE CONSERVATIVE. MANY CITIES DO BUDGET THE REVENUES MORE CONSERVATIVELY AND THAT IS A PRACTICE WE MIGHT WANT TO REVISIT GOING FORWARD, BUT IT WOULD NOT BE SURPRISING IF WE WERE UP OR DOWN ON THE REVENUE SIDE FROM OUR ESTIMATES. ONE THING I CAN TELL YOU AT THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR, THEY MIGHT BE WRONG I JUST DON'T KNOW WHICH DIRECTION AND HOW MUCH. WE NEVER REALLY GET IT SPOT ON. WHERE WILL WE END UP? OUR DEFICIT IS \$700,000 AND FRANKLY I THINK IT IS TOO SOON TO TELL WHERE WE WILL END UP . WE ARE UPDATING OUR LONG-TERM MODEL . THIS IS ONE OF THE HIGHEST PRIORITIES OF WHAT WE ARE WORKING ON NOW. WITH SOLID INFORMATION , THAT INCLUDES BETTER INFORMATION WHICH I WILL SHARE ON SOME KEY REVENUE SOURCES AS WELL AS FACTORING IN WHERE THINGS ARE GOING ON THE EXPENSE SIDE. PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF --. LET ME TELL YOU WHERE THE UPS AND DOWNS ARE WHERE WE HAVE SOME KNOWLEDGE ABOUT --THINGS THAT COULD INCREASE THE DEFICIT, PROPERTY TAXES BASED ON LATER INFORMATION FROM THE COUNTY SINCE THE BUDGET, WE THINK WE WILL BE DONE ABOUT 3% . SALES TAX ABOUT 1% , BUILDING FEES WHICH WE WILL TALK ABOUT SHORTLY THIS EVENING, ABOUT 9% , AND THEN EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION IN ROUND NUMBERS, MAYBE THIS IS ON THE HIGH END, \$186,000 HIGHER , DEPENDS ON WHO WE HIRE AND WHAT THEIR AND IF IT PACKAGES ARE. A GUESSTIMATE , THAT IS PROBABLY PRETTY CLOSE. AND THEN THERE ARE SOME FACTORS WHICH COULD DECREASE THE DEFICIT . ONE IS SAVINGS FROM VACANCIES, WHICH WE DID NOT BUDGET. IT'S VERY HARD TO PREDICT THAT WHILE PUTTING THE BUDGET TOGETHER BUT WE KNOW THERE WILL BE SOME SIGNIFICANT SALARY SAVINGS. AND THEN MEASURE U, WE ARE BUDGETING AT THIS POINT A QUARTER CENT AS OPPOSED TO HAVE SENT BECAUSE OF OBTAINING THE FULL HAVE SENT IN FOR ONE QUARTER BECAUSE THE TAX IS ONE QUARTER FOR THE YEAR AND OUR BEST ESTIMATE IS THAT WILL BRING IN ABOUT 188,000 IN ADDITIONAL REVENUE FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR. AGAIN, IT IS FIRST QUARTER AND PRETTY HARD TO TELL WHERE WE WILL END UP. BUT THAT IS WHAT IS CHANGING IN THE DIFFERENT DIRECTIONS. I WANT TO LOOK BACK FOR A MOMENT . THE ACTUAL DEFICIT FROM LAST YEAR WAS AROUND 300,000, WHICH IS QUITE A BIT LESS THAN WE PROJECTED IN THE SPRING AND THAT JUMPED OUT AT ME AND I'M TRYING TO UNDERSTAND WHY THE PROJECTIONS WERE THAT SIGNIFICANTLY DIFFERENT. THE

REVENUES WERE VERY CLOSE TO ON TARGET AT 1.2% COMPARED TO THE SPRING AND EXPENSES WERE ABOUT 4.6 PERCENT LOWER . A LOT OF BELT-TIGHTENING AS PART OF THAT AND THERE IS SOME OTHER THINGS WE FOUND. PRACTICES THAT WE CAN IMPROVE SO WE ARE MAKING SOME CHANGES , SIMPLIFYING HOW WE ACCOUNT FOR -- WILL MAKE IT EASIER FOR DEPARTMENTS TO DO FUTURE PROJECTIONS. THIS IS PART OF THE , BIT BY BIT AND GET BETTER AT FINANCIAL PRACTICES AND THIS IS SOMETHING WE ARE ACTUALLY FACTORING THAT INTO OUR UPDATE OF OUR LONG-TERM MODEL NOW. THE LESSONS WE LEARN FROM THE SPRING, BUT REGARDLESS OF DOING BETTER THAN LAST YEAR, THE FUNDAMENTAL NEED FOR MEASURE U REMAINS THE SAME. THE FOUNDATIONAL STORY HAS NOT CHANGED. UNFORTUNATELY. A FOLLOW-UP ON MEASURE U, THE AMOUNT PROJECTED , WE HAVE THE WHOLE HAVE SENT WITH 1.5 MILLION POTENTIAL THAT WILL BE CUT IN HALF WITH MEASURE I. THAT ALSO PASSING. WE ARE DISCUSSING THE ISSUE WITH THE STATE. I KNOW ALEX HAS BEEN IN CONVERSATION WITH THEM LATELY, BUT FOR PERHAPS CONSERVATIVE , WE ARE PROJECTING THAT WE ARE ONLY GOING TO GET 750,000 A YEAR FOR MEASURE U AT THIS POINT. THIS IS REFLECTED IN THE BUDGET NARRATIVE FOR THIS YEAR. A QUARTER CENT INCREASE , THE BOTTOM LINE MEANS SIGNIFICANT REDUCTIONS IN SERVICES OR WE PUT LESS INTO INFRASTRUCTURE , BUILDINGS, ET CETERA OR WE DO SOME DOMINATION OF THE TWO. THAT'S WHAT IT IS SO IMPORTANT TO GET OUR LONG-TERM MODEL UPDATED TO SEE WHERE WE WILL END UP . IN THE SHORT RUN, BECAUSE OF THE BUDGET CONCERNS, THE PRIMARY REASON , I'M HOLDING A MAINTENANCE WORKER POSITION VACANT. WE DID BUILD THE MAINTENANCE WORKER 2 THAT WAS IN THE BUDGET , POTENTIALLY TO BE THE LEAD BUT WE DID FILL THAT WITH AN INTERNAL PROMOTION, BUT UNTIL THE BUDGET PITCHER BECOMES A LITTLE MORE CLEAR, I PUT A FREEZE ON THAT RECENTLY AND WE ARE FILLING OTHER VACANCIES DISPATCH AND POLICE OFFICERS , DEPARTMENT HEAD POSITIONS, ENGINEERING TECHNICIANS . WE GOT QUITE A FEW THAT ARE IN THE HIRING PROCESS, BUT LET ME JUST STOP THERE FOR THE MOMENT BECAUSE IF COUNSEL WANTS TO TAKE US A DIFFERENT DIRECTION, WE CAN FILL THE POSITION. I'M SUGGESTING THAT WE HOLD IT VACANT UNTIL THE CLOUDS DISAPPEARED ABOUT WHERE WE ARE GOING TO BE. >> THANK YOU TO OUR CITY MANAGER. I'M LOOKING TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE , ANYTHING TO ADD TO THE REPORT? ANYTHING NEW THAT YOU WERE NOT AWARE OF?

NO. NOTHING TO ADD. >> COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? >> NO, NOTHING TO ADD.

THANK YOU, QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS?

THERE IS MORE TO IT . I JUST WANTED TO PAUSE .

I DID HAVE A QUESTION. GO AHEAD , COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

IF YOU ARE PAUSING TO ASK US, I THINK THAT IS PRUDENT TO HOLD OFF THE HIGHER, SO I WOULD ENDORSE THAT DECISION I GUESS.

THE QUESTION I HAD ON THAT POSITION IS , WHAT IMPACT IS THAT GOING TO HAVE ON THE CITY? WHAT WAS THIS INDIVIDUAL INTENDING TO DO? CLEANUP DOWNTOWN? WHAT WILL WE SEE IN TERMS OF SERVICES?

IT WILL STRETCH PUBLIC WORKS --I ASKED ERIC BILLING ABOUT WHAT THIS WOULD MEAN WHEN HE SERVED. SO, IT MEANS LESS MAINTENANCE OF CITY FACILITIES AND BUILDINGS WE HAVE LESS CAPACITY SO IT MEANS WE WILL BE HARDER --WE WON'T BE ABLE TO DO AS MUCH AS IF WE HAD FILLED THE POSITION AND WE ALSO , DURING THE BUDGET PROCESS, ELIMINATED -- SHIFTED A LABOR POSITION FROM

SUPPORTING THOSE GENERAL FUND FUNCTIONS FULL-TIME INTO SUPPORTING THE ENTERPRISE SYSTEMS , WATER AND WASTEWATER. I DON'T THINK WE

SO, I DON'T THINK WE EVER HAD AN ABUNDANCE OF MAINTENANCE STAFF, AND WE ARE RUNNING VERY LEAN RIGHT NOW. PARTICULARLY RIGHT NOW, BECAUSE THERE ARE ABSENCES FOR VARIOUS REASONS. BUT THAT SHOULD WITHOUT A LITTLE BIT OVER TIME.

I GUESS I JUST WANTED TO HAVE MORE CLARITY IN YOUR ANSWER THERE. WHAT YOU DESCRIBED IS BASICALLY WHAT EVERY PERSON IN PUBLIC WORKS DOES. ARE WE TO EXPECT LESS OF TRASH COLLECTED DOWNTOWN? THE REASON I AM ASKING THAT IS BECAUSE I HAVE HAD SOME COMPLAINTS LATELY TO ME THAT DOWNTOWN IS LOOKING A LITTLE TRASHY. THE TRASH IS OVERFLOWING BY THE END OF THE WEEKEND OUT OF THE HANDS. SO I AM JUST WONDERING, IS THERE ANYTHING MORE SPECIFIC? >> I WAS HOPING DANTE WOULD BE HERE TONIGHT AND BE ABLE TO ANSWER THOSE KINDS OF QUESTIONS, SINCE HE RUNS THE DAY-TO-DAY OPERATIONS. I DID ASK IF WE WERE TO SHIFT SOME OF THE STAFF FROM DOING WHAT IS ON THEIR DOCKET INTO DOING MORE DOWNTOWN, FOR EXAMPLE. ONE OF THE IMPLICATIONS OF THIS LAST WEEK WOULD HAVE BEEN LESS CLEARING OF OUR STORM DRAINS. WE HAVE HAD MINOR FLOODING SO FAR THIS YEAR, AND WE ARE BEGINNING TO SHIFT AWAY FROM THAT, GIVEN WHAT IS COMING TO US, BUT WE NEED TO GET THE STORM DRAINS, SIDEWALKS, AND ALL OF THAT CLEANED UP I GET THE LEAVES OUT OF THE WAY, SO WE CAN HELP THE WATER FLOW THROUGH AS IT IS SUPPOSED TO. SO THAT IS AN EXAMPLE. ERIC MENTIONED SOME MAINTENANCE REGULAR WORK, I BELIEVE IT WAS THE SEWER SYSTEM THAT WOULD HAVE HAD TO BE A TRADE OFF . GIVEN THE STATE OF THOSE SYSTEMS, WE DO NOT WANT TO MISS OUR MAINTENANCE RESPONSIBILITIES. THOSE ARE THE KINDS OF THINGS THAT THE TEAM IS OUT THERE DOING. AND THAT IS, SOME OF THE CONSEQUENCES --BLONDES WILL NOT GET MODE IS OFTEN, THOSE KINDS OF THINGS. >> I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. YOU ARE ASKING FOR DIRECTION, AND YET IT IS UNCLEAR ON THIS MAINTENANCE WORKER ONE, WHAT THE IMPACT WILL BE, WHAT WE WILL BE VOTING TO GIVE UP BY NOT FILLING THIS MINUTES WORKER ONE POSITION. I AM NOT SURE HOW TO RESOLVE THAT WITHOUT DANTE OR BILLINGS HERE. I GUESS THE ONE, THE ONE QUESTION THAT CAN BE ANSWERED IS, WHAT IS THE COST OF THIS MAINTENANCE WORKER ONE FOR THE REMAINDER, HOW MUCH MONEY ARE WE SAVING BY NOT FILLING THIS POSITION? >> THAT WOULD JUST CREATE ANOTHER VACANCY. SO, PROBABLY 65 OR SO THOUSAND FOR HALF A YEAR. MAINTENANCE WORKER TWO BUDGETED, I THINK IT WAS 150 A YEAR SPECIFICALLY, MAY BE A BIT LESS THAN THAT.

SO THE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION IS, TO THE EXTENT THAT YOU HOLD OFF ON FILLING THIS POSITION, AND YOU MAY HAVE ALREADY ANSWERED THIS QUESTION, BUT WHEN WOULD BE, WHAT FACTOR, WHAT DEVELOPMENT, WHAT CHANGE WOULD LEAD TO THE CONCLUSION THAT IT COULD BE FILLED? >> IF OUR NUMBERS LOOK BETTER THAN I WOULD EXPECT RIGHT NOW , THAT WOULD PROBABLY BE THE MOST SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. AND THAT IS WHY, AMONG MANY REASONS, THAT IS ONE OF THE REASONS WE ARE HERE TO GET THAT MODEL UPDATED. WE WANT TO BE QUICK, BUT WE ALSO WANT TO DO IT CORRECTLY. THE UPDATED MODEL WE ARE NOT COMPETENT AND IS NOT ALL THAT USEFUL. SO, THAT IS ONE OF THE HIGHEST PRIORITIES WE HAVE IN THE DEPARTMENTS RIGHT NOW IS TO UPDATE THAT. SO THAT WOULD BE A SIGNIFICANT CHANGE. ANOTHER FACTOR, THE SECOND ONE IS LIKE THE NEW PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR CITY ENGINEER TO HAVE A SAY IN HIRING HIS TEAM. BUT I ALSO REALIZE WE ARE. SO I DO NOT WANT TO HOLD IT THINK AND JUST FOR THAT REASON. THE -- WILL NOT ALLOW ME TO HOLD IT VACANT FOR THAT REASON.

PHYSICAL REASONS, ESPECIALLY IN FISCAL EMERGENCY, AND WE CONSIDER OURSELVES IN ONE.

WE WILL MOVE ON FROM WITH THE PRESENTATION. WE CAN HAVE ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS LATER ON. ARE COUNCILMEMBERS COMFORTABLE WITH THAT? COUNCIL MEMBER, I WILL ASK YOU TO SPEAK UP IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I CANNOT SEE YOU ON THE SCREEN. GO AHEAD.

A CLARIFICATION. I HAD NOT THOUGHT ABOUT IT TONIGHT. BUT THIS WAS POINTED OUT, IN TERMS OF THE MAINTENANCE WORKER POSITIONS, IF WE WANTED TO, WE COULD BE IF WE COULD FIND SOMEBODY ON A TEMPORARY PART-TIME BASIS. THEY ARE NOT AS FLEXIBLE, IT IS A PERMANENT STAFF PERSON, BECAUSE THEY -- EQUIPMENT AND PROTOCOLS, BUT THE SHORT TERM STOCK, THAT WOULD BE A BETTER OPTION AND DOES NOT COMMIT US FINANCIALLY LONG-TERM. SO, THAT IS SOMETHING WE CAN PURSUE IF YOU WOULD LIKE.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

SO, AN UPDATE ON MEASURE H. THIS IS THE FIRE TAX THAT PASSED EARLIER THIS YEAR. WE ARE GETTING, TAKE THIS WITH A BIT OF A GRAIN OF SALT, WE ARE REVIEWING THE NUMBERS STILL. BUT WE INITIALLY BUDGETED \$600,000 OF MEASURE H MONEY FOR THIS YEAR. ALL THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE SPENT ON FIRE. THE CURRENT PROJECTION IS FOR 750,000 , THE WAY THE COUNTY IS PLANNING TO DISTRIBUTE THE MONEY. AND WE ARE TALKING TO FIRE AT HAWK ABOUT POSSIBLY SOME OF THAT TRANSFERRING AS PART OF THE REORGANIZATION CONVERSATIONS WE HAVE HAD. WE HAVE ALLOCATED 300,000 IN THE BUDGET OF THE \$600,000. AND SO WE HAVE \$300,000 ALREADY IN THE BUDGET. BUT WE ARE LOOKING AT THAT ADDITIONAL \$150,000 AS POTENTIALLY AVAILABLE THIS YEAR AS WELL. SO, THIS IS PART OF THE CONVERSATION THAT AD HOC IS DISCUSSING. REGARDLESS OF THE MEASURE H NUMBERS AND HOW THEY MIGHT FLUCTUATE VERY FROM WHAT WAS EXPECTED. AND WE STILL HAVE \$1.5 MILLION IN THE GENERAL FUND FOR MAINTENANCE OF EFFORT THAT WE HAVE TO MAKE IN ORDER TO BE ELIGIBLE TO RECEIVE THE MEASURE H FUNDS. BUT NO IMPACT ON THE GENERAL FUND . IT SHOULD ALLOW US EVEN MORE, AND WE ARE SPENDING MORE ON THE SUPPLIER RELATED ITEMS. SO, THAT ON MEASURE H, SO, THE NEXT STEP IS UPDATING OUR FINANCIAL MODEL, WHICH WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT. WE WILL FACTOR IN THE RESULTS OF MEASURE U. UNTIL WE KNOW MORE, WE WILL PLAN ON \$750,000 FOR FUTURE YEARS. IT WILL REFLECT OTHER KNOWN CHANGES, AS I MENTIONED, SUCH AS EXPECTED DECREASE IN SALES TAX, POSSIBLY A LITTLE BIT LESS IN SALES TAX, VACANCY SAVINGS, ET CETERA. WE WILL FACTOR IN THE INFORMATION WE ARE WORKING ON NOW WITH THE DEPARTMENTS, SO WE HAVE A MORE ROBUST CONFIDENCE. I DO NOT THINK WE WILL COMPLETE THAT UNTIL THE FIRST HALF OF DECEMBER OR SO, BUT WE ARE WORKING ON IT RIGHT NOW. AND THAT IS THE END OF THE PRESENTATION. I AM HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN MORE QUESTIONS.

GREAT. ANY QUESTIONS, ANYTHING TO ADD FROM BUDGET COMMITTEE?

YES, THANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR. PART OF THE BUDGET DISCUSSION WE HAD WAS TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE EVERYTHING THAT WE WOULD THINK OUR COLLEAGUES WOULD NEED FOR THE GOAL SETTING IN FEBRUARY, WHICH WOULD BE TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT IF WE COULD GAP THE STUDY AND ALSO THE --SOMEWHAT CLOSE TO COMPLETION BEFORE WE HAVE THE MIDYEAR SIT DOWN AND GOAL SETTING. SO , I JUST KIND OF REVIEWED THE NOTES FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. I DID NOT SEE THAT, BUT I WANTED TO PUT IT OUT THERE AND SEE IF STAFF INTERPRETED THAT

DIFFERENTLY, OR WHETHER COUNCILMEMBER MAUER WANTED TO INTERPRET THAT DIFFERENTLY.

CITY MANAGER, ANY RESPONSE TO THAT?

THERE TRYING TO KEEP THE CALM STUDY ON SCHEDULE, THAT IS ACTIVE RIGHT NOW WITH STAFF COMPLETING MATERIALS THEY NEED IN THE SUPERVISORS DEPARTMENT. IN SOME CASES, WE ARE STILL REVIEWING THEM. WE ARE ON SCHEDULE FOR THAT RIGHT NOW. I DO NOT REMEMBER THE ANTICIPATED CONCLUSION DATE. IT IS IN FEBRUARY?

YES, YES.

IT WILL COME IN REALLY CLOSE TO WHEN WE HAVE THAT SESSION WITH THE COUNSEL FOR THE GOAL SETTING. AND WHAT WAS THE OTHER QUESTION?

THE STAFFING STUDY. I KNOW THERE HAVE BEEN PRIOR DISCUSSIONS ABOUT CONSIDERING THAT AT MIDYEAR. BUT THEN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, AGAIN, I DO NOT SEE THAT REFLECTED IN THE MINUTES AFTERWARDS, THEY HAD DISCUSSIONS ABOUT HOW THAT MIGHT HELP, AND THE DISCUSSIONS ARE IN A --EXCITING. BECAUSE ALL OF THIS SEEMS TO BE WAGE, A LOT OF IT IS WAIVE --WAGE DRIVEN, WHERE WE ARE.

THE STAFFING STUDY, DIRECTION FROM THE COUNCIL IS TO REVISIT THAT AT THE MIDYEAR, SO WE DO NOT HAVE PLANS TO LAUNCH THAT UNTIL WE HAVE THAT CONVERSATION. BUT RIGHT NOW, IT IS NOT ACTIVE.

OKAY. SO SHOULD ANYONE OF US FEEL, SHOULD WE FEEL LIKE IT IS IMPORTANT IN OR IS IT JUST ONE OF US GETTING IT A GENDERIZED?

WE WOULD NEED, YES, SOMEONE WILL NEED TO EDIT TO THE AGENDA, WE ALSO NEED TO LOOK AT OUR CAPACITY AND SEE, WE HAD AN AMBITIOUS SET OF RARITIES THAT WE PRESENTED A FEW MONTHS AGO. I THINK WE ARE MAKING REASONABLE PROGRESS. BUT I WOULD WANT TO HAVE SOME CONVERSATIONS, AT LEAST INTERNALLY, ABOUT WHAT WOULD YOU SHIFT? OR IF ANYTHING WE HAVE TO SHIFT, IF WE WERE TO ADD THAT TO THE PORTFOLIO OF PROJECTS WE ARE WORKING ON. >> JUST TO MAKE IT ABSOLUTELY CLEAR HERE, OUR POLICY DOES ALLOW ANY ONE COUNCILMEMBER TO REQUEST THAT AN ITEM BE ADDED TO THE AGENDA. AND THAT COUNCILMEMBER WOULD CLEARLY BE SMART TO HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH STAFF AND ANY AGENDA ITEM THAT COMES TO THE COUNCIL CAN INCLUDE A SECTION THAT IS A SPECIFIC STAFF RECOMMENDATION. THAT IS OUR POLICY AT THE MOMENT. DID YOU GET THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTIONS, VICE MAYOR? >> YES, I DID.

ANY ADDITIONAL WESTERNS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? OKAY, I THINK WE NEED TO GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT. CORRECT?

THAT IS CORRECT. CAN I ASK YOU TO ENSURE YOUR SCREEN?

AGAIN, THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE GENERAL -- . IF ANYONE CONSUMERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THE GENERAL FUND PRESENTATION, SEEING THAT, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

I CAN, CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I CAN'T HEAR CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN. THIS IS FRUSTRATING TO SEE ALL OF THIS IN DETAIL AT THIS TIME OF YEAR. NORMALLY WE HAVE THE TOBER, NOVEMBER SURPRISE, WHEN WE HEAR STORES -- HORROR STORIES UNEXPECTEDLY. BUT I HAVE TO SAY I AM CAN PRETTY CONCERNED. I THINK THERE WAS A LOT OF DISINGENUOUS MARKING HAPPENING ON THAT. THERE IS A HUGE MESS THERE, ALMOST AS BIG AS THE ANALYSTS WHO PREDICTED THE ELECTION RESULTS A COUPLE WEEKS AGO. I MEAN, IT IS CLOSE TO 3/4 OF \$1 MILLION OFF, WHICH SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGES THE DYNAMIC FOR ALL OF THAT MARKETING, WHICH, I FIND IT QUESTIONABLE. HE DID NOT SAY THIS, BUT, YOU KNOW, HE MENTIONED THE FACT THAT MOST OF THIS IS WAGE DRIVEN, THE HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY POURED INTO MEASURE U, TO MAKE SURE THAT PEOPLE CONTINUED TO BE PAID REALLY WELL. THE OTHER COMMENT I MADE SPECIFICALLY ON PUBLIC WORKS, A LOT OF PEOPLE HAD VERY LARGE PROPERTY TAX CHECKS IN THE NEXT TWO WEEKS FOR THE HOLIDAY SEASON, AND ANOTHER ONE IN JANUARY. AND I THINK IT IS PRETTY MUCH PAN FOOLISH, PENNY WISE AND POUND FOOLISH TO BE --WORKERS, THE CITIZENS ARE GETTING A VERY POOR BANG FOR THEIR BUCK, IN MY OPINION. THE DOUBLE WATER RATES AND, I THINK COUNSELOR LEWIS SAID, YOU KNOW, THE CITY IS LOOKING VERY SCRUFFY. SO, HAVING SAID ALL THAT, I AM REALLY PLEASED TO SEE ALL THIS BEING DISCUSSED AND ALL THE DIRTY LAUNDRY BEING AIRED OUT. I HAVE 30 SECONDS LEFT, BUT BARBIE ROBINSON, IT USED TO BE A -- DIRECTOR, AND ALSO DENA, RUNNING THE SEVASTOPOL AND, ARE BOTH BEING INVESTIGATED BY THE FBI --

IS IS ON-TOPIC? MAYBE YOU CAN HELP ME? YEAH, SORRY, THANK YOU. THANK YOU , OLIVER FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. >> NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS, THING DONE, I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA.

HELLO, IN MY OPINION, YOU KNOW, I MOVED HERE AND I HAVE LIVED HERE FOR 23 YEARS, I THINK THERE IS A FAIR AMOUNT OF WHERE WE ARE IS BEING STUPIDITY DRIVEN AND BAD MANAGEMENT DRIVEN. A VERY BIG PART OF THAT IS THE FAILURE TO HAVE A MASTER WATER SYSTEM, MASTER PLANS, PEOPLE DON'T EVEN KNOW IT EXISTS, BUT THE OLD ONE IS FROM 2005. WE NEED, AND I HAVE IN MY HANDS, YOU KNOW, THE NEED THAT WE HAVE INFRASTRUCTURE ASSESSMENT. THIS HAS NOT BEEN DONE, IT HAS NOT BEEN DONE . WE HAVE ALL THESE, 12, 13 LARGE PROJECTS IN THE WORKS, WITHOUT KNOWING WHAT IS THE STATUS AND CONDITION OF OUR WATER PIPES, THE SEWER, AND THE ROADS. AND THAT FACILITY, JUST MOVING ON THAT, IT IS JUST, SO, ANYWAY, WHAT I WAS TOLD WAS THAT THE NEW WATER MASTER PLAN WAS SCHEDULED TO GO UP FOR BID IN THE SPRING OF NEXT YEAR. NOW, THIS IS NOT ANY REPAIRS OR ANYTHING. AND I WAS QUOTED BY TONY THAT IT WILL COST A BALLPARK OF AROUND --

LINDA, YOU HAVE AROUND 30 SECONDS.

SAME WAY WITH THE SEWAGE THING. WHO KNOWS WHEN THAT WOULD BE UP? THE STUDY ITSELF, THIS IS NOT EVEN THE REPAIRS, THIS IS THE INFORMATION THAT WE NEED TO KNOW TO HAVE GOOD GROUNDING AND A FOUNDATION FOR ANY FUTURE BUILDING. AND ALL THIS POPULATION IS COMING IN HERE, BY THE WAY, NOT ALL OF IT IS DESIRABLE, WE HAVE BIG ENTRIES OF THE HOMELESS PEOPLE IN A FAIR AMOUNT OF --

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBER. IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A

PUBLIC COMMENT PERIOD AS A NUN, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. ROGER, PLEASE UNMUTE YOURSELF.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I CAN HEAR YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER STILL?

YES. THIS IS GREAT, A GREAT REVIEW AND DISCUSSION. I THINK IT GIVES EVERYBODY A GREATER CONFIDENCE THAT THE CITY IS BEING WELL-MANAGED. SO, I WOULD THINK THE CITY MANAGER FOR THIS EFFORT. I KNOW IT IS NOT A TRIVIAL EFFORT TO DO A QUARTERLY BUSINESS REVIEW. I DID 1 BILLION OF THEM, IT IS ALWAYS A PAIN IN THE --. IT TAKES AWAY FROM WHAT YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO BE DOING EVERY DAY. I AM CURIOUS, YOU DO NOT HAVE TO ANSWER THIS QUESTION, BUT HOW ARE YOU FUNDING THIS CASH FLOW DEFICIT THAT YOU HAVE? YOU CANNOT TAKE IN ANY REVENUE AND YOU HAVE EXPENSES ? AND YOU HAD A ONE MILLION-DOLLAR REDUCTION IN NERVE RESERVED FOR WATER AND SEWER LAST YEAR. ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE CASH FLOW ISSUES THIS YEAR? ONE OTHER QUESTION OR SUGGESTION , YOU KNOW, FUTURE IDEAS, ONE FORMAT SUGGESTION MIGHT BE TO INCORPORATE AN ELEMENT OF THIS EACH QUARTER, OR AS NECESSARY, FOR ONE TIME FUNDING. MAYBE GRANTS THAT ARE BEING PURSUED , IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE, THEY GET CLOSER, IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING THAT WE CAN GET EXCITED ABOUT AND PUT IT INTO A FORECAST, THAT TYPE OF THING. I KNOW RIGHT NOW THEY ARE KIND OF FEW AND FAR BETWEEN. THE LAST THING IS, AND THIS IS JUST A PRINCIPAL THING. THERE IS A SLIDE THAT SHOWS THAT PROPERTY TAX IN SEVASTOPOL, 14% OF OUR PROPERTY TAX GOES TO THE CITY, I THINK IT IS 22 OR 24% GOES TO THE COUNTY. THAT SEEMS BACKWARDS TO ME. YOU KNOW, THE CITY DELIVERS A VAST MAJORITY, IF NOT ALL OF THE SERVICES PROVIDED TO THE TAXPAYERS IN THIS CITY. IT SEEMS LIKE WE SHOULD BE GETTING THE 22% OF THE 24% IN THE COUNTY SHOULD BE GETTING THE 10% OR 14% COULD I DO NOT KNOW IF THAT IS OPTION TO NEGOTIATE OR IF THAT IS IN THE LAW.

ROBERT, I AM REGARDING YOU TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THE EIFD WHEN THE TOPIC COMES UP . >> SORRY, IT IS A BUDGET THING. YOU WANT MORE MONEY, GET MORE OF THE PROPERTY TAX MONEY THAT WE ARE GIVING TO THE COUNTY BACK HERE. THAT IS IT.

THANK YOU, ROBERT, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK IN CHAMBERS. ANYONE IN CHAMBERS? SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE GENERAL FUND PRESENTATION, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNSEL TABLE. I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS. ANY OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS WANT TO START OFF? COUNCILMEMBER MAUER? YOU ARE GOOD? ONE QUESTION I HAD, WHEN I LOOK AT THE 2024-2025 PROJECTIONS , I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE I AM UNDERSTANDING THIS CLEARLY. IT LOOKS TO ME THAT IN THE ADOPTED BUDGET FOR THE CURRENT FISCAL YEAR, WE WERE LOOKING AT APPROXIMATELY 700,000, 690,586 IN DEFICIT. AND CURRENTLY, THE PROJECTION IS THAT IT IS GOING TO BE A LARGER DEFICIT AT 746,109. IS THAT CORRECT?

CAN YOU POINT TO WHERE YOU ARE?

YES. I AM LOOKING AT PAGE 9 OF 20, WHICH IS A SUMMARY GENERAL FUND. WE CAN PROBABLY GO TO THE TOTAL. THE TOTAL SHOULD BE THE SAME THOUGH, WHETHER WE ARE LOOKING, YES. I AM LOOKING UP PAGE NINE OF 20. AND IT SHOWS THE OVERALL REVENUE EXPENDITURES. THERE SEEM TO BE SOME SUGGESTION THAT PERHAPS WE ARE JUST DOING BETTER THAN WE HAD ANTICIPATED. AND FROM WHAT I CAN SEE, WE ARE --WORSE. IS THAT CORRECT?

PLEASE CORRECT ME IF I AM WRONG. I THINK WHAT YOU ARE LOOKING AT IS THE BOTTOM OF THE 2024-2025 ADJUSTED BUDGET. SO, THAT FACTORS IN SOME BUT NOT ALL OF THE CHANGES YOU HAVE ALREADY APPROVED AND DOES NOT FACTOR IN SOMETHING SUCH AS THE MEASURE YEAR REVENUE AND THE VACANCY. SO, THIS IS VERY MUCH A WORK IN PROGRESS . AND I ACTUALLY WANT TO WORK ON OUR PRACTICES, SO WHEN YOU DO APPROVE A CHANGE, WE IMMEDIATELY ADOPT THE BUDGET RESOLUTION SO HE GOES INTO OUR SYSTEM WHERE THERE WILL BE SOME KIND OF A CATCH-UP RESOLUTION AROUND THIS TIME OF YEAR TO HELP KEEP OUR SYSTEMS ALIGNED. JUST LAST CHANCE OF MISTAKES. BUT IT IS REALLY A MATTER OF TIMING MORE THAN ANYTHING ELSE. >> I UNDERSTAND. BUT AT THE MOMENT, THIS IS OUR STAFF'S BEST PROJECTION.

NO. NO.

THIS IS A REFLECTION OF WHAT ACTUALLY GOT INTO THE BUDGET SYSTEM. IT MATCHES THE BUDGET RESOLUTIONS. BUT I WOULD RATHER NOT MAKE A PROJECTION ABOUT WHERE WE ARE GOING TO END UP BECAUSE IT IS SO EARLY AND THERE ARE SO MANY UNCERTAINTIES, BUT I WOULD NOT USE THIS NUMBER IN ANY KIND OF REAL MEANINGFUL WORK.

THANK YOU, THAT ACTUALLY ANSWERS THE BIGGER QUESTION, WHICH I WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WHEN I LOOK AT THE STAFF REPORT, I DO NOT SEE ANYTHING ABOUT THIS CURRENT FISCAL YEAR THAT MAKES ME GO, OH, WOW, WE ARE DOING SO MUCH BETTER THAN WE EXPECT IT TO DO WHEN WE LOOKED AT HAVING MEASURE U BROUGHT TO THE VOTERS. I DO NOT SEE ANYTHING ANY STAFF REPORT THAT SAYS THAT. SO, I JUST WANT TO KNOW FROM STAFF WHETHER I AM MISSING SOMETHING HERE.

YOU ARE NOT MISSING SOMETHING. WE HAVE MEASURE U REVENUE ESTIMATE THAT WE ARE PROJECTING AT \$188,000 MORE THAN BUDGET FROM SALES TAXES. BECAUSE IT IS ONE QUARTER OF THE YEAR WHERE WE ARE PROJECTING HALF OF THE MEASURE IN YOUR AND AND THAT COMES OUT TO ABOUT 180,000. CERTAINLY, GOOD NEWS IN THE SENSE OF MORE MONEY IS ALWAYS HELPFUL. ON THE OTHER HAND, ALSO ON THE GOOD NEWS INSIDE, WE KNOW WE WILL HAVE SOME SALARY SAVINGS. ON THE OTHER HAND, WE ARE 1%, PROJECTING LOWER SALES TAXES AND WE WERE BEFORE, 3% LESS ON PROPERTY TAXES, 9% LESS ON BUILDING FEES. SO, THERE ARE SOME PLUSES AND MINUSES, AND IT IS TOO EARLY TO SAY THIS IS WHAT WE ARE CONFIDENT IN. AFTER WE UPDATE THE LONG-TERM MODEL, WE WILL HAVE MORE CONFIDENCE, BUT STILL ON THE EARLY SIDE, NONETHELESS, I THINK IT IS WORTH DOING A COMPREHENSIVE UPDATE AS SOON AS WE ARE ABLE TO DO IT WITH SOME CONFIDENCE.

I UNDERSTAND. I AM JUST PUSHING BACK AGAINST THE IMPLICATION THAT THIS COUNSEL WAS IN ANY WAY DISINGENUOUS WHEN IT APPROVED MEASURE U GOING TO THE VOTERS. I DO NOT SEE ANYTHING IN THE STAFF REPORT THAT INDICATES THAT IS THE CASE. AND I THINK YOU ARE SAYING YES, THAT THERE IS NOTHING HERE THAT INDICATES WE WERE DISINGENUOUS OR MISLEADING. OKAY, THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I HAD A COMMENT OR WANTED TO EXPRESS CONCERN. I UNDERSTAND WHY YOU ARE BEING PRUDENT WITH THE MAINTENANCE, THE PUBLIC WORKS POSITION. AND I AM NOT SAYING THAT YOU SHOULD NOT DO THAT, BUT I'M HOPING THAT YOU MAY BE ABLE TO BE CREATIVE TO WHO EVER SAID, I WORRY ABOUT US BEING, YOU KNOW, A PENNY WISE AND POUND FOOLISH. IT IS WINTER, WE ARE LOOKING AT RAIN'S COMING UP, WE ARE LOOKING AT FLOODING ISSUES. AND WE ALSO WANT DOWNTOWN TO LOOK DECENT, IT IS THE HOLIDAYS, SO I AM HOPING THERE MAY BE SOME CREATIVE SOLUTION TO THAT, JUST TO ENSURE THAT WE ARE MAINTAINING DOWNTOWN. WE WILL JUST CONTINUE BEING IN THIS CONTINUOUS CYCLE, YOU KNOW, CHASING MONEY, YOU KNOW, WE ARE SAYING WE WANT TO DO THE DEVELOPMENT, THE BUSINESSES, YOU KNOW, NOT TO BELABOR IT, BUT PLEASE TRY TO BECOME CREATIVE WITH HOW WE ARE ABLE TO MAINTAIN DOWNTOWN.

NOW FOR THE FIRST TIME, MARY GOURLEY HAD THE IDEA ABOUT HIRING SOMEBODY ON EIGHT TEMPORARY BASIS TO SUPPLEMENT THE TEAM THAT WE HAVE. I DO NOT KNOW HOW LONG IT WOULD TAKE TO GET THAT PERSON ON BOARD, IT WOULD NOT BE AS FULLY FUNCTIONAL AS A PERMANENT EMPLOYEE, BUT NONETHELESS, IT IS A STEP WE CAN TAKE. I WOULD LIKE TO GET A SENSE FROM THE COUNCIL, IF THAT IS A STEP YOU WOULD LIKE US TO TAKE. IT WILL NOT MAKE A DRAMATIC DIFFERENCE IN OUR BUDGET ONE WAY OR THE OTHER, BUT IT IS AN ADDITIONAL PERSON WHO WOULD BE ABLE TO HELP US OUT FOR A WHILE WITHOUT A LONG-TERM COMMITMENT. THAT IS MY REAL CONCERN, MAKING A LONG-TERM COMMITMENT. BUT WE CAN DO SOMETHING SHORT-TERM, BUDGET -WISE, I WOULD BE PERFECTLY COMFORTABLE WITH THAT IF THAT IS SOMETHING THAT THE BUDGET WANTS TO DO.

COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS ON THE PORT ARE NOT FOR THE IDEA OF PROVIDING SOME ADDITIONAL STAFF, EVEN TEMPORARY, OR AN EMPLOYEE, IN ORDER TO FILL IN WITH PUBLIC WORKS NEEDS? ANY COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER MAUER, GO AHEAD.

I HAVE A QUESTION, IN TERMS OF THE COST DIFFERENCE BETWEEN HIRING A TEMPORARY PERSON AND HIRING SOMEBODY, YOU KNOW, FULL TIME.

I HAVE NOT RUN THE NUMBERS. REGARDLESS OF THE COST DIFFERENCE, I THINK FINANCIALLY, THE CONCERN IS MAKING A LONG-TERM COMMITMENT, IF YOU HIRE SOMEONE ON A FULL-TIME BASIS, WE ARE SAYING, TO ME, WE ARE SAYING THAT WE ARE COMMITTED TO KEEPING YOU AND WANT TO KEEP YOU AS AN EMPLOYEE FOR THE LONG RUN. HIRING SOMEBODY ON A TEMPORARY BASIS IS NOT MAKING THAT KIND OF COMMITMENT. SO, IN THE LONG RUN, THE FINANCIAL APPLICATIONS ARE MUCH LESS BECAUSE WE ARE NOT MAKING THAT COMMITMENT TO A TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE. THAT IS WHERE THE BIGGEST DIFFERENCE IS, AS OPPOSED TO WHAT THE COST WOULD BE OF A PERMANENT VERSUS TEMPORARY EMPLOYEE FOR 16 MONTHS OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

OKAY. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS, GO AHEAD. SORRY, THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR.

I WAS GOING TO ADD, I THINK THIS IS A CITY MANAGER DECISION. I AM COMFORTABLE WITH WHAT THE CITY MANAGER IS GOING TO RECOMMEND IN THE SENSE. IT IS TOO EARLY IN THE YEAR FOR US TO GET A SENSE OF BUDGET. AND I TEND TO LEAN TOWARDS THE CONSERVATIVE, ALTHOUGH I THE DO KNOW THAT IT IS THE HOLIDAYS. SO I HAVE TO LEAN ON STAFF IN THIS REGARDS, THANKS.

GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR.

I MEAN, I DEFINITELY AM NOT OPPOSED, UNLESS STAFF THINGS IT WILL TAKE A LOT OF EXCESS HOURS TO PUT FORTH SOMETHING FOR US TO CONSIDER. I GUESS IT

WOULD NEED TO BE, THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING, IF WE WILL TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THAT TEMP WORKER WORKING DOWNTOWN HOLIDAY FESTIVITIES AND ATMOSPHERE, I AM ABSOLUTELY NOT OPPOSED, BUT I ALSO DO NOT WANT TO TAKE TIME BUT THERE IS NO POINT.

IF IT IS SOMETHING YOU WANT TO DO, LET US KNOW NOW. SO WE CAN GET THE PROCESS STARTED. IT IS MID NOVEMBER. I DO NOT KNOW HOW LONG IT WILL TAKE SOMEBODY TO GET ON BOARD FOR THE HOLIDAYS. AGAIN, I DO NOT THINK IT WILL BE A MAJOR FINANCIAL COMMITMENT TO BRING SOMEBODY ON FOR ?, EIGHT WEEKS, SOMETHING LIKE THAT. THE BIG COMMITMENT WOULD BE TO HIRE SOMEBODY ON A PERMANENT BASIS. SO I THINK WE CAN MAKE THIS WORK. IT WILL NOT BE A HUGE DIFFERENCE MAKER ONE WAY OR THE OTHER FINANCIALLY. IT WILL NOT MAKE A HUGE DIFFERENCE IN THE FIELD EITHER, BUT WE CAN MAKE IT WORK FOR SOMETHING, CERTAINLY.

I WILL POINT OUT, I THINK AT SOME POINT, YOU SAID MAINTENANCE HAS PREPARED WHAT IT USUALLY DOES FOR THE DOWNTOWN HOLIDAY, SO I JUST WANTED TO HIGHLIGHT THAT TOO. IT IS NOT LIKE WE WILL NOT HAVE ANY FESTIVITY GOING ON DOWNTOWN.

OKAY, SO I WILL WEIGH IN HERE. I AM VERY MUCH IN SUPPORT OF DOING SOMETHING TO MAKE UP, TO PROVIDE FOR WHATEVER SERVICES THIS POSITION WOULD HAVE PROVIDED SPECIFICALLY TO THE DOWNTOWN AREA, BUT I AM SURE THIS POSITION WOULD HAVE PROVIDED SERVICES FOR OTHER NEEDS IN THE CITY, SO RIGHT, I AM VERY MUCH --TWO COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON'S POINT FEELS IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE IN OUR FINANCIAL BUDGETARY CONSTRAINTS TO ADDRESS THE NEEDS OF THE DOWNTOWN SPECIFICALLY, BUT OTHER NEEDS THAT THIS PUBLIC WORKS EMPLOYEES MAY HAVE KILLED. I DO WANT TO POINT OUT, THANK YOU, SAY THANK YOU TO OUR CITY MANAGER, BUT ALSO POINT OUT THAT THE CITY MANAGER HAS ASKED SPECIFICALLY FOR DIRECTION FROM US ON THIS ONE POINT.

SO, HOW SHOULD WE PROCEED ON THIS POINT? DO WE DO A THUMBS UP? WILL THIS BE A GOAL VOTE? I AM ULTIMATELY LOOKING TO MARY GOURLEY OR THE CITY ATTORNEY.

THIS IS JUST AN INFORMATIONAL ITEM, SO I THINK YOU PROVIDED YOUR DIRECTION WITH INDIVIDUAL COMMENTS.

DO YOU HAVE SUFFICIENT DIRECTIONS, CITY MANAGER? OR WOULD YOU LIKE TO KNOW THUMBS UP OR SOMETHING?

I HEARD FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS EXPLICITLY ON THIS POINT .

I MADE THE POINT AT THE VERY BEGINNING. >> YES, THANK YOU.

IS A MEMBER MAUER, WE HAVE NOT HEARD FROM YOU. WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE ANY COMMENT ON THIS ITEM?

NO, I AM GOOD, THANK YOU.

OKAY, GREAT. THERE WERE MANY OTHER ITEMS THAT WERE RAISED IN THIS PARTICULAR STAFF REPORT, AND BY OUR CITY MANAGER IN HIS PRESENTATION. DO WE HAVE ANY OTHER DISCUSSION ON OTHER ASPECTS OF THIS STAFF REPORT? NO?

CITY MANAGER, IS THERE ANY OTHER ITEM, ANY OTHER DIRECTION YOU NEED FROM THE COUNCIL ON ANYTHING IN THE STAFF REPORT?

NO, WE WILL ESSENTIALLY BE CLARIFYING THE FUTURE MODEL, REVISITING OUR OVERALL FINANCIAL STRATEGY WHEN HE PICKED HER BECOMES MORE CLEAR, FOR NOW, WE ARE PROCEEDING WITH CAUTION.

OKAY, MY GENERAL COMMENT WOULD BE TO THINK STAFF, SPECIFICALLY OUR CITY MANAGER, FOR BRINGING FORWARD THIS QUARTERLY REPORT. IT HAS BEEN MADE CLEAR IN THE STAFF REPORT ITSELF THAT QUARTERLY REPORTS ARE NOT COMMON. AND WE RECOGNIZE THAT IT REQUIRES A LOT OF WORK, BUT FOR TRANSPARENCY PURPOSES, IT IS REALLY BENEFICIAL. AND I THINK THINGS FROM --THE THANKS IS MY PERSPECTIVE FROM HERE NEEDS TO BE EXTENDED TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. COUNCIL MEMBERS WHO SPENT MANY HOURS WORKING WITH STAFF, IN ORDER TO FILL OUT THE STAFF REPORT EVEN FURTHER THAN IT MIGHT HAVE BEEN OTHERWISE. ANY OTHER COMMENTS BEFORE WE MOVE ON FROM THIS ITEM? OKAY. EXCELLENT. SO, WE ARE NOW MOVING ON TO ITEM NUMBER 11, WHICH IS A PUBLIC HEARING, BUILDING VALUATION FEES, VALUATION DATA FOR BUILDING PERMIT , AND ICR BUILDING OFFICIAL WALKING TO THE PODIUM, CITY MANAGER, BEFORE HE STARTS, IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO SAY?

NO, I AM GLAD WE ARE ABLE TO BRING THIS BEFORE YOU TONIGHT, I WANT TO THINK NOT ONLY STEVE BROWN, MARY GURLEY, WE HAVE ALL HAD OUR HANDS DEEP INTO THIS. I WILL TURN IT OVER TO YOU IF THAT IS OKAY.

OUR BUILDING OFFICIAL WILL TAKE THE LEAD IN THIS PRESENTATION.

I WILL. I WILL TRY TO MAKE THIS BRIEF AND GET THROUGH THIS. I DO HAVE A POWERPOINT THAT MARY IS PUTTING UP FOR ME. THANK YOU FOR THAT. WHAT WE ARE TRYING TO SET HERE IS A VALUATION OF THE CONSTRUCTION FOR ALL OF OUR PERMITS ACROSS THE ENTIRE SPECTRUM. WE HAVE NOT DONE THIS SINCE 2016, SORRY, THAT WAS THE LAST TIME THIS WAS OFFICIALLY DONE BY THE COUNCIL. WE WOULD LIKE TO UPDATE THAT. BASICALLY, VALUATION IS THE COST OF LABOR AND MATERIALS FOR A PROJECT, WHATEVER THAT IS. IT INCLUDES THINGS LIKE WATER CONNECTIONS, AND SEWER CONNECTIONS FOR NEW HOUSES, WHICH IN MY ALMOST SIX YEARS HERE NOW, WE HAVE ONLY HAD THREE OF, WE HAVE ONLY HAD THREE NEW HOUSES IN THAT TIME FRAME. SO, WE ARE NOT A CONSTRUCTION CITY. BUT WE DO WANT TO ADJUST OUR FEES. CAN YOU GO TO THE NEXT ONE? AND ACTUALLY ONE MORE. WE USE OUR VALUATION FEES TO SET OUR PERMIT COSTS. THIS IS DONE BY VIRTUALLY EVERY CITY IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. WE ARE LOOKING AT SETTING OUR FEES FOR EACH INDIVIDUAL PROJECT AND ALLOWING YOU THE AUTHORITY TO SET FEES FOR THINGS THAT ARE NOT NECESSARILY ON OUR LIST.

SORRY, I HAVE TO INTERRUPT FOR JUST A MINUTE. MARY GURLEY, HAS THIS FLIGHT THAT BEEN POSTED ON THE WEBSITE? OKAY. SORRY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GO AHEAD.

SO, IT ALLOWS, WELL, ME CURRENTLY, BUT THE BUILDING OFFICIAL SPECIFICALLY, TO SET FEES FOR PROJECTS THAT ARE NOT SPECIFICALLY LISTED HERE. WE HAVE DONE PRETTY EXTENSIVE RESEARCH TO SEE WHAT OTHER SOURCES RECOMMEND FOR PER SQUARE FOOT BUILDING FEES. I WILL SAY ONLY A COUPLE HOUSES I KNOW MY INSURANCE PEOPLE TOLD ME I NEEDED TO SET THAT AT \$400 A SQUARE FOOT, WHICH TO ME SEEMS PRETTY HIGH. BUT THAT IS KIND OF THE RANGE THAT ALL OF THESE SOURCES CAME IN. WE USED ENGINEERING RECORD, SOME VERY TRUSTED SOURCES TO

GET THE AVERAGE COST PER SQUARE FOOT FOR RESIDENTIAL, AND THEN WE BASED EVERYTHING OFF OF A NEW HOME, BASICALLY. THAT AVERAGE CAME IN TO SOMETHING WELL ABOVE \$300 PER SQUARE FOOT. WHICH IS HIGHER THAN THE INTERNATIONAL CODE COUNCIL, WHICH IS THE SOURCE THAT A LOT OF CITIES IN CALIFORNIA USE. THEY ARE AT 214 PER SQUARE FOOT. SO, WHAT WE ARE RECOMMENDING TONIGHT IS THAT WE SET OURS AT \$284 PER SQUARE FOOT. SUBSTANTIALLY LESS THAN THE AVERAGE, BUT MORE THAN THE ICC . AND THERE ARE SOME REASONS FOR THAT. CAN WE GO TO THE NEXT SLIDE? AND HERE ARE A FEW MORE COSTS. ONE MORE? SO, THE CITY OF SEVASTOPOL IS DIFFERENT THAN ALL THE OTHER CITIES THAT ARE IN OUR COUNTY AND IN OUR AREA FOR THAT MATTER. AS I HAVE STATED, WE ONLY HAVE HAD THREE NEW HOUSES IN THE LAST SIX YEARS. WELL, SANTA ROSA HAS HAD THOUSANDS. EVEN SMALLER CITIES, SONOMA AND COTATI HAVE HAD HUNDREDS OF HOUSES IN THAT PERIOD OF TIME. USUALLY THOSE HOUSES ARE BUILT ON THE OUTSKIRTS OF TOWN, WHERE AT MOST YOU HAVE NEIGHBORS ON ONE SIDE. IN SEVASTOPOL WHERE WE BUILD, EVERYTHING IS AN INFILL PROJECT. SO, WE HAVE NEIGHBORS ON THREE SIDES, WE EXPERIENCED THAT WITH THE WOOD MART PROJECT WHERE WE HAD COMPLAINTS FROM EVERYBODY ON ALL THREE SIDES AND WE HAD TO DEAL WITH THOSE ALL THE WAY THROUGH. IT NOT ONLY MAKES IT TOUGHER ON US AS THE CITY, BUT FOR THE CONTRACTORS, IT IS TOUGHER ON THEM TOO DEALING WITH THOSE THINGS. SO, THE COST FOR BUILDING IN THE CITY OF SEVASTOPOL ARE A LITTLE BIT HIGHER. THE TYPICAL HOUSE PERMIT UNDER THE NEW FEES WOULD BE AS SHOWN, ABOUT \$14,000, WHICH IS THE BUILDING PERMIT FEES THEMSELVES, AND THE GENERAL FUND PORTION, \$33,000 IN IMPACT FEES, THAT IS THROUGH HER CONNECTIONS, WATER CONNECTIONS, PARK FEES, SMALL FIRE FEE FOR A NEW HOUSE. THE TOTAL IS AROUND \$47,000. THAT IS WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE COUNTY OF SONOMA, WHICH IS DRASTICALLY LOWER THAN EVERYBODY ELSE, BECAUSE THEY DO NOT PROVIDE WATER AND SEWER, THAT IS TOWARDS THE BOTTOM OF THE COMPARISONS BETWEEN OTHER CITIES. COTATI IS AROUND 60,000, THE CITY OF SONOMA IS 67 , 57,000, I BELIEVE, FOR THAT SIZE HOUSE. COTATI EVEN HAS A \$30,000 HOUSING COST ON TOP OF THEIR 60,000. SO THEY ACTUALLY ARE AT \$90,000. AND SO WE ARE NEAR THE BOTTOM, WITH THE EXCEPTION OF THE COUNTY, WHERE THEY DO NOT PROVIDE SEWER AND WATER SO YOU HAVE TO SPEND \$100,000 TO PUT A SEWER AND SEPTIC TANK IN AND A WELL BEFORE YOU CAN START. NEXT SLIDE. OH. THE ONE LAST THING I WANT TO TALK ABOUT IS THE FISCAL IMPACT OF THESE FEES. WHEN WE STARTED SETTING THESE FEES WILL, TALKING ABOUT THEM IN MAY AND APRIL OF LAST YEAR , AND SETTING OUR BUDGET AT THE SAME TIME, WE USED A HIGHER FEE, A HIGHER NUMBER THAN THIS. BY BRINGING THESE DOWN, AND I THINK IT IS THE RIGHT THING TO DO, TO COME BACK DOWN TO THE 284, IT REDUCES OUR GENERAL FUND REVENUE BY ABOUT \$160,000 OR SO ON THE CANOPY PARK PROJECT IN NORTH SEVASTOPOL. THAT WILL BE SOMEWHAT OFFSET BY THE FACT THAT WE DID ISSUE SOME, FOUR NEW HOUSES THE OTHER DAY FROM HABITAT FOR HUMANITY, WHICH WAS ABOUT \$45,000. SO, OVERALL, WE WILL LOOK AT AROUND \$115,000 REDUCTION IN REVENUES. THAT COULD BE OFFSET , IF WE HAD THE HOTEL COME THROUGH, IF WE HAD SOME OF THESE OTHER PROJECTS THAT WE STARTED THROUGH THE PROCESS COME THROUGH, THAT COULD BE OFFSET BEFORE THE END OF THE YEAR. BUT AT THIS POINT, WE ARE LOOKING AT LOWERING IT BY ABOUT \$115,000. I WILL POINT OUT THAT FOR ALL BUILDING PROJECTS, THE CITY DOES NOT EVER DRIVE THE CART, WE ARE IN THE TRAILER IN THE BACK DRIVEN BY THE DEVELOPERS. THEY DECIDE WHEN THE PROJECTS ARE MOVING FORWARD AND WHEN THEY ARE GOING TO CALL FOR MINUTES. WE ARE HOPING SOME OF THESE WILL COME THROUGH, LIKE THE HOTEL, WHICH IS ANOTHER THREE OR \$400,000 IN PERMIT FEES, BUT WE DO NOT RIDE THAT. WITH THAT, I THINK I COVERED ALL OF THE KEY POINTS. IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ANSWER THEM.

WESTERNS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS?

I HAD A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. IT LOOKS LIKE OUR CURRENT BUDGET, YOU INDICATED TO STAFF, AND I APPRECIATED THAT, IN THE FISCAL IMPACT SUMMARY, YOU MENTIONED SOME OTHER PROJECTS THAT MAY LOWER OUR PROJECTED BUILDING FEES. AND I THINK IT WAS THE BARLOW HOTEL AND HUNTLEY SQUARE. AND IT SOUNDS, IS THAT BECAUSE, NOT BECAUSE OF THE CHANGE IN BUILDING FEES, BUT BECAUSE OF MAYBE REDUCED CONFIDENCE IN THOSE MOVING FORWARD AT THE TIMELINE?

YES, I TRIED TO POINT OUT AND DID NOT DO A VERY GOOD JOB , WE HAVE NO IDEA WHEN THOSE PROJECTS ARE GOING TO START. HUNTLEY SQUARE IS 10 SMALL UNITS, 600 SQUARE FEET OR LESS, BUT THE PERMITS WILL GENERATE ANOTHER \$50,000 OR \$60,000 IF THAT BUDGET STARTS. THEY ARE IN PLAN CHECK, WE HAVE RECEIVED PLANS AND HAVE STARTED TO THAT PROCESS, BUT IN TALKING TO THE DEVELOPER, THEY DO NOT SEEM TO BE PENCILING RIGHT NOW, SO THEY MAY NOT MOVE FORWARD, BUT WE WILL HAVE 10 FULLY ENTITLED, READY TO BUILD HOUSES HERE IN THE NEXT COUPLE OF WEEKS. THE BARLOW HOTEL, IF THAT DECIDES TO GO FORWARD, WE ARE HOPING IT WILL GO FORWARD IN SPRINGTIME, WE HAVE ALREADY DONE AN ESTIMATE OF THE GENERAL FUND FEES. IT IS ABOUT \$450,000 ON THAT PROJECT. A LOT OF WHICH WILL BE SPENT ON THAT PROJECT WITH US BEING DOWN THERE CONSTANTLY ON THAT PROJECT. BUT WE ARE NOT CONFIDENT THAT WILL START UNLESS INTEREST RATES GET DOWN TO ABOUT 5%, AS OPPOSED TO THE 6 1/2% OR SO OUT NOW. SO IF IT DOESN'T START, IT MAY NOT EVEN BE BEFORE JUNE 30th OF NEXT YEAR. SO IT MAY FALL INTO NEXT YEAR. THERE ARE A COUPLE OTHER PROJECT LIKE THAT TOO. WE HAVE A LITTLE PROJECT GOING UP ON HILLS BERRY AVENUE THAT IS IN PLAN CHECK. WE HAVE A FAIRLY LARGE CONVERSION PROJECT AT 200 SOUTH MAIN. IT IS IN PLAN CHECK. IT MAY GENERATE ADDITIONAL FEES. BUT IT REALLY, FOR BUILDING PERMIT REVENUES, IT IS ALWAYS A GUESSING GAME.

OKAY, BUT JUST TO BE CLEAR, IT'S NOT LIKE ALL OF THOSE PROJECTS THAT YOU JUST DESCRIBED, BECAUSE OF THEIR UNCERTAINTY, THEY WERE NOT INCLUDED IN REVENUE PROJECTIONS FOR THIS FISCAL YEAR?

THAT IS CORRECT.

IF ANYTHING, THEY WOULD IMPROVE HER SITUATION?

THEY WOULD IMPROVE OUR SITUATION. >> OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. UNLESS THERE ARE QUESTIONS, WE WILL GO OUT TO, YES, GO AHEAD, COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

SO, IT SAYS, AND YOU HAVE EXPLAINED THAT YOU DID, YOU LOOKED AT OTHER JURISDICTIONS. CAN YOU STATE WHICH ONES? BECAUSE I AM CURIOUS, WE ARE NOT THE SAME AS SANTA ROSA, FOR EXAMPLE ORFIELD BERG, I DON'T KNOW.

ACTUALLY, BEING A CONTRACT EMPLOYEE, I DO SOME OTHER INSPECTIONS, I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH COTATI BECAUSE I HAVEN'T --I HAVE BEEN INSPECTING DINNER FOR THE LAST YEAR OR SO, MY BOSS HAS DONE THE CITY OF SONOMA, SO I KNOW EXACTLY WHAT THOSE ARE, AND THAT I HAVE A PRETTY GOOD FRIEND THAT WORKS FOR THE CITY OF PETALUMA. SO THOSE ARE THE CITIES I KIND OF COMPARED US TO. BUT, LIKE I SAID, I DO NOT BELIEVE WE REALLY COMPARE FAVORABLY TO ANY OF THOSE BECAUSE ALL OF THOSE CITIES, THE BUILDING THAT IS GOING ON IN THOSE CITIES IS ON THE OUTSKIRTS OF TOWN. AND WE ARE NOT .

OKAY, THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT, NOT SEEING ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS, WE CAN GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A SEAT, WE CAN ALWAYS BRING YOU BACK.

THINK YOU, MAYOR, THIS IS A HEARING ON THE BUILDING VALUATION FEES. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT DURING THE HEARING, I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST, HEARING ON, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

NO, BUT YOU CAN LET ME KNOW.

AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE?

ANY TO RESPOND TO A FEW THINGS THAT WE JUST HEARD. THERE WAS SOME CLAIMS OR JUSTIFICATION OF INCREASED COSTS AS A RESULT OF INFILL DEVELOPMENT REQUIRING, YOU KNOW, WITH NEIGHBORS ON ALL SIDES , AND STEVE SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED COMPLAINTS ABOUT THE WOODMERE PROJECT. AND WHAT I AM REALLY CURIOUS ABOUT, WHY WE HAVE THESE FEES, IT IS NOT CLEAR THAT WE DO NOT HAVE, IT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME WHY WE DO NOT HAVE SIGNS FOR VIOLATIONS. THE NUMBER OF COMPLAINTS ON THE WOODMERE PROJECT WERE, IN MY MIND, FROM WHAT I UNDERSTAND, QUITE MASSIVE. REGULARLY, THAT CONTRACTOR WAS VIOLATING THE CONSTRUCTION AGREEMENT IN TERMS OF TIME OF OPERATION, NOISE OF OPERATION, AND IT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME WHY THERE WERE NO FINES PLACED ON THAT DEVELOPMENT. SO, WHILE IT MAY BE THAT WE ARE SUGGESTING THAT THE FEES SHOULD BE INCREASED , THAT IS KIND OF, IT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME THAT THE FEES SHOULD BE INCREASED WHEN WHAT WE ARE ACTUALLY CONCERNED ABOUT IS THAT DEVELOPERS ARE NOT ACTING IN GOOD FAITH AND ACTING WITHIN WHAT IS AGREED UPON AS PART OF THAT CONSTRUCTION. THE SECOND THING I WANT TO MENTION IS THAT WE SHOULD BE REALLY MAKING SURE THAT WE ARE NOT HINDERING DEVELOPMENT. STEVE MENTIONED THE FOUR UNIT AGREEMENT WITH HABITAT FOR HUMANITY. THE CITY MADE AN AGREEMENT TO PUT A MORATORIUM ON ALL NONEMERGENCY CONSTRUCTION IN THE CORRIDOR, WHICH WOULD IMPACT THE DEVELOPMENT OF THOSE HABITAT FOR HUMANITY HOMES. SO, THAT IS NOT CLEAR TO ME AS TO WHY WE ARE PUTTING THESE THINGS IN PLACE, ESPECIALLY WHEN WE KNOW WE'VE GOT PROJECTS THAT WOULD BE IMPACTED BY SUCH A MORATORIUM. THANKS.

THINK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. ANYONE WANTING TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE HEARING? SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHY ALL OF THESE, THESE 13 PROJECTS ARE BEING PLANNED AND EXECUTED, TILT WITHOUT LOOKING AT THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO SEE IF THE INFRASTRUCTURE IS CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING IT. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IF THE BUILDER, YOU KNOW, EVEN KNOWS THAT THERE IS A PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT BUDGET OPTION REPORT, WHICH I HAVE IN MY HAND. IT IS AN UPGRADE THAT APPARENTLY EVERY TWO YEARS, BUT ANYWAY, I AM LOOKING AT IT AND IT SAYS THAT THERE IS, THEY NEED TO HAVE \$80 MILLION WORTH OF IMPROVEMENTS IN FIVE YEARS FROM THIS DATE, IT THIS WAS 2023, BUT THAT IS NOT THE LEAST OF IT. OUR ROAD ARE 40 OUT OF 100, THEY ARE RATED 40. AND IT IS JUST GETTING FASTER WITH ALL

OF THE INCREASED TRAFFIC. THERE IS ALL THE WATER DEVELOPMENT MASTER PLAN, AS I MENTIONED THE LAST TIME, IT WAS DONE IN 2005. AND WE ARE NOT LOOKING AT A NEW ONE FOR PROBABLY A YEAR, BECAUSE -- OUT FOR PROPOSALS AND STUFF. AND IN THE SEWER THING IS ALSO CRUMBLING. AND THEN THERE IS TRAFFIC, NOBODY IS EVEN ADDRESSING IT.

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

THE INTERSECTION OF TWO HIGHWAYS, WE ALREADY HAVE GRIDLOCK, YOU KNOW? AND IT IS ON THE RADIO, KIDS ARE HOME EVERY AFTERNOON, SOMETIMES THE MORNING AND I TOLD HIM, YES, AND YET YOU ALL HAVE ALLOWED, YOU KNOW, PROPOSED A HOTEL ON HIGHWAY 12 WITHOUT AN ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT OR ANY KIND OF TRAFFIC STUDY. I DON'T UNDERSTAND IT.

THANK YOU, LINDA. THAT IS TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS HEARING? SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER STARTED? >> YES. THIS IS A VERY UNFASHIONABLE THING TO SAY IN SEVASTOPOL. BUT THE CITY HAS A BAD REPUTATION OF BEING VERY BUSINESS UNFRIENDLY AND DEVELOPER UNFRIENDLY. I THINK IF YOU TALK TO DEVELOPERS ABOUT THE 60 DAY RULE, BASICALLY, THEY WILL TELL YOU THAT HIGH INCOME, SORRY, HIGH DENSITY AND LOW INCOME HOUSING IS WILDLY POPULAR POLITICALLY. THE INFRASTRUCTURE CANNOT TAKE THAT. AND MOST PEOPLE ARE NOT REALLY BRINGING ANY SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF REVENUE TO THE CITY. SO, I KNOW THIS IS VERY UNFASHIONABLE AND UNPOPULAR, BUT MAYBE IN THE FISCAL CRISIS, WE SHOULD RELAX THE RULES A LITTLE BIT. I KNOW ALL OF THE COUNSELORS LIVE IN THE DETACHED HOUSES ON LAND. MAYBE THE TIME HAS COME TO A DECIDE THAT SOME LARGE HOUSES SHOULD BE DEMOLISHED AND REBUILT FOR WEALTHY PEOPLE, AND IT WOULD BRING IN TAX REVENUE. BUT, AS I SAY, LOOKING AT THIS PURELY FROM A FISCAL LENS, WE ARE NOT IN A HEALTHY SITUATION WHERE THE CITY HAS A TERRIBLE REPUTATION. THANK YOU.

THINK YOU, OLIVER, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE PUBLIC HEARING? SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. ANYONE HUNTING THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE BUILDING VALUATION FEES, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. SEEING NONE, THE PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. BACK AT THE COUNSEL TABLE. ANYONE WANT TO START WITH COMMENTS? OKAY. GO AHEAD. COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON, WERE YOU LEANING IN? OKAY.

I HAD A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS. CAN STEVE BROWN PLEASE GO TO THE PODIUM AGAIN? THANK YOU. FINES FOR VIOLATIONS. DO WE DO FINES FOR VIOLATIONS?

WE DO NOT. THE ONLY TOOL THAT I HAVE TO DEAL WITH IS STOP WORK ORDERS. AND BASICALLY THAT SHUTS THE JOB DOWN AND EVERYBODY ON THE JOB GOES HOME. WOODMERE THREATENED IT A COUPLE TIMES AND GOT RIGHT TO THE BRINK ONCE. BUT WHEN YOU START TO THINK ABOUT IT, THAT IS A 10, 15, 20,000 DOLLAR FINE WHEN I AM SENDING 40 PEOPLE HOME. SO I AM VERY HESITANT TO DO THAT. IN FACT, I WOULD NOT DO THAT AT ALL WITHOUT DON AND MARY AT LEAST BEING ON BOARD BEFORE I DID THAT.

DO YOU SEE ANY ADVANTAGE TO IMPLANTING FINES FOR VIOLATIONS? >> DEFINES WOULD BE LIMITED. ALEX COULD PROBABLY TELL US. BUT I BELIEVE IT WOULD BE SOMETHING LESS THAN \$1000 FOR THE FIRST OFFENSE. AND PROBABLY NOT WORTH THE EFFORT TO GO THROUGH THAT. BUT, I MEAN, HE IS RIGHT. I SPEND A LOT OF TIME UP THERE. IT IS A LOT OF PUSHING AND SHOVING. TRYING TO PACK SIX POUNDS OF SUGAR IN A FIVE POUND BAG WITH NO ROOM FOR DELIVERIES OR ANYTHING ELSE ON THAT SITE. SO IT WAS DIFFICULT.

OKAY. THANK YOU. ANYTHING ADDITIONAL ? DID YOU WANT TO SHARE ANY INFORMATION?

I WILL JUST SAY, UNDER STATE LAW, FINES FOR VIOLATIONS OF OUR MUNICIPAL CODE ARE LIMITED TO, I BELIEVE IT IS \$100 FOR THE FIRST VIOLATION, \$300 FOR THE SECOND, AND \$500 FOR THE THIRD WITHIN A YEAR . THE BUILDING PERMIT, A BUILDING CODE VIOLATION, THOSE ARE SLIGHTLY HIGHER, BUT THEY CAP OUT AT AROUND \$1000.

OH, OKAY, THERE IS THAT ANSWER. THANK YOU. AND WHEN WITH THESE NEW RATES GO INTO EFFECT? AND WOULD THEY APPLY TO ALREADY APPROVED PROJECT OR JUST FUTURE PROJECT?

WE HAVE A PROJECT ALREADY APPROVED, THEY HAVE GOTTEN FEES, AND THOSE FEES ARE THE ONES THAT WOULD BE THE ONES THAT WE USE. NEW PROJECT FOR THIS WOULD START JANUARY 1st . AND THEN THEY WOULD BE IN EFFECT. ONE OF THE THINGS I FAILED TO MENTION IS THAT THIS RESOLUTION DOES HAVE A COST OF LIVING FACTOR BUILT INTO IT. SO THE CONSTRUCTION COST INDEX WOULD BE APPLIED TO THAT EVERY JULY 1st, SO THE FEES WOULD AUTOMATICALLY KEEP UP AND WE WOULD NOT HAVE TO COME BACK TO THE CHAMBERS AGAIN.

THAT ALL MAKES SENSE, EXCEPT IT CREATES CONFUSION FOR ME BECAUSE IN THE STAFF REPORT, ISN'T THE CANOPY PROJECT AND ALREADY APPROVED PROJECT ? AND IF SO, HOW COULD THESE FEES APPLY TO THE CANOPY PROJECT?

THE CANOPY PROJECT IS AN APPROVED PROJECT. THEY DO NOT HAVE APPROVED PLANS OR PERMITS READY YET. THE CANOPY PROJECT HAS BEEN GIVEN THESE, IT HAS BEEN GIVING ESTIMATES BASED ON THESE NUMBERS ALREADY. THEY HAVE THEM BASED ON 284 AND THEIR PERMITS RANGE FROM \$33,000 FOR THE SMALLEST HOUSE UP TO ABOUT \$39,000 TOTAL. AND I WILL SAY THAT THERE IS A LOT OF MONEY IN THOSE PERMIT COSTS FOR SEWER, WATER, TRAFFIC MITIGATION , THOSE TYPES OF PROJECTS.

OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANYTHING MORE FOR STEVE BROWN? IT LOOKS LIKE KNOW. OKAY. SO, LOOKING FOR A MOTION --

I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY SOMETHING.

OH, I'M SORRY, GO AHEAD.

I JUST WANTED TO THANK STEVE FOR HIS WORK ON THIS. AND THANKS TO THE STAFF AS WELL. SO, THAT IS ALL I WANTED TO SAY. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO, WE ARE BEING ASKED TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION THAT IS ATTACHED TO THIS STAFF REPORT. I AM LOOKING FOR A MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION. HOW ABOUT YOU, SANDRA MAUER, YOU ARE VERY COME TO MENTOR HE --COME TO

MENTOR HE --. YOU WILL HAVE TO FILL ME IN WITH THE WORDS BECAUSE I DO NOT HAVE IN FRONT OF ME.

OKAY, MARY GURLEY, PLEASE CAPTURE THE MOTION FOR US.

THANK YOU. THE MOTION WOULD BE TO APPROVE, DESIGNATING AUTHORITY TO SET RATES FOR CONSTRUCTION TYPES NOT LIMITED, THE --COST-OF-LIVING INCREASES ON JULY 1st OF EACH YEAR BASED ON THE CONSUMER COST INDEX FOR THE PREVIOUS YEAR.

SO MOVED.

THE COST INDEX, SORRY.

OKAY, SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAUER, DO I HAVE A SECOND?

I WILL SECOND THAT.

OKAY, COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS. MARY GURLEY, COULD YOU PLEASE HANDLE THE ROLL CALL VOTE?

OKAY, APPROVING THE RESOLUTION AS I JUST STATED, THE MOVE COUNCILMEMBER MAUER AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS. [ROLL BEING CALLED] THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

GREAT. THANK YOU TO OUR BUILDING OFFICIALS, TO STEVE BROWN FOR THE PRESENTATION, AND TO EVERYONE FOR THEIR PATIENCE AS WE MOVE THROUGH THIS AGENDA. I AM BEING ASKED TO TAKE A BREAK, SO WE WILL TAKE A VERY BRIEF FIVE MINUTE BREAK UNTIL 8:00 P.M. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE WILL BE RIGHT BACK. >> RECORDING STOPPED. [THE EVENT IS ON A RECESS. THE SESSION WILL RECONVENE AT 8:00. CAPTIONER ON STANDBY.] [CAPTIONERS

>>> THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE NOW RETURNING FROM A BRIEF BREAK. IT'S JUST A COUPLE OF MINUTES AFTER 8:00. WE'RE GOING TO PICK UP WITH ITEM NUMBER 12, THIS IS THE DRAFT TO ACTION TRANSPORTATION PLAN. THIS IS BEING PRESENTED BY PLANNING, CITY MANAGER WHO'S INSTRUCTED ME TO GO DIRECTLY TO JOHN JAY FOR THIS PRESENTATION. IS JOHN JAY IN THE ROOM? ALL RIGHT. SO CITY MANAGER, GOT SOME STAND UP TO DO OR ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO FILL IN WITH HERE.

CONFIRMED A FEW MOMENTS AGO, THAT HE WOULD LEAVE KICKING OFF THE PRESENTATION. SO. >> OKAY, GREAT. ALL RIGHT. SO WE HAVE OUR ASSOCIATE PLANNER PRESENTING THIS ITEM TONIGHT. FROM PLANNING, THE DRAFT ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN AN UPDATE AND A DISCUSSION. THIS IS FOR DISCUSSION AND OR ACTION, TURNING IT OVER TO YOU JOHN JAY.

THANK YOU MAYOR. SO I'M GOING TO KEEP IT BRIEF AND GIVE MOST OF THE TIME OVER TO THE CONSULTANT THIS EVENING. SO JUST FOR A QUICK BACKGROUND. THE CITY STAFF HAS BEEN WORKING ON THIS PROJECT FOR JUST, A LITTLE OVER A YEAR AND A HALF NOW. THIS IS AN UPDATE TO THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN YOU MAY HAVE KNOWN AS THE BIKE AND PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN. THIS EFFORT IS WITH SONOMA COUNTY TRANSIT AUTHORITY AS WELL AS THE CONSULTANT FAIR AND PIERCE. SO WITH THAT, I'M GOING TO TURN IT OVER TO ELLENORE WHO'S GOING TO GIVE A

PRESENTATION THAT I WILL HAVE UP ON THE SCREEN. DANA TRADE IS HERE FROM RTCA AND RUBEN IS HERE VIA ZOOM.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'RE TURNING IT OVER TO THE CONSULTANT.

THANK YOU. JOHN HAS PUT UP THE SLIDES.

AND I THINK WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO HAVE YOU SPEAK CLOSER TO THE MICROPHONE. I KNOW. >> OKAY, CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW?

YES. >> GOOD EVENING COUNCIL MEMBERS AND THANK YOU JOHN FOR THE INTRODUCTION. I'M ELENORE LESHNER PART OF THE TEAM SUPPORTING THE CTA ON THE UPDATE. SO TONIGHT I'LL BE PRESENTING THE PLAN FOR SEBASTAPOL. THE FIRST GOAL IS TO EXPAND TRANSPORTATION FOR PEOPLE OF ALL AGES AND ABILITIES. SECOND IS REGIONAL CONNECTIONS AND IMPLEMENTATIONS. THE UMBRELLA PLAN FOR SEVEN LOCAL PLAN UPDATES. THE NEXT SLIDE GOES THE VISION STATEMENT FOR THE PLAN. WHICH OVER ALL IS GUIDED BY PRINCIPLES TO IMPROVE SAFETY, CONNECTIVITY, EQUITY, QUALITY OF LIFE. THAT WALKING, BIKING AND ROLLING SHALL BE SAFER AND APPEALING MODES FOR PEOPLE OF ALL AGES AND ABILITIES. THE NEXT SLIDE HAS THE THREE MAIN GOALS FOR THE PLAN WHICH IS TO CREATE A CONNECTED AND RELIABLE NETWORK. A NETWORK THAT IS SAFE AND WELL MAINTAINED. AND ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION NETWORK THAT IS COMMUNITY ORIENTED AND TAILORED TO THE SURROUNDING CONTACTS. THE NEXT SLIDE HELPS DESCRIBE ONE OF THE MAJOR SHIFTS IN ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLANNING SINCE THE LAST BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN PLAN UPDATE SINCE 2011. IT'S REALLY A FOCUS ON ALL AGES AND ABILITIES. SO NATIONAL RESEARCH HAS SHOWN THAT MOST OF THE POPULATION FALLS IN WHAT WE CALL THE INTERESTED BUT CONCERNED CATEGORY OF BICYCLISTS. THEY'RE INTERESTED IN BIKING OR WALKING MORE BUT THEY'RE CONCERNED ABOUT SAFETY. THEY'RE NOT COMFORTABLE, THEY MAY NOT BE COMFORTABLE BIKING IN PAINTED BIKE LANES NEXT TO FAST-MOVING TRAFFIC FOR EXAMPLE. THE PLAN WE DEVELOPED REALLY FOCUSES ON ALL AGES AND ABILITIES FROM CHILDREN GOING TO SCHOOL. THERE'S A PRETTY GOOD BASIC COVERAGE AROUND TOWN TODAY. MOST OF THE FACILITIES ARE PAINTED BIKE LANES ON SOME OF THE MAIN ARTERIALS OR WHAT WE CALL, BICYCLE ROUTS WHICH ARE THE RED LINES. MOSTLY ON NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS AND THEN ANOTHER BIG ASSET FOR SEBASTOPOL IS THE TRAILS. LIKE THE EXISTING SKEWAY NETWORK. THERE'S ANALYSIS WE DID WHICH IS STRESS TEST. THE RED AND BROWN LINES WHICH UNSURPRISINGLY ARE MOSTLY ON THE LARGER ROADS ARE AREAS THAT ARE LESS COMFORTABLE. HIGHER STRESS FOR PEOPLE TO WALK AND BIKE. AND THEN THE GREEN AND BLUE LINES ARE LOWER STRESS MAINLY ON RESIDENTIAL STREETS. NEXT I WILL SPEAK A LITTLE BIT ABOUT OUR PUBLIC OUTREACH APPROACH. WE'VE HAD THREE PHASES OF PUBLIC OUTREACH WE'RE CURRENTLY IN THE THIRD PHASE PRESENTING THE FALL PLAN. WE FOCUSED ON UNDERSTANDING ISSUES AND CONCERNS, THE SECOND PHASE WAS THE PAST SPRING WHEN WE BROUGHT THE DRAFT PLANS TO THE PUBLIC AND GATHERED FEED BACK. WE'VE DONE A NUMBER OF ACTIVITIES LIKE GOING TO THE PLANNING COMMISSION. WE'VE HAD AN ONLINE SURVEY FOR PEOPLE TO PROVIDE OPINIONS ONLINE. WE DID A POP UP AT THE FARMERS MARKET AND APPLE BLOSSOM FESTIVAL. AND THERE'S SOME PHOTOS THERE FROM THAT. NEXT I'M GOING TO MOVE INTO SOME OF THE CONSIDERATION FOR DEVELOPING THE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE PLAN. AND SO WHEN WE SELECTED BIKE FACILITY TYPES, SOME OF THE CONSIDERATION INCLUDE A COMMUNITY INPUT. THINKING ABOUT VEHICLE SPEEDS ON DIFFERENT ROADWAYS. THE NUMBER OF VOLUME OF VEHICLES ON ROADWAYS AND OF COURSE THE SPACE AVAILABLE ON THE STREET. OR IN THE RIGHT OF WAY, IN FORMS OF FEASIBILITY OF CERTAIN PROJECTS. OVER ALL, GUIDANCE IS TO PROVIDE MORE SEPARATION FOR

PEOPLE BIKING AS VEHICLE VOLUMES OR VEHICLE SPEEDS INCREASES. THE NEXT SLIDE SHOWS, SOME IMAGES OF DIFFERENT FACILITY TYPES THAT YOU WILL SEE IN THE RECOMMENDATIONS. YOU WILL BE MORE FAMILIAR WITH BIKE ROUTS WHICH ARE SHARED FACILITIES ON TYPICALLY YOU KNOW NEIGHBORHOOD STREETS, PAINTED BICYCLE LANES AND THEN SOME OF THE NEWER FACILITIES INCLUDE SEPARATED BIKE LANES WHICH DON'T EXIST YET IN SEBASTOPOL. BUT YOU MAY HAVE BEEN IN AN AREA WHERE THERE'S A STREET HAS LOWER SPEEDS, LOWER VEHICLE VOLUMES AND, IN SOME CASES, SPEED MANAGEMENT OR TRAFFIC CALMING NEEDED. AND NEXT WE'RE LOOKING AT THE DIFFERENT FACILITY TYPES I JUST SHOWED. THIS LAYERS THE PROPOSED PROJECTS OVER THE EXISTING NETWORK. SO YOU CAN GET A SENSE OF THE COMPLETE PROPOSED MISSION OF THE PROJECTS. SOME THINGS WE WILL HIGHLIGHT HERE. A LOT OF THE FOCUS WAS ON IMPROVING CONNECTTY TO EXISTING TRAILS LIKE THE JODA AND WEST COUNTY TRAILS. AS WELL AS IMPROVING ACCESS TO SCHOOLS AND DOWNTOWN. AS KWROUZ YOU CAN SEE FROM THE DOTTED RED LINES, A NUMBER OF PROJECTS SEEM TO IMPROVE THE EXISTING BIKE WAYS AND CREATE AN EXISTING NETWORK OF BICYCLE BOULEVARDS WHICH IS MEANT TO BE LOWER STRESS STREETS WHERE CHILDREN MAY FEEL COMFORTABLE WALKING TO SCHOOL. AND OUR FOCUS IS ON THESE MORE MELLOW STREETS. FINALLY WE WILL HIGHLIGHT THAT SOME OF THE STREETS ARE HIGHLIGHTED IN LIGHT GREEN AS A CORRIDOR STUDY. THAT REFLECTS THE DOWNTOWN TRANSPORTATION STUDY THAT'S RECENTLY BEEN KICKED OFF. SO THIS PLAN WOULD ALIGN WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THAT FUTURE PLAN AND THEN, THE OTHER QUARTER STUDY REFERS TO THE CITY'S GRANT FUNDED PDA STUDY ON KIND OF A NORTH AND SOUTH PARTS OF SR116 AS WELL. AND HAPPY TO GO INTO THIS MORE IN Q & A. THE NEXT SLIDE SHOWS CONCEPTUAL ALIGNMENTS OF TRAILS THAT HAVE BEEN IDENTIFIED BY COMMUNITY MEMBERS. THE DASH LINE SHOWS THE TRAILS. AND WOULD REQUIRE FUTURE STUDY AND ADDITIONAL ENGAGEMENT. INCLUDING THESE TRAILS IN THIS WAY SO THE CITY COULD PURSUE FUNDING FOR A FEASIBILITY STUDY IN THE FUTURE. ALSO KEY CONSIDERATION SUCH AS OPPORTUNITIES FOR LAND, TOPOGRAPHY AS WELL AS OTHER ITEMS THAT MAY BE NEEDED IN THE FUTURE. THE PLAN PROJECTS HAVE BEEN PRIORITIZED INTO THREE TIERS. FROM TIER ONE HIGH PRIORITY TO TIER THREE LOW PRIORITY. AND THEN BASED ON PHASE TWO OUTREACH, A HANDFUL OF PROJECTS EITHER MOVED UP OR DOWN BASED ON COMMUNITY OUTREACH. NEXT SLIDE. THIS SLIDE PROVIDES A SUMMARY OF THE SUMMARIES WHICH THE FULL DOCUMENT WAS INCLUDED. THE POLICIES WERE ORGANIZED BY THE THREE GOALS THAT I WENT OTHER AT THE START OF THE PRESENTATION. COVERING A VARIETY OF TOPICS. INCLUDING USING IMPROVING CROSSINGS. ENHANCING SAFETY CONSISTENT WITH LOCAL AND REGIONAL EFFICIENCY AND SAFETY PLANS. THEIR POLICY IS AROUND MAINTENANCE. INCLUDING MAINTAINING BICYCLE DETECTION OF SIGNALS. SUPPORTING COUNTY WIDE EDUCATION AND ENCOURAGEMENT PROGRAMS. SO IT'S VERY COMPREHENSIVE. IN MY LAST FEW SLIDES, I'LL JUST TOUCH BRIEFLY ON IMPLEMENTATION, THE LOCAL PLANS HAVE INFORMATION ABOUT RELEVANT GRANT OPPORTUNITIES AT THE REGIONAL, STATE AND FEDERAL LEVEL. ON THE COUNTY WIDE PLAN THERE ARE MORE DETAILS AND DESCRIPTIONS ABOUT EACH OF THESE FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES FOR THOSE WHO WANT TO LEARN MORE. THE NEXT SLIDE SHOWS SOME OF THE FEDERAL FUNDING SOURCES. AND THEN, MY SECOND TO LAST SLIDE IS AROUND MONITORING MEASURES, THE TEAM HAS IDENTIFIED SIX DIFFERENT METRICS THAT THE CITY COULD DO UPDATE ON A MONTHLY BASIS TO DO UPDATES ON THE PLAN. AND THE NEXT SLIDE SUMMARIZING THE NEXT STEP. THE ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN IS AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT THROUGH THE END OF THE MONTH. I HAVE THE LINK HERE, AND IT'S ALSO AVAILABLE IN THE CITY WEBSITE. AND SO THE PLAN IS TO TAKE ANY COMMENTS, YOU KNOW WE'VE ALREADY RECEIVED A FAIR AMOUNT OF COMMENTS THAT WE WANT TO DISCUSS ON CITY COUNCIL. COLLECT ALL THE COMMENTS THEN PREPARE THE FINAL PLAN FOR ADOPTION IN EARLY NEXT YEAR. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, IF YOU CAN STAY UP THERE FOR QUESTIONS, THAT WOULD BE GREAT. ARE THERE ADDITIONAL PRESENTERS FOR THIS ITEM, JOHN JAY. QUESTIONS? >> THANK YOU, JOHN JAY, WE WERE ALL TOGETHER LAST THURSDAY FOR A CALTRANS KIND OF LAY OUT FOR THE GRANT. SO, HOW WOULD YOU ENVISION THIS WITH THIS THAT WE'VE BEEN PRESENTING. BECAUSE THEY'RE BOTH PLANNING GRANTS. SO IS THERE SYNERGY SO WE CAN LEVERAGE THAT OR AM I JUST MISSING ALL OF IT?

CORRECT, THERE IS A KIND OF SYNERGY. THERE'S A CORRIDOR STUDY THAT THEY'VE LISTED ON THAT MAP. ONE OF THOSE ALONG THE MAIN STREET IS THE ONE WE KICKED OFF LAST WEEK. SO, ANY IMPROVEMENTS THAT COME OUT OF THAT PLANNING KIND OF BUDGET WOULD GET IMPLEMENTED INTO THIS ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PLAN. SO THAT WE'RE NOT RECREATING WORK, KNOWING THAT THIS PROJECT IS KIND OF WRAPPING UP. THE OTHER ONE IS KIND OF TAKING OFF. SO, WHATEVER WE'VE ALREADY GONE THROUGH THE PROCESS AS FAR AS LOOKING AT CROSSINGS OR PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS WOULD GET INCORPORATED INTO THAT DOWNTOWN SUSTAINABILITY TRANSPORTATION GRANT AND THEN GOING FORWARD AS FAR AS FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES IN THE FUTURE, WE HAVE COMPLETED DOCUMENTS LIKE THESE. IT IS A LOT EASIER TO APPLY AND RECEIVE FUNDING BECAUSE YOU ESSENTIALLY HAVE SHOVEL READY PROJECTS THAT ARE ALREADY CLASSIFIED AND YOU KNOW THE WORK HAS ALREADY BEEN DONE.

GREAT. I HAVE A FOLLOW UP BUT I DON'T WANT TO BUTTIN. >> GO AHEAD.

WHEN I WAS AT THE WORKSHOP LAST THURSDAY, COMMUNITY MEMBERS CAME UP AND THEY'RE LIKE, THIS IS ALL GREAT. AND WE ALL GET WORKED UP. WE ALL HAVE LOTS OF IDEAS BUT THEN NOTHING EVER GETS IMPLEMENTED. ONE WOMAN WHO CAME UP TO ME WAS SEBASTOPOL STREETS. WHICH SEEMS VERY SIMILAR TO WHAT IS HAPPENING HERE NOW. SHE EXPRESSED DISMAY THAT NOTHING CAME OF IT. SO WHAT KIND OF REASSURANCE THAT SOMETHING IS GOING TO COME FROM ONE OR BOTH OF THESE THINGS I GUESS IS WHAT I'M ASKING.

I DON'T WANT TO SPEAK FOR PAST PLANNING FOLKS, BUT SOMETIMES IT COMES DOWN TO FUNDING PROPERTY FOR PROJECTS LIKE THESE. AS FUNDING GOES THERE ARE BOXES THAT YOU DO NEED TO CHECK. UNFORTUNATELY SOMETIMES SEBASTOPOL GIVEN THE SIZE IT DOES MAKE IT DIFFICULT TO HAVE THOSE GRANTS AWARDED. BUT HOPEFULLY AS THESE PROJECTS ARE MOVING FORWARD ARE MORE PEDESTRIAN AND COMMUNITY FOCUSED. WILL ALLOW AN ALTERNATIVE SELECTION POINT FOR THOSE EQUITY COMPONENTS THAT ARE REQUIRED AS PART OF GRANT APPLICATION. I THINK WITH THE COUNTY ALSO DOING THIS ON A LARGER SCALE. IT DOES HELP FOR US TO KIND OF CONNECT THE WHOLE NETWORK TOGETHER. SO THERE COULD BE A POSSIBILITY OF HAVING KIND OF JOINT APPLICATIONS IN THE FUTURE. YOU KNOW AS YOU SAW IN SOME OF THOSE SLIDES, THERE ARE TRAIL CONNECTIONS THAT GO INTO COUNTY PROPERTY WHICH IS OUTSIDE OF CITY LIMITS. SO IF THERE'S A, JOINT APPLICATION FOR A PROJECT THAT COVERS BOTH OF THOSE AREAS THAT MIGHT BE EASIER FOR US TO MOVE PROJECTS FORWARD.

CAN I ASK THE SAME GENERAL IDEA.

SURE, GO AHEAD.

SO DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO SUPPLEMENT?

YEAH, I WOULD JUST SAY, A CTA DESIGNED THIS EFFORT TO HAVE A FOCUS ON IMPLEMENTATION. TO ADDRESS SOME OF THE QUESTIONS THAT YOU BROUGHT UP. AND SO PART OF OUR APPROACH IS STRAIGHTFORWARD, APPROACH. THAT ALSO TAKES INTO CONSIDERATION COMMUNITY INPUT AND PROVIDING INFORMATION ABOUT GRAND FUNDING THAT MIGHT BE APPLICABLE FOR THESE DIFFERENT THINGS. I WOULD ALSO JUST ADD THE PROCESS OF GOING THROUGH THIS UPDATE WITH SEVERAL OTHER JURISDICTIONS. BUILDING, CAPACITY AND CONNECTIONS TO PUSH THINGS FORWARD. >> THANK YOU.

OKAY GO AHEAD COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS. >> I JUST WANT TO TEASE THIS A LITTLE BIT FURTHER. CAN YOU TALK TO US ABOUT HOW COMPETITIVE THEY ARE. WE SIT UP HERE TALKING ABOUT WE CAN'T EVEN PAVE OUR ROADS BE SMOOTH FOR CARS LET ALONE FOR A BIKE RIDING ON IT. HOW FREQUENTLY, JUST WHAT'S THE REALISTIC OUTLOOK? >> THEY DO COME UP OCCASIONALLY. IT IS COMPETITIVE DEPENDING ON THE AMOUNT OF FUNDING THAT'S AVAILABLE FOR A SPECIFIC GRANT AND KIND OF WHAT THE CRITERIA THAT THE GRANT REQUIRES NEED TO BE MET. AS I MENTIONED, THE EQUITY POINT IS ALWAYS THE HARDEST COMPONENT FOR SEBASTOPOL TO ME. WE SCORE HIGH ON THE OTHER COMPONENTS BUT THAT'S WHERE WE DON'T GET WHAT'S AWARDED FOR. SO WE LOSE OUT ON AN OPPORTUNITY AND WE HAVE TO WAIT FOR ANOTHER. GRANTS ARE PRETTY TRICKY. THE MONEY THAT'S AWARDED IS NOT ALWAYS FREE MONEY BECAUSE THERE'S SOME SORT OF STAFF, YEAH THERE'S A MATCH COMPONENT AS WELL. AND THERE'S ALSO STAFF ADMINISTRATION OF MAKING SURE THAT WHEN A CONSULTANT IS HIRED TO DO WORK OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT, THAT THOSE REIMBURSEMENTS ARE TAKEN CARE OF APPROPRIATELY. >> THANK YOU, I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT POINT. BECAUSE WORKING IN THE NONPROFIT WORLD, EXCUSE ME. I KNOW HOW DIFFICULT IT IS TO GET GRANTS AND I MEAN, THEY ARE FEW AND FAR BETWEEN. SO TO THE POINT, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN MADE, THE PERSON THAT THEY SPOKE WITH WAS DISMAYED. I WANT PEOPLE TO HAVE PERSPECTIVE BECAUSE SO MANY OF THESE THINGS ARE PIE IN THE SKY.

MY QUESTION, WHAT WE'RE LOOKING AT HERE TONIGHT DOESN'T COME WITH ANY MONEY. THIS ISN'T A GRANT, THIS IS JUST A PROCESS FOR APPROVING A PLAN WITHIN OUR CITY. CORRECT.

YES, THAT IS CORRECT. SO WHAT IT ESSENTIALLY DOES IS GIVES US A ROAD MAP. NO PUN INTENDED. OF THE FUTURE FOR OUR BICYCLE AND KIND OF PEDESTRIAN NETWORK. WHICH THE CONSULTING TEAM HAS PROVIDED ON THAT LIST OF POTENTIAL FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES TO GO AFTER TO YOU KNOW CONSTRUCT THESE PROJECTS IN THE FUTURE. THERE'S NO, THIS IS JUST KIND OF A HERE, WE'VE DONE THE WORK AS FAR AS WHAT YOU NEED TO IMPROVE AND UPDATE. AND HERE IS HOW TO DRAFT FOR THAT MONEY. >> I HAVE AN ADDITIONAL QUESTION TO COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS. AND SORRY, I'VE BEEN IGNORING COUNTY MEMBER MAURER. GO AHEAD COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER. >> THE PRESENTER MENTIONED THAT THERE ARE TWO COMMUNITY SUPPORTED TRAILS OF THE APPLE BLOSSOM AND GRAVENTINE TRAIL. SHE MENTIONED BECAUSE THEY'RE IN THE APV, THEY COULD BE USED IN THE FUTURE FOR A FEASIBILITY STUDY. I WANTED TO GET VERIFICATION ON THIS AND MAYBE A COMMENT FROM STAFF, BECAUSE THEY'RE NOT EXACTLY LISTED AS PROJECTS, I MEAN THEY'RE MENTIONED IN THE REPORT. BUT THEY'RE NOT MENTIONED AS PROJECTS. I NEED HELP UNDERSTANDING, IS IT TRUE THAT THESE, THAT WE CAN GO STRAIGHT TO A FEASIBLE STUDY OF THESE TRAILS OR NOT.

I MEAN I CAN START. I KNOW SHE DIRECTED IT AT STAFF. BUT WE AS THE CONSULTING TEAM MEETING WITH THE CITY AND STCA. CONFIRM THAT THE INCLUSION OF THE TRAILS AND THE PLAN. THERE'S A WHOLE PAGED MAP FOR THEM WOULD BE

ENOUGH TO SUPPORT GOING AFTER GRANT FUNDING TO DO A FEASIBILITY STUDY AND ARREST THAT LIST OF FACTORS AND CONSIDERATION THAT WOULD NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. >> THANK YOU, I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO HEAR FROM POSSIBLY JOHN JAY OR JOHN ON THIS OR TONY IF HE'S ONLINE.

MY UNDERSTANDING IS BOTH OF THOSE TRAILS AS WELL. AS LONG AS THEY'RE IN THAT FIGURE PART OF THE PLAN THEY WOULD BE AVAILABLE FOR THE FEATURE.

THANK YOU. >> THERE HAS TO BE A REASON THAT THESE ARE CALLED OUT AS ASPIRATIONAL AND NOT INCLUDED IN THE LIST OF PROJECTS. THAT WAS MY QUESTION, DOES THAT MEAN THAT THE PRIMARY PROJECTS WOULD BE BY DEFINITION PRIORITIZED OVER WHAT YOU'VE DRIVERRED YOU'VE INCLUDED IN THIS PROJECT AS ASPIRATIONAL TRAIL. I AM JUST WONDERING HOW THESE GOT ADDED IN.

THESE ARE PROPOSED TO BE OFF STREET TRAILS THAT WOULD CROSS PRIVATE PROPERTY. FOCUSES ON PROMPTS THAT ARE ON PRIVATE RIGHT OF WAY. >> IT SOUNDS LIKE INHERENT YOUR ANSWER IS THE FACT THAT THESE TRAILS, HAS THERE BEEN ANY PRELIMINARY WORK DONE THAT WOULD ESTABLISH THAT THESE TRAILS THAT CROSS PRIVATE PROPERTY HAVE BEEN SUPPORTED BY THE PROPERTY OWNERS. OR IS THAT ONE OF THE QUESTION MARKS? >> THAT'S SOMETHING THAT WOULD NEED TO BE FURTHER ANALYZED IN A FOLLOW UP STUDY. COMMUNITY MEMBERS HAVE SHOWN ME AND THE CITY SOME LETTERS OF SUPPORT FROM SOME PROPERTY OWNERS BUT NOT FROM ALL, SO.

OKAY, THANK YOU. SO THAT WOULD BE PART OF THE INITIAL INQUIRY. MY ADDITIONAL QUESTION, WAS, THESE ASPIRATIONAL TRAILS HAVE BEEN CALLED OUT IN THE DOCUMENT. WERE THERE OTHER ASPIRATIONAL TRAILS THAT WERE CONSIDERED OR THAT WERE BROUGHT FORTH TO THE GROUP. >> NOT THAT'S NOT MY UNDERSTANDING. IT'S THESE TWO MAIN TRAILS, IN THE COUNTY THERE'S A LOT OF INTEREST FOR OFF STREET TRAILS ALSO IN THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY AND THERE'S A SIMILAR MAP IN THE COUNTY PLAN. >> GOT IT.

I GUESS THIS IS A QUESTION FOR JOHN JAY, WAS IS THERE ANY, WE'VE HEARD A LOT ABOUT PUBLIC OUTREACH DONE IN ORDER TO GATHER COMMUNITY INFORMATION ON THIS PLAN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT TONIGHT. THE COMMUNITY OUTREACH THAT WAS DONE, DID IT INCLUDE AN INVITATION TO COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO SHARE POTENTIAL ASPIRATIONAL TRAILS?

YES, SO, THESE TRAILS WERE BROUGHT TO ALL OF THE PUBLIC OUTREACH EVENTS.

THAT IS NOT THE QUESTION I ASKED.

I'M SORRY. >> I UNDERSTAND THESE WERE BROUGHT TO THE GROUP AND WERE SUGGESTED, WAS THE PUBLIC INVITED SPECIFICALLY TO SUGGEST ASPIRATIONAL TRAILS? THERE MIGHT BE OTHER TRAILS THAT PEOPLE MIGHT HAVE COME UP WITH, THAT'S WHAT I'M TRYING TO SAY. >> NOT TO MY UNDERSTANDING, NO.

SO THESE WERE PROACTIVELY BROUGHT TO THE GROUP. >> YES. >> AND THEN, FOLLOW UP QUESTION ON THAT, WHAT DOES TO THE EXTENT THAT ANY OF THESE TRAILS ARE INCLUDED IN THIS DOCUMENT, DOES IT REQUIRE, I UNDERSTAND THAT IT PROVIDES A BASIS FOR PURSUING GRANT MONEY. DOES IT, DOES IT PLACE ANY OBLIGATION ON THE PART OF THE CITY TO PURSUE ONE ANY ALL OF THESE TRAILS.

THE WAY IT'S PRESENTED UNTIL THE PLAN RIGHT NOW. DOESN'T HAVE AN OBLIGATION ATTACHED TO IT AND LEAVES IT FLEXIBLE.

OKAY. AND THEN, IF THE, IF FOR INSTANCE THE CITY WANTED TO ADD ANY OTHER TRAILS, WOULD THE CITY BE LIMITED TO NOT TO JUST THESE TRAILS HERE. WOULD THERE BE A LIMITATION THAT WOULD ALLOW. WOULDN'T ALLOW THE ADDITION OF TRAILS.

NO I DON'T THINK SO. WE CAN GO THROUGH THE PROCESS OF ADDING THOSE TO THE ADOPTED DOCUMENT. >> THANK YOU, COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS. >> I HAVE A QUESTION BUT IT'S GOING TO SOUND LIKE A COMMENT. BUT BARE WITH ME. I'M LOOKING AT MY OWN PARTICULAR STREET. I HAVE SOME BACKGROUND WITH THAT. IT'S SHOWING IT WOULD BE CONVERTED TO A BIKE BOULEVARD. IT SAYS THAT IT COULD BE A VARIETY OF THINGS THAT WOULD SLOW THE TRAFFIC DOWN. BUT MY NEIGHBORHOOD WE HAD A MEETING WITH OUR SERGEANT FROM THE POLICE, JUST A COUPLE OF YEARS AGO BECAUSE WE WANTED TO SLOW THE TRAFFIC DOWN AND WE WERE TOLD THAT COULD NOT HAPPEN AND THAT NONE OF THESE THINGS COULD HAPPEN AND THEY COULD NOT HAPPEN BECAUSE IT'S AN EMERGENCY ROUT. SO WE HAD AN OPTION OF PAYING FOR THESE STREET BUMPS ON THE STREET WHICH NOBODY WANTED TO HAVE. HAS ANY OF THIS BEEN PASSED THROUGH OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT. BECAUSE WHAT I SEE HERE IS IN DIRECT CONTRADICTION TO WHAT OUR OWN POLICE OFFICERS TOLD US COULD HAPPEN ON OUR STREETS. I'M A LITTLE CONCERNED ABOUT THE DISCONNECT THERE. AND I MEAN, I'M HEARING TWO THINGS HERE JUST FROM ONE STREET.

YEAH, SO I MEAN, ALL OF THE CITY DEPARTMENTS WERE INCLUDED IN THE VERY FIRST OUTREACH PART OF THIS PROJECT. AND THEN KIND OF THROUGHOUT. SO I DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT DISCONNECT HAPPENED. AND I'M HAPPEN PIP TO LOOK INTO IT FURTHER. >> I DON'T WANT ANYTHING DONE PERSONALLY FOR ME. IT JUST RAISES CONCERNS FOR ME. WE HAD A NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING. THEY CAME OUT. THIS WAS NOT JUST AN ONE OFF CONVERSATION, IT WAS A TWO HOUR MEETING. IF THERE WAS DISCONNECT THERE, THERE MIGHT BE DISCONNECT ELSEWHERE. AND I JUST DON'T LIKE THAT KIND OF APPROACH. >> IF I CAN JUST ADD, PART OF THE REASON WHY THERE'S A LONG LIST OF POTENTIAL TREATMENTS IS, YOU KNOW TO RECOGNIZE THAT NOT EVERY TREATMENT IS GOING TO BE APPROPRIATE. >> WELL EVERYTHING YOU HAVE LISTED THEY TOLD US NO. EVERYTHING YOU HAVE LISTED HERE ON OUR STREET THEY TOLD US NO IT WOULDN'T WORK. SOME OF THIS IS CONCERNING FOR ME WHICH IS WHY I'M NOT IN SUPPORT OF BICYCLING BOULEVARDS.

CAN I ADD ONE THING.

YEAH, SURE.

I'M NOT FAMILIAR WITH THIS IN SEBASTOPOL. BUT I UNDERSTAND IN OTHER CITIES THERE'S OPENNESS. IF WE CAN GO OUT AND DO A TASTE. IF WE CAN PUT DOWN SOMETHING THAT WE CAN PUT DOWN AND TAKE OUT. AND HAVE FIRE TRUCKS TO COME BY. THAT'S A SOLUTION I'VE SEEN FOR ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION PROJECTS WITH EMERGENCY SERVICES.

SO THE QUESTION IS, WHAT HAPPENS IF THIS PLAN IS IN CONTRADICTION TO OTHER ORDNANCES, LAWS, REQUIREMENTS WITHIN OUR TOWN. I'M ASSUMING THAT BASICALLY IT WOULD HAVE TO BE MODIFIED. BUT, JOHN JAY MAYBE YOU CAN JUST ADDRESS THAT. TO COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS' POINT. >> WE WOULD OBVIOUSLY GO THROUGH AND MAKE SURE THAT EVERYTHING IS UP TO DATE AND CURRENT WITH OUR, CITY

ORDNANCES. >> OKAY, ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT? NOT SEEING ANY. LET'S GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT. THE PUBLIC COMMENT, NO YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT CAN BE YOUR QUESTIONS. AND YOU CAN BE SEATED, THANK YOU. WE'LL CALL YOU UP IF WE NEED TO. JUST SO THE PUBLIC IS AWARE OF OUR PROCESS. WE WILL TAKE PUBLIC COMMENT NOW. EVERYBODY WILL HAVE TWO MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENTS. I'LL BE TAKING NOTES. OTHERS WILL BE TAKING NOTES. AS LONG AS THE QUESTIONS HAVE VALIDITY TO THIS CHAMBER, WE WILL TRY TO ANSWER. YOU CAN SUBMIT @ THE CITY COUNCIL AND YOUR ANSWERS WILL BE ANSWERED. LET'S START PUBLIC COMMENT IF WE COULD.

THIS IS PUB PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS SEBASTOPOL. IF THERE'S SOMEONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. THEN I'LL DO ZOOM AND COME BACK. THANK YOU. >> A WHILE AGO, ZILLER DID A SURVEY OF WHAT RESIDENTS WANT IN THEIR NEIGHBORHOOD. AND THE TOP TWO WERE WALKABILITY AND BIKE TRAILS. AND WE REALLY LACK IN THOSE AREAS IN OUR TOWN. BUT THERE'S ONE TRAIL THAT REALLY CAN MEET THAT NEED AND CONNECTS ACTUALLY SEVEN SCHOOLS, THE LIBRARY, THE CITY HALL. THE DOWNTOWN AREA AND APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL. AND IT IS REALLY REMARKABLE. THAT IN THIS WILL DEVELOPED TOWN YOU CAN PUT TOGETHER PIECES AND FIND OTHER PROPERTY OWNERS THAT ARE WILLING TO MAKE THOSE PIECES FIT TOGETHER ALMOST PERFECTLY. THERE'S NEVER PERFECT. AND WE HAVE THAT. BUT THERE'S SOMEONE, A GROUP THAT A BURBANK GROUP THAT WANTS TO GO, THAT WANTS TO NOT HAVE IT. STAY OFF OUR SHORT LITTLE SECTION OF ROAD THAT IS A PUBLIC ROAD. AND YOU KNOW DON'T COME NEAR US FOR A VERY LONG LIST OF REASONS. NONE OF THOSE REASONS ARE REALISTIC, LET'S SAY. THE FIRST EIGHT OF THEM IS ABOUT HOW CROWDED THAT ROAD IS. AND ALL THE ACCIDENTS THAT ARE GOING TO HAPPEN BECAUSE THAT CROWD. WELL, THAT ROAD HAS AN AVERAGE TRAFFIC COUNT OF ABOUT 1 CAR EVERY SEVEN MINUTES.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

AND, ANY WAY. THE OTHER THING IS, IT'S A 10-MILE AN HOUR SPEED LIMIT ON THERE. I HOPE YOU CAN LOOK PAST ALL THOSE THINGS BECAUSE NONE OF THEM REALLY HOLD WATER. AND MAKE A DECISION THAT IS BASED ON REALITY AND THE NEEDS OF THE WHOLE COMMUNITY.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. GAVIN CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE. THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER.

I CAN'T. BUT, I'LL GO AHEAD AND MAKE MY COMMENTS ANY WAY.

GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. >> I WOULD JUST LIKE TO SAY THAT I'M DEFINITELY IN SUPPORT OF THE GRAVENTINE AND APPLE BLOSSOM TRAILS. I THINK THE COUNCIL NEED TO PRIORITIZE THE PLANS. I THINK THOSE TWO SHOULD BE INCLUDED ON THE TIERED LIST. I'M SOMEBODY THAT IS AN AVID BIKE RIDER. I TAKE MY KIDS TO SCHOOL ON THE BIKE. I WANT MY KIDS TO BE ABLE TO RIDE TO SCHOOL ON THEIR BIKES. AND I THINK THAT BOTH OF THESE TRAILS WILL HELP FACILITATE THAT. AND I THINK ANY CONCERNS ABOUT INCLUDING THESE TRAILS ARE DEFINITELY THINGS THAT CAN BE ADDRESSED IN, YOU KNOW, A COLLABORATIVE MANNER BETWEEN RESIDENTS AND PEOPLE THAT WANT THEM. SO, I'M CONFIDENT THAT ALL PERSPECTIVES CAN BE TAKEN INTO ACCOUNT AND THAT WE CAN COME TOGETHER TO FIND CONSENSUS. SO I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THE ATP AND THE PROJECTS IN IT ARE IMPORTANT TO MAKING SEBASTOPOL AND I'M SURE WE CAN ANSWER WHAT'S ON TOP OF OUR COUNCIL'S MIND. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS.

THANK YOU. MY COMMENT IS JUST ON THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL, THE CURRENT ROUT AS I SAW ON THE MAP THAT WAS SHOWN, IT GOES RIGHT THROUGH THE LUTHER BURBANK EXPERIMENTAL FARM. IT'S PRETTY SENSITIVE AREA. THERE'S ONLY TWO ACRES LEFT OF LUTHER BURBANK'S EXPERIMENT PLAN. I DON'T THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO PUT A PAVED PATH ON THERE. BUT IF YOU JUST STAYED ON THE ROADWAY. A COUPLE HUNDRED MORE' ON THE ROADWAY BETWEEN THE CEMETERY AND BURBANK'S FARMMENT FARM. THEN YOU GET INTO THE CEMETERY THAT WAY. SO YOU ARE NOT DISRUPTING THE FARM. YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE TO FOLLOW ONE OF OUR TRAILS, I THINK. AND IT WOULD MEANDER. IT'S GOING TO COST A LOT MORE MONEY. AND IT WOULD BE, UNACCEPTABLE MOST OF THE VOLUNTEERS THERES ARE TOTALLY AGAINST ANYTHING COMING THROUGH THERE. I THINK IT'S A GOOD IDEA TO HAVE A TRAIL BUT JUST NOT RIGHT THROUGH THE PARK. EVEN THOUGH IT IS A CITY OWNED PROPERTY. THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO TO ZOOM. LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE. LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT LINDA. >> I'VE BEEN PRESSING THE BUTTON. I DON'T KNOW WHAT HAPPENED. BUT ANY WAY, FIRST OF ALL I WOULD LIKE TO THANK LYNN FOR HIS SERVICE OF MANY MANY YEARS TO THIS COMMUNITY. ALSO I WOULD LIKE TO, I WOULD LIKE TO NOTE THAT, I'M ALSO A CYCLIST AND WALKER. I ALREADY PUT MY COUPLE OF MILES TODAY WALKING AND RIDING MY BIKE. RELATIVELY SAFE AND VERY INTERESTING. I REALLY ENJOY THE PEOPLE. I SEE THERE. SO ANY WAY. ABOUT THE BIKE LANES, IN TELLING YOU. I WILL NEVER EVER RIDE IN THEM BECAUSE THEY'RE DANGEROUS AND WE'VE ALREADY HAD I BELIEVE TWO DEATHS FROM, CYCLISTS WITH A DRUNK DRIVER DOWN ON NEAR, HIGH SCHOOL ROAD A FEW YEARS AGO. THEN MORE RECENTLY, ONE NEIGHBOR WAS HIT, OH HE WAS WALKING WITH TWO YOUNG CHILDREN WERE ON THEIR BIKES ON THE BICYCLE LANE.

LINDA YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECOND.

SO ANY WAY I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR MORE ABOUT THE DIVIDED, WHAT IS A DIVISION FOR THE DIVIDED BICYCLE LANE FROM THE TRAFFIC. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THAT IS.

THANK YOU LINDA FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME INTO CHAMBERS. >> HI I'M MICHAEL CEMENITIS, I'M A LOCAL BUSINESS AND MEMBER OF THE LAKE. I WANT TO TALK ABOUT THE HEB IS DEFECTIVE BY NOT INCLUDING THE TRAILS THAT WE'VE BEEN DISCUSSING AS OUR ENDS TABLE TWO. AND HAVING THEM JUST LISTED AS ASPIRATIONAL. BECAUSE THEY DO MEET THE GOALS OUTLINED, SAFETY, EQUITY, PROXIMITY TO PUBLIC SCHOOLS AND PUTTING A LOW STRESS GAP CLOSURE ENVIRONMENT CYCLE IN. I'M PROBABLY IN THE TOP 10% OF CYCLING ENTHUSIASTS AND I DON'T THINK ANY OF THE RECOMMENDATIONS IN TABLE TWO WOULD REALLY MOVE THE NEEDLE FOR THE TOP 60 OR 80% OF CYCLISTS AND ACTUALLY CHANGING THEIR MOOD, HEADING AROUND TOWN HERE. SO INCLUDING THOSE TRAILS I THINK SHOULD BE A MUCH HIGHER PRIORITY. MAYBE THAT NEEDS TO INCLUDE, DISCUSSION OF RIDER FIRST REFUSAL FOR PROPERTIES THAT ARE ON THAT ROUT THAT ARE A PRIVATE PROPERTY. BUT EVENTUALLY CREATING A PLAN TO GET THOSE TRAILS BUILT AND CLASS ONE TRAIL BUILT SO PEOPLE CAN GET AROUND TOWN. I'M ALSO AN ENJOYER OF THE FARM AND DON'T REALLY ENJOY HAVING TO DRIVE THERE TO GO PICK ACORNS OR CHESTNUTS. I WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO CYCLE OTHER THERE. OR IF I HAD KIDS IN SCHOOL TO BE ABLE TO CYCLE TO SCHOOL THERE TOO. SO. TRAILS ARE THE WAY TO GO. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF. >> YEAH, I CAN, YEAH.

CAN YOU STILL SEE THE TIMER.

I CAN, YEAH.

A COUPLE OF THING, SO THE SURVEY WE DID THIS SUMMER FOR THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY WAS SAFETY. WE HAVE THE FIRE TRUCKS AND AMBULANCES AND SO ON USE EVERY DAY. OF COURSE, IF IT'S AN MAJOR EMERGENCY WE HAVE TO HAVE AN EVACUATION PLAN. IT HAS TO BE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY THAT THE ROADS ARE CLEAR FOR EMERGENCIES OR PEOPLE HAVING TO BE RESCUED. PRETTY AMBITIOUS PLANS FOR TRAILS AND I THINK THAT'S GREAT. I LIKE THAT IDEA. ANYONE WE CAN GET FROM THE COUNTY TO IMPROVE OUR ROADS THAT AREN'T CALTRANS HAS TO BE A REALLY, REALLY GOOD THING. BECAUSE OUR ROADS ARE IN A TERRIBLE STATE FOR CYCLISTS IN PARTICULAR. BUT I THINK, ALL OF THE IDEAS AROUND BICYCLE ROUND ABOUTS, SOME BALL OUTS AND SPEED BUMPS AND SO FORTH ARE PUBLIC SAFETY. WE PROBABLY SHOULDN'T PURSUE MUCH MORE OF THAT. THE ONE OTHER THING I WOULD SAY LOOKING AT THIS SURVEY IS THAT IT LOOKS LIKE WHAT IS IT? 93% OF PEOPLE ARE EITHER DON'T WANT TO CYCLE OR INTERESTED BUT CONCERNED. AND TO LINDA'S EARLIER POINT, THE GREEN BICYCLE LANES ON THE PUBLIC HIGHWAYS ARE RARELY USED. I WOULDN'T DREAM OF CYCLING ON THEM. I WOULD GO DOWN THE ROADS WHICH ARE IN POOR CONDITIONS. I THINK WE HAVE A LONG WAY TO GO BEFORE WE TAKE ANY OF THIS SERIOUSLY. PUBLIC SAFETY IS NUMBER ONE PRIORITY FOR TRAFFIC TO FLOW FAST WHEN IT NEEDS TO, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU OLIVER FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

GOOD EVENING EVERYONE. MY NAME IS MARTIN REED. I'M A REALTOR HERE IN TOWN. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT PEOPLE LOVE ABOUT THIS TOWN ARE THE AMAZING TRAILS. WEST COUNTY AND RODOTA. ONE OF THE THINGS PEOPLE DON'T LIKE IS THAT WE HAVE THESE TWO MAJOR HIGHWAYS THAT RUN THROUGH TOWN. WHICH MAKES IT SO THAT DOWNTOWN IS NOT SUPER ACCESSIBLE. YOU'RE OFTEN WALKING THERE WITH THEIR KIDS, YOU'RE NOT OFTEN RIDING YOUR BIKES THERE. ONE OF THE THINGS THAT MAKES SEBASTOPOL UNIQUE, IS WHERE PEOPLE COME TOGETHER THAT MAKE US UNIQUE SUCH AS THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL. I THINK THIS IS A RARE OPPORTUNITY TO CREATE SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE IMMENSE VALUE TO THE PEOPLE OF SEBASTOPOL, TO PEOPLE WHO GO TO SCHOOL HERE, TO PEOPLE WHO VISIT. THE OTHER AREA I WOULD POINT OUT IS NEAR PACIFIC MARKET. PEOPLE DON'T OFTEN HONK IN SEBASTOPOL BUT WHEN THEY DO, IT'S AROUND PACIFIC MARKET. IT'S A PRETTY WIDE STREET. I FEEL LIKE THERE'S SOMETHING WE CAN DO TO IMPROVE WALKABILITY.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? >> I CAN'T. BUT YOU CAN INTERRUPT ME. >> OKAY, GO.

SO I HAVE BOTH A PERSONAL STAKE AND A BIT OF A PROFESSIONAL STAKE. I RIDE MY BIKE ALMOST EVERY DAY. I WORK IN TOWN. I ALSO THROUGH MY WORK HAVE ACCESS TO QUITE A BIT OF MODELING DATA ABOUT HOW PEOPLE MOVE THROUGH SONOMA COUNTY AND THROUGH THE U.S. AT LARGE. WE SERVE NEW YORK CITY AND STATE OF TEXAS AND CALTRANS AN A NUMBER OF OTHER REGIONS. I PULLED THE NUMBER FOR SEBASTOPOL. THERE'S 40,000 PEOPLE TRAVELING AROUND ON A WEEKDAY. 50% OF THOSE TRIPS ARE NINE MILES OR LESS. AND ABOUT 18,000 OF

THOSE DAILY TRIPS ARE TWO MILES OR LESS. SO THERE'S A HUGE NUMBER OF FOLKS WHO ARE MOVING AROUND TOWN ON VERY SHORT CAR TRIPS THAT IF THERE WAS THE INFRASTRUCTURE TO BIKE OR WALK IN TOWN, THEY ABSOLUTELY WOULD, I BELIEVE. THE SECOND PIECE IS I WOULD LIKE TO COMMEND THE CALTRANS, CALTRANS HAS PRODUCED A PEDESTRIAN PLAN FOR DISTRICT FOUR WHICH IS THE WHOLE BAY AREA. IT'S A LARGE AREA. IF YOU LOOK AT IT THERE'S A HUGE RED BULLS EYE RIGHT ON SEBASTOPOL WHICH IS WHERE THE HIGHWAYS INTERCEPT. THAT BULLS EYE IS THE HIGHEST RISK, HIGHEST RISK FOR SAFETY IN THE WHOLE BAY AREA. I THINK MY CONCLUSION THERE IS PAINT AND SIGNAGE PROJECTS ARE NOT GOING TO HELP ANY OF THESE PROBLEMS. WE HAVE THESE LARGE HIGHWAYS RUNNING THROUGH TOWN. WE NEED TO PURSUE AMBITIOUS PROJECTS LIKE THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

THAT'S IT. THANK YOU SO MUCH.

NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT.

MY NAME IS ROBERTA DELGADO, I AM A SEBASTOPOL RESIDENT. I HAVE RIDDEN A BICYCLE SINCE I WAS 4 YEARS OLD AND I'M NOW ALMOST 76, I KNOW I DON'T LOOK IT. I'M A MEMBER OF THE SONOMA COUNTY BICYCLE COALITION. I'M A MONITOR FOR SONOMA COUNTY BIKER CHICKS THAT ALLOWS WOMEN TO GET ON BICYCLES AND HAVE FUN ALL AROUND THE COUNTY. I DRIVE TO WORK BECAUSE I WORK AT THE COMMUNITY COLLEGE. I HAVE RIDDEN IN FIVE STATES AND FOUR OTHER COUNTRIES. SO I TEND TO HAVE THIS BIG PICTURE THAT SAYS, HERE IS WHAT EVERYONE IN THIS COUNTRY AND IN OTHER PLACES IN THE WORLD ARE ASKING FOR. YES CLASS ONE TRAILS. CONNECTING OUTSKIRTS OF TOWN TO THE CITY LIMITS AND WHICH ALSO PROVIDE MORE DIRECT CONNECTION TO THE CLASS 1 TRAILS THAT ALLOW ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION TO OTHER CITIES AND TOWNS. RIGHT NOW IF I WANT TO GO TO ANOTHER CITY OR TOWN, I HAVE TO GET ON TO A NARROW ROAD SHARED WITH CARS WHERE THERE'S NO SHOULDER LEVEL ON A BIKE LANE. TAKE MY LIFE IN MY HANDS. WITHIN CITY LIMITS, CLASS FOUR PROTECTED ESPECIALLY WHERE PARKING IS HAPPENING, PARKING PROTECTED LANES, THAT ALLOW NONMOTORIZED VEHICLES LIKE OUR BICYCLES TO FOLLOW THE FLOW OF MOTORIZED TRAFFIC. >> YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

TRAFFIC CALMING MEASURES, TRAFFIC SIGNALS THAT RESPOND TO THE PRESENCE OF BICYCLES. MORE BICYCLE PARKING. YOU KNOW YOU CAN FIT 10 BICYCLES IN A PARKING SPACE FOR A CAR. SO THERE COULD BE PUBLIC PARKS SPACES SET ASIDE FOR BICYCLE RACKS. PLEASE ASK BUSINESSES ESPECIALLY THOSE WITH PARKING PLATS TO INCLUDE BICYCLE PARKING SO I HAVE A SAFE PLACE TO STORE MY BICYCLE.

THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. SEEING NONE, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS.

HI, I'M EMILY SHARDEN WITH THE SONOMA COUNTY BICYCLE COALITION. I WANT TO COMMENT ON THE PLAN. WE APPRECIATE THE FIRST POLICY GOAL OF THIS PLAN IS A CONNECTED AND RELIABLE BICYCLE NETWORK. THAT'S ACCESSIBLE TO ALL AGES AND ABILITIES. WE CAN'T EMPHASIZE ENOUGH HOW IMPORTANT THIS IS. NOT ONLY THE APPROVAL OF THE PLAN BUT THE TIMELY RULE OUT. PEOPLE TPHAOLD NEED TO BE ABLE TO GET WHERE THEY NEED TO GO. WHETHER THAT'S SCHOOL, DOWNTOWN OR WORK

OR SHOPPING. BOTH FOR THE HEALTH OF THE CLIMATE AND THE HEALTH OF THE COMMUNITY. THE DRAFT MAP THAT ELENORE PRESENTED MAKES A LOT OF CONNECTIONS BUT IT'S A CONCERN THAT MAIN ROADS LIKE MAIN STREET AND PETALUMA. WE'RE DEFINITELY GLAD TO SEE THEY'RE UNDER STUDY AND ARE LISTED AS TIER 1 PROJECT. BUT AS MAIN ROADS THEY REALLY NEED TO BE A PRIORITY. YOUR BASICALLY ASKING BIKES AND VEHICLES TO SHARE THE SAME TRAVEL LANE WHICH FOR MANY RIDERS IS NOT A LOW STRESS SITUATION. IF THE CITY DOES MOVE FORWARD WITH THESE TYPES OF FACILITIES, WE URGE YOU TO CAREFULLY CONSIDER THE TRAFFIC CALMING ELEMENTS THAT CAN MAKE BIKE BOULEVARDS MORE EFFECTIVE. IT SEEMS LIKE THERE WOULD NEED TO WORK WITH BIKE SAFETY. AND UNDERSTAND THAT BIKE BOULEVARDS INVOLVE MORE THAN JUST PUTTING STRIPES ON THE ROAD. WE WOULD ENCOURAGE THE CITY, THESE ARE TEMPORARY, AND LESS EXPENSIVE AND ALLOW THE COMMUNITY TO TRY OUT CERTAIN TYPES OF BIKE LANES OR OTHER INFRASTRUCTURE BEFORE IT BECOMES PERMANENT. THEY WOULD ALSO ALLOW THE COMMUNITY TO START GROWING OUT THE AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

THANKS. I'M GOING TO GO TO ZOOM FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE. COMING BACK TO CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBER.

HELLO MY NAME IS JOE ALLEN AND I'M REPRESENTING BIKE SEBASTOPOL TONIGHT. WE SUBMITTED A LETTER WITH A DRAFT. RATHER THAN REVISIT THOSE. I JUST WANTED BETWEEN THIS DRAFT AND THE FINAL DRAFT I WOULD HOPE TO SEE A LITTLE MORE PRIORITIZATION IN THE LIST OF PROJECTS. THERE'S A LOT OF PROJECTS THERE. IF IT'S SIGNED AS, IF THE DRAFT IS SIGNED TODAY IT REALLY LEAVES A LOT OF WORK TO COME UP WITH WHAT'S THE NEXT STEP. I WOULD HOPE THAT THERE WOULD BE A LITTLE WORK DONE ON THAT TO GIVE US AND PERHAPS EVEN SEGMENTS PROJECTS INTO NEAR TERM EASIER PROJECTS. AND THEN LONGER TERM PROJECTS. ALSO, THERE WAS NO REALLY, . I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE A LITTLE MORE ADVICE OR RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE CONSULTANTS TO WHAT WOULD FIT BEST IN OUR PROJECTS. SO THANK YOU. DO I HAVE A FEW MORE SECONDS.

YES YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS. >> WITH ALL THE COMMENTS SHARED AT THE PLANNING COMMISSION, ONLINE AND NOW. HOW DO YOU ASSESS WHAT GETS INCORPORATED IN THE FINAL DRAFT. I DON'T KNOW IF SOMEBODY CAN ANSWER THAT, THANK YOU. >> THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE. I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THIS ITEM.

HI I'M SEAN CRUZ, I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I WOULD LIKE TO THANK THE COUNCIL FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK. AND I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO CONGRATULATE THE COUNCIL ON MEASURE U. I SAW ON THE BALLOT THAT, BICYCLE TRAILS IS ONE OF THE THINGS SPECIFICALLY MENTIONED IN A LITTLE BLURB THAT THE FUNDS WOULD BE USED FOR. IN THE ELECTION TWO YEARS AGO, SEVERAL CANDIDATES SUPPORTED THE IDEA OF MULTIUSED TRAILS IN THE LEAGUE OF WOMEN VOTERS QUESTION SESSION. AND IN THIS ELECTION SOME OF THE VOTERS SUPPORTED THEM TOO. I THINK ONE OF THE FIRST STEPS TO FULFILL THESE PROMISES IS THROUGH THE ATP. THE ATP HAS A LOT OF FINE INCREMENTAL PROJECTS. WHAT IS MISSING AND WHAT NEEDS TO BE ADDED. ONE THING I WOULD SUGGEST IS SOME KIND OF SEBASTOPOL TRAILS COMMITTEE. SEBASTOPOL AS THE DRAFT POINTS OUT IS ONE OF THE MOST DANGEROUS CITY OF ITS SIZE FOR BICYCLISTS AND PEDESTRIANS.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

30 SECOND, OKAY. I THINK THE KEY ISSUE WITH THE TRAILS THEN ALL OF THE ATP CRITERIA AS WELL AS ANY OF THE OTHER PROJECTS. YOU KNOW, SAFETY, EQUITY TRANSIT. SCHOOLS AND LOW STRESS. AND ONE OF THE THING THAT I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT IS IS THAT EQUITY IS ALSO MENTIONED AS A SHORTCOMING OF SEBASTOPOL BECAUSE OF THE DEMOGRAPHICS OF THE TOWN. HOWEVER A LOT OF PEOPLE WORK IN SEBASTOPOL THAT DON'T NECESSARILY LIVE HERE. >> TWO MINUTES.

APPROACHED ADDRESSING EQUITY. >> THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. >> THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM IF THERE'S ANYONE ON ZOOM THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND. I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. [CAPTIONER TRANSITION]

I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT SOME OF THE ISSUES AROUND FUNDING. IT DID NOT REALLY EVEN MENTION THE FACT THAT WITH THE SONOMA MONEY, THAT MAY END UP BEING OUR MAIN FUNDING SOURCE, IT DOES NOT REQUIRE AN EQUITY SCORING THAT I AM AWARE OF BUT WHAT IT DOES REQUIRE IS A 15% MATCH AND OUR CITY COULD PROBABLY PULL THAT OFF BUT WE HAVE A VERY LONG LIST OF TIERED ITEMS WITH NO REAL PRIORITY . THERE ARE QUITE A FEW TIER 1 ITEMS AND THERE IS NO SENSE OF LOW HANGING FRUIT OR THE ABILITY TO IDENTIFY LOW HANGING FRUIT . IF WE HAD THIS SCORING AS FAR AS HOW THINGS GOT TO THE TIERS THEY ARE IN, WE MIGHT BE ABLE TO PRIORITIZE THE TIERS. ONE OF THE FINANCING THINGS THAT WAS POINTED TO THAT WE HAVE TO SPEND MONEY ON IS A \$1.2 MILLION CORE DOOR STUDY BUT THAT IS MORE OF AN EXAMPLE OF THE ALMOST FORMULAIC APPROACH THAT WAS DONE TO THE STUDY. IT TOOK WHAT WAS DONE IN OTHER CITIES, APPLIED A FORMULA AND SAID THIS IS WHAT WE EXPECT TO SPEND THAT WE NEED TO DIG INTO THE DETAILS AND, I THINK WE CAN DO THAT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. SUNNY, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF?

YES .

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES . I APPRECIATE THAT THIS IS COMING TO THE COUNCIL AND ALL OF THE WORK THAT WENT INTO IT. I REALLY ENCOURAGED MY STUDENTS AND OTHER COMMUNITY MEMBERS TO PARTICIPATE AND I LIVE IN TOWN AND THEY WORK ON THE APPLE BLOSSOM CAMPUS AND I AM ONE OF THE FEW PEOPLE THAT BIKE THERE. I BIKE ALMOST EVERY DAY AND MY KIDS WENT TO SCHOOL THERE BUT I NEVER WOULD HAVE FELT SAFE WITH THEM BIKING THERE AND I WOULD REALLY SUPPORT MOVING THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL INTO ONE OF THE TIERS OUTSIDE OF ASPIRATIONAL AND I WANT TO THANK THE COUNCIL FOR PRIORITIZING BIKE LANES. WE MENTIONED SAFETY BEING A PRIORITY AND SAFETY OF OUR ROADWAYS ON A DAILY BASIS IS A HUGE PRIORITY SO I SUPPORT THE BIKE LANES BECAUSE THOSE OF US RIDING OUR BIKES, IT MAKES A HUGE DIFFERENCE IN HOW SAFE WE ARE WHEN WE MAKE SPACE FOR THAT. MUCH APPRECIATION FOR THE PROCESS.

THANK YOU, SONNY, FOR YOUR COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS IF ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? >> MINE IS MAINLY AS A PEDESTRIAN. I CANNOT SEE STARTING TO LEARN TO RIDE A BIKE NOW BUT CALM I

HAVE WALKED FROM MY HOUSE TO THE CEMETERY AND I DON'T REALLY HAVE ANY PROBLEMS BUT IT IS DIFFERENT BEING A PEDESTRIAN THAN A BIKER. SOME OF THE SIDEWALKS IN THIS TOWN ARE PRETTY BROKEN UP. THEY REALLY NEED TO GO THROUGH THE SIDEWALKS TO MAKE SURE THAT THEY ARE NOT A TRIPPING HAZARD FOR PEDESTRIANS AND I WAS HOPING THERE WOULD BE A LITTLE BIT OF EMPHASIS ON PEDESTRIANS ALSO.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON ZOOM, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

JUST REMIND ALL OF US, THE REQUEST HERE IS TO PROVIDE DIRECTION AND PROVIDE INFORMATION RECOGNIZING THAT THIS DOCUMENT IS NOT FINAL. IT IS EXPECTED TO BE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC COMMENT THROUGH NOVEMBER 30 THEN A FINAL ATP WOULD COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR ADOPTION IN EARLY 2025. THIS IS AN INDICATION AS TO THE OPPORTUNITY THAT WE HAVE TO PROVIDE INFORMATION FOR ADDITIONAL CHANGES WE MAY WANT TO HAVE. VICE MAYOR.

I GUESS WHAT I WANT TO SEE IS THE PROCESS OF GETTING THINGS OFF OF ASPIRATIONAL INTO A TIER. I DON'T UNDERSTAND THE STEP-BY-STEP PROCESS OF HOW WE CAN DO THAT. ALSO, THERE WAS A QUESTION RAISED THAT I THINK ULTIMATELY I WOULD WANT TO SEE DELINEATED WHICH IS, HOW ARE THE VARIOUS OPTIONS ASSESSED IN ORDER TO GET INTO THE FINAL DRAFT? THAT JUST SEEMS LIKE A BASIC PART THAT I AM NOT SEEING ANYWHERE SO, WHO MAKES THE ASSESSMENT OF WHAT GETS INCLUDED IN THE FINAL DRAFT IS WHAT I AM SAYING. THIRDLY, THE PRIORITIZATION. I DO NOT KNOW HOW THAT GETS PRIORITIZED. ONCE YOU GET INTO A TIER, I DON'T UNDERSTAND. DO YOU GET TO A BASE LEVEL THEN ARGUE TO GET UP THROUGH EACH TIER? SO THAT IS MY DIRECTION. >> SO THAT SOUNDS LIKE A REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION REGARDING THE UNDERLYING DATA AND HOW PROJECTS WILL BE INCLUDED OR EXCLUDED AND HOW THEY ARE PRIORITIZED. DOES THAT CAPTURE IT? >> YES. AND HOW DO WE GET OUT OF THE ASPIRATIONAL BUCKET INTO A TIER. THAT IS NOT CLEAR.

SO THAT IS AN ASK FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

I WANT TO SUPPORT TRAILS FOR KIDS AND FAMILY SO I WOULD SUPPORT MOVING THE ASPIRATIONAL TRAILS TO THE -- LIST BECAUSE THEY NEED TO EXPAND ACCESS FOR ALL AGES AND ABILITIES. I REALLY SUPPORT RIGGLE BECOMING MORE BIKE FRIENDLY AND THE BIKE PATH OVER INTO WASHINGTON AVENUE IS ALSO IMPORTANT. LASTLY I WANTED TO SAY THAT MY DAUGHTER AND I WALKED FROM RAGAL ROAD THROUGH THE CEMETERY, THROUGH THE FARM AND DOWN THROUGH ST. STEPHEN'S CHURCH ALL THE WAY TO HOLE IN THE WALL AND IT WAS A WONDERFUL WALK. I JUST FEEL THAT THIS EXISTS. RIGHT NOW YOU CAN WALK IT AND WHETHER IT IS FORMALIZED OR NOT IT EXISTS AND PEOPLE CAN USE IT BUT I WOULD SUPPORT MAKING IT MORE FORMAL BECAUSE AS IT IS IT IS NOT REALLY BIKE A BOWL. YOU CAN BIKE SOME OF IT AND SOMEBODY MADE A COMMENT ABOUT BIKE PATHS HAVE TO BE PAVED BUT THEY DO NOT. YOU CAN HAVE A BIKE PATH ON CRUSHED GRANITE . I THINK A FEASIBILITY STUDY WOULD GO A LONG WAY TO IRONING OUT SOME OF THE PROBLEMS. ONE OF THE PROBLEMS AT THE FARM, THEY SAID, THEY DID NOT WANT THE PAST TO GO THROUGH THERE BECAUSE IT WOULD TAKE 50 FEET ON EITHER SIDE OF THE TRAIL BECAUSE THAT IS WHAT CALTRANS REQUIRES BUT IF YOU DON'T USE THE CALTRANS GRANT THAT WOULD NOT HAPPEN SO I THINK THERE IS MORE

CONVERSATIONS THAT COULD BE HAD AND DISCUSSIONS AND I WOULD SUPPORT THAT. >> THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. >> SO, WE HEARD FROM THE BURBANK FARM REPRESENTATIVE. MY QUESTION IS, THESE TRAILS HAVE BEEN TALKED ABOUT FOR THE LAST EIGHT YEARS THAT I HAVE BEEN ON THE COUNCIL AND MY UNDERSTANDING WAS THAT THEY REMAIN ASPIRATIONAL BECAUSE THERE WERE SO MANY PRIVATE PROPERTY OWNERS AND I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY THOSE ARE AND WHO IS FOR IT AND WHO IS NOT BUT IT ALSO MAKES SENSE, AND DO WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GET FEEDBACK IN THIS PLAN TO SAY THAT THE MAP SHOULD AVOID, FOR EXAMPLE, THE BURBANK GARDEN, THEN ANY SPOT. I AM CONFUSED. CAN WE GET THAT FEEDBACK ? AND IS ASPIRATIONAL BECAUSE OF PRIVATE PROPERTY? THIS IS NOT COMPLETELY OUR PROCESS SO, I AM A LITTLE CONFUSED AS IS EVERYBODY. I DID HEAR A SPEAKER TOO AND I KNOW THAT WHILE THERE IS A LOT OF BIKERS IN TOWN THERE ARE IS A LOT OF SIDEWALK GAPS AND THAT NEEDS TO BE PRIORITIZED SOMEWHERE IN THE PRIORITY LIST. NOT JUST AFTER BIKE TRAILS. THAT IS MAYBE JUST HOW THE STAFF REPORT WAS WRITTEN SO I THINK WE DO NEED MORE PRIORITIES.

OKAY. COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS. >> I AM SUPPORTIVE OF THE TRAILS. I PERSONALLY WOULD PREFER TO SEE TRAILS FOR BIKES. HAVING COME FROM A CITY WHERE MY SON COULD BIKE EVERY DAY AND THEN WE MOVED HERE, IT'S LIKE, YOU BROUGHT ME TO CALIFORNIA WHERE THERE IS SO MUCH MORE NATURE BUT I HAVE TO RIDE IN A CAR TO SCHOOL. I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND HOW WE CAN BRING THOSE ASPIRATIONAL TRAILS UP TO A PRIORITY. IT IS VERY CONFUSING. I KEPT ASKING MYSELF, WHAT ARE THEY ASKING ME TO GIVE FEEDBACK ON? THERE IS A TON OF INFORMATION. WHERE IS THE LOW HANGING FRUIT? THERE IS JUST , THERE IS JUST A LOT OF INFORMATION BUT NOT A WAY TO DECIPHER WHAT WE NEED TO GET FEEDBACK ON. WE ALL SPEND HOURS AND HOURS READING THIS AND I DON'T WANT TO HAVE TO DO THAT OVER AND OVER AGAIN TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT IT MEANS. OR, WHAT YOU WANT FROM ME. I BELIEVE IN THE SPIRIT --I BELIEVE IN BIKING. I AM NOT A BIG BIKER BUT MY HUSBAND AND MY FAMILY IS. I SPENT A LOT OF TIME IN OTHER COUNTRIES AND THEY SEE HOW THEY DO IT. AMSTERDAM IN PARTICULAR. I WATCH OUR BIKE LANES AND IN THE LAST FEW DAYS I HAVE SEEN THREE CARS DRIVING DOWN THE BIKE LANE AND IT LITERALLY DRIVING ON THE BIKE LANE AND I JUST THOUGHT, IF THERE WAS A BIKER THERE, WHAT WOULD HAVE HAPPENED? I SEE IT ALL THE TIME. SO I AM VERY CONCERNED ABOUT ALL OF THESE OPTIONS TO SWITCH ALL OF THESE ROADS AND I PERSONALLY WOULD NEVER ALLOW MY TEENAGER TO RIDE ON THE TRAILS HERE. WE TALK ABOUT WE WOULD HAVE MORE BIKERS BUT I AM NOT SURE IF PEOPLE LOOK AT THE NUMBERS BUT THE NATIONAL CENSUS SHOWS THAT SEBASTOPOL HAS THREE TIMES THE NATIONAL AVERAGE OF PEOPLE OVER THE AGE OF 65. I THINK IT IS BECAUSE WE HAVE AN OVERPOPULATION HERE THAT ARE NOT CAPABLE OF BIKING SO THAT IS ALSO A CONSIDERATION WHEN I THINK ABOUT THE THINGS THAT WE ARE GOING TO DO. A PRIORITY FOR ME IS TO UNDERSTAND HOW WE CAN GET THE TRAILS AS A PRIORITY OVER ALL OF THIS OTHER STUFF.

I AM GOING TO WEIGH IN QUICKLY. WE HAVE A LOT OF QUESTIONS FROM THE COUNCIL. THERE IS THIS TIMELINE THAT HAS BEEN INCLUDED IN THE REPORT. THAT WOULD HAVE A FINAL COMING TO THE COUNCIL IN EARLY 2025. I AM HEARING FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS AND THE ADDITIONAL REQUEST FOR INFORMATION AND ANALYSIS, WHAT IS THE PROCESS IF THIS COUNSEL HAS MORE QUESTIONS, AND YET THERE IS THIS DEADLINE OF EARLY 2025 FOR ADOPTION. ARE WE GOING TO BE ABLE TO GET OUR QUESTIONS ANSWERED. ARE WE GOING TO DELAY IT BEYOND AN URGENT DEADLINE? >> I AM NOT TOO SURE. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU WANT TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION BUT IT IS THROUGH SCTA.

IF WE CAN HAVE SOMEBODY FROM THE SCTA COME UP , I WOULD SAY THAT I AGREE THAT I DO NOT HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION HERE. I DO NOT FEEL WELL ENOUGH INFORMED TO APPROVE THIS PROJECT AND BASICALLY, PUT IT OUT IN THE PUBLIC AS REPRESENTING THE OPINIONS AND PERSPECTIVE OF THE COMMUNITY. I WILL SAY ON THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL, I AM VERY FAMILIAR WITH IT. FROM THOSE THAT ARE PRESENT TONIGHT, I HAVE HEARD MUCH CRITICISM AND CONCERN FROM OTHERS THAT DON'T HAPPEN TO BE SPEAKING TONIGHT. PROPERTY OWNERS ON THE --TRAIL, I KNOW ONE IN PARTICULAR WHO WILL ABSOLUTELY NOT ALLOW THIS TO GO ACROSS PROPERTY AND SHE HAS NOW BEEN RE-APPROACHED. I KNOW THAT WE HAVE CONCERNS AND I ALSO KNOW THAT THE CEMETERY IS UP FOR SALE SO THERE ARE A LOT OF QUESTION MARK THAT MAKE ME ANXIOUS AS A COUNCIL MEMBER ABOUT THIS COUNSEL PUTTING OUT THERE APPROVAL FOR A TEMPLATE FOR A TRAIL THEN COMING BACK AND SAYING, HOLD ON A MINUTE . YOU DIDN'T ASK ME WHAT I THOUGHT. BUT, NANCY FARMER, SHE IS NOT GOING TO APPROVE SOMEBODY GOING ACROSS FOR PROPERTY. MAYBE WE CAN GET THE QUESTION ANSWERED. >> IN TERMS OF THE DEADLINE FOR ADOPTING THE PLAN, IT IS RELATED TO THE GRANT. SCTA GOT A CALTRANS SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY GRANT. AND, THAT DOES REQUIRE ADOPTION OF THE PLAN BY THE END OF FEBRUARY AND, I AM ALSO HAPPY TO ADDRESS A COUPLE OF THE QUESTIONS THAT CAME UP ABOUT FUNDING AND PRIORITIZATION.

OKAY . GO AHEAD.

I JOTTED DOWN A LOT OF THE QUESTIONS THAT CAME UP AND THERE WERE A FEW THINGS THAT WE HAVE HEARD ALREADY. ALL THE WAY THROUGH THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND SOME OF THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS THAT WE ARE WORKING ON PLANS WITH REGARDING HAVING MORE INFORMATION ABOUT FUNDING AND GUIDANCE FOR THE CITIES TO APPLY FOR FUNDING. WE ARE WORKING ON DRAFTING LANGUAGE FOR THAT AND POINTING TO THE LONGER DESCRIPTIONS. THE FUNDING SOURCES AVAILABLE THAT ARE AVAILABLE. SO, THAT IS FORTHCOMING. WE DO PLAN TO INCLUDE THE PRIORITIZATION CRITERIA AND PRIORITIZE LISTS OF PROJECTS AND APPENDICES SO, THAT WILL BE ABLE TO SHOW THE DETAILS AND WITHIN EACH TIER HOW PROJECTS SCORED . IT CAN GIVE STAFF FLEXIBILITY AS PROJECTS COME UP BUT, WE ARE TRYING TO IDENTIFY THOSE THAT MEET THE GOALS OF THE PLAN AND CRITERIA THAT IS OFTEN USED IN GRANT APPLICATIONS. >> OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

WHEN YOU TALK ABOUT BRINGING THE TRAILS AND THE POINTS THAT WERE MADE WE HAVE HEARD A LOT OF DIFFERENT INFORMATION BUT HOW DO WE GO ABOUT HAVING JUST A FEASIBILITY STUDY? WE NEVER REALLY DETERMINE THE FEASIBILITY OF THE ALTERNATE ROUTES. WHAT IS THE PROCESS FOR THAT? THAT IS THE BIGGEST QUESTION I THINK WE ALL FEEL. DOES ANYONE HAVE AN ANSWER FOR THAT?

SO THE QUESTION COMING UP IS LOOKING AT THE TRAILS AND WHAT OPPORTUNITIES DO WE HAVE TO OBTAIN ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN A TIMELY FASHION THAT WOULD ALLOW THE FEBRUARY 2025 DATE TO BE MET.

FOR THIS TO MOVE FROM ASPIRATIONAL, , I WOULD DEFER TO STAFF ON HOW , IF THAT IS A POSSIBILITY.

I THINK IF THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY , EMBEDDED IN THE ANSWER IS NO WITHOUT THE TIMELINE. IS THAT IT?

I THINK A FEASIBILITY STUDIES SOUNDS TO ME, I KNOW WE HAVE THESE TRAILS -- WHICH IS OUTSIDE OF THE SCOPE OF THIS. OF THIS PLAN.

DO YOU THINK GIVEN THE LIMITED INFORMATION THAT WE ALREADY HAVE ABOUT THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL, FOR INSTANCE, THAT THERE ARE PROPERTY OWNERS THAT ARE OPPOSED TO HAVING THIS TRAIL GO OVER THERE PROPERTY? DO WE HAVE THE POSSIBILITY --HOW STRONG IS OUR ARGUMENT FOR MONEY TO PURSUE A FEASIBILITY STUDY?

I THINK THAT THERE IS DEFINITELY COMMUNITY SUPPORT FOR IT. THAT IS A HUGE PIECE TO GO AFTER.

OKAY. WOULD MOVING THESE TRAILS TO THE MAIN LIST WITH SOME SORT OF FEASIBILITY STUDY CATEGORY, WHEN IN FACT, WOULD THAT CHANGE THE POSSIBILITY OF GRANT MONEY?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT -- I AM NOT SURE IF IT WOULD MAKE A BIG DIFFERENCE OR NOT. >> I'M SORRY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, GO AHEAD.

I JUST WANT TO ASK ABOUT A FEASIBILITY STUDY. MY ASSUMPTION IS THAT WHEN YOU DO A FEASIBILITY STUDY YOU ARE ACTUALLY LOOKING AT PROBLEMS AND SOLUTIONS AND WHETHER OR NOT IT CAN BE WORKED THROUGH OR NOT. DO YOU THINK THAT IS ACCURATE? >> I WOULD SAY YES.

OKAY. I AM LOOKING AT THE TIME AND WE HAVE A NUMBER OF ITEMS STILL REMAINING. WE WILL JUST HAVE TO WAIT. I UNDERSTAND BUT PLEASE, WAIT A MINUTE. WE NEED TO COME TO SOME CONCLUSION ON THIS ITEM. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

IF WE CAN --IN A TIMELY FASHION BRING FORTH THE PROCESS AND THERE ARE A NUMBER OF QUESTIONS FROM ALL OF THE COLLEAGUES AND IF IT WAS CLEAR, I WOULD WANT THOSE TRAILS . SO I AM LEAVING IT TO THE STAFF TO FIGURE OUT HOW WE WILL GET QUESTIONS ANSWERED AND HEADING THIS AGENDA IS TO SO THAT WE CAN GET THIS DONE BY FEBRUARY.

I THINK AN APPROACH WOULD BE TO MAKE SURE THAT STAFF UNDERSTANDS THE ITEMS THAT ARE STILL QUESTIONS FOR THE COUNCIL AND THEN REQUEST THE STAFF AGENDA DIES, THIS ITEM TO RETURN TO THE COUNCIL IN A TIMELY FASHION TO MEET THE END OF FEBRUARY DEADLINE SO THAT WOULD BE ONE APPROACH. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

YOU WERE TALKING ABOUT INCLUDING MORE INFORMATION ABOUT GETTING THE TRAILS ON -- I-GEN HERE SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE.

THAT IS WHAT I MEANT. I TOO WANT TO SEE THIS UP OUT OF ASPIRATIONAL INTO SOME TIER BUT ALSO ANSWER ALL OF THE QUESTIONS THAT WE HAVE.

I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT AT THIS POINT, I PERSONALLY, AS A COUNCIL MEMBER DO NOT FEEL THAT I HAVE SUFFICIENT INFORMATION ABOUT THE TRAILS TO SAY THAT WHEN I MEET PEOPLE IN THE GROCERY STORE OR ELSEWHERE THAT I WAS FULLY INFORMED AND THESE TRAILS ARE WITH OUR --.

IF I RECALL CORRECTLY SOME OF THIS GOES THROUGH COUNTY, RIGHT?

THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL, CORRECT.

IN MY MIND THAT IS ANOTHER WAY THAT WE CAN PARTNER WITH THE COUNTY.

CAN WE MAYBE GO THROUGH AND JUST LIST -- ALEX, DID YOU HAVE ANYTHING? MAYBE WE CAN JUST LIST THE ITEMS OF INFORMATION THAT WE FEEL WE NEED AS A COUNSEL. I AM HEARING A CLEAR REQUEST THAT WE UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS FOR MOVING THE APPLE BLOSSOM AND -- TRAIL INTO THE PRIMARY LIST IN SOME FASHION AND THE SECOND QUESTION IS THAT WE UNDERSTAND WHAT THE IMPLICATIONS OF MAKING THAT TRAIL A PRIORITY IN SOME WAY WHICH I THINK IS A SEPARATE QUESTION. THE THIRD REQUEST IS THAT WE HAVE AN UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE TRAILS THAT WERE INCLUDED ARE PART OF THE PROJECTS. I ALSO REQUEST THAT WE UNDERSTAND HOW THE RANKINGS AND RATINGS WERE ARRIVED AT AND THAT WE UNDERSTAND WHAT GRANT OPPORTUNITIES ARE MOST LIKELY TO BE AVAILABLE FOR THESE PROJECTS. ARE THERE ANY OTHER -- COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

THERE IS A CONSULTANT RUNNING THIS PROCESS AND THIS IS A COUNTY GRANT. EVEN IF THERE IS ENOUGH SUPPORT FROM THIS COUNSEL TO SAY THAT WE WANT THE TRAILS TO BE TIERED UP . DO WE HAVE THE POWER? THIS IS NOT OUR STUDY. WE ARE NOT THE CONSULTANTS AND WE ARE HEARING THAT THEY LIKE THE TRAILS BUT, DO WE EVEN HAVE THE POWER? AND, MAYBE YOU CANNOT ANSWER THAT RIGHT NOW.

YOU MAY JUST BE LOOKING FOR OUR SUPPORT IF YOU HAVE AN ANSWER THOUGH, FEEL FREE.

I DON'T HAVE AN ANSWER BUT I THINK THERE WOULD BE DISCUSSION WITH STAFF ON HOW THAT COULD HAPPEN.

OKAY. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. >> I THINK THE LIST IS GOOD THAT I JUST WANT TO -- LIKE , WHO MAKES THE FINAL CALL OF WHAT GETS IN THE FINAL DRAFT? IS IT US? IS IT STAFF? DO WE DEFER TO THE GENERAL MANAGER? I WOULD REALLY LIKE AN ANSWER. >> I HAVE A SIMILAR QUESTION.

I JUST WANT SOMETHING IN BLACK AND WHITE.

THE FINAL ITEM OF INFORMATION THAT WAS NOT ON THE LIST THAT I TRIED TO SUMMARIZE WAS THE POINT RAISED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON ABOUT SIDEWALKS. I THINK WE ARE HOPING TO SEE SIDEWALKS PRIORITIZED. I THINK THAT WAS THE LIST OF INFORMATION. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, DID I MISS ANYTHING?

I AM JUST A LITTLE CONFUSED BECAUSE I THOUGHT YOU WERE BRINGING THIS TO US FOR OUR FEEDBACK AND WHAT I HEARD IS THAT THREE OF US WANT TO PRIORITIZE THESE TRAILS. SO, WHY CAN'T WE DO THAT?

WE CAN GO AHEAD. FEEDBACK WAS REQUESTED AND I SUPPOSE WE COULD GO ON WITH EACH OF THESE BUT, IF THERE ARE COUNCILMEMBERS THAT NEED MORE INFORMATION WE ARE PUTTING IT FORWARD TO STAFF TO TRY TO GET MORE INFORMATION. WE COULD GET THROUGH AND DO THUMBS UP AND THUMBS DOWN AND GET THROUGH THE MAJORITY OF THESE ITEMS BUT THAT SEEMS A LITTLE OFF WORD. BUT THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL AND PRIORITIZING IT HAS BEEN A MAJOR POINT OF DISCUSSION SO I AM HAPPY TO ASK COUNCILMEMBERS TO GIVE A THUMBS UP AND A THUMBS DOWN ON DECIDING TODAY WHETHER THE APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL AND THE GRAVENSTEIN TRAIL SHOULD BE MOVED TO THE PRIMARY LIST. SO WE CAN GO AHEAD AND DO THAT AND STAFF CAN COME BACK WITH MORE INFORMATION. DO WE WANT TO DO THAT?

I DON'T HAVE ENOUGH INFORMATION TO DECIDE SO I WILL STAY NEUTRAL ON THAT.

THAT WOULD BE MY POSITION. SO, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS .

I WANT TO UNDERSTAND HOW QUICKLY WE CAN GET THIS INFORMATION. I DON'T WANT THIS TO LANGUISH TO THE WAYSIDE AND WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE STILL IN A YEAR. THAT IS MY CONCERN. IF WE DON'T DO SOMETHING. >> IT IS NOW THE END OF NOVEMBER AND THE HOLIDAYS ARE COMING. THERE IS A DEADLINE AT THE END OF FEBRUARY. WILL STAFF BE ABLE TO GET INFORMATION BACK TO THE COUNCIL ON THESE TOPICS, IN PARTICULAR, APPLE BLOSSOM TRAIL AND GRAVENSTEIN TRAIL , THAT WE NEED TO MAKE A DECISION ON. >> CAN WE GET A DATE BEYOND FEBRUARY WITH WHEN WE NEED TO TAKE ACTION?

WHAT IS THE DROPDEAD DATE? >> THE GRANITE WORK NEEDS TO BE DONE BY THE END OF FEBRUARY. WE MAY BE ABLE TO MAKE SOME ADJUSTMENT TO THAT BUT A DELIVERABLE FOR --BEFORE THE GRANT IS ADOPTED PLAN AND WE MAY BE ABLE TO MAKE SOME ADJUSTMENT TO THAT. IF THERE ARE CIRCUMSTANCES.

I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THE DROPDEAD DATE IS. >> FEBRUARY 28. >> SO AS LONG AS WE TAKE ACTION BEFORE FEBRUARY 28 AT MIDNIGHT WE ARE GOOD?

YES.

AND IS FEBRUARY 28 WHEN IT NEEDS TO BE FINAL? BECAUSE I IMAGINE IF WE REQUEST CHANGES WE WILL HAVE TO TAKE SOME TIME WITH THIS.

ONE EXAMPLE IS WHAT WE DID WITH THE CITY OF --. COUNSEL HAD SOME INPUT AND THERE WERE UPDATES DONE AFTER ADOPTION ON THAT INCLUDED A HANDFUL OF CHANGES. I THINK THAT WE WOULD BE ABLE TO DO THAT AND I THINK WE HAVE A GRACE PERIOD AFTER THE GRANT WORK IS TO BE DONE.

I NOTICED IN THE SLIDES THAT THE PLAN IS TO BRING A FINAL TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR APPROVAL BY THE END OF FEBRUARY. IS THERE A DATE WHEN YOU WERE PLANNING ON COMING BACK TO CITY COUNCIL?

THAT IS TO BE SCHEDULED YET. >> SO I THINK WE HAVE OUR DEADLINE, FEBRUARY 28. DOES STAFF FEEL THAT THE GET CAN GET THE INFORMATION THAT THE COUNCIL HAS REQUESTED? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I FEEL LIKE WE HAVE SPENT SO MUCH TIME ON THIS. IT NEEDS TO BE TAKEN CARE OF. THAT IS JUST WHAT I AM THINKING. WE HAVE SPENT ALL OF THIS TIME ON IT AND WE ALL HAVE SOME SORT OF AGREEMENT HERE SO OBVIOUSLY -- >> WE HOPE TO HAVE AT THE NEXT MEETING A CONTRACT PLANNED OUT FOR A NEW COMMUNITY DEVELOP DIRECTORY AND THIS WOULD BE VERY HIGH ON THE PRIORITY LIST WHEN WE GET THIS DONE FOR THE COUNCIL TO HAVE THE INFORMATION THAT YOU NEED AND THE TIME TO MEET THE PROJECT REQUIREMENTS AND DEADLINES. >> THIS STRIKES ME AS ONE OF THE PROJECTS THAT MAY BE, DO WE NEED AN AD HOC TO MAKE SURE THAT THIS GETS DONE? I SEE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON SAYING NO . OKAY.

I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE AN AD HOC FOR THIS. >> WE ARE GOING TO ASSUME THAT YOU ARE VOLUNTEERING.

YES.

WE SEE THAT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, HE WILL BE MAYOR .

SO, ALL RIGHT , WE HAVE GIVEN DIRECTION TO STAFF AND STAFF WILL DO THEIR BEST. CITY MANAGER, ANYTHING TO SAY?

I THINK AN AD HOC CREATES MORE MEETINGS AND PREPARATION THAT WILL SLOW THINGS DOWN. WE WILL DO EVERYTHING THAT WE CAN TO MAKE SURE THAT WE COMPLY WITH THE DEADLINE. >> I WILL PUSH BACK ON THE QUALITY OF AN AD HOC BUT WE HAVE HEARD FROM THE CITY MANAGER. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS? >> [INDISCERNABLE] I WOULD ASK THAT YOU INCORPORATE THAT LEADING UP TO THE END OF FEBRUARY MEETING. SO THAT WE CAN ALL KIND OF WEIGH-IN.

SO YOU MEAN UPDATES TO THE COUNCIL AS THIS PROGRESSES? >> SO INCLUDED IN THE REGULAR MEETING IS SUFFICIENT?

OKAY.

THANK YOU FOR THIS AMAZING PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT . IF YOU DID NOT GET ANY QUESTIONS ANSWERED, PLEASE EMAIL . JOHN JAY IS THE ASSOCIATE PLANNER SO THAT WOULD BE HIS FIRST INITIAL AND LAST NAME TO CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.GOV. IF YOU DO EMAIL HIM OR ANYBODY ON THE COUNCIL AND YOU WOULD LIKE IT TO BE PUBLIC COMMENT, BE SURE TO MAKE THAT CLEAR SO WE WILL CLOSE OUT THIS ITEM AND THANK YOU TO THE PUBLIC FOR THEIR PARTICIPATION AND EVERYBODY THAT HAS BEEN HERE. WE WILL NOW MOVE ON TO ITEM NUMBER 13, WHICH IS THE ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT FINDINGS. AFTER THIS WE HAVE THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBOR PRESENTATION AND NUMBER 15, APPROVAL OF A RESOLUTION. AND I ASSUME THAT ITEM NUMBER --WILL NEED TO BE MOVED TO NEXT MONTH? WE COULD BRING ITEM NUMBER 15 TO THE COUNCIL TO GET IT TAKEN CARE OF. I EXPECT IT WILL BE A BRIEF ITEM. IS STAFF SUPPORTIVE OF THAT CHANGE? >> STAFF WOULD BE SUPPORTIVE OF THAT.

AND WE DO HAVE OUR HUMAN RESOURCES CONSULTANT PRESENT. SHE WAS PRESENT. SO, LET'S GET THAT DONE RIGHT AWAY. IF SHE DOES NOT COME BACK TO THE ROOM WE WILL JUST MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM. WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A QUICK BREAK. PLEASE DO NOT LEAVE THE TABLE UNLESS YOU FEEL THE NEED TO TAKE A -- BREAK. WE NEED TO MOVE ON AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. TO THOSE INDIVIDUALS THAT I BELIEVE ARE HERE FOR THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBOR PRESENTATION, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.

WE ARE CALLING THIS MEETING BACK TO ORDER AFTER A BRIEF BREAK. THIS ITEM THAT WE ARE PULLING UP NOW IS AGENDA ITEM NUMBER 15, CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL APPOINTING MARSHA RAINES, RETIRED SPECIAL PROJECT. DO YOU NEED TO SEE -- SAY ANYTHING BEFORE WE TURN IT OVER TO DEBORAH ?

OF THIS ITEM IS TO BRING ON BOARD MARSHA RAINES TO PROVIDE EXECUTIVE COACHING SERVICES. MARSHA IS A HIGHLY DECORATED AND EXPERIENCED CITY MANAGER . SHE HAS A GREAT DEAL OF EXPERIENCE IN MUNICIPAL LEADERSHIP. SO, SHE HAS A MASTERS DEGREE IN HOMELAND SECURITY, A BACHELORS IN URBAN PLANNING AND SHE HAS BEEN PART OF THE CALIFORNIA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND SERVES ON THE --ORIGINAL TRUST

SO, I AM GOING TO INTERRUPT YOU AND WE HAVE READ THE STAFF REPORT AND WE ARE AWARE OF HER STATUS. WHAT IS THE ACTION?

WE WANT TO PROPOSE A RESOLUTION CONSIDERING HER BEING APPOINTED ANNUITANT SPECIAL PROJECTS.

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEM NUMBER 15. THE HIRING OF A RETIRED ANNUITANT. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM . GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT ON ZOOM?

SHE HAS A VERY IMPRESSIVE RESUME AND I AM SURE SHE IS VERY NICE, BUT CAN YOU TELL ME WHAT SHE WILL BE DOING? AND ALSO, I NEVER DID GET MY QUESTION ANSWERED ABOUT HOW MUCH MORE --WILL GET PAID.

THANK YOU FOR THE PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. >> WE ARE BACK AT COUNSEL TABLE AND WE ARE CONSIDERING THIS ITEM . ANY DISCUSSION? OKAY, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

MOVE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL APPOINTING MARCIA RAINES , RETIRED ANNUITANT SPECIAL PROJECT .

SECOND. >> PLEASE CALL THE ROLL. >> TO REITERATE WHAT WAS PRESENTED, THIS WOULD BE AN INDIVIDUAL TO PROVIDE EXECUTIVE COACHING AND THAT ANSWERS THAT QUESTION. AND IN TERMS OF THE AMOUNT IN THE BUDGET FOR THE SERVICES I DON'T THINK WE HAVE THAT READILY AVAILABLE BUT THAT PERSON CAN REACH OUT TO CITY HALL TO GET THE INFORMATION AND I BELIEVE THE PERSON THAT ANSWERED THE QUESTION MAY NOT HAVE ACCESS TO THE INTERNET SO HOW WOULD THAT PERSON REACH YOU?

SHE COULD CALL CITY HALL AND THE FINANCE DEPARTMENT CAN ANSWER THAT.

WHAT IS THE NUMBER AT CITY HALL? >> ALL RIGHT . >> WE KNOW WILL GO BACK TO THE ORIGINAL ORDER. WE ARE GOING TO ADDRESS ITEM NUMBER 13 THEN WE HOPE TO GET TO ITEM NUMBER 14. ITEM NUMBER 13 IS THE ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS FINDINGS AND NEXT STEPS AND I BELIEVE THAT IS BEING PRESENTED BY PLANNING IN COMBINATION WITH THE EIFD AD HOC. I ASSUME WE HAVE THE CONSULTANT ONLINE?

YES. THIS PRESENTATION WILL BE CONDUCTED BY JOE --.

JOE, LET'S HOPE YOU CAN DO A 10 MINUTE PRESENTATION FOR US. IF YOU ARE THERE. THERE HE IS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH MAYOR AND MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. I AM WITH KOSMONT . I WILL BE SHARING A PRESENTATION, THIS IS THE SAME SLIDE DECK IN THE STAFF REPORT . THE DISCUSSION BEGAN IN NOVEMBER 2023 ABOUT THIS TOOL, THIS ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT. THE EIFD AD HOC WAS FORMED THEN. ALL OF THE WORK BY KOSMONT WAS SECURED BY -- HOPKINS. THE CONDITIONS OF THE FUNDING WERE THAT THE ANALYSIS INCLUDE AREAS BOTH WITHIN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AS WELL AS UNINCORPORATED WEST COUNTY. THERE WAS A COMPETITIVE PROCESS AND WE WERE SELECT IT. THREE PRIMARY COMPONENTS OF OUR ANALYSIS FOR THIS DISTRICT ARE BOUNDARY ALTERNATIVES. WE LOOK AT DIFFERENT WAYS TO DRAW THE FINANCIAL DISTRICT BOUNDARY . THE SECOND BULLET POINT, REVENUE ALLOCATION SCENARIOS. WHEN WE DRAW A BOUNDARY FOR THIS DISTRICT WE ARE ALMOST SAYING, LIKE A SAVINGS ACCOUNT, A PORTION OF THE FUTURE

PROPERTY TAX DOLLARS AVAILABLE TO THE CITY WOULD BE SET ASIDE IN A RESTRICTED FUND. THEY WOULD BE USED FOR CITY PROJECTS BUT THEY WOULD BE SEGREGATED FROM THE GENERAL FUND INTO A RESTRICTED FUND AND THE IDEA IS TO HAVE THE COUNTY AS THE MATCH TO THAT. VARIOUS SCENARIOS WERE EVALUATED. MULTIPLE SCENARIOS WERE MATCHED. THE THIRD PIECE OF THE ANALYSIS WAS WHAT SORTS OF THINGS MIGHT BE FUNDED WITH SUCH A TOOL AND WAS SOLICITED A VARIETY OF WAYS. THE LAST TIME WE WERE TOGETHER WITH THIS FULL CITY COUNCIL WAS SEPTEMBER 3 AND WE LOOKED AT WHAT TYPES OF PROJECTS SHOULD BE ON THE LIST TO BE CONSIDERED AND KOSMONT DID IS AN EXERCISE IN AN UNINCORPORATED AREA WITH JOINT ADVISORY COMMITTEES. PRIMARY FINDINGS FROM THE ANALYSIS. ON THE TOPIC OF EIFD BOUNDARIES, WE ARE SUGGESTING TWO POTENTIAL EIFD . AS OPPOSED TO A SINGLE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN THAT WOULD HAVE JURISDICTION WITHIN THE CITY AND OUTSIDE OF THE CITY, WE AT KOSMONT ARE SUGGESTING TWO SEPARATE EIFD'S. ONE WITHIN THE CITY THAT STANDS ON ITS OWN SO IT IS CLEAR THAT THE DOLLARS WOULD BE SPENT WITHIN THE CITY. THE BOUNDARY WITHIN THE CITY WOULD ACHIEVE FUNDING ALLOCATION FROM BOTH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. THAT WOULD BE THE GOAL. AND IT WOULD NOT BE THE ENTIRE CITY. IT WOULD BE A FOCUSED CORRIDOR WITHIN THE CITY AND I WILL EXPLAIN THE RATIONALE FOR THAT. SEPARATE FROM THAT WOULD BE A LARGER UNINCORPORATED WEST COUNTY AREA THAT WOULD BE ON ITS OWN. THE CITY WOULD HAVE NO FINANCIAL OBLIGATION AND THAT WOULD BE THE OPPORTUNITY TO PRIORITIZE FUNDING . THE SECOND ITEM, LETTER -- B . WE LOOKED AT SCENARIOS FROM NOT PARTICIPATING AT ALL IN ONE OF THESE FINANCIAL DISTRICTS TO ALLOCATING ALL THE PROPERTY TAX WITHIN DIFFERENT AREAS AND ADDITIONALLY, KOSMONT IS SUGGESTING THAT YOU ONLY CONSIDER A SCENARIO WHERE YOU WOULD CHOOSE A COUNTY DOLLAR MATCH. THAT YOU ONLY DO THIS WHEN YOU ARE GETTING SOMEBODY ELSE'S MONEY TO MATCH YOURS. THAT IS WHAT WE WOULD ENCOURAGE THE CITY TO DO WHERE THE COUNTY IS THE EMPLOYER. NOT ONLY THAT BUT IT WOULD HAVE TO BE A LEVEL THAT THE CITY CAN AFFORD SO WHEN THE FOCUS, WE WOULD -- NOT ALL OF IT BUT SOMETHING LIKE A QUARTER OF THE FUTURE PROPERTY TAX WITHIN THE FOCUS BOUNDARY THAN THE COUNTY WOULD MATCH THAT. SO TWO PRIORITY FINDINGS ARE COUNTY DOLLAR MATCH AT A LEVEL THAT IS FISCALLY SUSTAINABLE AND OUTSIDE OF THE CITY BOUNDARY, THAT WOULD NOT BE OUR BUSINESS. THE COUNTY CAN ELECT TO ALLOCATE WHATEVER IT WANTS TO ALLOCATE IN THAT EIFD AND C, THE TARGETED PROJECTS WE WOULD SUGGEST, WE FOCUS ON AN INCLUSIVE LIST AND WE ENCOMPASS THE CATEGORIES REFLECTED IN OUTREACH THUS FAR AND RATHER THAN TRYING TO GET THE LIST EXACTLY RIGHT, THE EXACT FUNDING ALLOCATIONS AT THIS EARLY STAGE BUT CONTEMPLATE A FRAMEWORK FOR PRIORITIZATION . AND PERHAPS PROJECTS THAT JUST DELIVER THE MOST SIGNIFICANT PUBLIC BENEFIT. I WOULD LIKE TO TAKE A HANDFUL MORE SLIDES IN THE POWERPOINT TO EMPHASIZE THE RATIONALE AND LEAVE THE REST OF THE SLIDES FOR Q&A. OUR FIRST POINT IS TO PRESENT TO YOU THE FINDINGS AND HEAR YOUR QUESTIONS. THAT IS THE PRIMARY PURPOSE. AFTER THAT, BASED ON WHAT YOU HEAR, THE QUESTION IS, SHOULD WE CONTINUE WITH THIS EIFD EVALUATION? IT IS STILL STAFF TIME. YOU HAVE EXCEPTIONAL STAFF BUT THEIR TIME IS FINITE SO IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO CUT BAIT IF YOU DON'T WANT TO SPEND ANYMORE TIME ON THIS BUT IF YOU DO WE ARE SEEKING AUTHORIZATION TO CONTINUE THE PROCESS AND BRING BACK A NONBINDING RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. WHICH IS JUST CONTINUING THE PROCESS AND IF YOU DO WE FURTHER SUGGEST TO THE COUNCIL AND STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS TO MAKE CLEAR SOME CONDITIONS THAT WOULD NEED TO BE MET IF THE CITY WERE TO CONTINUE DOWN THE PATH OF FORMATION AND PROVIDE FURTHER DETAIL AND I WILL COME BACK WITH WHAT THOSE DETAILS MIGHT BE. THEN WE WILL LOOK AT ANYTHING IN BETWEEN OR WHATEVER ACTION YOU CHOOSE TO DO. TO PROVIDE A BIT MORE COLOR ON THE FINDINGS, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT TWO EIFD'S

IT VERSUS ONE EIFD, THAT INCLUDES THE CITY AND UNINCORPORATED . THE RATIONALE FOR THE TWO EIFD'S IS WE HAVE HER CONCERN FROM STAKEHOLDERS THAT THE PROJECTS WITHIN THE CITY, THE CITY OUGHT TO HAVE. AND WHAT PROJECTS GET FUNDED, THE DOLLAR ALLOCATION AND THOSE SORTS OF THINGS WE HAVE HEARD CLEARLY THAT THE UNINCORPORATED COUNTY PROJECTS, THEY WOULD LIKE THE DECISION-MAKERS TO BE COUNTY FOLKS. THE EASIEST WAY ADDRESSING THOSE COMMENTS IS TO SEGREGATE THAT AND TO DO TWO EIFD'S TO REALLY GET TO THE HEART OF THAT IS THE MAIN REASON WE CAN ADDRESS THAT NEED. FOLKS MAY RECALL FROM THE SEPTEMBER MEETING WE PUT THE WHOLE CITY IN ONE OF THESE FINANCING DISTRICTS AND THAT IS STILL AN OPTION. KOSMONT IS SUGGESTING SOMETHING MORE FOCUSED. THE PRIMARY REASON IS THAT GENERAL FUND PROTECTION. WE BELIEVE A DISTRICT THAT IS AS LARGE AS THIS IS JUST TOO GREAT FISCAL EXPOSURE CONSIDERING THE CURRENT FINANCIAL CONDITION OF THE CITY AND IT SHOULD BE MORE FOCUSED ON AN AREA OF THE CITY WHERE THERE ARE ACTUAL PROJECTS AND LET'S FOCUS ON CAPTURING THAT VALUE. CAPTURE THE FUTURE --THAT THEY ARE BANKING ON TO STAY SOLVENT. LET'S FOCUS ON THE OPPORTUNITY AREAS. THE PURPLE LINE IS WHAT WE MEAN AT KOSMONT WHEN WE SAY A FOCUSED CORRIDOR ALTERNATIVE. THE PURPLE BOUNDARY ENCAPSULATES THE DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS THAT ARE IN PLANNING OR PROPOSAL STAGES. THERE IS A PROJECT DEVELOPMENT PIPELINE AND WE HAVE A SLIDE THAT SHOWS THIS IS REALLY THE BOUNDARY THAT CAPTURES THE PRIMARY OPPORTUNITIES. THIS PURPLE BOUNDARY IS ABOUT 290 ACRES. SO, KOSMONT IS SAYING ABOUT 24% OF YOUR CITY IS FOCUSED ON THESE KEY CORRIDORS WHERE THE PRIMARY ASSESSED VALUE GROWTH IS GOING TO HAPPEN BASED ON WHAT IS PROPOSED. REVENUE ALLOCATION, I DON'T WANT TO THE LABOR THE POINT. EVERYTHING THAT WE TALK ABOUT IS A BALANCING ACT AND WE LOVE TO GET THIS FINANCING DISTRICT ENOUGH CAPACITY TO DO THIS. WE HAVE ROADWAY, PARKS, COMMUNITY SWIMMING POOL, LIBRARY BUT IT CANNOT BE AT THE EXPENSE OF THE GENERAL FUND. IT IS ALWAYS A BALANCING ACT SO WHEN WE TALK ABOUT THE ALLOCATION LEVEL THAT IS MORE ON THE ORDER OF 25%, WE ARE SAYING THERE IS A SWEET SPOT THAT WE ARE SEEING AND IT IS THE SAME THAT WE SEE IN OTHER FINANCE DISTRICTS ACROSS THE STATE . IT IS VERY IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT WE WANTED TO MAKE CLEAR THAT IF THIS DISTRICT IS FORMED AND WE WANT TO ISSUE A BOND OR PLEDGE THAT REVENUE STREAM IS REIMBURSEMENT TO SOMEBODY THAT IS PAYING FOR INFRASTRUCTURE THOSE DOLLARS ARE REALLY LOCKED IN AND NO LONGER AVAILABLE TO THE GENERAL FUND. THAT IS REALLY THE RATIONAL FOR KOSMONT SUGGESTING THIS. IT IS GREAT IF YOU CAN GET A MATCH AND BRING IN OTHER MONEY BUT THE QUESTION IS CAN YOU AFFORD TO TIE UP THE MONEY THAT YOU ARE SETTING ASIDE? CONDITION NUMBER ONE, THE CITY SHOULD ONLY MOVE FORWARD IF THERE ARE MATCHING US. WE SUGGEST A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH AND THE OTHER PERCENTAGE ALLOCATED, IT WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT IS FISCALLY SUSTAINABLE TO THE GENERAL FUND. WHEN WE SAY 25% WE INCLUDED A SLIDE IN HERE , WHAT DOES THAT ACTUALLY MEAN OVERTIME? IN A PREVIOUS PRESENTATION KOSMONT SAID 25% ALLOCATION MEANS YOU CAN GET SOMETHING LIKE \$56 MILLION AND \$21 MILLION ON A PRESENT VALUE BASIS. WE INCLUDED THIS YEAR TO SHOW THAT YOU DON'T GET THAT DAY ONE. IT ROLLS IN SLOWLY AND THE FIRST YEAR, THESE ARE THE SORTS OF NUMBERS THAT ARE HAPPENING. YOU FORM THE DISTRICT AND THE ASSESSED VALUE HAS TO GROW. THE COUNTY WOULD MATCH THE \$20,000 SO APPROXIMATELY \$40,000 AS THE VALUE CONTINUES TO GROW. THIS IS THE SORT OF GROWTH. THE PRIMARY CONCERN FOR THE GENERAL FUND IS EXPOSURE. WE WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THIS IS THE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE. THE DOLLARS ARE NOT HUGE BUT THE DOLLAR OF EXPOSURE IS NOT HUGE. IT TAKES TIME FOR THE DOLLARS TO GET BIG AND THAT IS WHY I INCLUDED THIS TABLE TO MAKE IT CLEAR WHAT THE DOLLARS ARE. NOT THAT THIS IS A NO-BRAINER BUT WE WANT TO PROVIDE CLARITY ON WHAT THE EXPOSURE IS.

I AM GOING TO ASK YOU TO WRAP IT UP.

YOU GOT IT. COUNTY HAS A PARTICIPATION POLICY THAT GUIDES ITS PARTICIPATION IN THESE DISTRICTS. THE MAIN POINT IS THAT WE WOULD SUGGEST AT LEAST THE SAME AMOUNT OF SCRUTINY FOR THE CITY COUNCIL IN CONSIDERING AN ACTION LIKE THIS. THE COUNTY HAS A POLICY THAT THE COUNTY HAS A POLICY THAT SHOWS POSITIVE IMPACT TO THE GENERAL FUND AND IT HAS TO SUPPORT THESE GOALS. AND THAT IS WHAT WE ARE SUGGESTING THAT THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL HAVE. MY MAIN POINT HERE IS THAT EVEN IF YOU CONTINUE TODAY THERE ARE SEVERAL OFF RAMPS ALONG THE WAY SO WE ARE NOT TRYING TO MINIMIZE THE DISCUSSION. IT IS STILL STAFF TIME SO WE DON'T TAKE IT LIGHTLY. EVEN IF SOMEBODY ELSE IS PAYING FOR IT , IT IS NOT A NO-BRAINER BUT I WANT TO MAKE THE POINT THAT THERE ARE SEVERAL OFF RAMPS ALONG THE WAY EVEN TO THE FINAL STAGE OF POTENTIAL FORMATION THAT WE CAN ANTICIPATE AROUND AUGUST 2025. THERE ARE SEVERAL OFF RAMPS BEFORE THE CITY WOULD BE FULLY COMMITTED. THERE IS A PATH FORWARD WITH OFF RAMPS ALONG THE WAY. THAT IS IT. WE WOULD LIKE TO HEAR YOUR QUESTIONS, ADDRESS THEM AND WE ARE SEEKING DIRECTION TO CONTINUE IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO CONTINUE. AND BRING BACK A NONBINDING RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. THERE ARE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS THAT YOU MIGHT CONSIDER AND THOSE CONDITIONS ARE REALLY THAT YOU ARE GETTING A DOLLAR MATCH FROM THE COUNTY AND WHATEVER IS DECIDED ON, THERE IS A POSITIVE FISCAL IMPACT TO THE GENERAL FUND AND THE NEXT STEP IS A DEEPER DIVE ANALYSIS THAT PROVES THAT OUT. WHETHER OR NOT IT IS FISCALLY SUSTAINABLE AND AT WHAT LEVEL.

THANK YOU. I WOULD BE HAPPY TO ADDRESS QUESTIONS.

WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNSEL TABLE. WE ARE ON THIS AD HOC. ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD? >> NO. GREAT PRESENTATION. >> ALEX, ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO ADD IS THE CITY ATTORNEY? I THINK THE PIECE OF CLARIFICATION THAT I THINK WOULD BE HELPFUL IS TO KNOW WHETHER , YOU SAID THERE WERE VARIOUS OFFERING -- OFF RAMPS AND I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT IS THE PROCESS ONCE THE PUBLIC FINANCING AGENCY AUTHORITY IS ESTABLISHED. WHAT IS THE PROCESS IF THE CITY DECIDES THAT IT ONCE TO WITHDRAW FROM THE EIFD FOR ANY REASON. DOES THE --MAKE THE DECISION?

THERE ARE MANY OFF RAMPS IN THE FORMATION PROCESS. BUT ULTIMATELY I BELIEVE THE HEART OF THE QUESTION IS WHAT IF YOU ACTUALLY GO ALL THE WAY AND YOU DO SIGN ON AND YOU MAKE A 45-50 YEAR --BUT THE POINT IS VERY IMPORTANT. TECHNICALLY YOU CAN STILL PULL OUT THE PROCESS TO DO THAT. THAT WOULD START WITH A CITY COUNCIL ACTION. NOTHING IS BINDING UNTIL YOU HAVE COMMITTED THE DOLLARS TO SOME PROJECT OR DO SOMETHING LIKE A DEAD ISSUANCE. YOU HAVE WRITTEN A PLAN THAT SAYS THIS IS WHAT YOU WANT TO DO BUT THE DOLLARS ROLL IN QUITE SLOWLY SO WE ARE NOT ABLE TO ISSUE A BOND OR FUND A PARK OR ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT IMMEDIATELY SO, UNTIL YOU DO THAT, WHICH IS TYPICALLY THREE OR FOUR OR FIVE YEARS DOWN THE LINE, IT WOULD START WITH ACTION OF THE COUNCIL TO SAY, WE WOULD BE RESCINDING OUR ACTION , OUR COMMITMENT TO THIS FINANCING DISTRICT AND IT WOULD HAVE TO BE A COMPANION ACTION BY THE NEW ENTITY CREATED WHICH WOULD THEORETICALLY SHARE LEADERSHIP WITH THE COUNTY. THE PROCESS DOES GET MORE COMPLICATED IF WE ARE FOUR OR FIVE YEARS DOWN THE LINE AND WE HAVE DONE SOMETHING LIKE ISSUE THE BONDS . OR PLEDGE THE DOLLARS FOR REIMBURSEMENT. SOMEONE LIKE A DEVELOPER WHO MIGHT BE WILLING TO DO SOMETHING LIKE EXTEND THE ROAD FROM

THE WATER MAIN AND IF THAT IS THE CASE, THE CITY COULD FURTHER ITS INVOLVEMENT AND COMMITMENT TO ALLOCATE MORE DOLLARS TO THE FINANCE DISTRICT BUT IT WOULD STILL HAVE TO HONOR THE FINANCIAL COMMITMENT TO WHATEVER HAS ALREADY BEEN ISSUED. IF THERE HAS BEEN A BOND ISSUED THE CITY STILL HALF --HAS TO MEET THAT. >> THANK YOU. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I SEE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS. IS THAT SOMETHING THAT YOU ARE IN AGREEMENT WITH? >> THERE IS A SECTION IN THE STAFF REPORT FOR STAFF RECOMMENDATION AND THOSE WERE SPECIFIC RECOMMENDATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER THAT WE WANTED TO BE SURE GOT INCLUDED. CITY MANAGER, THIS WOULD BE YOUR OPPORTUNITY TO SUMMARIZE FOR US THOSE RECOMMENDATIONS THAT YOU HAVE.

THERE ARE A COUPLE OF THEM. NUMBER ONE, WE DON'T PUT ANY MORE SIGNIFICANT TIME INTO THIS. UNLESS WE ARE SURE WE ARE GOING FORWARD AND THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS HAS BEEN COMPLETED AND THE EXPECTATIONS ARE CLEAR ABOUT THE MATCH. I JUST DON'T THINK IT MAKES SENSE TO PROCEED IF THAT WILL BE ONE OF THE CRITERIA, IF THAT IS NOT CLEAR TO THEM WHEN THEY MAKE THEIR DECISIONS. SO, THOSE ARE THE KEY STEPS. DO THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS BEFORE YOU PUT SIGNIFICANT ADDITIONAL STAFF TIME INTO THIS. DOING THE RESOLUTION OF INTENT DOES NOT REQUIRE A LOT OF STAFF TIME. I AM A LITTLE BIT GUN SHY THOUGH BECAUSE WE STARTED THIS PROJECT AND IT WAS NOT GOING TO TAKE MUCH STAFF TIME BECAUSE KOSMONT WAS GOING TO DO ALL THE WORK BUT IT HAS BEEN ABOUT 30% OF STAFF TIME.

GO AHEAD. >> THE FISCAL ANALYSIS, HOW MUCH DO YOU ANTICIPATE ?

IT IS MORE ABOUT FINDING THE MONEY PREFERABLY FROM THE KOSMONT ANALYSIS AND WE WOULD FACTOR THAT INTO OUR LONG-RANGE MODELS SO I DON'T THINK THAT IS VERY DIFFERENT.

SO ONE PIECE THAT I CAN ADD AS AN AD HOC COMMITTEE MEMBER THAT THERE IS A COST ASSOCIATED WITH A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND THERE WOULD BE A COST ASSOCIATED WITH IT AND THE ESTIMATE I INC. IS \$12,000-\$15,000 FOR COUNTY AND CITY SO THAT WOULD INCLUDE BOTH ENTITIES. THERE WOULD BE AN ADDITIONAL COST BETWEEN THE POINT OF RESOLUTION OF INTENTION AND CREATING A PFA . THOSE COSTS WOULD BE EVENTUALLY COVERED BY THE REVENUE COLLECTED BY THE EIFD SO ASSUMING THAT THE EIFD IS FORMED THEN THE ADDITIONAL COSTS AS KOSMONT HAS CONFIRMED , WOULD BE REIMBURSED BY THE EVENTUAL EIFD SO THAT IS ONE FACTOR INVOLVED. WE DO NOT KNOW, AND IF KOSMONT NOSE, IT WOULD BE HELPFUL. DO WE KNOW WHAT THE COUNTY POSITION CURRENTLY IS IN TERMS OF OBTAINING A FULL FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS. DOES THE COUNTY FEEL THAT IT IS IMPORTANT BEFORE THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION OR NOT?

IT HAS NOT BEEN DECIDED.

SO ONE OF THE QUESTIONS HERE, BEFORE THE COUNSEL IS, WHETHER, EVENTUALLY, DO WE NEED TO DECIDE TONIGHT WHETHER WE WANT TO GO FORWARD WITH THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS?

I THINK THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FOR ALL OF US. FOR THE STAFF AND KOSMONT TO KNOW IF WE CAN SEE THE FISCAL BEFORE THE NONBINDING RESOLUTION.

IF THAT IS THE CASE IT WOULD REQUIRE FUNDING.

THAT IS CORRECT.

THE ALLOCATION OF FUNDING WOULD BE WHAT? IT IS NOT 12,000-15,000 .

WE WOULD SAY WE BELIEVE THAT IS TO BE NEGOTIATED BETWEEN THE CITY AND THE COUNTY IF THERE IS A SPLIT OR IF THE COUNTY FUNDS ALL OF IT OR THE CITY FUNDS ALL OF IT.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. >> I HAD ONE QUESTION BUT YOU'RE TALKING HAS LED TO ANOTHER ONE. THE FIRST QUESTION IS, SAY WE ARE GOING FORWARD AND WE ARE PUTTING MONEY IN AND WE HAVE A 1-1 MATCH. AND WE HAVE NOT FUNDED A NEW PARK IN LET'S SAY EIGHT YEARS. DO WE KNOW --I WOULD ASSUME BUT I AM NOT GOING TO ASSUME ANYTHING, THAT WE GET TO KEEP THE COUNTY MONEY AT THAT POINT? LIKE A BANK ACCOUNT THAT YOU USED TO --WE ARE PUTTING IN A SAVINGS ACCOUNT AND WE DECIDE, WE CANNOT AFFORD IT IN EIGHT YEARS AND WE HAVE TO BACK OUT. SO, THAT IS QUESTION NUMBER ONE. QUESTION NUMBER TWO IS, STAFF TIME, STAFF TIME, STAFF TIME BUT THE COUNTY IS GOING TO CONTINUE TO FUND. WE HAVE A BRAND-NEW EMPLOYEE THAT WE JUST HEARD ABOUT FIVE MINUTES AGO COMING ON IN THE PLANNING DEPARTMENT SO, WHY CAN'T WE PASS THIS RESOLUTION AND NOT PUT OUT ANY MORE MONEY AT THIS POINT? I THOUGHT THAT IS WHAT I WAS HEARING BUT THEN I HEARD SOMETHING ELSE. I AM VERY CONFUSED.

I AM GOING TO JUMP IN HERE FIRST. THE QUESTION THAT HOPEFULLY YOU CAN ANSWER QUICKLY IS WHETHER IF, IN EIGHT YEARS, WITH NO PROJECTS AGAIN AND NO BONDS ISSUED THAT THE CITY COUNCIL DECIDES IT WANTS TO WITHDRAW FROM THE EIFD, WITH THE CITY GET TO RETAIN THE COUNTY ALLOCATION? I THINK THE ANSWER IS NO BECAUSE THE PFA WOULD HAVE TO DISBAND IN ORDER TO ALLOW THE DISCONTINUANCE OF THE PARTICIPATION.

THE MONEY HAS TO GO TO THE PROJECTS LISTED.

THAT IS THE FIRST QUESTION AND THEN THE SECOND ONE WAS -- >> WHY CAN'T WE PASS THE RESOLUTION WITHOUT COMMITTING TO SPENDING MONEY ON THE FEASIBILITY STUDY WHEN WHAT I HEARD WAS THAT THE COUNTY IS FUNDING THIS AND THE ONLY CONCERN WE REALLY HAVE TO THINK ABOUT IS HOW MUCH OF OUR OWN STAFF TIME IT WAS TAKING AT THIS POINT. >> I DON'T KNOW IF THERE IS ENOUGH MONEY LEFT IN THE POT OF MONEY THAT WAS PAID TO KOSMONT . THE CONSULTANT ARRANGEMENT WITH US IS TO COVER THE EXPENSES THROUGH THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION AND IT ENDS AT THAT POINT. THE MONEY THAT HAS BEEN ALLOCATED . WHAT I DON'T KNOW IF THERE ARE ANY REMAINING FUNDS. FUNDING THE FULL FISCAL FINANCIAL ANALYSIS.

I CAN CLARIFY. WE HAVE DOLLARS REMAINING IN THE BUDGET THAT WOULD ALLOW US --NOT A LOT, LESS THAN \$7000 OUT OF THE \$50,000. THAT WOULD BE ENOUGH TO BRING BACK A RESOLUTION OF INTENTION TO THE CITY AND THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS BUT THE FISCAL IMPACT THAT IS POTENTIALLY BEING PULLED FORWARD FROM THE IMPLEMENTATION PHASE INTO THE FEASIBILITY ANALYSIS PHASE, THERE ARE NO DOLLARS SET ASIDE FOR THAT.

OKAY. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES . HOW MUCH STAFF TIME ARE YOU PREDICTING WOULD BE NEEDED FROM SEBASTOPOL IN TERMS OF MOVING THIS PROJECT FORWARD? >> THAT IS A QUESTION EITHER FIRST FOR JOE AND THEN THE CITY MANAGER?

I WILL TALK ABOUT THE ACTIVITIES THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT HOURS AND TIME.

JOE, I'M GOING TO ASK YOU TO BE RELEASES SYNCED BECAUSE IT IS 10:15 AND WE HAVE TO ENDED THE MEETING AT 10:30.

WE HAVE RESOLUTIONS FOR REVIEW AND ALL OF THE PUBLIC NOTICES. ALL WE NEED IS STAFF REVIEW OF THE DOCUMENTATION THAT WE PREPARE FOR AGENDA RISING ITEMS AND FOR DISTRIBUTION OF PUBLIC NOTICES BY MAIL AND NEWSPAPER AS WOULD BE REQUIRED IN THE LIMITATION PHASE. WE WOULD BE PREPARING ALL OF THE CONTENT.

OKAY, CITY MANAGER, DO YOU HAVE INPUT ON STAFF TIME THAT MIGHT BE REQUIRED? IT LOOKS LIKE BETWEEN NOW AND THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION?

I AM NOT REALLY IN A POSITION TO GUESSTIMATE THE NUMBER OF HOURS BUT HE HAS MENTIONED ITEMS THAT WOULD INCUR ADDITIONAL COST. BEYOND THE FISCAL ANALYSIS. BUT THERE ARE A FEW MORE THINGS INVOLVED. >> OKAY. SO WE DON'T REALLY HAVE AN ANSWER TO THE STAFF HOURS THAT MY BE REQUIRED .

IF YOU WANT A RANGE OF HOURS, HE KNOWS THE WORK THAT WE HAVE.

WE NEED A NUMBER OF SOME KIND. FIVE HOURS A WEEK? IT 10 HOURS A WEEK?

I WOULD ESTIMATE LESS THAN FIVE HOURS PER WEEK.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS .

SO, THE QUESTION THAT I HAVE IS DO WE HAVE ANY IDEA WHAT THE IMPACT WILL BE ON THE GENERAL FUND? BECAUSE IT IS RECOMMENDED WE DO THE FISCAL ANALYSIS BEFORE WE MOVE FORWARD. IS SEEMS LIKE WE SHOULD KNOW THAT BEFORE WE KEEP GOING DOWN THIS PATH BUT WE TRULY DON'T HAVE ANY NUMBERS. >> JUST LOOKING AT THE NUMBERS ON THE ALLOCATION, IT SUGGESTS A LITTLE OVER \$1 MILLION OUT OF THE GENERAL FUND IN THE FIRST 10 YEARS SO WE HAVE SOME IDEA BUT, I CAN'T TELL YOU, I DON'T THINK ANYBODY CAN TELL YOU THAT THIS IS SOMETHING WE CAN AFFORD. EVEN THE GENERAL FUND FISCAL ANALYSIS WILL [INDISCERNABLE] .

SO, I AM WONDERING WHETHER THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY HERE FOR THE COUNCIL TO GIVE DIRECTION TO STAFF TO COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL WITH A PLAN, WITH A PROPOSAL THAT WOULD PROVIDE INFORMATION ON POTENTIAL FUNDING FOR A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS , WHICH THE CITY MANAGER HAS RECOMMENDED AND THAT WOULD RESPOND TO THE CONCERNS FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND AN ESTIMATE OF STAFF TIME THAT WOULD BE REQUIRED TO BRING THIS TO A , WHATEVER THE ITEM IS THAT WE ARE SUPPOSED TO DO. THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. IS THAT POSSIBLE DIRECTION THAT MIGHT WRAP IT UP? ALLOW THE AD HOC AND STAFF THE TIME TO . I THINK WE WOULD LIKE THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THE STAFF TIME QUESTION AND ALSO, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR, WE WOULD LIKE TO KNOW THAT THE COUNTY IS READY TO COME UP WITH A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH. DID I CAPTURE KIND OF THE GENERAL CONSENSUS HERE? THAT WE WANT A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH? WE WANT TO KNOW STAFF TIME AND WE WANT TO DO A

FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS BEFORE THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION IS BROUGHT TO US AND WE WANT TO KNOW WHAT FUNDING OPPORTUNITIES THERE MIGHT BE.

I JUST WANTED TO SAY, WHEN I READ ABOUT THE TAX RATE, IT JUST SEEMS AS THOUGH THERE SHOULD BE SOME DISCUSSION ABOUT THAT SOMEWHERE. SO I AM HOPING YOU WOULD THINK ABOUT THAT AND IF THERE IS ANY WAY TO --I'M TRYING TO THINK WHAT THE PROPER THING IS. IT IS LATE.

IT DOES NOT SIT WELL WITH ME THAT THEY RECEIVE MORE THAN WE DO BUT WE ARE ALL PUTTING IN THE SAME.

I'M THINKING ABOUT THE PUBLIC COMMENT EARLIER AND PROPERTY TAXES OF 22% AND LESS GOES TO THE CITY.

SO BEFORE PUTTING IN THE SAME AMOUNT BUT DOES NOT SEEM --. >> I UNDERSTAND. ONE AN ADDITIONAL PIECE OF INFORMATION REQUESTED IS, IS IT EQUITABLE FOR THIS MATCH TO BE DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR GIVEN THAT THE CITY GETS MORE PROPERTY TAXES.

I HAVE A COMMENT.

YES, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER .

SHE WOULD BE ASKING THE COUNTY TO SPLIT THE COST OF THE FINANCIAL FISCAL ANALYSIS? >> THE ASK OF THE AD HOC AND STAFF WOULD BE TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE COUNTY TO COME UP WITH AN AGREEMENT REGARDING THE EXPENSE OF THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS.

THANK YOU. >> IN MY CAPTURING THE CONSENSUS OF THE COUNCIL HERE TRYING TO WRAP THIS UP? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

YES. >> AND I SEE -- LOOKING AT ME.

THIS STILL NEEDS PUBLIC COMMENT.

YES. I AM GOING TO CHECK WITH COUNCIL MEMBERS AND I WOULD VERY MUCH LIKE TO GET TO THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS ITEM. THAT WOULD TAKE US PAST 10:30.

I JUST WISH WE HAD MOVED QUICKER TONIGHT.

WHY DON'T WE TRY TO WRAP IT UP BY 10:45.

I AM NOT ABLE TO DO THAT BUT BECAUSE I AM ON ZOOM CAN I EXIT AND YOU CAN STAY THERE?

IS IT A MEDICAL ISSUE? >> A COUNCIL MEMBER IS FREE TO LEAVE . WITHOUT OBJECTION. SPECTER WE NEED TO HAVE A SIDE CONVERSATION?

SHE CAN AGREE FOR IT TO CONTINUE.

SO, ON THE RECORD, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, IT IS AGREEABLE THAT WE CONTINUE PAST 10:30?

YES.

LET'S MOVE THIS ALONG. ON THE EIFD ITEM LET'S GO FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN COME BACK FOR A DECISION. >> THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE EIFD WHICH IS THE ENHANCED INFRASTRUCTURE FINANCING DISTRICT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, IT WILL GO TO ZOOM. ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF?

YES.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? >> I WILL LET YOU KNOW WHEN YOU HAVE 30 SECONDS LEFT.

MY FIRST THOUGHT IS, WE HAVE VACANCIES IN ALMOST EVERY DEPARTMENT AND THE CITY MANAGER IS TRYING TO REWORK THE WAY WE DO WORK TO CUT DOWN ON SPENDING AND ADDRESS THIS FISCAL CRISIS. IF THIS ISN'T GOING TO PAY OFF FOR FIVE YEARS, FROM WHAT WE HAVE HEARD. IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE DECIDED TODAY OR EVEN THIS YEAR. WE CAN STILL MOVE FORWARD WITH THIS IN THE FUTURE ONCE WE GET THE FINANCIALS STRAIGHTENED OUT. IF WE WANT TO MOVE FORWARD, A QUICK QUESTION, IS THE COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS WILLING TO CONSIDER SOME KIND OF MATCH? IF NOT THIS IS DEAD BECAUSE YOU ARE TAKING MONEY OUT OF ONE POCKET AND PUTTING IT IN ANOTHER. AT THIS REASON THERE IS NOT A GOOD REASON TO DO IT.

THANK YOU FOR HER PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK INTO THE CHAMBER AND SEEING NONE I GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF , PLEASE. THANK YOU. GO AHEAD, LINDA.

I AM IN AGREEMENT WITH LEE. YOU KNOW, I HAVE ALWAYS SAID YOU HAVE COMMON SENSE. I AGREE WITH YOU. THIS IS SUCH A BAD IDEA. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO REMIND YOU THAT WE HAVE A \$25 MILLION DEBT FROM WHAT WAS THE --HOSPITAL. AND WE HAD A \$1.2 MILLION LOAN FROM [INAUDIBLE] WE ALSO HAVE AN ONGOING DEBT OF ABOUT \$5 MILLION WHERE INFRASTRUCTURE IS FAILING RIGHT NOW. [INAUDIBLE] PAST BY SO TWO OF THOSE THREE BULLETS MADE THAT HAPPEN BUT STILL, WE NEED TO ACKNOWLEDGE [INAUDIBLE] FOR THE WIRELESS WATER METERS THAT ARE NOW FAILING. THANK YOU, LINDA, IF YOU ARE STILL ON THE LINE FOR RINGING UP THE SIDEWALKS.

YOU HAVE 25 SECONDS.

I WILL SEE IF THERE IS A STUDY ABOUT THAT BUT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT BICYCLE LANES AND ALL OF THAT OTHER STUFF. [INAUDIBLE] CANNOT SUPPORT ALL OF ITS GROWTH SO WE CANNOT AFFORD TO WASTE TIME ON THAT.

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES. THANK YOU FOR HER PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER.

I HAVE SOME COMMENTS ON THIS. I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD PROCEED WITH THIS. I THINK THERE ARE TOO MANY ISSUES HERE AND IT WILL BE A TERRIBLE TIME SUCK ON STAFF. I AM IN DISTRICT 5 AND I THINK THIS SHOULD BE A DISTRICT 5 ISSUE AND THE COUNTY SHOULD BE WORKING HARD ON THESE KIND OF PROJECTS AND CONTRIBUTING MONEY TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. WE DON'T NEED TO BE PARTNERING WITH THE COUNTY ON THIS. THERE IS TOO MUCH RISK INVOLVED AND -- PUNCH ABOVE OUR WEIGHT IN THESE KINDS OF PROJECTS. MY RECOMMENDATION IS NOT TO PROCEED ON THIS AND CONTRIBUTE RESOURCES TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE THE PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

WE ARE BACK AT THE TABLE AND THE COUNTY HAS EXPRESSED OPENNESS TO A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH AND THE POLICY SUPPORTS THAT DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH. SO THAT IS THE COUNTY POLICY AND COUNTY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICE REPRESENTATIVES HAVE BEEN CHECKING IN WITH THE EIFD PROCESS MONTHLY. I THINK WE HAVE A PROPOSAL THAT STAFF COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL BUT THAT THE CITY COUNCIL IS IN FACT REQUIRING A CONFIRMATION OF AID DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH FROM THE COUNTY . THAT THE CITY COUNCIL IS , AT THIS POINT, REQUIRING A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND WOULD LIKE STAFF TO COME BACK AND CONFIRM POTENTIAL FUNDING AFTER NEGOTIATING WITH THE COUNTY HOPEFULLY FOR A SPLIT OR SOME OTHER SOURCE AND WHAT THE CITY COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO KNOW , EXACTLY WHAT THE STAFF TIME OBLIGATION MIGHT BE TO GET THIS ITEM THROUGH THE RESOLUTION OF INTENTION. THAT, I THINK, IS MY SUMMARY OF WHAT I AM HEARING. >> THE REASON THAT WE WENT DOWN THIS ROAD IS BECAUSE THERE WERE TWO OTHER DISTRICTS PURSUING THIS AS WELL SO IT'S NOT LIKE WE JUST INVENTED IT . IT IS A REAL OPPORTUNITY TO GET A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH WHICH WE HAVE SHOWN THAT WE NEED FOR BIG PROJECTS. THERE ARE OTHERS WORKING ON THE SAME SORT OF DISTRICTS SO I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT. WE DID NOT BRING IT UP EARLIER AND AFTER PUBLIC COMMENTS I THOUGHT THAT IS WHY WE DID GO DOWN THAT PATH AT THE BEGINNING.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? I HAVE KIND OF SUMMARIZED WHERE -
-

I JUST WANT TO GET VERIFICATION OF THE DIRECTION.

I HAVE CAPTURED IT.

BUT JUST CLARIFICATION.

THAT WOULD BE, I GUESS THAT IS MY MOTION THAT WE RESPECT THE CITY MANAGER RECOMMENDATION ON REQUIRING A MATCH AND GETTING A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS AND I WOULD ADD ON BRINGING BACK AN ESTIMATE OF STAFF TIME. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I THINK WE SHOULD TRY TO GET MORE THAN A MATCH TO MATCH. THE COUNTY IS DEFINITELY MORE FINANCIALLY SUPPORTED THAN WE ARE.

SO I THINK THERE SHOULD BE A DISCUSSION ABOUT THE POTENTIAL FOR MORE THAN A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH. I WOULD COMMENT THAT SINCE THIS IS THE POLICY THAT THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS HAS APPROVED, WE ARE NOT LIKELY TO GET THAT.

IF YOU DON'T ASK, THE ANSWER IS ALWAYS NO.

IN YOUR FIRST SWIPE THAT IT YOU INCLUDED TO NEGOTIATE FOR THE COUNTY , FOR US TO NEGOTIATE WITH THE COUNTY TO PAY FOR HALF OF THE STUDY. THE SECOND TIME YOU DID NOT INCLUDE IT SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE IT WAS INCLUDED A. >> IT WAS MY INTENTION TO INCLUDE IT SO I MODIFY MY MOTION TO INCLUDE NEGOTIATION WITH THE COUNTY TO CONTRIBUTE AS MUCH AS POSSIBLE , AT THE

VERY LEAST, HALF OF THE FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS. ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS AS WE DEVELOP THIS MOTION. I DO SEE THE CITY MANAGER LEANING IN.

IN TERMS OF THE COUNTY MATCH AND EXPLORING POSSIBLY GETTING THEM TO FUND OUR SHARE, I DON'T KNOW THAT WE CAN DO THAT BEFORE IT GOES TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS. I MEAN, ESPECIALLY EXPLORING OPTIONS GREATER THAN THE COUNTY MATCH. I DON'T KNOW HOW THEY WOULD --I KNOW THEY LOOK TO REALLOCATE SOME APPROPRIATIONS.

I THINK THERE MIGHT BE A SOURCE OF FUNDS THAT ARE --THE QUESTION OF THE SUPERVISOR WOULD BE IF THERE IS A SOURCE OF FUNDS THAT THE SUPERVISOR CONTROLS THAT SHE COULD THEN ALLOCATE WITHIN HER AUTHORITY FOR THIS PURPOSE AND THEY DON'T KNOW THE ANSWER TO THAT QUESTION BUT I DO RECALL THERE BEING A DISCUSSION ABOUT POTENTIAL FUNDS AVAILABLE. SO I THINK WE ARE ASKING FOR A GOOD FAITH EFFORT TO COME UP WITH THIS INFORMATION AND PROVIDE IT TO COUNSEL. JOE. >> SO, THE SUPERVISORS ASKED AS A REPORT BACK FROM HOW THIS GOES, WHICH I CAN COURTNEY OF COURSE WITH STAFF AND THE AD HOC COMMITTEE AND SHE WANTS TO DO AN UPDATE LIKE THIS AND SHE WANTS US TO TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO DO THAT. I CAN ASK THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AFTER VETTING IT WITH STAFF ON IF THEY WOULD BE WILLING TO ENTERTAIN SOMETHING OTHER THAN A DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH AND THINGS LIKE SPLITTING THE FISCAL, I THINK WE CAN WORK ON THAT WITH COUNTY STAFF.

OKAY . CITY MANAGER, ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT? >> I AM. I WILL BRING IS DEFINITIVE OF AN ANSWER AS I CAN TO THE COUNCIL.

I THINK WITHIN THAT , THERE IS A REQUEST IN THE STAFF REPORT FOR MESSAGING FROM THE COUNCIL OF AN INTEREST IN PURSUING THIS EIFD CONCEPT VAN TODAY. THERE IS NO PURPOSE IN COLLECTING THE INFORMATION IF WE DON'T HAVE A GENERALIZED INTEREST IN CONTINUING THE EIFD. IF THE ANSWER COMES BACK THAT THE STAFF TIME IS REASONABLE , THAT, THE FUNDING SOURCES ARE ACCEPTABLE FOR FISCAL FINANCIAL ANALYSIS AND ARE ACCEPTABLE TO THE CITY COUNCIL AND THAT THE DOLLAR FOR DOLLAR MATCH OR SOME ADDITIONAL AMOUNT IS ACCEPTABLE TO THE COUNCIL. IS THE COUNCIL AND SUPPORT THEN OF THE EIFD? I THINK THAT IS WHAT WE NEED TO HEAR. >> I WILL SAY THAT I QUESTION BY SUPPORTING THE MOTION --

I PUT THE MOTION ON THE TABLE AND IT WAS SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION? >> >> ROLL CALL VOTE PLEASE .

CAN YOU JUST RESTATE THE MOTION. >> SO MOVED BY THE MAYOR, A SECOND BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. A FULL FISCAL ANALYSIS OF STAFF TO RETURN TO FUNDING AND RECOMMENDATION FOR NEGOTIATION WITH THE COUNTY AND KNOW THE STAFF TIME OBLIGATION TO GET THE EIFD AND I ADDED THE CONSULTANTS , TO DIRECT QUESTIONS TO THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AT THEIR NEXT MEETING.

THAT SOUNDS ACCEPTABLE. >>

THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU. AS A FOLLOW-UP COMMENT I WOULD LIKE THE COUNCIL TO BE AWARE THAT THE AD HOC WILL NO LONGER INCLUDE ME AS A SECOND PERSON SO THERE WILL BE A NEED FOR SOMEBODY TO STEP IN. WE NOW ARE ON, THANK YOU TO JOE FROM

KOSMONT FOR BEING HERE AND THANK YOU TO COUNCILMEMBER MAURER FOR BEING HERE. LET'S MOVE ON TO ITEM NUMBER 14. >> I THINK WE AGREE WE CAN HANG IN UNTIL 9:45. I AM HOPING WE CAN ACTUALLY GET THROUGH THIS. IT IS LIKE A FIVE MINUTE PRESENTATION.

THE REASON I INTERRUPTED YOU IS BECAUSE IT IS ACTUALLY 10:35. THIS IS ITEM NUMBER 14, RECEIPT OF MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS AND SEBASTOPOL NEIGHBORHOOD COMMUNICATION UNIT PRESENTATION AND APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT TO PROVIDE FUNDING ASSISTANCE TO --.

I KNOW YOU HAVE PUT A LOT OF EFFORT INTO THIS BUT THE GOAL IS TO ALLOW THE COUNCIL TO TAKE WHATEVER ACTION YOU NEED US TO TAKE SO, AT MOST, A FIVE MINUTE PRESENTATION. THE GOOD NEWS FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC THAT MIGHT STILL BE ON HERE IS THAT THIS COUNSEL DOES REVIEW ALL OF THE STAFF REPORT.

SO THIS HAS TWO PARTS. THE INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION.

CAN YOU GET THE MICROPHONE CLOSER TO YOU PLEASE?

THIS AGENDA ITEM IS TWO PARTS. AN INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION WITH THE GOAL OF EDUCATING ON THE MYN PROGRAM AND THE ACTIVE VOLUNTEERS THAT IT HAS AND FOLLOW-UP WITH A MOTION TO APPROVE ALIGNING THE MYN PROGRAM WITH THE GRAVENSTEIN HEALTH ACTION COALITION AND TAKING THE REMAINDER OF THE FUNDS THAT WERE ORIGINALLY ALLOCATED , IT WAS ORIGINALLY \$11,000 AND WE ARE NOW DOWN TO \$700 AND ALIGNING THAT AS A GRANT WITH THE GHAC FOR THE REMAINING OF THE FISCAL YEAR. WE CAN DO THE PRESENTATION BUT WE CAN DEFER THAT TO YOU. >> CAN WE RESCHEDULE THE PRESENTATION PART AT THE BEGINNING OF ANOTHER COUNCIL MEETING? A PRESENTATION AT THIS TIME, WE KNOW WHAT THE PROGRAM IS AND A LOT OF THE PUBLIC HAS DROP OFF. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE PRESENTATION JUST DELIVERED BEFORE A COUNCIL MEETING THEN WE CAN JUST VOTE AND UNDERSTAND IT.

THAT WILL WORK. BUT WE STILL HAVE TO GO OUT AND ASK QUESTIONS SO, IN TERMS OF THE -- YEAH. SO, WHAT IS YOUR PERSPECTIVE ON THAT? WOULD YOU BE COMFORTABLE BRINGING BACK THE PRESENTATION AT ANOTHER TIME? AND I AM ALSO LOOKING AT THE INDIVIDUALS IN THE MEETING BECAUSE I KNOW A NUMBER OF THEM HAVE --VIA ZOOM BUT THEY WOULD BE WELCOME TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENTS BEFORE WE MAKE A DECISION. ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THAT?

I AM.

I APOLOGIZE TO THE REST OF THE MEMBERS OF THE MYN GROUP BUT WE WANT TO ACTION THAT WILL ALLOW THIS PROGRAM TO CONTINUE AND YOUR PRESENCE IS NOTED AND PLEASE UNDERSTAND THAT THE WRITTEN COMMENTS AND SUPPORT THAT HAVE BEEN SUBMITTED HAVE BEEN REVIEWED AND HOPEFULLY THE GHAC IS NOT LEAVING . HERE WE ARE HERE THE ISSUE IS, IN THE STAFF REPORT, ARE THERE ANY QUESTIONS FROM THE COUNCIL BEFORE WE GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT? ARE THERE ANY CONCERNS ABOUT THE PROCESS? ANY CONCERNS FROM THE COUNCIL?

I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE BUDGET. IT SAYS \$11,000 WAS ALLOCATED IN FUNDING BUT THAT \$7700 OF THAT REMAINS. SO, DID MORE MONEY COME FROM SOMEWHERE? BECAUSE SOME ARE SURPRISED WE HAVE AN OVERAGE OF \$7700 AT THIS STAGE IN THE GAME. CAN YOU EXPLAIN?

THE LINE ITEM OF \$11,000 WAS TO LAST THE ENTIRE FISCAL YEAR. SKIP HAS DONE HIS WORK AND HAS INVOICED THE CITY FOR HIS TIME AND THE REMAINING BALANCE IS \$7700 SO WE HAVE PAID HIM ROUGHLY --WE PAID HIM THAT DIFFERENCE.

BUT WE ARE ONLY IN NOVEMBER OF THE FISCAL YEAR SO WE STILL HAVE SEVEN MONTHS LEFT. SO, DON'T WE STILL NEED TO PAY HIM THE REST OF THE MONEY? >> IF HE WOULD REMAIN A CONTRACT EMPLOYEE WITH THE CITY THAT WOULD BE THE CASE THAT THE MOTION IS TO PARTNER WITH GHAC AND IN THAT CASE, MYN WOULD FALL WITHIN THE GHAC .

SO, YOU IS A PROGRAM WOULD BE TRANSFERRED UNDER THE AND BRAWLEY --UMBRELLA OF THE GRAVENSTEIN HEALTH ACTION COALITION. HOWEVER, THEY WOULD WANT TO RUN IT WOULD BE AT THEIR DISCRETION. THEIR PLAN AS THEY HAVE DESCRIBED IT TO US, WHICH HAS BEEN ACCEPTABLE , YOU CAN SEE IS SUPPORTED BY THE MYN COMMUNITY AND THAT IS TO CONTINUE TO USE SKIP SERVICES AND THAT WOULD SHIFT THE FUNDING TO GHAC.

WHY ARE WE DOING THIS NOW? WHAT IS THE RUSH? THIS, MY THOUGHT WAS, ALL OF THIS PREVENTION THING IS GOING TO GET RESOLVED ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. WHY IS THIS NOW AND WHY IS IT URGENT?

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT IN ORDER FOR SKIP TO CONTINUE IN HIS CAPACITY WITH THE CITY A CONTRACT WOULD BE REQUIRED. HE HAS BEEN OPERATING WITHOUT A FORMAL CONTRACT WITH THE CITY AND WE STARTED DIGGING INTO THAT AND HE WAS DEFINITELY WILLING TO DO THAT BUT ONE OF THE ISSUES WITH THE CONTRACT IS THE ISSUE OF INSURANCE AND PROFESSIONAL AND GENERAL LIABILITY. SO, TRYING TO NAVIGATE THAT PROCESS WITH THE INDIVIDUAL THAT HAS BEEN HANDLING THIS, THE COST OF DOING SUCH, IT TURNED OUT IT WAS NOT BECAUSE HE WAS OPERATING IN AN EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT ROLE FOR THE CITY. WE CREATED A PRETTY LARGE --. WE LOOKED AT A COUPLE OF DIFFERENT OPTIONS AND GHAC IS THE ONE THAT CAME IN AND SAID WE CAN DO THIS. WE CAN WORK WITH THIS SO THAT IS WHERE THE ALIGNMENT CAME IN. SO MONEY HAS ALREADY BEEN DEDICATED TO THE MYN FUND AND TRANSFERRING THAT AS A GRANT TO THE GHAC WOULD ENSURE THAT THE HARD WORK WILL CONTINUE ON.

I HAVE ONE FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. IN THE BUDGET PROCESS WE TALKED ABOUT MAKING SURE THAT WE WERE EXPLORING ALL OPTIONS WHEN IT CAME TO COMMUNITY SAFETY. I APPRECIATE YOUR RESPONDING TO MY EMAIL AND WHATEVER WE ARE DOING WITH THE CONTRACT, WHEN IT IS OUT IN A YEAR OR WHENEVER, THAT IS IT. WE ARE NOT MAKING ANY PLEDGE THAT THIS PARTICULAR PROGRAM WILL CONTINUE TO THE EXCLUSION OF CONSIDERING OTHER PROGRAMS AND WHAT I GOT BACK FROM YOUR RESPONSE WAS THAT --.

CAN YOU CLARIFY?

THE UPSHOT IS THAT IF WE AGREE WITH THIS THIS IS NOT INCLUDING US FROM ENTERTAINING OTHER THINGS THAT HAVE BEEN BROUGHT UP THROUGH THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. WE ASKED YOU TO COMMUNICATE -- AND MY QUESTION IS, IF WE GO AHEAD AND APPROVE THIS NOW, THERE IS NO EXPECTATION THAT THIS WILL CONTINUE ON BEYOND THE ONE YEAR THAT IS IN THE CONTRACT WITH GHAC .

TO MY UNDERSTANDING, WE WOULD CONTINUE ON AND ANYTHING THAT WOULD GO PAST THIS WOULD GO BEYOND --

SO THIS IS NOT JUST GOING TO PAUSE EXPLORATION OF OTHER THINGS SUCH AS PURSUING THE SEARCH.

JUST TO CLARIFY, THIS IS COMPLETELY DIFFERENT. IT DOES NOT JEOPARDIZE ANY EMPLOYEES?

IT DOES NOT.

MY RECOLLECTION IS THE COUNCIL DECIDED NOT TO PURSUE THAT DURING THE BUDGET HEARING SO WE ARE NOT ACTIVELY WORKING ON THAT. I DON'T RECALL THE DETAILS BUT I DO REMEMBER THE COUNCIL DECIDED NOT TO FUND THE PROGRAM AND TO ME THAT IS SEEING THIS IS SOMETHING THIS IS NOT --THAT YOU ARE NOT INTERESTED IN PURSUING. POINT B AND WE TALKED ABOUT THIS WITH THE FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE. AND THE GOLD RIDGE TEAM AT THE LAST MEETING MENTIONED AN INTEREST IN CONTINUING TO FUND THE MYN PROGRAM.

MY RESPONSE IS THAT IS NOT MY RECOLLECTION.

SO, PLEASE, CITY MANAGER, IF YOU COULD LOOK INTO THE QUESTION OF WHAT THE COUNCIL DECISION WAS AND COMMUNICATE WITH THE VICE MAYOR ABOUT THAT, IT LOOKS LIKE THERE IS SOME CONFUSION ABOUT THAT BUT REGARDLESS, IT IS NOT AS IF IT IS MYN. IS EVERYONE IN AGREEMENT WITH THAT?

YES. >> THE QUESTION , WE HAVE ANSWER QUESTIONS. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

IN A PREVIOUS MEETING GOLD RIDGE MENTIONED THAT THEY ARE INTERESTED IN POSSIBLY CONTINUING WITH THIS PROGRAM SO, VERY BRIEFLY, --. TO CLARIFY.

ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT. IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS?

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE AGREEMENT TO FUND MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS WITH GRAVENSTEIN HEALTH ACTION COALITION. I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST FOR COMMENT AND SEEING NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? >> I AM THE STATE VOLUNTEER IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD AND IT IS A VERY EFFECTIVE PROGRAM AND I FEEL THAT IF WE HAVE A DISASTER, WHERE WE CANNOT COMMUNICATE USING LANDLINE OR CELL PHONE WE WILL BE A NETWORK OF PEOPLE WITH A VARIETY OF RADIOS AND WE WILL BE ABLE TO COMMUNICATE WITH OPERATORS THAT WILL PUT THE INFORMATION TO THE APPROPRIATE PEOPLE, WHETHER IT IS FIRST RESPONDERS --THEY WILL BE SMASHED IF IT IS A BAD DISASTER LIKE AN EARTHQUAKE. SO, WE CAN GET THAT TO THE RIGHT PEOPLE. THINGS LIKE THAT, WE WOULD NOT BE USING 911 EXCEPT FOR EXTREME EMERGENCIES SO I THINK THAT THE TRAINING OF JUST INDIVIDUALS SPREAD ABOUT THIS NEIGHBORHOOD IS VERY VALUABLE.

THANK YOU, LINDA. NEXT WE GO BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA. >> I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THAT I AGREE WITH THE PREVIOUS SPEAKER. IT HAS BEEN ESTABLISHED THAT I AM NOT TOO BRIGHT BECAUSE I STILL DON'T KNOW WHAT AN EXECUTIVE COACH IS . I FIGURED THAT MYN STOOD FOR MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS. I THINK THAT IS GREAT . I HAVE MET MY NEIGHBORS UP HERE AND THEY ARE ALL VERY INTERESTING. HAVING ALREADY SET --BUT ANYWAY, THAT IS WHAT I WAS THINKING. MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS, I INVITE YOU TO

COME UP AND MEET THE NEIGHBORS ACROSS FROM THE REDWOOD MARKETPLACE. I SUPPORT THIS ISSUE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. >> THANK YOU. WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNSEL TABLE AND I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE PRESENCE OF MARY LOU SMITH FROM THE --. YOU HAVE A PRE-SIGNED AGREEMENT AND SKIP WHO HAS BEEN RUNNING THIS PROGRAM FOR QUITE SOME TIME AND WE HAVE MEMBERS OF THE MYN GROUP . THANK YOU FOR THESE PRODUCTIVE AND WONDERFUL PEOPLE. SO, A MOTION TO APPROVE THE GRANT AGREEMENT SUPPORTING THE MEET YOUR NEIGHBORS AND SEBASTOPOL NEIGHBORHOOD COMMUNICATION UNIT PROGRAMS THROUGH THE GRAVENSTEIN HEALTH ACTION COALITION TO GRANT THE REMAINING FUNDS TO THE GRAVENSTEIN HEALTH ACTION COALITION UNDER THE CONDITIONS DESCRIBED IN THE AGREEMENT ATTACHED TO THIS STAFF REPORT. >> SO MOVED.

I HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. ROLL CALL VOTE PLEASE.

[ROLL BEING CALLED]

THE VOTE PASSES 4-1. >> FOR THE RECORD, I WILL REMIND THE AGENDA SETTING COMMITTEE THAT THERE IS DIRECTION TO HAVE A PRESENTATION AND ORIENTATION COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL TO BE SCHEDULED FOR THE FUTURE. WE NOW WILL CONSIDER THIS MEETING ADJOURNED. THANK YOU EVERYONE FOR HANGING IN THERE AND THANK YOU TO MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC ON ZOOM. [Event Concluded]