

City Council

Mayor Diana Gardner Rich
Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman
Councilmember Neysa Hinton
Councilmember Sandra Maurer
Councilmember Jill McLewis



Agenda Item Number: 2

City Manager

Don Schwartz

dschwartz@Cityofsebastopol.gov

Assistant City Manager/City Clerk, MMC

Mary Gourley

mgourley@Cityofsebastopol.gov

City of Sebastopol

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES

MINUTES FOR MEETING OF: Tuesday – June 4, 2024

MINUTES FOR Regular City Council Meeting of June 4, 2024

As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of June 18, 2024

Please note that these are action minutes only. Detailed raw transcript is attached to the minutes and made a part of the public record. These action minutes are the City’s record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City and City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

6:00 pm City Council Regular Meeting, In Person – Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA/Virtual Format (Zoom)

Call to Order: Mayor Rich called the Regular Meeting to Order at 6:05 pm.

Roll Call:

Present:

Mayor Diana Gardner Rich
Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman
Councilmember Neysa Hinton
Councilmember Sandra Maurer
Councilmember Jill McLewis

Absent:

None

Staff:

City Manager Don Schwartz (Zoom)
City Attorney Larry McLaughlin
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Administrative Services Director Ana Kwong
Building Official Steve Brown
City Engineer/GHD Consultant Toni Bertolero
Interim Planning Director David Woltering
Police Chief Ron Nelson
Public Works Superintendent Dante Del Prete

SALUTE TO THE FLAG : Mayor Rich led the salute to the flag.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). None Required.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:

The following were presented:

- Presentation – Year of Service Award – Christian Garcia, Volunteer Fire Fighter for 5 years of Service
- Proclamation – Juneteenth
- Proclamation – Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender, Queer, and Intersex Pride Month

Agenda Item Number: 2

Reference Order Number: 2024-114

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

There were no stated conflicts of interest by City Councilmembers.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):

Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following members of the public spoke during public comment:

- Analia
- Linda
- Albert

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar. The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Councilmembers may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Mayor Rich asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.

Councilmember's Request(s):

Mayor Rich opened for Public Comment(s). The following member(s) of the public provided public comment.

- Kyle
- Linda

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Item Number(s) 1, 2, and 3.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

1. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes for Special Meeting – Closed Session Meeting of May 20, 2024 (Responsible Department: City Administration)

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes for Special Meeting – Closed Session Meeting of May 20, 2024

Minute Order Number: 2024-115

2. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes for Meeting of May 21, 2024 (Responsible Department: City Administration)

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes for Meeting of May 21, 2024

Minute Order Number: 2024-116

3. Approval of Release of Request for Proposals for residential solid waste collection and street sweeping services contract (Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/Consultant: R3)

City Council Action: Approved Release of Request for Proposals for residential solid waste collection and street sweeping services contract

Minute Order Number: 2024-117

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

NONE

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

4. Continuation - Water and Wastewater Rate Increases as Directed by City Council at the May 21, 2024 City Council Meeting (Responsible Department: City Manager/GHD/Administrative Services)

Toni Bertolero, GHD, provided the presentation to the City Council recommending the City Council provide further direction to staff regarding the water rates, and authorize the City Manager to approve a contract amendment to the Professional Services Agreement with Raftelis for providing additional out-of-scope work and preparing an updated Water and Wastewater Rate Study Report for amount not-to-exceed \$54,216.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from the City Council to staff/consultant.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

- Business Owner
- Thorn
- Kyle
- Myriah
- Honeymoon Frozen Yogurt

- Linda
- Ernie
- Hal
- Kate
- Oliver

City Council Discussion, Deliberations or Direction:

There was no further discussion.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved as follows:

2. Move forward with the rates as discussed by the Council on May 21, 2024 (specifically, Option 2 Water, Option 2 Wastewater). The City would need Raftelis to provide an updated Water and Wastewater Rate Study Report

2. Do not approve the First Amendment to the Raftelis contract. However, if Council chooses Option 2 for both Water and Wastewater as discussed at the May 21, 2024 meeting, an updated Water and Wastewater Rate Study Report will need to be updated by Raftelis at an additional cost of \$5,035.

Motion failed for lack of second.

Further City Council Discussion, Deliberation and / or Direction:

The Council discussed the options.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to:

- Move forward with the rates as discussed by the Council on May 21, 2024 (specifically, Option 2 Water,
- Option 2 Wastewater). The City would need Raftelis to provide an updated Water and Wastewater Rate
- Study Report
- Approve an updated Water and Wastewater Rate Study Report for an update by Raftelis at an additional cost of \$5,035.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: Councilmember Maurer and Councilmember McLewis

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved:

- Move forward with the rates as discussed by the Council on May 21, 2024 (specifically, Option 2 Water,
- Option 2 Wastewater). The City would need Raftelis to provide an updated Water and Wastewater Rate
- Study Report
- Approve an updated Water and Wastewater Rate Study Report for an update by Raftelis at an additional cost of \$5,035.

Minute Order Number: 2024-118

- Continuation - Discussion and Consideration of Recommendations from Staff for Replacement of Ives Pool Heater in an amount not to exceed \$85,720. Replacement is needed due to the maintenance history, poor operating condition, and an extended lead-time of approximately 3 to 4 months for a replacement heating unit in the case of an unrepairable failure. (Responsible Department: City Manager/Public Works)

Dante Del Prete, Public Works Superintendent, presented the agenda item and recommended the City Council approve \$42,860 from the Building, Infrastructure, and Facilities Reserve Fund toward the cost of replacing the pool heater and approve and adopt the attached resolution for budget amendment for this amount.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from the City Council to staff/consultant.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

- Milo
- Kyle
- Myriah
- Linda
- Virginia

City Council Discussion, Deliberations or Direction:

Budget committee differed on this request

One Committee member requested re-opening of the lease for review

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Councilmember McLewis seconded the motion to approve \$42,860 from the Building, Infrastructure, and Facilities Reserve Fund toward the cost of replacing the pool heater and approve and adopt resolution for budget amendment for this amount; and

Requested the City make formal request for full or partial reimbursement for expense out of discretionary funds to Supervisor Hopkins

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, and Mayor Rich

Noes: Zollman

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved \$42,860 from the Building, Infrastructure, and Facilities Reserve Fund toward the cost of replacing the pool heater and approve and adopt resolution for budget amendment for this amount; and Requested the City make formal request for full or partial reimbursement for expense out of discretionary funds to Supervisor Hopkins

Minute Order Number: 2024-119

Resolution Number: 6590-2024

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

- Public Hearing – Public Hearing for the consideration and authorization of the Sebastopol Solid Waste

Collection Services Rates charged by Recology Sonoma Marin in accordance with City Agreement with Recology. Section 7.3 of the Agreement provides for annual adjustments to solid waste rates via Refuse Rate Index (RRI); The new monthly rates on the table below will be considered by the City Council and if authorized, are proposed to be effective July 1, 2024 (Responsible Department: City Management/GHD/City Consultant R3)

<i>Single Family Residential</i>	Current Rates	New Rates 7/1/2024	<i>Multi-Family & Commercial</i>	Current Rates	New Rates 7/1/2024
20 gallon	\$16.83	\$17.44	1.5 CY-1x/week	\$352.52	\$364.02
32 gallon	\$29.43	\$30.48	2 CY-1x/week	\$418.06	\$432.41
64 gallon	\$53.79	\$55.63	3 CY-1x/week	\$582.21	\$602.89
96 gallon	\$89.74	\$92.84	4 CY-1x/week	\$657.24	\$682.61
Rates not currently listed will increase by 3.7%			6 CY-1x/week	\$806.42	\$841.11

Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley provided the agenda item and recommended the City Council authorize the Sebastopol Solid Waste Collection Services Annual Rate Adjustment “Refuse Rate Index” (RRI) by the City’s solid waste contractor, Recology Sonoma Marin (“Recology”). Annual rate adjustments are permitted upon City review and approval as per Section 7.3 of the provisions of the Agreement between the City and Recology.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from the City Council to staff/consultant.

Mayor Rich opened the public hearing.

The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Kyle
Linda

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Rich closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion, Deliberations or Direction:

There was no further discussion.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve the Resolution authorizing adjustments to solid waste rates charges by Recology Sonoma Marin effective July 1, 2024.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
 Noes: None
 Absent: None
 Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Resolution authorizing adjustments to solid waste rates charges by Recology Sonoma Marin effective July 1, 2024.

Minute Order Number: 2024-120

Resolution Number: 6591-2024

7. Public Hearing - Comprehensive User Fee Schedule. Item is to conduct a public hearing to fulfill the public protest hearing requirements in the updates to the Comprehensive User Fee Schedule. Upon its conclusion, the City Council shall consider adoption of Resolution Approving the Comprehensive User Fee Schedule establishing user and regulatory fees which lists certain fees and deposits collected by the City of Sebastopol including Building Department, specifically for building permit fees (valuation/permit fee) (Responsible Department: Administrative Services (Consultant: Clear Source)

Administrative Services Director Ana Kwong presented the agenda item and recommended the City Council approve and adopt resolution Updating Schedule of User and Regulatory Fees for Use in Fiscal Year 2024-25.

Clear Source presented the item and was available for questions.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from the City Council to staff/consultant.

Mayor Rich opened the public hearing.

There was no public comments.

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Rich closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion, Deliberations or Direction:

There was no further discussion.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve and adopt resolution Updating Schedule of User and Regulatory Fees for Use in Fiscal Year 2024-25.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved resolution Updating Schedule of User and Regulatory Fees for Use in Fiscal Year 2024-25.

Minute Order Number: 2024-121

Resolution Number: 6592-2024

8. Public Hearing - Weed Abatement : Public Hearing to consider pursuant to the provisions of Section 4 of Ordinance #647 of the City of Sebastopol, the City Council of said City passed a Resolution declaring that all weeds growing upon any private property or in any public street or alley, as defined in Section 1 of said Ordinance #647 constitute a public nuisance, which nuisance must be abated by the destruction or

removal thereof. (Responsible Department: Fire)

Steve Brown, Building Official, presented the agenda item and recommended the City Council approve and adopt resolution declaring that all weeds growing upon any private property or in any public street or alley, as defined in Section 1 of said Ordinance #647 constitute a public nuisance, which nuisance must be abated by the destruction or removal thereof.

Mayor Rich opened for questions from the City Council to staff/consultant.

Mayor Rich opened the public hearing.

The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

Linda

Hearing no further comments, Mayor Rich closed the public hearing.

City Council Discussion, Deliberations or Direction:

There was no further discussion.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve and adopt resolution declaring that all weeds growing upon any private property or in any public street or alley, as defined in Section 1 of said Ordinance #647 constitute a public nuisance, which nuisance must be abated by the destruction or removal thereof.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved resolution declaring that all weeds growing upon any private property or in any public street or alley, as defined in Section 1 of said Ordinance #647 constitute a public nuisance, which nuisance must be abated by the destruction or removal thereof.

Minute Order Number: 2024-122

Resolution Number: 6593-2024

9. Public Hearing - FY24-25 Street Lighting Assessment District - Conduct a public hearing, Adopt a resolution approving the annual Engineer's Report, confirming the Assessment Diagram, and authorizing the levy of annual assessments for Fiscal Year 2024-25 for the City of Sebastopol Street Lighting Special Assessment District (Responsible Department: Administrative Services) (THIS ITEM HAS BEEN CONTINUED. As this item was a publicly noticed agenda item, the item will need to be opened only to continue the item to a date / time specific Council meeting. Therefore, upon Council approval the item will be continued to the June 18, 2024 City Council Meeting to be held at 6:00 pm at the Sebastopol

Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, Ca and will not be heard at the June 4, 2024 City Council meeting.

City Council Action: None Taken – Item Continued to June 18, 2024

Minute Order Number: 2024-123

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor’s discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

The following member(s) of the public provided public comment:

None

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:

10. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

Assistant City Manager/City Clerk reported as follows:

Union Negotiations on going

Agenda Review Committee meeting Thursday

Requested RSVPs for Mayors and Councilmembers Meeting for June 13th

11. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

Councilmembers reported out on various committees.

12. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers). There was none.

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

June 4, 2024 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the City Council Regular Meeting of Tuesday, June 18, 2024 at 6:00 pm, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street.

Mayor Rich adjourned the City Council Meeting of June 4, 2024 at 9:25 pm to the next City Council Meeting of June 18, 2024 at 6:00 pm, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street.

Respectfully Submitted:

Mary Gourley

Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment:

Raw Zoom Minutes

THANK YOU. WE'RE GOING TO CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER AT APPROXIMATELY 6:07 THIS EVENING. THANK YOU TO EVERYONE FROM THE PUBLIC WHO IS WITH US. THANK YOU. WE ARE GOING TO START THIS MEETING AT APPROXIMATELY 6:07 P.M. THIS EVENING. THANK YOU FOR ATTENDING IN PERSON AND ON ZOOM. WE HAVE A FULL CALENDAR TONIGHT. I AM GOING TO START BY ASKING YOU TO PLEASE TAKE THE ROLL CALL.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. [ROLL BEING CALLED] PLEASE NOTE ALL COUNCILMEMBERS ARE IN ATTENDANCE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. OUR NEXT STEP IS THE SALUTE TO THE FLAG. PLEASE STAND. I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS ONE NATION UNDER GOD INDIVISIBLE WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL.

THANK YOU, EVERYONE. WE ARE NOW AT A REALLY POSITIVE, OPTIMISTIC POINT IN OUR AGENDA. WE DO A LOT OF BUSINESS LATER ON, BUT THIS IS PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS. THE VERY FIRST PRESENTATION WE HAVE IS A YEAR OF SERVICE AWARD AWARDED TO KRISTEN GARCIA, A VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTER FOR FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE. I'M GOING TO ASK THAT COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, WHO IS ON THE FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE PRESENT THIS AWARD AND THEN WE WILL INVITE CHRISTIANITY IS HERE AND I THINK STEVE THIBODEAU IS HERE, ALSO. SO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

NOW THAT WE'VE GOT SOMETHING FROM MY HAIR EARLIER IN THE PARADE OUT. ALL RIGHT, SO CHRISTIAN, IS HE HERE? HE IS ON ZOOM, OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WE WILL GO WITH THAT. SO THE SERVICE AWARD PRESENTED TO CHRISTIAN GARCIA. FOR FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL IS A VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTER.

CONGRATULATIONS ON REACHING THIS SIGNIFICANT MILESTONE WITH THE CITY. YOUR EFFORT AS A VOLUNTEER IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT PLAYS A TREMENDOUS ROLE IN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL'S SUCCESS. WE APPRECIATE YOUR COMMITMENT TO THE COMMUNITY. THIS IS AN GRATEFUL APPRECIATION OF FIVE YEARS OF VALUED SERVICE AND TO THE COMMUNITY OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. ON BEHALF OF THE CITIZENS, OUR MAYOR , DIANA RICH, GRATEFULLY ACKNOWLEDGE CHRISTIANS WORK AND CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE CITY AND LOOK FORWARD TO HIS CONTINUED SERVICE TO THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AND THE COMMUNITY DATED ON THIS FOURTH DAY OF JUNE, 2024 AND SIGNED BY OUR MAYOR DIANA RICH.

EXCELLENT. AND I THINK WE HAVE STEVE THIBODEAU HERE YOU MAY WANT TO MAKE SOME COMMENTS. AND PERHAPS CHRISTIAN GARCIA, WHO IS ALSO ONLINE. SHALL WE TAKE CHRISTIANS COMMENTS FIRST OR WOULD YOU PREFER STEVE? OKAY, THANK YOU.

IT'S A PLEASURE FOR ME TO BE HERE TONIGHT TO HONOR ONE OF OUR VOLUNTEER FIREFIGHTERS. CHRISTIAN GARCIA CAME TO US FIVE YEARS AGO. IT SEEMS LIKE IT'S BEEN A LOT MORE THAN THAT. EVERYTHING HE HAS ACCOMPLISHED IN THE SHORT FIVE YEARS.

I'M SORRY. I THINK YOU NEED TO TURN ON YOUR MIC. IT WILL BE THE BUTTON ON THE BOTTOM THAT SAYS PUSH AND A GREEN LIGHT WILL GO ON. OKAY, THAT'S BETTER, THOUGH.

AND I PROMISED MARY I WOULD BE BRIEF, SO SHE CAN START THE TWO-MINUTE TIMER IF SHE NEEDS TO. LET'S START OVER. CHRISTIAN GARCIA CAME TO US FIVE SHORT YEARS AGO, FRESH OUT OF HIGH SCHOOL. I'VE ONLY KNOWN HIM FOR EXACTLY 5 YEARS. WHAT A THING FOR A NEW GRADUATE TO COME TO THE FIREHOUSE TO PUT IN AN APPLICATION TO BE A FIREFIGHTER WITH A GOAL OF BEING A PROFESSIONAL FIREFIGHTER AT SOME POINT. THE UNFORTUNATE PART ABOUT CHRISTIAN NOT BEING HERE TONIGHT BUT HE MIGHT BE ON ZOOM, IS THAT CHRISTIAN IS GOING THROUGH A RIGOROUS TRAINING RIGHT NOW WITH THE OAKLAND FIRE DEPARTMENT, SO HE ACCEPTED A POSITION, I BELIEVE, BACK IN FEBRUARY. MAYBE JANUARY, AND STARTED HIS RIGOROUS TRAINING IN MARCH, SO HE HAS BEEN KIND OF ABSENT FROM US, BUT WE UNDERSTAND BECAUSE HE IS PURSUING HIS CAREER GOALS. CHRISTIAN GRADUATED FROM ANNALEE IN 2019. RIGHT AWAY, HE PASSED HIS NATIONAL REGISTER TO BECOME AN EMT, AN EMERGENCY MEDICAL TECHNICIAN. MOST OF YOU ARE FAMILIAR WITH THAT. AS MOST OF THE

FIREFIGHTERS ARE. HE PASSED THAT IN 2020. WITH THAT, HE BECAME PART OF OUR LARGE ANIMAL RESCUE UNIT THAT GOES OUT ON STRANDED ANIMALS, HORSES, COWS. HE IS PART OF THAT TEAM. IN 2022, CHRISTIAN BECAME FIREFIGHTER OF THE YEAR. ONE OF THE REASONS HE BECAME FIREFIGHTER OF THE YEAR WAS BECAUSE WE HAD A DOWNTURN IN VOLUNTEERS AND WE BROUGHT ON A LOT OF NEW FOLKS. WHAT HAPPENED IS IT REQUIRED A LOT OF TRAINING. CHRISTIAN WAS ALREADY A COUPLE OF YEARS IN. HE JUMPED IN AND WAS HELPING ALL THESE NEW FOLKS. GETTING TRAINED, GETTING UP TO SPEED. THAT WAS ONE OF HIS BIGGEST ACCOMPLISHMENTS. I BELIEVE THAT'S WHY WE CHOSE THEM FOR FIREFIGHTER OF THE YEAR 2022. HE HAS BEEN SIGNED OFF ON ALL OF OUR FIRE ENGINES. SHE'S ABLE TO DRIVE OUR 46 FOOT LONG, YOU KNOW, 60,000 POUND, 105 FOOT AERIAL TRUCK. THAT'S AN ACCOMPLISHMENT. ALSO AS YOU GUYS KNOW, WE HAD A LOT OF FIRES IN 2017 AND MOVING FORWARD. IN 2020, '21, '22 AND '23, CHRISTIAN WAS PART OF A STRIKE TEAM FROM OUR DEPARTMENT, SO HE WENT OUT FOR DAYS ON END, FIGHTING FIRES AND OTHER AREAS OF THE COMMUNITY INCLUDING THE GLASS FIRE WHICH WAS A LOCAL FIRE. AS I SAID EARLIER, HE WAS HIRED BY OAKLAND IN MARCH 2024. TONIGHT HE IS ACTUALLY DOING HIS TRAINING. ONE OTHER THING I THOUGHT ABOUT CHRISTIAN IS THAT HE LIKES TO SPEAK OUT. YOU'VE PROBABLY SEEN HIM ON NEXT DOOR. HE LIKES TO VOICE HIS OPINION. AND I APPRECIATE THAT FROM A YOUNG MAN LIKE CHRISTIAN BECAUSE A LOT OF PEOPLE WANTED THAT. THEY WILL STAY AT HOME AND NOT SAY ANYTHING, SO I APPRECIATE THAT PART OF CHRISTIAN. WITHOUT FURTHER ADO, LET'S CONGRATULATE CHRISTIAN FOR FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE WITH THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I DO NOT SEE CHRISTIAN RAISING HIS HAND. WE WILL JUST HAVE YOU COMMUNICATE THE CONGRATULATIONS. IF YOU COULD JUST PLEASE SHAKE HANDS WITH EVERYONE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LOVE THESE FIREFIGHTERS. THEY WORK SO INCREDIBLY HARD. WE HAVE TWO PROCLAMATIONS THIS EVENING. ONE IS RECOGNIZING JUNETEENTH, AND THE OTHER ONE, AND WILL COVER THAT QUICKLY. AND THE OTHER ONE, I WILL TURN TO VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO COVER. AND THAT IS THE RECOGNIZING JUNE AS THE LESBIAN, GAY, BISEXUAL , PLUS PRIDE MONTH. I WILL NOT BE READING IT COMPLETELY. IT'S AVAILABLE ONLINE IN FULL. BUT I WOULD JUST LIKE TO RECOGNIZE AND REMIND PEOPLE THAT AS A MATTER OF HISTORICAL INTEREST, EVEN THOUGH THE PROCLAMATION -- THE EMANCIPATION PROCLAMATION HAPPENED MUCH EARLIER, IT TOOK 2.5 YEARS FOR THE ENSLAVED UP NORTH -- FOR THE FULL POPULATION THAT WAS AFFECTED TO BECOME AWARE OF THE EMANCIPATION DECLARATION, AND THAT IS WHAT WE RECOGNIZE ON JUNE 19th, COMMEMORATING JUNE 19, 1865. CONSIDERED THE DATE WHEN THE LAST SLAVES IN AMERICA WERE FREED WHEN GENERAL GRANGER RODE INTO GALVESTON, TEXAS AND ISSUED A GENERAL ORDER NUMBER THREE, 2.5 YEARS LATER. WE CELEBRATE THAT EVERY YEAR, IN ORDER TO RECOGNIZE THAT INCREDIBLE MOMENT IN OUR HISTORY. AND I WILL PASS THIS OFF. IS THERE ANYONE IN THE ROOM OR ON ZOOM WHO IS HERE TO ACCEPT THIS? NO. WE WILL MAKE SURE THIS GETS TO THE RIGHT PERSON. THEN IF I COULD GET VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO PRESENT THE FINAL PROCLAMATION THIS EVENING.

THANK YOU, NOT A MAYOR. AS THE MAYOR JUST SAID THIS IS A PROCLAMATION OF CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL RECOGNIZING JUNE AS THE LGBTQ PLUS PRIDE MONTH, WHEREAS THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL PROCLAIMS THE MONTH OF JUNE , 2024 HOURS LESBIAN, , BISEXUAL, TRANSGENDER, PLUS PRIDE MONTH. I WILL NOT BE ABLE TO READ IT ALL BUT THIS IS ONLINE. CONTINUING ON IN HONOR OF THE FIRST PRIDE MARCH IN NEW YORK CITY IN JUNE OF 1970. EACH JUNE AMERICANS COME TOGETHER TO CELEBRATE THE LGBTQ PLUS PEOPLE. WHEREAS THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL IS COMMITTED TO SUPPORTING VISIBILITY, DIGNITY AND EQUITY FOR ALL PEOPLE IN OUR COMMUNITY. AND WHEREAS THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL OBSERVES THE JUNE AS A TIME TO CELEBRATE OUR DYNAMIC LGBTQ PLUS COMMUNITY IN A WAY THAT BOTH ACKNOWLEDGES PAST AND CURRENT INJUSTICES WHILE ALSO FOSTERING A DIALOGUE TO PROMOTE GREATER EQUALITY AS WELL AS A HEALTHIER, SAFER COMMUNITY FOR ALL. NOW WHEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL RECOGNIZES JAN AS LGBTQ PLUS PRIDE MONTH IN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL TO INSPIRE EQUITY, CREATE ALLIANCES, CELEBRATE DIVERSITY AND ESTABLISH SAFE COMMUNITIES IN OUR SCHOOL AND COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE

CITY. OUR MAYOR HERE TO FOURTH SETS HER HAND IN THE GREAT SEAL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL THIS FOURTH DAY OF JUNE, 2024. AND I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE YOUTH YEAR AND I AM DELIGHTED TO HAVE THEM COME UP AND AT LEAST SHAKE OUR HANDS AS WE PRESENT YOU WITH A PROCLAMATION. THEY ARE OUR LOVELY YOUTH FROM POSITIVE IMAGES. SO PLEASE COME FORWARD AND THANK YOU FOR ACCEPTING THIS ON BEHALF OF OUR COMMUNITY. ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU, YES. I DO LOVE OUR PROCLAMATIONS. WE ARE A LITTLE TOWN WHERE THIS SORT OF POSITIVE MOMENT IN TIME REALLY MAKES A HUGE DIFFERENCE, AND I DO WANT TO RECOGNIZE OUR VICE MAYOR FOR LEADING THE RAISING OF THE FLAG TODAY, WHICH WAS REALLY AMAZING. IT WAS A WONDERFUL CELEBRATION OF PRIDE MONTH. OUR NEXT ITEM IS STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. I WILL LOOK TO MY COLLEAGUES. ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST TO REPORT? FABULOUS. WE NEXT GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA, AND MARY WILL OUTLINE THE PARAMETERS IN TERMS OF HOW MUCH TIME WE ARE GOING TO ALLOCATE.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. WE DO HAVE A 20 MINUTE TIME LIMIT. IT IS TWO MINUTES PER SPEAKER. IT WOULD BE -- I GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST, THEN TO ZOOM. IT'S TYPICALLY ABOUT 10 MINUTES. -- ABOUT 10 SPEAKERS FOR THE TWO MINUTES. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC ITEMS, FOR ITEMS NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA. IS THERE ANYONE IN THE CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA? SEEING NONE. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

HI, YES. LET ME TRY -- HELLO.

HI THERE. CAN YOU SEE THE TWO MINUTE TIMER?

NO, BUT I WILL -- LET ME SEE. I CAN'T SEE IT.

I WILL JUMP IN WHEN YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS LEFT.

THANK YOU SO MUCH. I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, WHO IS THERE LISTENING TO ME, THE WONDERFUL CONSOLE. I LOVE SEBASTOPOL. I BELIEVE IN SEBASTOPOL. LIVED HERE FOR ABOUT TWO DECADES. I LOVE OUR COMMUNITY. I LOVE EVERYTHING THAT WE HAVE . A FEW YEARS AGO, I HAD MAJOR HEALTH ISSUES, AND IT'S BEEN REALLY REALLY HARD TO TRY TO FIGURE OUT MY FINANCES AND WHAT TO DO BECAUSE MY FAMILY LIVES IN ARGENTINA AND THEY CANNOT HELP ME. SO I'VE BEEN USING ALL MY SAVINGS, I'VE BEEN USING MY CREDIT CARDS TO PAY FOR, YOU KNOW, BILLS, MY RENT. I TRIED TO GO TO THE WATER DEPARTMENT MANY, MANY TIMES HERE IN SEBASTOPOL. WHICH I NEVER, NEVER, NEVER HAD TO PAY WATER BECAUSE I OWN A WELL IN SEBASTOPOL. BUT THIS IS MY FIRST TIME . I LIVE IN A BEAUTIFUL COTTAGE. I'M A HUGE ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATIVE. I DO EVERYTHING I CAN TO HELP YOUR, AND REALLY WITH HOW EXPENSIVE WATER IS, I USE IT, I USE IT ALL THE TIME AND STILL IN PAIN AT LEAST --

I'M SORRY, I HATE TO INTERRUPT YOU. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. WE DO HAVE AN ITEM ON THE AGENDA THAT IS ON OUR WATER AND SEWER RATES. IS THAT THE TOPIC THAT YOU HOPED TO COMMENT ON? IF SO, WE WILL NEED YOU TO WAIT UNTIL WE CALL THAT ITEM.

IS THERE ANY PROBLEM? BECAUSE THERE'S NO PROBLEMS TO HELP THE PERSON WHO IS IN NEED. I MEAN I DON'T KNOW IF THAT'S --

THIS IS THE TIME FOR YOU TO COMMENT ON SOMETHING OTHER THAN WATER AND SEWER RATES, BECAUSE THAT ITEM IS ON THE AGENDA, BUT FEEL FREE TO KEEP SPEAKING IF YOU HAVE OTHER TOPICS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO SHARE WITH US.

BESIDES THAT, NO, I'M VERY HAPPY. JUST WISHING NO PROBLEMS FOR THE PEOPLE IN FINANCIAL SUPPRESSED -- STRESS. THAT'S IT I'M REALLY WISHING FOR SEBASTOPOL.

NEXT WE WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. ANYONE IN THE CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC ITEM , AND NOT ON THE AGENDA? GOING BACK TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, LINDA. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

OKAY, SO YOU ARE THREATENING TO SUE THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL FOR BREACHING ITS COMMITMENT AND TO RECOUP CONSIDERABLE DEVELOPMENT COSTS INCURRED IN A PROJECT. I AS A NEARBY NEIGHBOR -- IN FACT THE CITY, MY NEIGHBORS AND NEARBY BUSINESSES OUGHT TO BE SUING YOU FOR THE CRIME. THE DRUGS, THE DEATH THAT YOU, THROUGH MISREPRESENTATION, MISMANAGEMENT ACROSS FROM THIS TIME, THIS

NEIGHBORHOOD. HE HAD EVERY INCENTIVE FROM THE BEGINNING FOR THE INSURANCE OF THE \$1.3 MILLION PROJECT AFTER MY NEIGHBORS HAD TO HIRE LAWYERS TO STOP THE VILLAGE FROM HAPPENING. WE SAW WHAT WAS HAPPENING WITH RESIDENTS AND ALL THE PROBLEMS MOVED ACROSS THE ROAD WITH MARKETPLACE. TWO YEARS, THE LACK OF OVERSIGHT, AND YOUR MISMANAGEMENT, THERE HAVE BEEN AT LEAST THREE FENTANYL OVERDOSES, FOUR DEATHS ASSOCIATED. TWO OF THEM, WOMEN WHO HUNG THEMSELVES NEARBY. RAMPANT DRUG USE AND CRIME THAT HAS LEFT BUSINESSES AND SHOPPING CENTERS VACANT DEPRIVING THE CITY OF MUCH-NEEDED REVENUE AND DRIVING NEIGHBORS AND SHOPPERS INTO SAFETY. MISMANAGEMENT OF POPULATION WITH EXEMPLARY COPS AND UNDERAPPRECIATED AND UNDERSTAFFED FIRE DEPARTMENT.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.

YOUR RESPONSIBILITY. WE'VE HAD COPS RECENTLY INCLUDING THE POLICE CHIEF NOW WORKING FOR ROHNERT PARK. THE CITY CANNOT STAND ANYMORE OF YOUR INCOMPETENT MANAGEMENT FAILURE RESULTING IN THE FINANCIAL AND SOCIAL DISASTER THAT YOUR PROJECT IS AND PROMISES TO BE, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, LINDA, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO THE CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA YORK SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. ALBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? I'M SORRY, HOLD ON, JUST FOR A MINUTE. I'M SORRY.

I WOULD JUST LIKE TO COUNTER ON BEHALF OF THE PUBLIC, THE STATEMENTS THAT WERE MADE AGAINST JACK TIBBETTS AND REMIND EVERYONE THAT WE ARE ALL HERE IN THIS WORLD TO REACH OUR OWN CONCLUSIONS ABOUT THE INDIVIDUALS WITH WHOM WE INTERACT BASED ON FACTS. AN OPINION IS AN OPINION BUT I AM PUSHING BACK AGAINST THIS PARTICULAR OPINION. I DO HAVE A RIGHT OF FREE SPEECH AND I INTEND IT TO AND -- EXPRESS IT TONIGHT. THANK YOU. IF YOU COULD CONTINUE.

THANK YOU, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN'T, BUT THREE MINUTES?

TWO MINUTES.

ALL RIGHT, GREAT, THANK YOU. WE ARE JUST JUMPING INTO PRIDE MONTH NOW, AND I THINK WE SHOULD REALLY LOOK AT WHY WE CELEBRATE PRIDE MONTH. YOU KNOW, WHAT IS THERE TO BE PROUD OF OF BEING A SODOMITE?

I'M GOING TO GIVE YOU A WARNING NOW. AND I HAVE TO LET YOU KNOW THAT THIS WARNING IS GOING TO BE VERY STRICT. THAT SORT OF LANGUAGE IS DISRUPTIVE IN THESE CHAMBERS. IT IS DISRUPTIVE WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY. SO PLEASE SHARE YOUR OPINION. PLEASE DON'T START SPEAKING AT. PLEASE SHARE YOUR OPINION, BUT KEEP IT ON TOPIC. SHARE YOUR OPINION ON TOPICS. DO NOT USE SLURS. DO NOT --

THAT WAS A NICE WORD.

ALBERT, WAIT. I'M NOT DONE WITH MY WARNING. MARY, COULD YOU PLEASE GO AHEAD -- AND ALBERT, IF YOU SLIDE INTO THIS SORT OF LANGUAGE AGAIN IN THIS COMMUNITY, WE WILL BE SHUTTING YOU OFF. THANK YOU. IF YOU COULD KEEP GOING.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I WOULD JUST CAUTION ABOUT SHUTTING PEOPLE'S SPEECH DOWN BECAUSE YOU DON'T LIKE THE CONTENT. YOU CAN GOOGLE IT RIGHT NOW. IN LOS ANGELES, A BLACK MAN WHO WORE A KU KLUX KLAN ROPE, WHICH IS MAYBE OFFENSIVE, BUT THEY WOULD NOT LET HIM SPEAK AND HE SUED AND HE WON \$235,000, SO JUST BECAUSE YOU DO NOT LIKE SOMEONE'S SPEECH, YOU STILL HAVE TO TOLERATE OTHER PEOPLE'S SPEECH. PEOPLE CAN COME IN HERE AND TALK ABOUT HOW THEY DON'T LIKE CHRISTIANS OR JEHOVAH'S WITNESS OR MORMONS OR WHATEVER. THEY HAVE THE RIGHT TO DO SO JUST AS MUCH AS I HAVE THE RIGHT TO TALK ABOUT --

ALBERT, I'M READING THE ROOM HERE. I'M AWARE OF OUR COMMUNITY AND OUR COMMUNITY STANDARDS. MY CONCLUSION IS THAT YOUR SPEECH IS DISRUPTIVE. YOU'VE MADE YOUR POINT AND I'M GOING TO CUT YOU OFF. SO PLEASE IF YOU COULD AND I'M GOING TO KEEP TALKING. SO PLEASE, MARY, IF YOU COULD CUT THIS INDIVIDUAL OFF. I HAVE CONCLUDED AS MAYOR, AS MANAGER OF THIS MEETING THAT THAT'S DISRUPTIVE CONDUCT THAT KILLS WHAT WE HAVE GOING IN OUR COMMUNITY. I ALSO WANT TO CALL IT OUT FOR WHAT IT IS. THAT'S HATE SPEECH. LET'S RECOGNIZE IT,

FOLKS. WE DON'T HAVE TO DO IT, PLEASE. WE DON'T HAVE TO DO ANYTHING OTHER THAN ALLOW FREE SPEECH THAT IS NOT DISRUPTIVE, BUT WE ARE ABLE TO EXPRESS OUR OWN OPINIONS, AND I AM, AND THAT IS HATE SPEECH. THANK YOU, IF YOU CAN CONTINUE.

THANK YOU. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC ITEM FOR -- COMMON FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. BACK TO THE CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. SEEING NO HANDS RAISED. NO SIGNS OF THAT. NO ONE TRYING TO GET MY ATTENTION. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR HANDLING THAT. WE'RE MOVING BACK INTO OUR CHAMBERS AND OUR CONSENT CALENDAR. WE HAVE THREE ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. I WILL READ THEM AND THERE WILL BE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THESE THREE ITEMS TO THE EXTENT THAT THEY ARE REMOVED BY ONE OF OUR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS. NUMBER ONE, APPROVAL OF MEETING MINUTES FOR SPECIAL MEETING, CLOSED SESSION OF MAY 20, 2024. NUMBER TWO, APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES FOR A MEETING OF MAY 21, 2024. NUMBER THREE, APPROVAL OF RELEASE OF REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS FOR RESIDENTIAL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION AND STREET SWEEPING SERVICES CONTRACT. ANYONE HERE IN THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO REMOVE ANY OF THESE ITEMS? OKAY. SEEING NO COUNSEL WANTING TO REMOVE THESE ITEMS. I WOULD REQUEST, MARY, THAT YOU GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THESE ITEMS.

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM 1, TWO, AND THREE. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT.

REALLY QUICKLY, OFTENTIMES WE WILL BE GETTING APPROVAL OF MINUTES. THIS PARTICULAR COUNSEL WILL OFTEN HAVE CLOSED SESSION, AND HAS MADE THE CHOICE TO BE VERY, VERY QUIET ABOUT CLOSED SESSION MEETINGS. THERE IS LITTLE TO NO INDICATION OF WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THOSE CLOSED SESSIONS. THIS COUNSEL HAS A REGULAR PRACTICE OF GOING TO 10:30, WHICH MEANS THAT REPORTS OUT FROM CITY MANAGER AND DEPARTMENT HEADS DO NOT TAKE PLACE. AS A RESULT WE ARE OFTEN IN THE DARK TO WHAT IS HAPPENING IN CLOSED SESSIONS. IT DOES HAVE THE INDICATION THAT IT WAS NEGOTIATION BETWEEN LABOR UNION HEADS ALONG WITH THE CITY. HOWEVER, WE ARE NOT GETTING ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THAT. WE ARE IN A FISCAL CRISIS. YOU'VE ALL DETERMINED THAT WE ARE IN A FISCAL CRISIS. IT WOULD BE AN UNDERSTANDING IN THE PUBLIC THAT THERE WOULD BE SOME SORT OF AN UPDATE AS TO WHAT TYPES OF CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS, UNION NEGOTIATIONS ARE HAPPENING WITH THE CITY SO THAT THE CITY AND ITS PUBLIC HAS AN UNDERSTANDING OF WHERE WE ARE GOING TO BE MOVING FORWARD INTO THE NEXT FISCAL YEAR. SO IF WE ARE GOING TO CONTINUE TO GET TO A PLACE WHERE EVERY TIME WE ARE HAVING A COUNCIL MEETING AT 10:30 P.M., WE HAVE TO SHUT THE MEETING DOWN BECAUSE WE WILL ALWAYS HAVE AT LEAST ONE COUNCIL MEMBER WHO WILL FAIL TO CONTINUE ON FROM THERE, AND WE ARE NOT GETTING REPORTS. I CONTINUE TO PLEAD TO THE COUNCIL TO DEMAND WRITTEN REPORTS BE PRESENTED BY BOTH COMMITTEES, AS WELL AS DEPARTMENT HEADS, THAT INDICATE WHAT IS HAPPENING IN THE CITY ON A REGULAR BASIS. BEING IN THE DARK FOR MONTHS ON END BECAUSE YOU CAN'T SEEM TO GET TO A MEETING CLOSURE BY 10:30 IS NOT GOING TO GIVE US THE INFORMATION WE NEED TO KNOW WHAT'S HAPPENING WITHIN THE CITY. PLEASE DEMAND WRITTEN REPORTS FROM DEPARTMENT HEADS SUBMITTED AT EACH COUNCIL MEETING. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS.

THANK YOU. AGAIN. I STRONGLY ENCOURAGE YOU TO PLEASE THINK ABOUT RUNNING FOR OFFICE. WE NEED SOME RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE IN THE CITY COUNCIL. SO ANYWAY, WITH WHAT HE SAID, I'M IN TOTAL AGREEMENT THAT IT'S JUST ASTOUNDING THE LACK OF TRANSPARENCY AND IRRESPONSIBILITY ON THE LEADERSHIP OF THE CITY COUNCIL. SO ANYWAY, I'M AGREEING WITH HIM. AS YOU KNOW, COMPUTER FREE, SELF UNFREE, TV FREE, SO ON AND SO FORTH BECAUSE OF SENSITIVITY LARGELY ANYWAY SO I HAVE NO IDEA, NUMBER ONE WHAT'S OUT THERE. ABSOLUTELY. NUMBER THREE ON THE WASTE COLLECTION, YOU KNOW, WHICH APPARENTLY, THERE'S LIKE \$1 MILLION MISSING, AND

NOBODY SEEMS TO BE INTERESTED IN FINDING OUT WHERE IT WENT. WE HAVE A RIGHT TO KNOW WHAT DECISIONS, AND AGREEMENTS THE CITY HAS COME TO, AND SO I'M REQUESTING THAT THAT BE TAKEN OFF OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR AND PUT ON THE REST OF THE CALENDAR, TO AT LEAST LET US KNOW WHAT YOU ARE DOING AND OUR BACKS. SO THAT'S IT.

THANK YOU, LINDA, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO THE CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THE CONSENT ITEMS, ONE, TWO, OR THREE. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. IF ANYONE WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND, WAVE TO GET MY ATTENTION OR TAKE YOUR PHONE OFF THE HOOK. SEEING NONE. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO MARY. I WANT TO RECONFIRM AS WE HAVE IN THE PAST THAT INDIVIDUALS WHO NEED ACCESS TO THE AGENDA AND MEETING PACKETS CAN COME TO CITY HALL IN ORDER TO GET ACCESS TO THEM. OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM LOOKING FOR A MOTION TO APPROVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR. GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR.

THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR. I WILL MOVE THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. I SECOND.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ROLL CALL.

SO MOVED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND SECONDED TO APPROVE ITEMS ONE, TWO, AND THREE. [ROLL BEING CALLED] MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR -- VERY MUCH. WE ARE NOW MOVING ONTO REGULAR CALENDAR ITEMS. THE FIRST ONE IS WATER WASTE RATE INCREASES. AS DIRECTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE MAY 21, 2024 CITY COUNCIL MEETING, THE RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT WOULD BE THAT CITY MANAGERS DEPARTMENT. I BELIEVE THAT TONY WILL BE LEADING THIS ITEM. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

CAN YOU HEAR ME OKAY?

BARELY. YOU WILL PROBABLY HAVE TO GET THE MIC CLOSER TO YOU.

OKAY. PRETEND I'M A ROCK STAR. ALL RIGHT. GOOD EVENING, MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEMBERS. MY NAME IS TONY, ENGINEERING CONSULTANT WITH GHD. I'VE BEEN PROVIDING ENGINEERING SUPPORT TO THE CITY FOR THE PAST THREE YEARS. I WILL BE MAKING AN ORAL REPORT INTRODUCTION OF THE ATOM ON BEHALF OF THE CITY MANAGER, WHO WAS UNABLE TO BE HERE TODAY. THE ITEM IS ACTUALLY TWO PARTS. THE FIRST IS A CONTINUATION OF THE WATER RATE STUDY DISCUSSION HELD AT THE MEETING OF MAY 21st, 2024. AT THAT MEETING, STAFF PRESENT TO THE FINANCIAL PLAN AND THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY REPORT, OPTIONS SELECTED BY COUNSEL AT THE APRIL 23rd MEETING. NAMELY, OPTION ONE FOR WATER, OPTION TWO FOR WASTEWATER. THAT IS WHAT THE REPORT ACTUALLY INCLUDED. DURING THE MAY 21st MEETING, COUNCIL WANTED TO CONSIDER OPTION TWO FOR BOTH WATER AND WASTEWATER AND ASK WHAT THE PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR RATE WOULD BE FOR OPTION TWO FOR WATER. UNFORTUNATELY, STAFF WAS UNABLE TO ANSWER THE QUESTION DURING THE MEETING. THE PROPOSED FIVE-YEAR SCHEDULE FOR OPTION NUMBER TWO, WHICH COUNSEL ASKED ABOUT IS IN THE AGENDA REPORT, ON PAGE ONE OF THE AGENDA PACKET. AS YOU KNOW, THE JUNE 19th PUBLIC HEARING AND PROP 218 NOTICES HAVE BEEN SENT OUT WITH THE PROPOSED RATES FOR OPTION ONE WATER AND OPTION TO WASTEWATER. THE CITY ATTORNEY HAS CONFIRMED THAT THE COUNSEL MAY CHOOSE A DIFFERENT RATE OPTION AT THE JUNE 18th MEETING.

I'M GOING TO KEEP TALKING. CAN YOU EVER -- PLEASE MUTE WHOEVER THAT IS? IF WE CAN DO A GENERAL MUTE AND WE WILL GO FORWARD WITH OUR VERY OPTIMISTIC AND POSITIVE PRESENTATION. THANK YOU. I APPRECIATE IT.

GOING TO KEEP TALKING.

IF THERE IS A DIFFERENT RATE OPTION, THEY PROVIDED THE RATES, LESS THAN WHAT WAS INCLUDED IN THE NOTICE. HOWEVER, IF CASTLE HAS A DIFFERENT OPTION COMBINATION, WHAT IS INCLUDED IN THE DRAFT REPORT DATED MAY 15th, 2024, INCLUDED IN YOUR AGENDA PACKET. THE FINAL REPORT NEEDS TO BE UPDATED TO SHOW THE CORRECT OPTION COMBINATION ALONG WITH UPDATED NUMBERS IN THE FINAL REPORT. JUST A QUICK REVIEW OF THE DIFFERENCES IN TERMS OF OPTION ONE

AND OPTION TWO. IT SEEMS SO LONG AGO THAT WE TALKED ABOUT IT. BOTH OPTIONS WILL MEET THE CITY'S REVENUE NEEDS AND POSITION THE CITY'S WATER FUND TO OBTAIN FINANCING FOR REPLACING THE CITIES WELL NUMBER FOUR. I WANT TO MAKE CLEAR IT DOES PROVIDE FOR THAT. THE PLAN UNDER OPTION NUMBER TWO, HOWEVER HAS LESS CAPITAL PROJECTS. IN PARTICULAR, PAPER PLACEMENT PROJECT WILL BE PUSHED OUT FURTHER INTO THE FUTURE AS THE POSITION OF FUNDS BECOMES HEALTHIER WITH RATE INCREASES. STAFFING FOR ONE NEW POSITION IS INCLUDED IN OPTION ONE AND TWO. THE SECOND NEW POSITION THAT APPLE IS HOPING TO SECURE WILL NOT OCCUR UNDER OPTION TWO. AND SO THAT'S THE OTHER DIFFERENCE. THAT SECOND STAFF REQUEST WILL LIKELY BE PUSHED OUT TO BE ON THE FIVE-YEAR PLANNING PERIOD. I ALSO DID WANT TO RESPOND TO THE QUESTION ABOUT THE TIERED RATE. I THINK THERE WERE A LOT OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THAT AT THE MEETING. IN REVIEWING THE PREVIOUS 2019 WATER RATES READY, ACTUALLY DISCOVERED THAT THE CITY HAD A THREE-TIERED WATER RATE STRUCTURE BACK IN 2020. AND THE TEARS WERE IN EFFECT AS FAR BACK AS 2015, POSSIBLY BEFORE THAT BUT I COULD NOT GO INTO THE RECORDS ANY FURTHER. THE PURPOSE IS TO ALLOW THOSE WHO USE LESS WATER AND HAVE LESS CAPACITY AND IMPACT TO THE CITY SYSTEM TO ACTUALLY PAY LESS FOR THEIR IMPACT. SO THAT WAS THE PURPOSE OF INTRODUCING THE TEARS. HOWEVER, THE 2019 STUDY CHANGED THE THREE TIERS INTO THE CURRENT STRUCTURE WITH ONLY ONE TIER. CAN THEY DO THAT FOR SIMPLICITY. WITH THE CURRENT BILLING SOFTWARE IMPROVEMENTS, HAVING THREE TIERS SHOULD NOT BE AN ISSUE. IT'S A LITTLE MORE DIFFICULT TO EXPLAIN TO CUSTOMERS, A THREE-TIERED SYSTEM, BUT THAT'S A PUBLIC EDUCATION MATTER THAT STAFF IS HOPING TO CORRECT IN THE NEXT YEAR. THE SECOND PART OF THIS ITEM IS THE STAFF REQUEST THAT COUNCIL APPROVED MODIFICATION TO THE CONTRACT. THE MINIMUM AMOUNT OF -- HOWEVER A MINIMUM AMOUNT OF \$5035 IS NEEDED SHOULD COUNSEL DECIDE TO MOVE FORWARD WITH OPTION TWO FOR BOTH WATER AND WASTEWATER BECAUSE IT IS A DIFFERENT COMBINATION. REQUIRING A CHANGE TO THE FINANCIAL PLAN. HOWEVER, STAFF IS REQUESTING THE FULL AMOUNT THAT WAS REQUESTED IN THE LETTER. AND THE DETAILS ARE PRESENTED IN THE AGENDA AND IT CAN BE FOUND ON PAGE 82 OF THE AGENDA PACKET. I SHOULD CLARIFY THAT THIS REQUEST IS DIFFERENT FROM THE REQUEST THAT WAS SUBMITTED AT THE MAY 21st COUNCIL MEETING. THAT REQUEST AS YOU MAY RECALL WAS FOR PUBLIC OUTREACH SUPPORT THAT WAS INTENDED TO COMPENSATE FOR SOME OF THE PUBLIC EDUCATION MATERIALS, SUCH AS THE Q&A DOCUMENT THAT WE PRESENTED IN PAST MEETINGS, AS WELL AS THE FAQ DOCUMENT, FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS DOCUMENT. IT WAS ALSO FOR AN ADDITIONAL PUBLIC MEETING OR WORKSHOP THAT WAS CONTEMPLATED, BUT DID NOT MATERIALIZE DUE TO TIMING. AND SO THAT AMENDMENT WAS NOT APPROVED. AND THAT CONCLUDES MY ORAL PRESENTATION OF THIS ITEM. WITH US THIS EVENING IS THE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR ANNA AND PUBLIC SUPERINTENDENT DANTE. WE ARE ALL HERE TO HELP ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE.

IF YOU COULD JUST REMIND US IN SUMMARY FASHION, WHAT ACTION WE ARE BEING REQUESTED TO TAKE YOUR TONIGHT.

THERE'S TWO ACTIONS. ONE IS TO MOVE FORWARD WITH EITHER THE APRIL 21st DECISION, WHICH IS TO PRESENT THE FINANCIAL REPORT WITH OPTION ONE AND OPTION TWO. OPTION ONE WATER AND OPTION TO WASTEWATER AT THE JUNE PUBLIC HEARING WHICH IS WHAT WAS PRESENTED IN THE PROP 218 NOTICES. OR IF YOU CHOOSE TO FURTHER EXPLORE OPTION TWO, TO DIRECT STAFF TO MOVE FORWARD WITH MAKING A PRESENTATION FOR THE TWO, FOR OPTION TO WATER AND OPTION TO WASTEWATER.

OKAY, BUT A VOTE IN TERMS OF GOING TO THAT OPTION WOULD WAIT UNTIL JUNE 19th, CORRECT?

THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING. AND I AM GOING TO DEFER TO THE CITY ATTORNEY IN TERMS OF THE LOGISTICS OF HOW THAT WOULD OCCUR.

YEAH. I JUST WANT TO GET THAT ANSWERED AND THEN WE WILL MOVE TO QUESTIONS HERE.

FROM THE ACTUAL DECISION, THAT WOULD TAKE PLACE AT PROP 218 HERE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCIL MEMBER NICK LEWIS, LET'S GO AHEAD. LET'S DO TWO QUESTIONS AND MADE IT THROUGH.

SO DISCUSSING THE TEARS, YOU KNOW WE HAD IT BEFORE. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT WE HAVE TO ACTUALLY JUSTIFY HAVING THOSE TEARS AND THE COST OF THAT. CAN YOU REITERATE AGAIN WHAT IS THE JUSTIFICATION -- BECAUSE IT HAS TO CORRELATE WITH WHAT IT COSTS US TO ACTUALLY PROVIDE THE WATER, SO HOW DO WE JUSTIFY THAT FOR EVERYONE, BECAUSE THAT IS REQUIRED.

LET ME TRY -- THERE YOU GO. IN LAYMAN'S TERMS, AS I MENTIONED, THE PURPOSE OF THOSE TEARS, AND AM GIVING YOU THE PURPOSE, IS TO ALLOW FOR THOSE WHO USE LESS WATER AND HAVE LESS IMPACT TO THE SYSTEM HAVE LESS CAPACITY IN THE SYSTEM, TO PAY LESS FOR THE WATER THAT THEY USE. A FULL DETAILING AND CALCULATION OF HOW THOSE TEARS WERE CALCULATED AND THE RATES ARE IN THE COST OF SERVICE STUDY. AND THAT IS IN -- IF YOU LOOK ON YOUR AGENDA PACKET, IT STARTS ON PAGE FOUR. I BELIEVE IF YOU LOOK, THERE'S A TABLE ON PAGE -- I THINK I SENT AN EMAIL ON THAT. I THINK IT WAS PAGE 87.

I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY FOR THE COMMUNITY, BECAUSE WE HAVE BEEN ASKED THIS SO MANY TIMES. I THINK IT NEEDS TO BE STATED PUBLICLY SO THAT THEY UNDERSTAND, YOU KNOW, THAT WE HAVE TO ABSOLUTELY JUSTIFY WHY WE CAN CHARGE SOME PEOPLE MORE THAN OTHERS FOR THEIR WATER USE, AND YOU'RE SAYING BECAUSE THEY'RE USING MORE, AND THEY ARE USING MORE OF THE SYSTEM, THAT IS WHAT YOU ARE SAYING IS WHY WE ARE ABLE TO CHARGE THEM MORE?

THAT'S CORRECT. IT HAS TO DO WITH THE IMPACT TO THE SYSTEM.

OKAY. GREAT, THANK YOU. OTHER QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ? AND THEN WE CAN GO TO THE VICE MAYOR.

THIS IS A QUESTION FOR OUR CITY ATTORNEY. WE HAVE A CONTRACT FOR ROUGHLY \$75,000, AND THEY HAD A SCOPE OF WORK. AND IF I UNDERSTAND IT CORRECTLY, WE DID NOT UPDATE THE CONTRACT. WE'VE GONE OUTSIDE OF THAT SCOPE OF WORK AND WE ARE NOW SAYING THAT WE OWE THEM. IS THAT A CORRECT UNDERSTANDING OF THIS SITUATION?

TONIGHT, THERE IS AN AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT THAT IS ALSO THE SECOND PART OF THE AGENDA ITEM?

I THINK THAT WAS THE BASIS FOR COUNCILMEMBER MAURER'S QUESTION. COULD YOU REPEAT YOUR QUESTION, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

SO WE ALREADY CONTRACTED WITH THEM TO DO A SCOPE OF SERVICE, \$75,000 ROUGHLY. NOW THEY ARE COMING BACK AND SAYING THAT THEY DID MORE THAN WHAT WAS IN THE SCOPE AND THEY WANT MORE MONEY THAN WHAT WAS ALREADY BEING DONE. IN MY UNDERSTANDING THAT CORRECTLY?

THE ISSUE IS WHETHER THEY ARE AUTHORIZED TO DO THAT WORK WITH SOMEONE WHO HAS THE POWER TO AUTHORIZE IT.

WERE THEY AUTHORIZED TO DO THAT WORK?

THAT'S HOW I WOULD ANALYZE THE QUESTION.

RIGHT. DO YOU KNOW IF THEY WERE AUTHORIZED TO DO THAT WORK?

I'VE NOT LOOKED INTO THAT SUBJECT. THIS HAS BEEN PRESENTED BY THE CITY MANAGER. I'VE NOT LOOKED INTO THE CIRCUMSTANCES UNDER WHICH THEY DID THE ADDITIONAL WORK. NORMALLY, WHEN A CONSULTANT DOES ADDITIONAL WORK, TYPICALLY OVER THE YEARS, THE CITY HAS PAID FOR THAT WORK UNDER THE ASSUMPTION THAT IT WAS OTHER -- EITHER AUTHORIZED OR NECESSARY TO CARRY OUT THE ORIGINAL WORK. SO MOST CASES IN MY EXPERIENCE, WE PAID THOSE, BUT TO ANSWER YOUR ACTUAL QUESTION, I DON'T KNOW WHETHER THIS WORK WAS AUTHORIZED OR NOT.

OKAY. I THINK WE HAVE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND THEN COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. BUT DID YOU HAVE A FOLLOW-UP ON THIS?

THANK YOU, META-MAYOR. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR ALL THE WORK WE'VE BEEN DOING BECAUSE OF THIS ISSUE. I WANT TO LOOP BACK. WHEN WE WERE HERE, THE COUNCILMEMBER AND I BOTH EXPRESS CONCERNS ABOUT HOW THE INCREASES IN WATER AND SEWER ARE GOING TO AFFECT OUR RESIDENTS. SO I REALLY APPRECIATED THE EMAIL YOU SENT ON JUNE 3rd AT 6:12 P.M., WHICH ADDRESSED A LOT OF MY CONCERNS ABOUT HOW THIS IMPACTS THE MARKET. CAN YOU GIVE US A SUMMARY? YOU HAD A LOT OF GOOD WORDS, A LOT OF GOOD PARAGRAPHS BUT THE UPSHOT IS THE FACT THAT BASED UPON WHERE THEY WERE LOCATED, IF THEY WERE ACTUALLY IN A

SINGLE INDIVIDUAL HOME, THEY ARE ACTUALLY GOING TO BE BETTER OFF BEING AT FIRCREST THEN THEY WOULD IN A SINGLE HOME. IS THAT HOW I WAS SUPPOSED TO READ THAT OR NO?

ACTUALLY, THE OTHER WAY AROUND. THEY ACTUALLY BENEFIT FROM BEING IN A MASTER METERED COMMUNITY. IF YOU COMPARE IT TO A SINGLE-FAMILY HOME, THEY ACTUALLY PAID LESS.

OKAY. THAT'S WHAT I WAS HOPING. THEY GOT THE WORDS COMING OUT WRONG. SO ANYWAY, FROM YOUR ANALYSIS AND WHAT YOU PUT IN THIS EMAIL, THEY'RE GOING TO END UP BEING BETTER BEING A RESIDENT THERE, THEN THEY WOULD BE IF THEY WERE ACTUALLY IN A SINGLE RESIDENCE?

THAT'S CORRECT.

AND YOU ACTUALLY PROVIDED A CHART AND EVERYTHING THAT ACTUALLY SHOWS THAT COMPARISON?

YES.

IS THAT SOMEWHERE ON THE WEBSITE? DOES ANYONE KNOW?

IT IS NOT BECAUSE IT IS KIND OF A PRIVACY QUESTION. WE'RE NOT ALLOWED TO DIVULGE CUSTOMER WATER USE. THAT'S WHY IT WAS PRESENTED TO YOU JUST SO YOU CAN UNDERSTAND WHAT HAPPENED, BETTER TO BE ABLE TO MAKE YOUR DECISION.

OKAY, THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING THAT. JUST BECAUSE WE ARE NOT NECESSARILY IDENTIFYING INDIVIDUAL PEOPLE. JUST AS A COMMUNITY, WE STILL CANNOT SHARE THAT INFORMATION, IS THAT --?

THAT'S MY UNDERSTANDING BECAUSE THEY ARE THE PROPERTY OWNER.

THANK YOU FOR CLARIFYING THAT. SO ALL THOSE LOOKING IN OR LISTENING CAN LOOK AT THE TAPES.

THE OTHER THING THAT I DID WANT TO MENTION BECAUSE I KNOW THAT THERE WAS A LOT OF CONCERNS ABOUT THE HIGHER SIZED METERS. LOOKING AT A LOT OF DIFFERENT CUSTOMERS, I'VE NOTICED THERE HAVE BEEN CHANGES IN WATER PATTERNS. IN OTHER WORDS, THEIR WATER USE. THERE COULD BE, FOR EXAMPLE, SCHOOLS. YOU COULD HAVE A DECREASE IN THE STUDENT POPULATION, OR YOU COULD HAVE A BUSINESS WHERE THINGS HAVE CHANGED QUITE A BIT BECAUSE PEOPLE ARE WORKING FROM HOME OR WHATEVER THE SITUATION IS. IN THOSE SITUATIONS, IF THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO REDUCE YOUR WATER METER TO GET -- BECAUSE YOU HAVE LESS OF AN IMPACT ON THE SYSTEM, BUT IS SOMETHING THAT A PROPERTY OWNER CAN DO AT THEIR CHOICE. I DID WANT TO PUT THAT OUT THERE. I JUST NOTICED THAT WHEN I WAS REVIEWING A LOT OF THESE DIFFERENT CUSTOMER WATER USES.

THANK YOU. SO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

I WANTED TO GO FOLLOW-UP ON THE QUESTION ABOUT THIS IF THE \$4000 BILLING. SO MY UNDERSTANDING IS WE DO NOT HAVE ANYTHING, PER SE, IN WRITING, BUT I JUST WANTED TO ASK OUR CITY ATTORNEY BUT FORMER CITY MANAGER BECAUSE THE BILLING STARTED IN OCTOBER 2023. AND OUR NEW CITY MANAGER DID NOT COME ON UNTIL JANUARY 2024. WHILE HE IS NOT HERE TONIGHT, I'M JUST CURIOUS IF WE KNOW WHAT HAPPENED FROM OCTOBER TO JANUARY, BECAUSE EITHER SOMEBODY AUTHORIZED THE WORK THAT WAS IN CHARGE AND CODE, OR THEY DIDN'T. IS THERE ANY FEEDBACK ON THAT, THOSE MONTHS?

THE LETTER FROM MAY 30th, 2024 DOES REFERENCE THE TIME PERIOD FROM OCTOBER 2023 THROUGH THE END OF THE YEAR. BUT I CANNOT TELL FROM THE LETTER WHETHER THEY WERE ASKED TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, OR JUST THOUGHT IT WOULD BE OF ASSISTANCE.

CAN I ASK A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION? ALL WE GOT WAS THIS LETTER, NORMALLY A CONSULTANT OR AN ATTORNEY WILL DETAIL DATES, TIMES, EXTRA MONEY TO JUSTIFY THE BILLING. DID THEY PROVIDE ANYTHING LIKE THAT FOR US TO PAY THIS BIG BILL?

AGAIN, I WAS NOT REVIEWING THIS PARTICULAR BILLING IN THE SPRING OF THIS YEAR, SO I DO NOT KNOW THE ANSWER TO YOUR QUESTION. I WAS NOT ASKED TO REVIEW THEIR BILLING, THE BILLS, OR WHAT GENERATES THE QUESTIONS. WHAT THE WORK TO ANSWER THOSE.

I POSE THE SAME QUESTION TO OUR FINANCE DIRECTOR. DID YOU RECEIVE A BREAKDOWN FOR THIS EXTRA \$54,000?

I HAVE NOT READ THE LETTER FOR THE \$54,000, BUT I CAN GIVE SOME BACKGROUND REGARDING THE CONTRACT FROM THE START UNTIL OCTOBER.

I DON'T REALLY WANT BACKGROUND. I WOULD JUST LIKE TO KNOW WITH THE LETTER, DID IT BREAK THIS DOWN?

I HAVE NOT READ THE LETTER.

I DID SAY TELL US ABOUT THAT. CAN WE GET A FULL ACCOUNTING OF ALL HOURS AND DATES? THEY SAID THEY WOULD HAVE THAT, BUT IT WAS TOO LATE TO INCLUDED IN THE PACKET, SO THAT'S THE REASON WHY I ASKED THEM TO AT LEAST SUBMIT THE SUMMARY LETTER WITH A SUMMARY OF ALL THEIR HOURS IN THE LETTER. SEE YOU WILL SEE THE TABLE THAT IS IN THERE, BUT THERE IS OBVIOUSLY DATA BEHIND THE TABLE, SO THEY SAID THEY CAN PROVIDE THAT UPON REQUEST.

JUST A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION, WHEN DID YOU MAKE THAT REQUEST TO THEM?

I BELIEVE IT WAS LAST WEEK BEFORE THE AGENDA PACKET WAS DUE, SO THAT'S THE REASON WHY EVERYTHING WAS --

BUT THEY DID NOT PROVIDED BY TONIGHT?

THEY DID NOT PROVIDE IT BY TONIGHT.

THANK YOU.

OKAY. I WILL TAKE A MINUTE FOR A QUESTION. I'M REALLY FOCUSED ON THIS AMOUNT THAT IS BEING REQUESTED OF US. IT APPEARS THAT THERE IS 54,216, AS STATED IN THE STAFF REPORT, AND OF THE 5035 IS IN ORDER TO COMPLETE, IF WE WANTED, A NEW FULL REPORT THAT WOULD INCLUDE OPTION TWO, WATER OPTION TWO, WASTEWATER. THAT 5035 WOULD ALSO INCLUDE THEIR BEING PRESENT AT THAT JULY 18th OR THE JUNE 18 MEETING, CORRECT?

THAT IS CORRECT.

THAT LEAVES \$49,481 THAT I'M NOT HEARING THAT THERE IS ANY DOCUMENTATION OF ANY AGREEMENT BY THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL TO PAY THEM THIS AMOUNT OVER AND ABOVE THE 75,000 THAT WAS CONTRACTED WITH THEM. IS THERE -- AM I MISSING SOMETHING HERE? IS THERE DOCUMENTATION? IS THERE AN EMAIL REQUEST FROM THEM? IS THERE ANYTHING THAT INDICATES SINCE OCTOBER, A POINT AT WHICH THEY APPARENTLY WERE ACCUMULATING MORE HOURS THAT THEY EXPECTED TO BE PAID, WE HAVE RECEIVED ANY REQUEST FOR A CONTRACT. NO? OKAY. THAT WAS MY QUESTION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE WILL GO ONE MORE TIME DOWN THE LINE, AND MAYBE WE WILL GO FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. GO AHEAD.

I JUST WANT TO SAY, I HOPE WE CAN ASK ALL THE QUESTIONS WE NEED TONIGHT BECAUSE THIS IS SUPER IMPORTANT. SO MANY PEOPLE ARE UPSET OVER THIS. I DO HAVE A QUESTION FOR DANTE IN PUBLIC WORKS. DO WE HAVE ANY INFORMATION -- I KNOW IN THE PAST WE HAVE ASKED ABOUT THE COMMERCIAL SELLERS OF THE WATER. IT WILL PURCHASE FROM US AND WE EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE RATES THEY WERE BEING CHARGED AND WANTED TO ENSURE THAT IT WAS AT OR ABOVE WHAT OUR ACTUAL RESIDENTS ARE EXPERIENCING. HAS ANYTHING BEEN DONE ABOUT THAT RATE?

JUST TO BE CLEAR, YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE COMMERCIAL TRUCK?

YEAH.

IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THERE WAS A MEETING AND IT WAS DISCUSSED. I HAVE TO GET CLOSER. THAT BECAUSE WE CHARGE THAT TO A CONSUMER BASE, THEY COULD CHARGE AT THE HIGHEST TIER RATE. TONY HAS MORE INFORMATION ON THAT.

YES, AS WE HEARD FROM COUNSEL TO CHARGE THE HIGHEST RATE, THAT WOULD BE THE IRRIGATION RATE. SO THAT IS THE RATES THAT WE WOULD CHARGE THEM.

WILL THAT HAPPEN AT THE SAME TIME THAT THE OTHER --

YES. IT WILL HAPPEN AT THE SAME TIME. IT WILL BE OF THE RESOLUTION WHEN THE RATES ARE ADOPTED. IT WILL BE INCLUDED IN THERE.

DANTE, COULD YOU WAIT? MY QUESTION IS THE FOLLOW-UP ON THIS. HAVING LEARNED OVER THE YEARS ON THE COUNCIL ABOUT HOW THE COMMERCIAL WATER IS ACCOUNTED FOR, I UNDERSTAND THERE IS AN HONOR SYSTEM, BASICALLY WRITE DOWN BY PENCIL. IS THAT REALLY THE ONLY WAY WE CAN TRACK OUR COMMERCIAL USERS? WE HAVE FANCY WATER METERS. I THINK WE NEED A BETTER SYSTEM. THAT'S AT THE ARK. I'M INTERESTED IN DOING SOMETHING BESIDES A PENCIL HONOR SYSTEM. NOT TO SAY ANYBODY IS DISHONEST. I THINK WE NEED TO COME ON UP. HAVE YOU SEEN SOME

SORT OF OFFICIAL METER THAT WOULD BE SUPER SIMPLE TO IMPLEMENT TOMORROW. ANYWAY --

Agenda Item Number: 2

I CAN TELL YOU WE LOOK INTO THAT SYSTEM WHEN SIMILAR QUESTIONS AROSE IN THE PAST. SIMILAR TO WHAT OTHER AGENCIES USE. VERY SIMILAR TO IMPLEMENT. ONGOING MAINTENANCE AND THAT. I DO WANT TO ADDRESS THAT THE HONOR SYSTEM HAS BEEN WORKING TREMENDOUSLY WELL AND I EVEN CHECKED RECENTLY WITH OUR WATER BILLING DEPARTMENT TO MAKE SURE THAT A QUESTION THAT CAME TO ME VIA EMAIL THAT WE'VE NOT SEEN ANY ISSUES, CORRECT? AND SHE AGREED WE'VE NOT SEEN ANY ISSUES BECAUSE THEY SELF POLICE BECAUSE OTHERWISE I WILL TURN THE VALVE ON THEM. WE'VE HAD INSTANCES WHERE PEOPLE WILL COME IN AND SAY TO OUR OFFICE AND SAID THE LAST TICKET DID NOT DOCUMENT THE NUMBER CORRECTLY. AND WE DO HAVE A MECHANISM THAT CAN ACTUALLY FIGURE OUT WHO THAT PERSON WAS. AND USUALLY IT'S A MISTAKE. WE'VE EVEN HAD THE INSINUATION THAT THE ACTION WHERE ANOTHER WATER TRUCK SAID JUST PUT IT ON MY ACCOUNT BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO LOSE ACCESS TO THIS WATER TOWER.

SO I'M GOING TO MOVE US ALONG HERE IF WE COULD.

I WILL HAVE A FOLLOW-UP TO THAT.

SURE. THEN LET'S MOVE DOWN THE RHINE -- LINE HERE.

KNOWING THAT YOU PLAN TO RETIRE AT THE END OF THE YEAR, YOU DON'T HAVE ANY CONCERN -- I MEAN I HEAR YOU ON THE COST OF THAT, BUT YOU'RE THINKING ABOUT YOU'RE GOING TO BE RETIRING AND THEN I'M CONCERNED ABOUT HOW THE SYSTEM IS GOING TO CONTINUE AND BE MONITORED THE WAY YOU ARE MENTIONING IT. I MEAN, I'M JUST SAYING, YOU KNOW --

I HAVE FULL CONFIDENCE THAT IF IT BECOMES A PROBLEM, FULLY AWARE OF HOW IT'S OPERATED.

SO IT'S NOT JUST YOU THEN?

NO. I DON'T FULLY MONITOR IT PERSONALLY BUT I WILL ADDRESS ISSUES AS THEY COME UP.

OKAY. I WILL MOVE US ALONG HERE. ANOTHER IS A LOT OF QUESTIONS TO ANSWER. GO AHEAD AND THEN WE WILL MOVE TO THE COUNCILMEMBER.

THANK YOU. I THINK THIS CAME UP IN THE BUDGET HEARING OR SOMEWHERE WITH A \$5300, YOU FELT LIKE THAT WAS ABSOLUTELY ESSENTIAL IN ORDER TO HAVE THEM BE AT THE JUNE 18th MEETING, IS THAT WHAT I HEARD RIGHT?

YES, YES.

CAN YOU STATE FOR EVERYBODY WHY THAT IS?

BECAUSE AS YOU RECALL AT THE LAST MEETING, WHEN YOU ASKED US A QUESTION, IT WAS A VERY IMPORTANT QUESTION, WE COULD NOT GET OUR HANDS ON THE INFORMATION READILY WHEREAS THEY ARE THE EXPERTS, THEY KNOW THAT FINANCIAL PLAN, BACKWARD AND FORWARD. THEY HAVE ACCESS TO ALL THEIR MODELS. THEY HAVE THEIR COMPUTER WITH THEM AS THEY SPEAK, SO THEY CAN ACTUALLY GET THAT INFORMATION VERY QUICKLY. THEY ARE THE EXPERTS AT IT. AND WE ARE JUST NOT. IN A PUBLIC HEARING SETTING, YOU REALLY WANT TO BE ABLE TO ANSWER ALL THE QUESTIONS THAT ARE BROUGHT UP, BECAUSE THAT'S THE ONLY WAY YOU'LL BE ABLE TO MAKE A DECISION, BECAUSE WHAT HAPPENED LAST WEEK HAPPENED IN A PUBLIC HEARING. IT WILL HAVE TO GET CONTINUED. THAT'S WHY I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT FOR THEM TO BE AT THAT MEETING.

WRITE, SO JUST TO BE REALLY, REALLY, REALLY CLEAR, YOU FEEL AS A STAFF, YOU WOULD NOT BE ABLE TO DO WHAT YOU FEEL LIKE YOU NEED TO DO ON JUNE 18th WITHOUT THEM BEING HERE?

THAT'S MY FEELING, YES. I'M GOING TO BE HONEST.

ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU.

OKAY, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. AND I WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE HERE THAT WE HAVE SOME ESSENTIAL ITEMS THAT WE ARE GOING TO HAVE TO MARCH THROUGH , BECAUSE OF SOME DEADLINES. SO LET'S TRY TO ASK THE QUESTIONS, GET THEM ANSWERED QUICKLY AND MOVE ALONG. GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

THIS IS A QUESTION FOR DANTE, MAYBE FOR TONY. LIKE THE FIRCREST MOBILE, CAN THEY CHANGE THEIR SEWERS TO THREE INCH AND HOW DIFFICULT WOULD THAT BE? THIS ONE DOES NOT HAVE A LIGHT.

Agenda Item Number: 2

City Council Meeting Packet for Meeting of June 18, 2024

Page 21 of 51

TO CLARIFY THE QUESTION, DID YOU SAY CHANGE THE SEWER SIZE?
YEAH, FROM FOUR INCH TO THREE INCH. SMACKS OF THE BASE RATE IS WHAT YOU MEAN? THAT WOULD TAKE A CALCULATION FOR WATER USE IN THAT FACILITY. AND I DO BELIEVE IT SERVICES OVER 80 UNITS. SO I BELIEVE FOUR INCH IS PROBABLY REQUIRED.
OKAY, THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT.

I HAVE TWO MORE QUESTIONS.

GO AHEAD.

THIS IS A QUESTION FOR OUR RETIRED CITY MANAGER, CITY ATTORNEY. HOW MUCH MONEY IS THE CITY MANAGER AUTHORIZED TO SPEND WITHOUT COUNCIL APPROVAL? DURING THAT TIME I BELIEVE IT WAS \$50,000. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE PRESENT LIMIT IS.

THANK YOU. IN MY SECOND QUESTION IS I SEE THAT THE CITY MANAGER IS ONLINE. SO OUR CITY MANAGER -- HE IS NOT FEELING WELL, SO HE IS REALLY JUST MONITORING THE MEETING. SO HE REALLY IS NOT AVAILABLE FOR QUESTIONS, BUT HAS SAID THAT HE WILL RAISE HIS HAND IF HE'S AVAILABLE, SO WE SHOULD RESPECT --

I JUST WANT TO ASK MY QUESTION IF I COULD. SO MY QUESTION WAS DID HE AUTHORIZE AN ADDITIONAL SCOPE OF WORK?

AND I SEE HE HAS RAISED HIS HAND. CAN WE ALLOW OUR CITY MANAGER, DON FORD'S, TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION?

NO, I DID NOT.

THANK YOU, DON.

BRIEF AND TO THE POINT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. OKAY, NEXT QUESTION, AND WE HAVE OUR CITY ATTORNEY.

I WAS HERE DURING MY TIME, \$50,000.00, IF I MENTIONED, AND IF BUDGETED, \$10,000.00 IS NOT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE CLARIFICATION. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I HAVE TWO QUESTIONS. ONE IS FOR ANNA. I JUST WANT TO FOLLOW UP WITH OUR CONVERSATION TODAY, BECAUSE I'VE HAD SOME PEOPLE ASKING, YOU KNOW, ABOUT THE BILLING AND THE CORRELATION, AND IF IT IS ACCURATE. CAN YOU TELL ME, JUST TALK ABOUT WHERE YOU ARE AT AS FAR AS CORRELATING ALL OF THE SMART READERS AND THE BILLING, AND BASICALLY JUST TELL PEOPLE WHAT YOU TOLD PEOPLE THIS WARNING IS FOR THE ACCURACY? AND YOU ARE 100% CERTAIN THAT WE ARE ALL UP TO SNUFF NOW?

OH, YES. AS FAR AS THE BILLING IS CONCERNED, WHEN WE DO THE BILLING PROCESS, WE DO GET THE ERRORS REPORTS, AND WE DO ADDRESS SOMEONE THEY DO,. THERE IS NO SYSTEM THAT IS COMPLETELY FLAWLESS. SO, WHEN THERE ARE ERRORS, BECAUSE OF TRANSMITTING ISSUES, OR IT IS NOT TRANSMITTING RIGHT, WE DO SEND SERVICE REQUEST OUT TO PUBLIC WORKS BEFORE WE FINALIZE THE BILLING. SO, AS FAR AS METER COUNT, TRANSMITTER, AND ALL OF THOSE THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO BE WHERE THEY ARE SUPPOSED TO BE BETWEEN THE BEACON PORTAL AND OUR BILLING SOFTWARE, THEY ARE ACCURATE. RIGHT?

SO, JUST BECAUSE THE PUBLIC KNOWS

WE DON'T HAVE MISS MARSH OR SOME TRANSMITTER THAT DOES NOT BELONG TO SOME EATERS. THOSE HAVE BEEN ALL OFF.

OKAY.

AND IT IS ONE OF THE PUBLIC TO KNOW, BECAUSE, AS WE KNOW, WE HAVE PMI GOING AROUND LOOKING AT METERS SO THAT PEOPLE UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE 100% CONFIDENT THAT THEY ARE ALL ACCURATE AND EVERYTHING IS TAKEN CARE OF.

THEY ARE, YES.

THE OTHER QUESTIONS FOR DANTE. WHAT IS THE STATUS OF THE INFO STRUCTURE LIST THAT WE BROUGHT UP? I DON'T KNOW IF IT WAS LAST MEETING OR A COUPLE OF MEETINGS BEFORE ABOUT, YOU KNOW, THE WATER AND SEWER, YOU KNOW, THE PRIORITY LIST, YOU KNOW, OF WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE WATER SEWER'S? WE ASKED ALL OF OUR MEMBERS TO SHOULDER THESE INCREASES. YOU KNOW, WE NEED TO HAVE THAT LIST.

I DID NOT START TO PUT THAT LIST TOGETHER. THE CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM, WHICH IDENTIFIES PARTS OF OUR SYSTEMS

YEAH, THIS IS A FEW. BUT, YOU KNOW, JUST LIKE WE DO WITH OUR ROADS

I CAN CONTINUE TO PUT THAT TOGETHER. I THINK TONI WILL ELABORATE. YEAH, I THINK THAT IS THE REASON WHY WE HAD REQUESTED A WATER MASTER PLAN UPDATE AND A SEWER MASTER PLAN UPDATE. OUR MASTER PLANS RIGHT NOW, WHICH HAVE A LISTING OF THESE PROJECTS THE TWO ARE WANTING TO GET TO -- YEAH, I SAW THAT. BUT THAT'S NOT WHAT WE HAVE ONLY LOOK AT ALL THE ROADS AND UNDERSTAND WHERE THEY ARE ALL AT IN THE STATUS IN THE PRIORITIES SO WE CAN HAVE AN OVERALL LOOK AT EVERYTHING, AND NOT JUST A LITTLE, YOU KNOW, SNAPSHOTS. BECAUSE DANTE IS RETIRING AGAIN, AND IT IS ON HIS HEAD, AS HE TOLD US BEFORE. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HAVE THAT IN WRITING SOMEWHERE FOR THE FUTURE.

OKAY. ANY RESPONSE?

I WILL BE INVOLVED IN THE MASTER PLAN.

BUT WILL THAT MASTER PLAN HAVE A LIST I AM TALKING ABOUT? I AM NOT TALKING SNAPSHOTS, I AM TALKING, LIKE, --

IT DOES PRIORITIZE DIFFERENT SEGMENTS OF THE PIPE. SO, IT WOULD BE SIMILAR TO, LIKE, I THINK YOU ARE REFERRING TO THE PAVEMENT MANAGEMENT PROGRAM THAT YOU GET, WHICH DETAILS EVERY SINGLE ROADWAY. IT IS NOT THE SAME AS THAT. SO, IT IS NOT A FULL ASSESSMENT LIKE AN ASSET INVENTORY. A MASTER PLAN DOES NOT DO THAT. YOU DO A FULL ASSET INVENTORY IS VERY EXPENSIVE. WHAT THE MASTER PLAN DOES IS IT PRIORITIZES, LIKE, THE WORST PIPE AREA AND AND THEN, IT WILL DO A REPORT AS TO WHICH ONES HAVE TO BE REPLACED WITHIN THE NEXT 10 YEARS. RIGHT NOW, OUR CIP'S ARE FIVE YEARS FOR A SMALL NUMBER OF PIPES THAT MAINTENANCE IS AWARE OF, BUT THE MASTER PLAN WILL ACTUALLY DO A MORE THOROUGH JOB, AND CARRY OUT THE PAPER PLACEMENT PROGRAM FOR A TENURE PRODUCT.

COUNCIL MEMBER NICHOLAS, DO YOU HAVE A SIGNIFICANT ANSWER FOR THE MOMENT? OKAY, GREAT. DO WE HAVE ANY LESSONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC? I'M NOT SEEING ANY. CAN YOU PLEASE HANDLE PUBLIC COMMENT? THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, IT IS TWO MINUTES. I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST, AND THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU MAKE? AND I WILL JUMP IN WHEN YOU HAVE 30 SECONDS LEFT.

NO WORRIES. I'M PRETTY QUICK. IF YOU SAW ME ON ZOOM WHEN YOU'RE DEALING WITH SOME OTHER ISSUES, HELLO, IT'S GOOD TO SEE YOU. BUSINESS OWNER, I MOVED BACK ABOUT TWO MONTHS AGO. YOU ARE PROBABLY GOING TO GET TIRED OF BEING ME, BECAUSE I AM PRO ACTIVE BUSINESS, BUT THANK YOU, BARLOW, YOU'RE DOING A GREAT JOB. MY QUESTION TO YOU, I'M SORRY, I DON'T MEMBER YOUR NAME, I AM SORRY. IF I READ THE RATES CHART CORRECTLY, IF I AM A RESIDENT IN THE I AM USING WATER, IT WILL BE A 9% INCREASE OF MY WATER RATE. IF I'M A BUSINESS OWNER, IS ABOUT A 309% INCREASE THAT YOU GUYS ARE PROPOSING? IS THAT ABOUT RIGHT? BECAUSE YOU HAD ABOUT 10 MINUTES TO VIEW THE SHIRT INTO THE MATH.

JUST SO YOU KNOW, WE WILL GIVE YOU YOUR FULL-TIME, BUT WHAT WE WILL DO IS WE WILL COLLECT QUESTIONS, AND THEN HE WILL ANSWER THEM LATER.

YEAH, I GET THAT. THANK YOU. I STARTED MY FIRST COMPANY WHEN I WAS 23 AND MADE MY FIRST MILLION WHAT IS 28. I MADE THE COMPANY WITH THREE PEOPLE. I WANTED TO MOVE MY CAN'T COMPANY TO SANTA ROSA. THERE IS A LOT OF OBJECTIONS TO DOING THAT, AND I WANT TO ELIMINATE THOSE HURDLES FOR THOSE WHO KNOW WHO I AM. I AM JUST HERE BECAUSE I MOVED BACK AND I WILL BE HERE FOR A LONG TIME. IF I UNDERSTAND WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE WATER RATE HIKE, IT WILL AFFECT BUSINESSES LIKE THE YOGURT SHOP. THE SMALL RESTAURANT. IT'S NOT GOING TO AFFECT THE LARGE RESIDENCE THAT ALL OF A SUDDEN YOUR BILL IS \$40 AND ALL OF A SUDDEN GOES TO \$98, IT WILL AFFECT THE PRISON AS A \$398.00 WATER BILL AND IT GOES TO \$900.00. THAT WILL ILLUMINATE THEIR MARGIN. ALL YOU I WANT YOU GUYS TO REALIZES WHY DO WE SPEND SO MUCH MONEY ON THE HOMELESS TO PUT THEM IN A HOTEL FOR \$1,200,000.00, BUT WE CAN'T COVER THE BILL FOR THE DEFICIT THAT'S HAPPENING? BECAUSE MY RUMOR, WHICH I'M HEARING, BUT I DON'T KNOW FOR SURE, I'M JUST GETTING INVOLVED. I HEAR YOU GUYS ARE ALMOST BANKRUPT SO I AM PROACTIVE, PRO-BUSINESS. LET'S HAVE CORRECT SOLUTIONS. LET'S GET THIS DONE CORRECTLY. MY QUESTION IS, IN FACT, CAN YOU PLEASE PUT IT IN LAYMAN'S TERMS,

AND NOT USE THE COMPANY COMPLICATED CALCULATOR IF YOU ARE ON THIS RATE ON THE SIDE OF TOWN AND USING THIS KIND OF WATER, JUST TELL ME IF MY BILL IS \$50.00 AND IT WILL GO TO 100, I WANT TO KNOW.

THAT IS TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LAUREN, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

HELLO. UNMUTED.

THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN.

ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

SO, IT SEEMS LIKE IN DOING STUDIES, WE HAVE SHIFTED A LOT OF THE EXTRA \$9,000,000.00 OVER ONTO THE LARGER PIPE SIZES. YOU ARE AND WATER. IN THIS CASE, I WILL TALK ABOUT THE WASTEWATER. IN THE CASE OF FIRCREST, WE ARE LOOKING AT A CASE OF \$29.00 BILL, BUT THAT IS MONTHLY, NOT BIMONTHLY, LIKE MOST OF THEM ARE. SO, THE SUGGESTION WOULD MAKE THAT BILL GO UP MORE THAN FIVE TIMES. SO, IT WOULD END UP BEING \$155.00 MONTHLY, OR, FOR MOST PEOPLE, THAT WILL BE ABOUT \$311.00. THAT IS THE BEST OPTION. 1002, THE LOWEST PRICED OPTION THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT VOTING ON RIGHT NOW. SO, THE WAY THEY ARE DOING THIS, THEY DON'T KNOW HOW MUCH SEWER PEOPLE ARE USING. THERE IS NO METERS FOR THE SEWER. SO, THEY ARE ESTIMATING IT BASED UPON WATER USE. AND I CAN UNDERSTAND THAT. IF THEY DON'T HAVE THE DATA, THEY WILL HAVE TO MAKE UP THE DATA BY ESTIMATING STUFF. BUT IN DOING THAT, IF YOU LOOK AT THE DOCUMENTATION, THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF CALCULATIONS THAT ARE USING DIVIDING, BY RATIOS, AND THIS AND THAT. SO, THEY END UP WITH THIS HUMONGOUS INCREASE FOR THE FORAGE CUSTOMERS. SO, THERE IS THE UNFAIRNESS THERE IN TERMS OF THE SEWER, AND IT ALSO EXISTS IN TERMS OF THE WATER, LESS SO THAN THE SEWER, THOUGH. I CASE POINTED OUT, AND IT SEEMS TO ME THAT THERE ARE TWO ISSUES. IF I WERE TO SUGGEST WHAT COULD BE THE BEST PATH FOR SEBASTOPOL, I WOULD SAY THIS I MILLION DOLLARS ZERO THAT WE SHOULD JUST PAUSE, TAKE A FEW BEST BREATH, AND THINK ABOUT THE HUGE PUBLIC FUNDING RIGHT NOW PROVIDED BY THE GOVERNMENT AND BIPARTISAN INFO STRUCTURE BILL THROUGH THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THERE ARE ALL KINDS OF GRANTS AND LOANS ON THE TABLE. I KNOW IT MAY BE HARD TO GET A LINK LOAN FOR SEBASTOPOL, BUT THEY MENTIONED THAT THEY ACTUALLY HAVE FOR THOSE MEANS THE POLITIES THAT DON'T HAVE GRANT WRITERS, THEY HAVE RESOURCES FOR THEM. IF WE WERE TO TABLE THIS AND SAY OKAY, LET'S GIVE --

DON, THE MINISTRY PUBLIC COMMENT.

OKAY. IS THAT IT?

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I HAVE KYLE. GO AHEAD.

OKAY, LET'S GO AHEAD AND START WITH THE CONTRACTOR AND THE REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL MONEY. THE PREVIOUS CITY MANAGER STATED SOME DOLLAR AMOUNTS THAT HE WAS ALLOWED TO INCREASE THINGS AS THE CITY MANAGER IF IT WAS BUDGETED OR NOT. BUT THE QUESTION THAT I THINK REALLY SHOULD BE IS WHAT IS THE PERCENTAGE OVER THE CONTRACTED AMOUNT THAT A CITY MANAGER CAN APPROVE WITHOUT COUNSEL APPROVAL. SECONDLY, MANY TIMES I HAVE SEEN YOU GUYS JAM THOSE INCREASE BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS INTO THE CONSENT CALENDAR SOMETIMES UP TO \$100,000.00, RIGHT? AND THEN YOU JUST GO AHEAD AND APPROVE IT WITHOUT ANY SORT OF DISCUSSION. YOU HAVE THIS SORT OF PROBLEM HERE WITH OUR CONTRACTORS BEING ABLE TO ABUSE THE SYSTEM, WHERE THEY CAN USE AN RFP, UNDERBID THE AMOUNT FROM THE COMPETITORS, AND I KNOW THAT THEY WILL BE ABLE TO COME BACK AND FROM A BUDGET ADJUSTMENT AFTER THE FACT, AND I THINK IT IS TIME FOR US TO AUDIT OUR CONTRACTORS AND UNDERSTAND JUST HOW OFTEN THESE CONTRACTORS ARE ABUSING THAT SYSTEM. WE NEED TO CEASE WORKING WITH THOSE CONTRACTORS. IT'S A PRETTY SIMPLE THING TO DO HERE. LET'S LOOK AT HOW MUCH MONEY WE HAVE LOST AS A RESULT OF CITY MANAGERS JUST WICKEDLY APPROVING BUDGET ADJUSTMENTS FOR SOME FIXED DOLLAR AMOUNT OR SOME PERCENTAGE OVER. LET'S MOVE ON. THE REPORT, WE HAVE MAJOR PROBLEMS WITH THIS REPORT, AND IT GOES TO SPEAK TO THE ISSUE THAT WE HAVE IN TERMS OF OUR

FINANCIAL DECISIONS, AND US WORKING UNDER BAD DATA. TABLE 4.4 IN THIS REPORT SHOWS WITH THE EXPECTED INCREASES AND COSTS ARE. BEFORE THAT, YOU HAVE THIS INFLATION TABLE THAT SHOWS WITH THE EXPECTED INFLATION AREAS ARE. LET'S LOOK AT, SAY, A PARTICULAR LINE THAT IS INTERESTING, WHICH IS SALARY AND BENEFITS, SUGGEST AN INFLATIONARY AMOUNT OF 3%. THAT'S ON \$500,000.00, WHICH MAKES THE \$515,000.00. THAT IS WHAT WE WOULD ASK THAT YOU SEE IN THE NEXT YEAR. WHAT DO WE SEE IN THE NEXT YEAR? \$564,000.00. THAT'S 13%, NOT 3%. SO, WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE REST OF THAT AGAINST A ROW, YOU SEE MASSIVE INCREASES IN SALARY AND BENEFITS AS A RESULT THAT MAC AS PART OF THE EXPENDITURE ALLOCATION, BUT THE SENT WITH THE TABLE HOLDS.

THAT WAS TWO MINUTES. THINK OF YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. MARIA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

HI. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER, MARIAH?

I CAN'T. I DON'T THINK I WILL GO TO TWO MINUTES.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

FIRST, I JUST WANT TO THANK EVERYONE FOR THE THOUGHTFUL DISCUSSION. MY REQUEST IS THAT COUNSEL REALLY TAKES INTO CONSIDERATION THE EFFECT THAT THESE INCREASES WILL HAVE ON OUR BUSINESS COMMUNITY. MANY OF THE BUSINESSES ARE ALREADY CHALLENGED TO MEET THE BOTTOM LINE, AND THIS WILL ONLY FURTHER THEIR CHALLENGES. SEBASTOPOL HAS SUCH A GREAT INVITATION FOR BEING A DESTINATION FOR COFFEE HOUSES AND RESTAURANTS , AND THOSE ARE THE BUSINESSES THAT WILL BE MOST AFFECTED BY THE INCREASES. AND ALSO, FOR THE CONSIDERATION FOR THOSE BUSINESS OWNERS THAT ALSO LIVE WITHIN CITY LIMITS, THEY WOULD BE DOUBLY AFFECTED BY THESE RAISES. SO, PLEASE JUST CONSIDER YOUR BUSINESS COMMUNITY. THEY REALLY ARE THE HEART OF OUR LITTLE TOWN. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, MARIAH. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. IS THERE ANYONE CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE WATER WASTEWATER RATES? PLEASE? IF YOU COULD COME TO THE PODIUM?

THANK YOU. WE ARE ON CAMERA. THAT IS WHY. THANK YOU.

I WILL BE VERY BRIEF. MY HUSBAND AND I OWN HONEYMOON FROZEN YOGURT. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

YES.

OH, OKAY, SORRY. MY HUSBAND AND I OWN HONEYMOON FROZEN YOGURT. JUST TO REITERATE WHAT MARIAH SAID, THIS WILL IMPACT A SIGNIFICANTLY, BECAUSE OUR FROZEN YOGURT MACHINES ARE COOLED BY WATER. WE ARE CYCLING WATER NONSTOP, AND THIS IS REALLY GOING TO IMPACT OUR BOTTOM LINE. AND ALSO, WHEN THAT GENTLEMAN WAS SPEAKING IN THE T-SHIRT, EVERY SINGLE ONE OF YOU WAS LOOKING AT YOUR LAPTOP. I THINK THAT WAS VERY RUDE AND DISRESPECTFUL.

THANK YOU FREE PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, LINDA. GO OUT WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

I WANT TO VOICE MY APPRECIATION TO ALL OF THE PREVIOUS BEAKERS. YOU ARE OUTSTANDING. [INAUDIBLE - MUFFLED] WE NEED TO HAVE BETTER LEADERSHIP ON CITY COUNCIL. I HOPE YOU WILL THINK ABOUT RUNNING THIS YEAR. WITH THAT SAID, SPEAKING OF ASSESSMENT STUFF, YOU KNOW, IT IS IMPORTANT TO KNOW HOW WE GOT IN THE SITUATION, THE FINANCE SITUATION WITH LACK OF RESPONSE ABILITY SITUATION. ABOUT THE WATER, AGAIN, -- I AM ASKING LARRY RIGHT NOW, LARRY AND MARY TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT THEY DID NOT SUPPORT THE \$2,200,000.00 WIRELESS WATER METERS THAT DANTE WASTED ON IT, THAN THE CITY COUNCIL IN THE CITY IS TELLING THEM ALL THAT IT WAS NO COST. SO, YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO REFUTE MY STATEMENT THAT YOU DO NOT SUPPORT THE WIRELESS WATER MAJORS A FEW YEARS AGO WHEN DANTE BULLDOZED THIS AND BROUGHT THAT IN. BUT ANYWAYS, THAT WAS PART OF A \$5,000,000.00 DEBT.

YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

ONCE AGAIN, I REMIND THE CITY COUNCIL THAT THEY DETERRED THAT IT'S A 15 YEAR PAYMENT OF \$5,600,000.00 THAT DANTE TOLD THE CITY COUNCIL NO COST NO COST -- WE HAVE BEEN SUBJECTED TO A BUNCH OF FRAUD AND MISLEADING AND MISMANAGEMENT.

LINDA, THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU!

THANK YOU FREE PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT SPEAKER IN THE CHAMBERS?

BERNIE CARPENTER, UNINCORPORATED SEBASTOPOL ECONOMIC VOTER IN SEBASTOPOL. I JUST WANT TO REMIND YOU TO FOCUS BACK ON THE PROBLEM YOU HAVE. SEWER WATER AND ENTERPRISE FUNDS, YOU LOAD THEM \$200,000.00 FROM THE GENERAL FUND THAT HAS NOT BEEN PAID BACK. IT HAS GOT A TON OF PROBLEMS THAT YOU HAVE TO FIX UP. PAST COUNCILS HAVE DEFERRED THESE DECISIONS, THEY HAVE KICK THE CAN DOWN THE ROAD AND SPENT YOUR RESERVES. YOU ARE IN A PICKLE. YOU CANNOT DO FOR THIS, YOU CANNOT WISH IT AWAY, YOU CANNOT MAKE IT SOMETHING IT IS NOT. YOU CAN EXPLAIN YOURSELF, JUST AS I AM TRYING TO EXPLAIN IT NOW, BUT YOU'VE GOT TO GET THESE RATE INCREASES IN PLACE, AND YOU CAN'T REALLY WAIT TOO MUCH LONGER. THE MOST STARTLING THING I HEARD IN CONCLUSION WAS YOUR PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR, I MIGHT HAVE THE FIGURES OFF A LITTLE BIT, BUT YOUR NORMAL INFLOW AND INFILTRATION, URINE OVERFLOW TO THE PLANE WHERE YOU WILL HAVE TO PAY THE TREATMENT BILL OF 480 SOME THOUSAND GALLONS, AND IN THE WINTERTIME, IT GOES UP TO 1,000,000 1/2. YOU ARE PAYING FOR THAT! AT THE BREAK DOWN IN YOUR SYSTEM. IF YOU DON'T FIX THAT, YOU WILL BE PAYING FOR IT IN PERPETUITY, AS WELL AS ANYTHING ELSE YOU DEFERRED. SO, YOU HAVE TO MAKE A DECISION. I KNOW YOU KNOW THAT'S, BUT I WANT TO TRY TO FOCUS THIS, AGAIN, FOR ANYONE WHO WANTS TO FOCUS A LITTLE BIT DIFFERENTLY. IT WILL BE A HARDSHIP FOR SOME, BUT YOU HAVE TO DEAL WITH THOSE INDIVIDUALLY BASED ON USAGE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, ERNIE, FREE PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU MEET YOURSELF, PLEASE?

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I CAN HEAR YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

SO, THERE IS A STATEMENT MADE, AND I'M SORRY, I DON'T KNOW THE WOMAN'S NAME WHO MADE THE STATEMENT THERE, THAT FIRCREST WAS BETTER OFF THAT MY GADGETS I AM A RESIDENT OF FIRCREST MOBILE HOME PARK, AND SHE SAID THAT, IF I HEARD HER CORRECTLY, THAT FIRCREST IS BETTER OFF THAN OTHER RESIDENTS IN TOWN. I HAVE DONE THE MATH, AND WE ARE PRETTY MUCH PAYING EXACTLY, YOU KNOW THAT SMACK SO, WE GET SUBMETERED. SO, THE OWNERS OF THIS PARK GETS BILLED AND BASICALLY DIVIDE BY 86, BECAUSE THERE IS 86 UNITS HERE. SO, WE ARE BASICALLY PAYING WHAT EVERYONE ELSE IS PAYING IN TOWN FROM ALL OF THE METHOD I HAVE DONE. I WOULD BE REALLY CURIOUS TO SEE THE MATH THAT SHE HAS DONE, BUT WHAT I WANT TO SAY IS THE EXAMPLE THAT WAS GIVEN TO RESIDENTS IN THE FOUR PAGE DOCUMENT THAT WAS HANDED OUT OR THAT WAS MAILED OUT, THEY HAVE AN EXAMPLE HERE, AND IN THEIR EXAMPLE, THERE IS AN INCREASE OF 37.7% COMING AND IF THIS GOES THROUGH. THE METHOD I DID SHOWS FIRCREST GOING UP 102% FOR BASICALLY THE SAME AMOUNT OF WATER. SO, THERE IS NO WAY I CAN SEE THAT THIS ISN'T EQUAL. THAT'S ALL I HAVE TO SAY. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IS THERE ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE WATER WASTEWATER ITEM? I AM SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. KATE, CAN YOU AND MEET YOURSELF, PLEASE?

I AM A NEW DID., CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN, THANK YOU, MAYOR.

THANK YOU, GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

THESE WATER AND SEWER RATES TOUCH EVERY SINGLE RESIDENCE, BUSINESS, PUBLIC SPACE, PARK, SCHOOL, AND NONPROFIT IN OUR CITY. THIS IS A HUGE DECISION MUCH MORE IMPORTANT THAN A \$55,000 YOUR CONTRACT. SEBASTOPOL SITS ON OUR HUGE AQUIFER, AND IS NOT HAVE TO BUY WATER. SEBASTOPOL NEEDS TO RAISE CAPITAL FOR INFRASTRUCTURE, NOT WATER PRICES. COUNSEL SHOULD LOOK AT DIFFERENT WAYS TO FUND OUR INFRASTRUCTURE, LIKE AN INFRASTRUCTURE FEE OR A PARCEL TAX, WHICH WOULD COST USERS LESS, AND DEDICATE MONEY TOWARDS INFRASTRUCTURE. THE MISALLOCATION OF FUNDS FROM WATER AND SEWER RATEPAYERS TO THE GENERAL

FUND FROM 2010 TO 2023 HAVE NOT BEEN ADDRESSED. GIVEN THAT \$714,000.00 WAS IDENTIFIED AS MISALLOCATED IN THE 2024 ALLOCATION STUDY, IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THE MODEL IN THE ALLOCATION RATIOS WERE NOT CORRECT, AND HAD NOT BEEN CORRECT FOR MANY YEARS. AS THE PRIOR STUDY WAS OVER 20 YEARS OLD. HIS COUNSEL SIMPLY GOING TO IGNORE THE MISALLOCATION OF FUNDS BY DOING SO, AND PLACING A HUGE FINANCIAL BURDEN AND HIGH WATER AND SEWER RATES ON RATEPAYERS? HIS COUNSEL CHOOSING TO IGNORE THE SIGNIFICANT BREACH OF JUDICIARY DUTY BY FORMAL COUNCIL? THE WATER AND WASTEWATER BILL FOR THE CITY WILL INCREASE BY OVER \$100,000.00. HOW DOES THE CITY INTEND TO PAY FOR THIS INCREASE THIS YEAR AND THE FOLLOWING YEARS? CAN THE COUNCIL GUARANTEE THAT THE NEW WATER RATES WILL NOT DIMINISH OUR PARKS, PUBLIC RECREATION FACILITIES, URBAN CANOPY, AND GREEN SPACES? WHICH METERS WERE USED TO CALCULATE THE TEARS? OLD ANALOG METERS, OR ALL NEW, SMART METERS? WHO IS BASED ON THE OLD METERS, THE TEARS NEED TO INCREASE BY 10% TO REFLECT THE FACT THAT THE OLD ANALOG METERS DID NOT RECORD 10 TO 15% OF WATER USAGE.

OKAY, THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FREE PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IS THERE ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? I AM SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER, CAN YOU AND MEET YOURSELF, PLEASE? YES, I CAN. HELLO.

THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN, YES.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR HARD WORK ON THIS, COUNSEL. IS A HOT TOPIC, AND I APPRECIATE IT. ECHOING WHAT KATE SAID, I THINK IT'S VERY IMPORTANT THAT YOU LOOK AT PARCEL TANKS AS AN ALTERNATIVE TO THIS RATHER THAN STORMING INTO THIS. THERE ARE SO MANY LEGAL ISSUES AROUND MONEY THAT WAS SIPHONED OFF OF THE ENTERPRISE FUND INTO THE GENERAL FUND. WHICH KATE JUST MENTIONED. WE HAVE SOME MASSIVE DATA PROBLEMS. I APPRECIATED HOW ANNA AND DANTE RESPONDED TO MY EMAILS THIS MORNING TO AT LEAST GIVE A PENCIL SKETCH OF HOW DATA IS COLLECTED AT THIS POINT IN TIME. BUT DO OTHER PEOPLE'S POINTS, YOU KNOW, KATE'S PREVIOUS POINT, OUR DATA -- I DON'T THINK OUR DATA IS CREDIBLE AT THIS POINT. I THINK WE REALLY NEED A LOT MORE EMPHASIS ON OVERSIGHT OF DATA. IF I AM PAYING A HUGE WATER BILL, WASTEWATER BILL, I WANT TO KNOW THAT THE SOFTWARES OF SERVICE, THE COUNTY SOFTWARE AND EVERYTHING IS VERY GOOD. FROM THE DIGITAL WATER METER ALL THE WAY TO MY INVOICING. SO, I THINK THAT IS INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT AS WELL. YOU KNOW, MY LAST POINT, WHICH IS PROBABLY THE MOST IMPORTANT ONE, WE WILL JUST KILL A LOT OF BUSINESSES IF WE GO AHEAD WITH THE STEER SYSTEM. IS NOT SUSTAINABLE. IF WE ARE TALKING ABOUT COFFEE SHOPS EARLIER, YES, THAT'S A HUGE PROBLEM. BUT YOU THINK ABOUT A CAR WASH, OR A LAUNDROMAT, OR ANY OTHER BUSINESSES THAT RELIES FUNDAMENTALLY ON HUGE AMOUNT OF WATERS, THEY ARE JUST GOING TO GO AWAY. IT'S NOT GOING TO HAPPEN, AND WE WILL LOSE IN SALES TAX WHAT WE THOUGHT WE WERE GOING TO GAIN IN WATER INVOICING. SO FUNDAMENTALLY, WE REALLY HAVE TO LOOK AT ALTERNATIVES TO THIS, BECAUSE I DON'T THINK THE CONSULTANT REPORT IS AT IT, AND QUITE QUICKLY, I DON'T GETS PROVIDING SOLUTIONS, AND I THINK IT IS DANGEROUS TO VOTE ON SOMETHING THAT, A, WE DON'T HAVE THE ORGANIZED AND CLEAR IN OUR HEAD, AND B, THERE IS NO CLEAR PATH FORWARD, YOU KNOW, IN THE TIER SYSTEM. I JUST FEEL LIKE THAT IS KIND OF A MESS RIGHT NOW. IT'S A VERY DANGEROUS SITUATION ON THAT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FREE PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IS THERE ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? I AM SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM IT. IF THERE'S ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE WATER WASTEWATER ITEM, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND, PLEASE MUTE YOUR FAN PHONE, PLEASE WAIT TO GET MY ATTENTION. ITEM IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WILL PROVIDE SOME GENERAL INFORMATION TO SOME COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. WE ARE NOT CURRENTLY PLACING ANY DOLLAR ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. THE AGENDA SETTING COMMITTEE IS PUTTING THOSE IN AS REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS PENDING, BECAUSE WE ARE WAITING FOR FINAL BUDGET NUMBERS. DANTE DID NOT BULLDOZE THE COUNCIL INTO THE SIZER CONTRACT. THERE WAS A CITY COUNCIL DECISION FULLY INFORMED THAT THE CITY COUNCIL MADE. THE LOAN FROM THE GENERAL FUND TO THE ENTERPRISE FUNDS IS ACTUALLY \$1,000,000.00, AND THEN, IN ADDITION, THERE WERE THREE FACTUAL ITEMS THAT I AM HOPING PERHAPS TONI CAN RESPOND TO . ONE WAS THAT THE BUSINESS INCREASES WILL BE MORE LIKE 300%, AND ANOTHER WAS THAT THE WASTEWATER EXPENSES WOULD BE HIGHER THAN YOU HAD INDICATED, AND THEN FINALLY, THE QUESTION ABOUT FIRCREST, AND HOW MUCH THEY WOULD BE PAYING AS COMPARED TO OTHER RESIDENTS. COULD YOU PLEASE JUST BRIEFLY ANSWER THOSE?

YES. I WILL ADDRESS FIRCREST, AND I DID THE COOKIE RELATIONS, AND I DON'T KNOW, WITHOUT ACTUALLY COMPARING NUMBERS TO NUMBERS, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO SPEAK WITH A GENTLEMAN AND GO OVER MY NUMBERS, AND IF THERE IS SOMETHING I DID WRONG, I WOULD BE THE FIRST TO ADMIT IT, BUT I AM PRETTY CONFIDENT WITH THOSE NUMBERS.

OKAY. SO, MARY GURLEY, IN ORDER TO GET IN TOUCH WITH TONI, WHAT WOULD BE THE BEST WAY FOR MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC?

IF THEY CAN GO AHEAD AND EMAIL MYSELF, I WILL GO AHEAD AND MAKE SURE IT GETS FORWARDED TO TONI.

GREAT. IN THE OTHER ITEMS, THE IMPACT ON BUSINESSES. THERE WAS A COMMENT THAT THAT INCREASE WOULD BE MORE LIKE 300% I THINK IS WITH THE MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC SAID.

AGAIN, I THINK WE MENTIONED AT THE LAST MEETING THAT BECAUSE COMMERCIAL BUSINESSES ARE SO DIFFICULT, BECAUSE IT DEPEND ON HOW MUCH WATER THEY USE, WHAT SIZE METER THEY HAVE, AND WHAT THEIR WINTER AVERAGES, THE BEST WAY, AGAIN, IS FOR THEM TO EMAIL THEIR QUESTION DIRECTLY TO MARY, AND I CAN LOOK SPECIFICALLY AT THEIR QUESTION SO THAT WE ARE NOT TALKING GENERALITIES.

GREAT. I INVITE THE PUBLIC IN GENERAL TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THAT OPPORTUNITY TO EMAIL SPECIFIC QUESTIONS, AND WE ALL KNOW THAT, AS GATEKEEPER, SHE GETS THE ANSWER THAT YOU NEED. SHE FIGURES IT OUT. SO, WE ARE NOW AT THE DAIS. WE ARE IN THE MIDST OF DISCUSSIONS. GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

THANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR. I THINK YOU MIGHT HAVE ASKED THIS BEFORE, BUT I JUST WANT CLARITY ON WHAT THE ACTION IS, WHAT THE REQUESTED ACTION IS. IS IT ON THE BOTTOM OF PAGE FIVE OF 84?

AS I UNDERSTAND IT, THE ACTION IS EITHER TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE OPTION IN OPTION ONE AS ORIGINALLY PLANNED, OR TO DIRECT STAFF TO MOVE FORWARD WITH STEPS NECESSARY TO GO WITH OPTION TWO. IS THAT CORRECT?

YEAH. BUT USUALLY STAFF, LIKE COME UP WITH EXACTLY WHAT YOU ARE ASKING FOR. SO, I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THE WORDS, BECAUSE HOW CAN WE ORIENTATE AS FAR AS WHAT QUESTIONS, YOU KNOW, IN THE PROCESS. IS IT ALL ON PAGE FIVE, THE BOTTOM OF PAGE FIVE, OF 84?

YES.

OKAY.

THOSE OPTIONS ARE LISTED THERE.

ARE WE SUPPOSED TO TAKE A VOTE ON THAT? BECAUSE ANDERSON WE NOT TAKING A VOTE ON THIS UNTIL THE JUNE 18th MEETING. SO, MARY, I GUESS WHAT I AM ASKED IS ARE WE BEING ASKED TO TAKE A VOTE ON ONE OF THESE OPTIONS FOR WASTE AND WATER? AND THEN A VOTE ON THE AMENDMENT FOR THE CONTRACT? WHAT ARE WE BEING ASKED FOR?

THIS IS ESSENTIALLY DIRECTION TO STAFF THAT WOULD REQUEST A MOTION TO DIRECT STAFF TO PREPARE DOCUMENTS WITH ONE OF THE OTHER OPTION PACKAGES SET FORTH IN THE OPTIONS.

OKAY.

IN THE STAFF REPORT.

OKAY, THANK YOU, THAT HELPS.

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT TO THE EXTENT THAT WE DECIDE TO GO WITH OPTION TWO, FOR INSTANCE, WE WOULD VOTE ON THAT ON THE 18th, IS THAT CORRECT?

I UNDERSTOOD YOUR GIVING THAT WITH DIRECTION THIS EVENING.

OKAY, DIRECTION TONIGHT? TO PREPARE, BUT IN TERMS OF AN ACTUAL VOTE TO GO WITH THE OPTION --

YOU ARE NOT APPROVING RATES. THAT HAPPENS LATER.

GREAT, THANK YOU. OTHER QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS?

I HAVE A QUESTION.

GO AHEAD.

GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

IS A POSSIBLE AT THIS POINT TO RAISE RATES, FOR EXAMPLE, WITH ELLSBERG DID, FOR 20% THIS YEAR, AND THEN COME BACK TO THE ISSUE LATER ON THIS YEAR? IS THAT POSSIBLE? WE HAVE TO STOP THE 218 PROCESS IN ORDER TO DO THAT?

I AM SORRY. OH, I AM SORRY. SO, THERE IS A QUESTION, AND I DO SEE THAT OUR CITY MANAGER HAS RAISED HIS HAND. IS THAT A QUESTION THAT OUR CITY ATTORNEY WOULD RESPOND TO PROBABLY? DON SCHWARTZ, WE WILL BE WITH YOU IN JUST A MINUTE.

I RECOMMEND YOU CALL ON THE CITY MANAGER TO MAKE THE COMMENT. THIS HAS BEEN HIS AGENDA ITEM.

THANK YOU, DON SCHWARTZ. IF WE COULD ALLOW OUR CITY MANAGER TO SPEAK TO US?

GREAT.

SO, ON THE SECOND QUESTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, I DON'T -- I DON'T BELIEVE WE CAN DO THAT, BUT I DON'T KNOW 100%, BECAUSE SIMPLY RAISING RATES BY A CERTAIN PERCENTAGE, WE NOTIFIED ON PROP 218 THAT THE COUNCIL SELECTED BACK IN APRIL, WE KNOW WE CAN GO TO OPTION TWO, OPTION TWO, BECAUSE ALL RATES ARE EQUAL OR LOWER THAN WHAT WAS NOTED. I DON'T KNOW IF ANY OTHER ALTERNATIVE MEETS THE CRITERIA, AND THUS, IS ALLOWABLE UNDER THE CURRENT PROP 218 PROCESS THAT WE ARE IN NOW, AND I DON'T KNOW IF TONI KNOWS THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION. I ALSO DON'T KNOW WHAT IT WOULD DO TO THE FINANCIAL PRODUCTIONS THAT WE HAVE PUT IN AROUND MAKING SURE WE HAVE RESERVES SUFFICIENT TO REPLACE EVERYTHING. THAT WOULD REQUIRE SOME DETAIL ANALYSIS TO FIGURE OUT THE APPLICATIONS. THE LEGAL QUESTION, THOUGH, IS IF THE RATES WILL BE LOWER THAN WHAT WAS NOTICED, AND THAT'S, I DON'T KNOW.

ARRAY. CITY MANAGER, WAS THERE SOMETHING ELSE YOU WANTED TO SHARE WITH US?

NOT ON THAT POINT. I DO WANT TO COME BACK TO WHAT ACTIONS WE ARE ASKING YOU TO TAKE TONIGHT. SO, ON THE PAGE -- EXCUSE ME, ON THE LAST PAGE, PAGE FIVE OF THE AGENDA ITEM, WE ARE ASKING THAT YOU FIRST DECIDE ON THE OPTIONS, AND THEN DECIDE ON THE CONTRACT. THE FIRST OPTION FOR RATES IS TO CONTINUE WITH YOUR DECISION IN APRIL TO GO OPTION ONE, OPTION TWO. IF YOU PREFER, WE CAN GO TO NUMBER TWO ON THIS, WHICH IS TO DO BOTH OPTION TWO AND OPTION TWO. IF WE GO THAT SECOND OPTION, THEN WE NEED TO BE TOLD TO UPDATE THE FINAL REPORT, BECAUSE WE NEED THAT TO BE LEGALLY COMPLIANT. IF YOU GO WITH WHAT YOU DECIDED IN APRIL 23rd, THEN WE NO LONGER NEED TO DO THAT REPORT. SO, THAT IS WHY I MIGHT ASK THAT YOU TAKE THE USE AS INDIVIDUAL DECISIONS OR REALIZE THERE IS A PACKAGE DECISION, IF YOU WILL, AND UNDERSTAND THE CORRELATION BETWEEN WATER -- THE RIGHT OPTIONS IN THE TELL US WORK. I HOPE THAT IS CLEAR.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH, AND THANK YOU FOR BEING AVAILABLE. I SEE A QUESTION FROM VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

YES, I WILL JUST GO AHEAD AND MOVE THAT WE PROVIDE DIRECTION FOR OPTION TWO, MOVE FORWARD WITH THE RATES AS DISCUSSED BY THE COUNCIL ON MAY 21st, OPTION TWO, AND AS WE JUST HEARD FROM OUR GENERAL MANAGER, IF WE DO THAT, THAT I MOVE THAT WE MOVE FORWARD UNDER THE AMENDMENT CONTRACT OPTION TWO, WHICH SEEMS THAT IF WE GO WITH OPTION TWO, WE HAVE TO PAY TO HAVE THEM PROVIDE THE ADJUSTMENTS THAT SEEMS THAT IS LIKELY REQUIRED. SO, THAT IS MY MOTION.

OKAY, SO, VERY QUICKLY, I DO THINK WE HAVE ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, BUT WE WILL ASK IF THERE IS A SECOND, AND IF THERE IS A SECOND, WE CAN THEN HAVE COMMENTS. IF THERE ISN'T A SECOND, WE CAN CONTINUE WITH COMMENTS. IS THERE A SECOND ON THE MOTION FROM VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? OKAY, I AM NOT SEEING ANY.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, YOU HAD --

YEAH, I JUST WANT TO EXPRESS MY FRUSTRATION WITH THIS WHOLE PROCESS. YOU KNOW, WE PAID \$75,000.00, AND THEY BROUGHT US A STUDY BACK IN OCTOBER THAT WAS INSUBSTANTIAL. IT DID NOT -- IT DIDN'T HAVE, LIKE, IF YOU'RE GOING TO TELL US THAT, YOU KNOW, IT DID NOT HAVE THE DATA, THAT WAS VERY PITHY, AND I WAS REALLY FRUSTRATING. AND THEN IT COMES BACK, AND WE WERE TOLD THE RATES WOULD GO UP BY ABOUT 33%. HOWEVER, THIS IS FOR A CERTAIN CLASS OF CUSTOMERS. IS NOT FOR ALL CUSTOMERS. SOME CUSTOMERS ARE GETTING BILLS THAT WILL BE OVER 100% IN THE FIRST YEAR, AND IN THE THIRD OR FOURTH YEAR, THEIR BILLS WILL BE, LIKE, 300% MORE. AND WE ARE TALKING ABOUT LOW INCOME SENIORS, RESIDENCE AT THE FIRCREST MOBILE HOME PARK, AND I DON'T KNOW COLONIAL MANOR, YOU KNOW, WHAT SIZE OF SEWER THEY HAVE, BUT THEIR RATES WILL ALSO GO WAY UP. IT IS NOT 33% ACROSS THE BOARD. SO, THAT WAS EXTREMELY CONFUSING. I THINK AS COUNCILMEMBERS, LIKE, I AM NOT AN EXPERT ON WATER AND RATES, BUT I CAN CERTAINLY SEE IT WAS VERY CONFUSING HOW ONE CLASS, YOU KNOW, THE RATES GO WAY UP, AND THEN OTHERS ARE A LITTLE BIT MORE STUDY. THIS HAS BEEN VERY FRUSTRATING. SO, THAT IS MY RANT. I ALSO LEARNED THAT, FOR EXAMPLE, THE KENNEDY PROJECT WILL BRING ABOUT \$3,750,000.00 AN IMPACT FEES, AND THIS WILL, ONCE THE BUILDINGS ARE SOLD, MADE AND SOLD, POSSIBLY SOME OF THAT MONEY COULD BE USED TO HELP FUND THE WATER AND WASTEWATER. IN FACT, I WAS TOLD THAT THOSE IMPACT FEES DUE GO TO WATER AND WASTEWATER. I AM NOT SAYING ALL OF IT WOULD GO, POSSIBLY SOME OF IT COULD GO, AND MAYBE THAT COULD HELP FILL A GAP IN SOME OF THE WATER RATES. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE A LITTLE BIT MORE OF A FAIR AND EVEN RATE HIKE. WE NEED IT. YOU KNOW? WE NEED TO RAISE THE RATES, BECAUSE WE RAISE IT ANYWAY THAT IS FAIR AND EVEN? I WISH WE HAD MORE THAN TWO OPTIONS. FROM THE VERY BEGINNING, WE'VE ONLY HAD TWO OPTIONS, AND SEVERAL PEOPLE HAVE TOLD ME YOU NEED MORE THAN TWO OPTIONS. THAT IS ALL WE WERE GIVEN. THOSE ARE MY COMMENTS.

THANK YOU. I SEE THAT OUR BUILDING OFFICIAL HAS A RESPONSE.

I WILL JUST TALK ABOUT THE IMPACT FEES FOR JUST A MINUTE. YOU ARE RIGHT AND THAT THERE ARE A TOTAL OF JUST UNDER \$4,000,000.00 AN IMPACT FEES ON THOSE 84 HOUSES, BUT IMPACT FEES GO TO A SPECIFIC POT. SO, YOU CAN TAKE THE 3.7 OR 3.8, WHATEVER IT IS, AND DIVERT THEM ALL TO SEWER. I BELIEVE IT'S JUST UNDER 1 MILLION THAT WOULD GO TO THE WATER IMPACTS, AND I AM TAKING THESE OFF THE TOP OF MY HEAD, SO DON'T HOLD ME TO THESE NUMBERS, BUT I THINK IT IS MORE, LIKE, 700,000 ZERO THAT GOES INTO THE SEWER FUND. THERE ARE ALSO PARK FEES IN THEIR THAT ARE ALSO IMPACT FEES AND THINGS. SO, IT'S NOT JUST ONE BIG MASS OF MONEY. IT GOES INTO SEVERAL DIFFERENT THINGS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM GOING TO GO AHEAD --

NONE OF US HAVE GONE.

WHO IS NEXT?

OKAY, THANK YOU. WELL, I JUST HAVE TO SAY, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER -- INNING, IT'S NO, YOU KNOW, NO ONE WILL BE SURPRISED THAT I'VE BEEN FRUSTRATED WITH THIS PROCESS. I HAVE, FROM THE VERY BEGINNING, SO THAT THEY DON'T BEGIN WITH AGREE WITH THE TIER SYSTEM. I THINK IT PUNISHES FAMILIES, AND NOW, HEARING THAT WE HAD A TIER SYSTEM AND WENT TO A CIGARETTE SYSTEM AND NOW WE ARE GOING BACK TO A TIER SYSTEM, IT MAKES ME WONDER EVEN MORE. I DON'T THINK IT IS RIGHT TO CHARGE PEOPLE, YOU KNOW, ESPECIALLY FAMILIES WHO HAVE CHILDREN, AND UP TO DO LAUNDRY, BATHING, ET CETERA, I ALSO WORRY ABOUT THE SCHOOLS IN THE IMPACT THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE WITH THE MASSIVE INCREASES, BECAUSE IT IS NOT JUST THAT 33 OR 47%, IT IS OVER 100% FOR THEM AND SOME OF THE SCHOOLS. AND I JUST THINK ABOUT, YOU KNOW, FUNDING TO SCHOOLS THAT IS CUT RIGHT NOW FROM THE STATE LEVEL, YOU KNOW, AND HE WILL BE FACED WITH AN FTE OR PAYING FOR WATER. AND I JUST DON'T THINK THAT'S, YOU KNOW, THAT THAT IS OKAY. FURTHERMORE WHEN AS

FAR AS THE COUNCIL APPROVED THE MEETING, YOU KNOW, RENT HIKES, BUT HERE WE ARE THINK IT IS OKAY FOR US TO HAVE THESE INCREASES THAT ARE A LOT MORE, IT IS UNSETTLING TO ME. IN THE PROCESS, FRUSTRATING, AS A PROJECT MANAGER, YOU KNOW, MYSELF AND GOING THROUGH THIS, I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT I SO APPRECIATE ALL OF THE WORK YOU HAVE DONE, TONI, WITH PULLING ALL THESE QUESTIONS TOGETHER AND EVERYTHING. I MEAN, IT HAS TAKEN WEEKS TO GET STUFF, AND WHO KNOWS WHERE IT IS COMING FROM. BUT THE PROCESS ITSELF HAS BEEN SO MADDENING. AND THEN LASTLY, I JUST WANT TO SAY, AS A BUSINESS OWNER HERE, I HAVE AN IS IN MY CONCERNS ABOUT THE IMPACT ON THE BUSINESSES. BECAUSE RIGHT NOW, MARGINS ARE RAZOR THIN, AND INCREASING THE WATER, AND I CAN INDICATE A LOT WITH THE BUSINESSES, I HEAR THEM, I AM GLAD THAT A FEW PEOPLE SHOWED UP TONIGHT TO EXPRESS THEIR CONCERNS AREA AND THEY ARE MANY OTHERS THAT WERE UNABLE TO MAKE IT TONIGHT. THEY HAVE VERY THINGS THEY WERE AT. I KNOW THAT FOR A FACT. BUT THEY ARE ALL VERY CONCERNED ABOUT THE INCREASES TO THEM. THEY FEEL THAT WE HAVE FAILED THEM. THAT'S BASICALLY WHAT THEY HAVE SAID. THAT THE CITY HAS SCREWED UP, AND HAS NOT DONE WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE, AND NOW WE ARE ALL HAVING TO PAY THAT PRICE AREA AND I JUST WANT THE PUBLIC TO KNOW THAT THIS HAS BEEN AN EXTREMELY FRUSTRATING PROCESS, AND I DO WISH THAT WE HAD MORE OPTIONS THAN TWO, AND I DO NOT LIKE THE TIERED SYSTEM.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

SO, I HAVE A VARIETY OF COMMENTS. FIRST, I WANTED TO, TO SOME OF THE SPEAKERS TONIGHT. ONE IS THE ONE CAR WASH WE HAVE APPROVED IN TOWN USE RECYCLED WATER, IS ALSO SELF OPERATING, AND THERE WILL NOT BE SALES TAX PAID BY THE CAR WASH ONCE IT IS BUILT. I ALSO WANT TO APOLOGIZE, ALTHOUGH I THINK THEY HAVE LEFT, AND EXPLAIN WHY WE WERE LOOKING AT OUR LAPTOP OCCASIONALLY, BUT THIS BECAUSE THIS ITEM IS AN 88 84 PAGE AGENDA ITEM. WHILE I HAVE READ IT AND ALL THE EMAILS WE HAVE GOT, I LIKE TO GO BACK AND REFER TO FAX WHEN I AM TRYING TO LISTEN TO FEEDBACK SO THAT I CAN COME UP WITH THE VOTING CONCLUSION TONIGHT. SO, I JUST WANTED TO ADDRESS THAT. WE DON'T MEAN TO BE RUDE, BUT WE ARE TRYING TO FIGURE THIS OUT, AND IT IS VERY COMPLICATED. AS OF THE COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE TRYING TO FIGURE IT OUT. WITH THAT SAID, YOU KNOW, I WAS ON THIS COUNSEL IN 2019 ONE, AS SOMEBODY MENTIONED, WE KICK THE CAN DOWN THE ROAD. WE DID. WE HAVE BEEN HIS AS THIS IS, OUT IN LOW INCOME, JUST LIKE WE HAVE TODAY, AND I THINK I'M IN THE SENIOR GROUP NOW, FRANKLY, THAT HAVE TAKEN CARE OF SENIORS. BUT, WE HAVE INFRASTRUCTURE, AND WE HAVE COST. IT'S NOT JUST ABOUT HAVING WATER UNDER THE GROUND, AND THIS COMMUNITY, WE HAVE ALWAYS BEEN A PRO LOCAL BUSINESS COUNCIL IN MY OPINION. I CERTAINLY SUPPORT LOCAL BUSINESS. IN FACT, SOME PEOPLE KNOW I BOUGHT ONE BEFORE COVID. SO, YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT ABOUT THAT. IN A LOOK AT THE TABLE, AND OF COURSE, WE CAN FIGURE OUT EVERY SCENARIO, BUT ON PAGE THREE OF THE 84 PAGE AGENDA ITEM, YOU JUST LOOK AT WHERE THESE PROPOSED RATES ON OPTION TWO ARE, AND WHERE WE WERE. AND WE ARE RIGHT AFTER THAT SMACK BEFORE HEALDSBURG, WHO I UNDERSTAND WILL BE RAISING RATES TO, AND WE HAVE BEEN GIVING LOW RATE, AND COST LESS THE GENTLEMAN WHO SAID MY NAME OUT LOUD THAT HAS JUST COME TO TOWN, BECAUSE HE DEDICATE TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THOSE LOW RATES. BUT WE HAVE BEEN DOING THAT, AND NOW HAVE TO SOLVE THE PROBLEM. THE GOVERNMENT WORKS LIKE THIS. WE HAVE TO HIRE CONSULTANTS. HAVE TO PAY THEM. HAVE TO DO A RATE STUDY, AND WE ARE LOT NOT ALLOWED TO MAKE MONEY IN THIS FUND. IT'S NOT LIKE WE ARE WASTING MONEY HERE. THIS MONEY IS ALL GOING TO OUR SYSTEM. WE ARE ALL HOMEOWNERS OR RENTERS, AND WE NEED WATER. SO, I WILL BE SUPPORTING THE LATEST VERSION OF NUMBER TWO, AND, IN FACT, I WILL SECOND THAT MOTION THAT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN HAS MADE, BECAUSE WE DON'T REALLY HAVE ANOTHER OPTION IN MY MIND. THANK YOU.

SO, I WILL NOW COMMENT. IT'S A VERY FRUSTRATING SITUATION TO BE IN. THE REALITY IS THAT ALL OF US WILL BE AFFECTED BY THESE WATER AND WASTEWATER INCREASES. THE REALITY IS THAT WE HAVE A VERY HARD-WORKING, VERY WELL-INFORMED SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC WORKS WHO HAS TOLD US THAT WE ARE ON THE EDGE OF A PRECIPICE IN TERMS OF OUR WASTEWATER SYSTEM AND OUR WATER WELL MEANS. WE HAVE BEEN TOLD THAT THE OPTION TWO OPTION TWO DOES PROVIDE APPROPRIATE

FUNDING. IT ISN'T GOING TO BE EXACTLY WHAT WE NEED. WE WOULD LOVE TO HAVE TWO POSITIONS FILLED, AND WE WOULD LOVE TO BE ABLE TO MOVE MORE AGGRESSIVELY ON OUR INFRASTRUCTURE. BUT, IT WILL GET US TO THE BASELINE. SO, I, TOO, AND IN SUPPORT OF OPTION TWO OPTION TWO OF ITEM OPTION TWO APPROACH. SO, ON THAT, I WILL BE DIRECTING STAFF TO TAKE THAT DIRECTION AND COME BACK TO US. I AM QUESTIONING THE FINANCIAL REQUEST. I SEE THAT THEY HAVE REQUESTED \$54,216.00 WITH 49,181 FOR PAST SERVICES, AND 5035 FOR FUTURE SERVICES. WE NEED THAT MAC I WOULD CERTAINLY BE IN SUPPORT OF APPROVING THE 5035 FOR THE FUTURE SERVICES WE WOULD NEED. I DON'T FEEL COMPELLED TO COVER THE 49,181. I AM UNCLEAR ON THE MOTION IN THE SECOND. WHAT WAS THE MONEY PART, PLEASE?

YES. WHAT I HAD MOVED WAS FOR THE WATER AND WASTEWATER RATES NUMBER TWO, AND WE HEARD FROM OUR GENERAL MANAGER, IF WE DO THAT, WE ALSO WE HAVE TO GO WITH NUMBER TWO IN REFERENCE TO THE AMENDMENT OF THE CONTRACT. BECAUSE APPARENTLY WE NEED TO HAVE THEM DO THAT \$5035.00.

SO, YOUR MOTION WILL BE APPROVING THE 5035 AMOUNT, BUT NOT THE BALANCE OF THE REQUEST OF HER PAST SERVICES?

CORRECT.

I AM ON BOARD WITH A PEN.

I CORRECT MY SECOND AS WELL.

OKAY, WE HAVE A FIRST AND A SECOND. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? THEN HE WILL CAUTION EVERYONE THAT WE CURRENTLY HAVE ABOUT 40 TO 45 MINUTES FOR OUR REMAINING ITEMS ON THE AGENDA. GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I APOLOGIZE.

GO AHEAD.

IS THE 5000 INCLUDED IN THAT 54,000? IS THAT WHAT YOU ARE SAYING?

YES. THE 54,216 INCLUDED \$5035.00 THAT WOULD COVER THE COMPLETION OF AN OPTION TWO FULL REPORT AND THEIR PRESENCE AT THE JUNE 18th MEETING. IS THAT CORRECT?

TO CLARIFY, WE ARE NOT APPROVING PAYING A DIFFERENCE. THAT 49 \$181.00.

IS THAT ABOVE?

CAN WE GET STUFF FOR SOMEONE TO CONFIRM? SO, I THINK THE MOTION IS THAT SMACK OF THAT IS THE MOTION, IT DOES NOT MATTER HOW MUCH ADDITIONAL THEY ASK US TO COVER. CAN WE ANSWER THE QUESTION THAT WAS ASKED? WHICH IS HOW MUCH ARE THEY REQUESTING FOR PAST SERVICES? IS IT IN FACT 49,181? OR IS IT 51 4216? OR SOME OTHER AMOUNT?

AT 54,000 FOR THE TOTAL AMOUNT, INCLUDING THE 5000. THAT WOULD BE 49,000 PAST WORK.

OKAY, THANK YOU, THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? OKAY. I THINK WE ARE LOOKING FOR A ROLL CALL VOTE.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. SO MOVED AS VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE RATES AS DISCUSSED BY THE COUNCIL ON THE MAY 21st, 2024 , SPECIFICALLY OPTION TWO OPTION TWO WASTEWATER. THE CITY WOULD NEED -- TO PROVIDE AN UPDATE FOR WATER AND WASTEWATER RATE CITY REPORTS, AND TO IMPROVE UPDATED WASTE AND WASTEWATER REPORTS IN AN ADDITIONAL COST OF \$5035.00. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

NO.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

NO.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES.

MAYOR RICH?

YES.

THAT PASSES RE-TO, WITH COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AT VOTING IN OPPOSITION.

OKAY, WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A BRIEF BREAK, BUT I THINK IT REALLY BRIEF. LET'S MAKE IT 10 MINUTES. WE WILL BE BACK HERE JUST BEFORE 8:00 P.M. THANK YOU. [THE

EVENT IS ON A RECESS. THE SESSION WILL RECONVENE AT 8:00PM PST. CAPTIONER ON STANDBY.]

Agenda Item Number: 2

WE HAVE RETURNED, GOING INTO ITEM NUMBER FIVE, WHICH IS THE HEATER REPLACEMENT. IN THE ABSENCE OF OUR CITY MANAGER, DANTE WILL BE INTRODUCING THIS ITEM?

THAT IS CORRECT. THANK YOU, MAYOR AND COUNCIL. THE ITEM I AM BRINGING FOR YOU TONIGHT IS REQUEST FOR A PERVADING \$42,860.00 FROM THE BUILDING AND UP ET CETERA FUND DOORS THE REPLACEMENT OF THE HIGH SCHOOL YEAR. AS WE KNOW, THAT IS A TREMENDOUS ASSET TO THE CITY. IT HAS BEEN SINCE 1941. IT PROVIDES YEAR-ROUND CONTINUOUS OPERATION, AND THE CURRENT HEATERS ARE IN VERY POOR CONDITION, AND THE INTENSE, IT IS ALREADY LISTED IN NEXT YEAR'S OPERATING BUDGET IS A REQUEST. SO, THIS ITEM IS TO SPEED THAT PROCESS UP BECAUSE OF THE CURRENT CONDITION, AND THE POTENTIAL DOWNTIME AT THE POOL MAY EXPERIENCE AT THE THEATER FAILS BEFORE THE PROCESS WAS ABLE TO TAKE PLACE. A LITTLE BACKGROUND ON THE POOL, I THINK WE UNDERSTAND IT IS A TREMENDOUS COMMUNITY ASSET. THEY PROVIDE HUNDREDS IF NOT THOUSANDS IN THE COMMUNITY THAT PROVIDE A VALUABLE RECREATION RESOURCE, AND, YOU KNOW, MANY POST OFFICES HERE PROVIDE AGENT TO OUR COMMUNITY AT A PRICE THAT WE COULD NOT PERFORM IF WE HAD TO DO THAT WITHIN THE RECREATION DEPARTMENT. A LITTLE MORE BACKGROUND ON THE HEATER ITSELF, WE HAVE CHANGED THE HEAT EXCHANGER AND IT. AS YOU KNOW LAST YEAR, AS PART OF THE ENERGY PROJECT, IT SEEMS TO BE VERY POPULAR. THE CHLORINE GENERATION UNIT WAS REPLACED, BECAUSE IT WAS AGED AND NOT WORKING PROPERLY. THAT OLD UNIT WAS PRODUCING A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF MINERALS, WHICH WAS PRIMARILY SALT, WHICH CAKE TO THE INSIDE OF THE HEAT EXCHANGE FAILURES. THEY LOVE THE THOUGHTFUL BECAUSE THEY LOVE THE SALTWATER POOL, BUT IT UNFORTUNATELY DOESN'T WORK WELL ABOVE LIMITS, AND CREATE SOME FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS TO THAT. THERE HAVE BEEN IMPROVEMENTS, THERE ARE PENDING REPLACEMENTS THAT COULD DAMAGE THAT HEATER. THE SECONDARY DICTATOR, WHICH IS A SECONDARY HEATER ON IT. I CROSS MY FINGERS THAT IT WILL LAST UNTIL THE NEXT BUDGET, AND UPDATING TO BRING FORWARD CURRENT BUDGET NUMBERS FOR OUR CREDIT PRICES THAT ARE GOING THAT WERE RELAYED TO ME THAT THERE IS UP TO A FOUR MONTH LEAD TIME ON THIS. ONCE THE ORDER IS APPROVED. SO, FOUR MONTHS IS A LONG WAYS OUT. IT'S NOT JULY 1st, WHICH I WAS OPEN TO ACT VERY QUICKLY ON AND GET THIS PROJECT STARTED. THE WEST COUNTY COMMUNITY SWIMMERS HAS BEEN A TREMENDOUS ASSET AND A TREMENDOUS PARTNER WITH THE CITY IN THE PAST. THE STAFF REPORT SAYS THAT THEY HAVE CONTRIBUTED OVER HALF \$1,000,000.00, WHICH, IN 20 YEARS, THEY WERE ABLE TO ACTUALLY ACCOUNT FOR OVER \$760,000.00. WE REACHED OUT TO THE SWIMMERS AND ASKED, YOU KNOW, WHAT THEY COULD BEAR TO HELP OFFSET THE COST, AND THEY AGREED TO PAY FOR HALF OF THE UNIT, WHICH IS \$45,000.00, WHICH IS ACTUALLY \$15,000.00 MORE THAN THE INITIAL REQUEST FOR 40,000, WHICH THEY GRANTED. UNDERSTANDING THE FINANCIAL SITUATION THAT THE CITY IS IN AND UNDERSTANDING THE PUBLICATIONS IS THAT HEATER GOES DOWN FOR HER MONTHS, THEY WILL LOSE REVENUE. I WANT TO MAKE A POINT TO SAY THAT THE POOL PAYS FOR THE VERY ELECTRICITY THERE. AND GAS. THEY ALSO PAY FOR THE CHEMICALS. SO, IF THEY AREN'T CREATING INCOME BY UTILIZING BEING OPEN, THEIR ABILITY TO OFFSET THAT WILL DIMINISH WHERE THE CITY WILL HAVE TO PICK UP THOSE TABS. YES, IT WILL PROBABLY BE REDUCED BECAUSE THE POOL IS NOT IN USE, BUT WE WOULD STILL HAVE TO MAINTAIN THOSE FACILITIES AND COSTS. THERE ARE SEVERAL OPTIONS. WE PROVIDED FOUR OPTIONS FOR YOUR REVIEW TONIGHT. OPTION NUMBER ONE IS IF YOU COULD CONSIDER THE 42,800 FROM THE BUILDING AND IF A STRUCTURE FUND SO WE COULD GET THAT PROCESS STARTED, THE OTHER OPTION TWO IS THE CITY COVERED THE FULL COST. WE WOULD HAVE TO AMEND THE RESOLUTION. WE CAN DELAY THE DECISION ON ENDING UNTIL THE BUDGET HEARING LATER THIS YEAR, WHICH WE ALL KNOW MAY BE EXTENDED FOR A FEW MONTHS, WHICH WOULD THEN THEORETICALLY PUSH THIS HEATER REPLACEMENT TO JANUARY. OR THE POOL CAN JUST WAIT AND SEE IF IT FAILS OR NOT. I USE THE ANALOGY OF ITS PUBLIC WORKS, WE HAVE TO RUB OUR CRYSTAL BALL AND SEE IF SOMETHING WILL FAIL. I CAN TELL YOU THAT WHAT YOU ARE IN RIGHT

Agenda Item Number: 2

City Council Meeting Packet for Meeting of June 18, 2024

Page 30 of 51

NOW IS IN POOR CONDITION. THE BOTTOM IS ROTTEN OUT. THE HEAT EXCHANGER IS DRIPPING. IT IS ON ITS LAST LEGS. WILL IT LAST FOR ANOTHER YEAR? WITH CONTINUED MAINTENANCE AND CONTINUED REPAIRS? I DON'T BELIEVE SO, BUT I COULD. BUT THAT IS MY BEST PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT. IN THE INTEREST OF TIME AND DISCUSSION, I WILL AND WITH THAT, IF WE DO HAVE MEMBERS OF THE POOL BOARD HERE THAT THEY ANSWER QUESTIONS IF YOU HAVE ANYTHING OR THEM, THERE IS ONE OTHER ITEM IN DISCUSSION WITH THE CITY MANAGER TODAY. HE DID WANT ME, AND FULL TRANSPARENCY, WE ARE BRING THIS ITEM IS UNDER THE ITEM, BECAUSE WE DON'T FEEL THAT WE SHOULD WAIT FOR THE BUDGET HEARING. SO, IT IS AN URGENT ITEM. HE DID WANT ME TO MENTION THAT THERE IS ANOTHER POTENTIAL BUDGET ITEM COMING FOR FIRE CONSULTING ASSISTANCE THAT MAY BE COSTING THE FUTURE. HE WANTED ME TO MENTION THAT NOW, BECAUSE YOU GUYS WANT EVERYTHING UP FRONT, YOUR INFORMATION UP FRONT, WHICH MIGHT BE A COST. IF YOU WANT MORE INFORMATION ON THAT, I THINK HE IS ABLE TO SPEAK.

SO, I AM SORRY. I AM SEEING HIGHER AD HOC MEMBERS HERE SAYING NO. WELL, IS NOT RELATED TO THIS AGENDA ITEM.

IS NOT RELATED TO THE AGENDA ITEM, BUT HE WANTED ME TO MENTION IT.

OKAY, IT HAS BEEN MENTIONED, BUT I SEE AN AGREEMENT, NO NEED TO DISCUSS THAT ITEM. QUESTIONS?

YES. DANTE, CAN YOU ELABORATE ABOUT THIS WHOLE CHLORINE THING? SO, WHO WAS IT THAT WAS SUPPOSED TO MAKE THE DECISION ABOUT ADDING MORE THAT THEN CAUSED A PREMATURE FAILURE OF THIS? ULTIMATELY, WHO IS IN CHARGE OF MAKING THAT DECISION?

WE DID NOT ADD MORE. WE ACTUALLY MAKE OUR OWN CHLORINE. WE PUT A CHARGE TO A SALINE SOLUTION, WHICH THEN CREATES CHLORINE. THE GENERATOR WAS HOLDING THE PROCESS OF MAKING THE CHLORINE, AND THE SALTWATER PART OF THAT WITHOUT A HEART HIGHER RATE. SO, THE OLD SYSTEM THAT WE REPLACED WAS PRODUCING INFERIOR CHLORINE WITH TOO MUCH SALT.

RIGHT. BUT, I GUESS WHERE I'M GOING WITH THIS, AND THE FACT THAT SOMEHOW, SOMETHING LED TO A PREMATURE FAILURE IS WHAT I HEARD. SO, WHY AM ASKING THE QUESTION IS GIVEN WHERE WE ARE WITH OUR BUDGETS, I DON'T WANT TO SEE THE SAME THING HAPPEN AGAIN IS REALLY WHAT MY POINT IS. SO, IS THERE ANYTHING TO DO DO NOT HAVE THAT HAPPEN IF WE DECIDE TO GET A WHOLE ANOTHER SET HERE?

WE HAVE ALREADY REPLACED THAT UNIT, AND WE WILL CONTINUE TO MEASURES TO GRAVITY TO KEEP THAT SALINE SOLUTION UNDER. THAT IS ONE THING THAT WE HAVE WRAPPED UP, AS PUBLIC WORKS STAFF. PUBLIC WORKS STAFF ITSELF HANDLES THE MECHANISM OF THE POOL.

OKAY. WHEN YOU SAY WE, YOU MAY PUBLIC WORKS STAFF WATER TO THAT X OKAY, HAVE A COUPLE OF MORE QUESTIONS, BUT I WILL LET IT SIT.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

SO, SINCE WE DON'T KNOW WHEN IT IS GOING TO FAIL , BUT IT COULD BE ANY TIME, MIKE WESTON IS AM I DO THINK ABOUT ME WITH MY OWN APPLIANCES. IF WE ORDERED IT NOW, WOULD YOU SET IT ON THE SHELF SOMEWHERE IN PUBLIC WORKS UNTIL THE HEATER FAILED? AND THEN WE WOULD PUT IT IN? OR WOULD WE REPLACE THE HEATER AS SOON AS IT ARRIVES?

THE INTENT WAS TO ORDER IT AND THEN REPLACE IT ON IT SCHEDULE WITH THE POOL BOARD TO KIND OF OFFSET THE TIMING. WE COULD PUT IT ON THE SHELF UNTIL CRITICAL FAILURE, AND THEN SHUT DOWN SOME WARM LOCATIONS ON POOL OPERATIONS, BUT I COULD BE AN OPTION.

I WOULD BE INTERESTED, YOU KNOW, AND HOW THE DECISION WOULD BE MADE, YOU KNOW, BECAUSE IF WE CAN STRETCH THIS HEATER OUT LONGER AND WAIT TO OF THE MANY NEW HEATER, I'M JUST CURIOUS.

THE ONLY APPLICATIONS WOULD BE PART OF THE QUOTE THAT I RECEIVED, THAT INCLUDES PURCHASE AND INSTALLATION. SO, THE PURCHASE PRICE CAN BE CARVED OUT, AND INSTALLATION WOULD BE AT THE TIME OF INSTALLATION. AND WE ARE IN THE PROCESS OF GETTING MULTIPLE BIDS AS WELL, NOT JUST THE ONE PROVIDED.

OKAY. GO AHEAD.

YEAH, YOU DID SAY MEMBERS OF THE POOL BOARD WERE HERE TO HAVE QUESTIONS DIRECTED TOWARDS THEM? MADAM MAYOR, IS THAT PERMISSIBLE?

YES, OF COURSE. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, DID YOU ALSO HAVE A QUESTION? THAT WAS MY QUESTION. IF WE COULD HEAR FROM BOARD MEMBERS FROM THE POOL JUST TO HELP US SPEAK TO THIS.

THANK YOU. DO WE HAVE SOMEONE FROM THE POOL BOARD? I THINK WE NEED A SPOKESPERSON TO COME TO THE PODIUM. WHO IS THE SPOKESPERSON? YOU CAN SUB OUT IF YOU NEED TO. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

SO, I WILL JUST START OUT AND ATTENDED THE BUDGET HEARINGS. EACH YEAR, YOU'RE REQUESTING SOME MONEY FROM THE CITY TO OFFSET SOME OF THE ONGOING LOSSES, THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING. MY SPECIFIC REQUEST IS HAVE YOU CONSIDERED INCREASING THE RATES TO CONSIDER GOING BEYOND CAPITAL COST? INCREASING THE RATE, CLEARLY STATING THIS IS TO OFFSET CAPITAL COST, YOU CAN'T PAY THE NORMAL RATE THAT YOU NORMALLY WOULD PAY. HAVE YOU IN THE BOARD DISCUSSED THIS?

WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT IT INFORMALLY THAT HAS NOT COME UP AT THE FULL MEETING, BUT THAT IS IN JULY.

THE LAST TIME I SAW THE BUDGET HEARING WAS A WHILE AGO, AND I THOUGHT YOU SAID YOU WOULD TAKE IT TO THE BOARD. I WAS NOT AVAILABLE TO BRING THAT UP. I WAS OUT FOR THREE WEEKS.

MA'AM, COULD WE HAVE YOU COME TO THE MICROPHONE, PLEASE?

SHE IS REFERRING TO THE CONTRACT WE HAVE WITH THE CITY THAT IS VERY SPECIFIC ABOUT THE ROLES WE ALL PLAY.

OKAY. THIS MUST BE AN EXPANSION AND NOT AN ANSWER TO THE QUESTION?

YES. I AM THE TREASURER OF THE BOARD. SO, I KNOW THE NUMBERS UPSIDE DOWN. THE CONTRACT THAT WE HAVE WITH THE CITY IS THAT THE SWIMMERS, AS IT IS CALLED IN THE CONTRACT, ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR RUNNING THE POOL, GIVING THE LESSONS, AND MAKING SURE EVERYTHING WORKS. THE CITY OWNS ALL OF THE EQUIPMENT, THEY OWN THE LAND, THE INFRASTRUCTURE, THEY GET THE DEPRECIATION OFF OF ANYTHING THAT IS PURCHASED, AND THAT, WHEN POSSIBLES, THE SWIMMERS COOPERATE TO HELP PAY FOR THINGS, WHICH WE HAVE TO THE TUNE OF \$750,000.00 IN 20 YEARS. IF YOU WANT TO KATE CHANGE THE CONTRACT, AND WE CAN GO BACK INTO CONTRACT NEGOTIATIONS, RAISING THE PRICES, THE CITY CAN AFFORD TO KEEP ITS INFRASTRUCTURE UP IS NOT THE WAY THE CONTRACT IS WRITTEN.

ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU FOR THAT INFORMATION. I THINK WE HAVE OTHER QUESTIONS FOR THE SWIMMERS? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

NO, I THINK WAS VERY HELPFUL. I WANTED TO HEAR FROM THEM. THANK YOU.

GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FOR THE POOL BOARD HERE? NO. THINK YOU VERY MUCH.

YOU KNOW WHAT? I DO HAVE ONE MORE QUESTION.

HOLD ON, IF YOU COULD.

COULD YOU EXPAND A LITTLE ON, YOU KNOW, IF WE WAITED IT OUT TO SEE WHAT HAPPENS WITH ITS, AND IF IT BREAKS AND YOU ARE DOWN FOR FOUR MONTHS, IT WILL HAVE A SIGNIFICANT IMPACT ON YOU. IS THAT CORRECT?

SPEAKING BROADLY, YES.

MEANING YOU WOULD CLOSE -- WE NEED TO CLOSE.

CAN YOU TURN THE MICROPHONE ON?

EVEN ON THE SUMMER, A DAY LIKE THIS TODAY, I DON'T NEED TO HIT THE POOL, BUT MOST OF THE DAYS, WE HIT THE POOL A LITTLE BIT. THE PROBLEM IN SEBASTOPOL IS THE NIGHTS ARE VERY COLD, AND SOMETIMES ON THE SUMMER, IT GOES TO 45, WE NEED TO PUT IT UNDER THE HEATER.

THANK YOU.

I DON'T KNOW WHO WOULD ANSWER THIS, AND WE HAVE A CONTRACT. IS THAT A TERMED CONTRACT? OR IS IT JUST IN PERPETUITY? I AM JUST CURIOUS SINCE IT CAME UP. THE CONTRACT IS WRITTEN SO THAT IT COMES BACK EVERY YEAR AS RENEWED. EVERY YEAR?

IT KEEPS GOING ON.

OKAY.

THANK YOU. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC? YES, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

THIS IS A MESSAGE THAT I HAVE ATTRACTED TO MARY. IT SEEMS LIKE THE STAFF REPORT SAYS, OKAY, WELL, IF WE DECIDE TO PAY THIS 42,000, IT WILL COME FROM THE BUILDING FUND. WELL, I WANTED TO KNOW HOW MUCH WOULD BE LEFT IF WE DID AUTHORIZE THIS. MARY WAS NICE ENOUGH TO RESPOND BACK AND CC ALL OF US ON 535 -- 531 TONIGHT, I AM STILL A LITTLE BIT CONFUSED. I DON'T KNOW IF I'M MISSING IT. HOW MUCH IS LEFT? HOW MUCH DO WE ANTICIPATE WE WOULD STILL NEED TO PAY FOR THINGS WITH THE RESIDUAL AMOUNT BETWEEN NOW AND THE FISCAL YEAR.

I WILL SUGGEST YOU TAKE YOUR SEATS AND WE WILL CALL YOU BACK IF WE NEED YOU. THANK YOU. WE DO HAVE A BUILDING AND IF A STRUCTURE FUND THAT IS ALLOCATED FUNDS, AND THE QUESTION IS IF WE DRAW THIS MONEY FROM THAT FUND, WHAT WILL REMAIN IN THAT FUND THAT DOESN'T HAVE A HUGE AMOUNT OF MONEY ANYWAYS? I WILL HAVE OUR ADMINISTRATION OF SERVICES DIRECTOR.

JUST ME A MINUTE, PLEASE.

SURE. AND THAT HAVE A QUESTION WHILE WE ARE WAITING TO THAT. I THINK IT IS A QUESTION FOR DANTE. THIS IS FOLLOW-UP TO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WHILE TALKING ABOUT INSTALLATION VERSUS THE COST OF THE EQUIPMENT. WE HAVE HEARD THAT THE GOVERNMENT IS GOING TO TAKE ABOUT FOUR MONTHS. WE KNOW OUR BUDGET PROCESS IS MOVING FORWARD. THE URGENCY SEEMS TO BE TO ORDER THE EQUIPMENT NOW, BUT INSTALLATION IS LIKELY TO BE FOUR MONTHS FROM NOW. HOW MUCH OF THIS IS EQUIPMENT, AND HOW MUCH IS INSTALLATION?

I DID NOT ASK FOR A BREAKDOWN. NOT FOR WOMEN AND LABOR TO BE SEPARATED. I WOULD HAVE TO DO THAT WITH THE CONTRACT MOVING FORWARD.

YOU HAVE ANY INSTINCTS ABOUT IT? WILL THIS BE MOSTLY EQUIPMENT?

NO, THE UNIT ITSELF IS VERY EXPENSIVE. IT IS ESTIMATED FOR TWO DAYS WORTH OF WORK, TWO DAYS AND TWO GUYS IN HER VEILING WAGE. THEY COULD BE EXPENSIVE, BUT I DON'T THINK IT IS \$10,000.00. OKAY, ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC FOR COMMENTS?

I'M SORRY. GO AHEAD. YES, NO, YOU HAD THE ANSWER I THINK.

I WILL HAVE A MINISTRY TO SERVICES, AND MAKE SURE I AM CORRECT ON THIS. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT IF WE PUT THE 42,008 60 FROM THE BUILDING RESERVE FUND, WE WILL HAVE 864,000 AND SOME CHANGE IN THERE? HOWEVER, I DO NOT KNOW WHAT IS PROPOSED YET FOR THE UPCOMING BUDGET, SO I DON'T HAVE THAT FIGURE IN FRONT OF ME. I DON'T KNOW IF YOU GUYS DO YET, BECAUSE WE HAVE A CONDO THE BUDGET YET. GREAT, THANK YOU.

BEFORE WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC, I JUST WANT TO SHARE THAT I DID HAVE A CONVERSATION WITH OUR SUPERVISOR WHO ENCOURAGED US FREE PORTION OF THIS EXPENSE, WE HAVE FUNDS IN YOUR DISCRETIONARY AMOUNT AT THE MOMENT TO COVER ANY PORTION OF THIS COST, BUT SHE WAS ENCOURAGING ABOUT IT AND INVITING A REQUEST FOR A PARTIAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR A REIMBURSE OF THE CITY MAY ALLOCATE FOR THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT. SHE WAS VERY SUPPORTIVE. SHE FELT STRONGLY THAT IT WOULD BE GOOD TO REPLACE HIS HEATER. SO, GOING OUT TO THE PUBLIC?

THANK YOU, MAYOR.

THIS IS A QUESTION FOR OUR FORMER CITY MANAGER ATTORNEY. THE POOL NEEDED HELP WITH SOMETHING AND REPLACE TO THIS OR THAT. DID YOU HAVE DISCRETIONARY MONEY WERE YOU JUST WENT AHEAD AND TOOK CARE OF IT? OR DID EVERY DECISION, FOR THE COUNCIL IN TERMS OF WHAT THE POOL NEEDED?

ULTIMATELY, I WOULD SAY MOST DECISIONS CAME BEFORE THE COUNCIL, BUT THERE WERE A NUMBER OF TIMES WHERE THE EXPENSE WAS GOOD DID AN EMERGENCY EXPENDITURE, AND THE PRIOR COUNSEL HAD AUTHORIZED ME TO GO AHEAD, AND, IN THAT IN THIS, KEEP THE POOL OPERATIONAL BY MAKING THE EMERGENCY EXPENDITURE IN MANY CASES THAT THAT HAD TO GO BACK TO THE COUNCIL AFTER THE FACT FOR VERIFICATION. BOTH OF THE CITY MANAGER AND CURRENT ONE DOES AS WELL. THAT'S EMERGENCY SPENDING DISCRETION, I UTILIZE THAT FOR THE POOL IN THE PAST.

THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT, GOING OUT TO THE PUBLIC FOR COMMENTS.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE AGENDA ITEM REQUESTING FUNDING FOR THE ICE POOL HEATER. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM, I WILL COME TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST, AND THEN I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE GO AHEAD. SURE, YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES.

WHEN I HEAR THE MONEY GOING BACK AND FORTH THAT THE GROUP IS TALKING ABOUT, THESE ARE MANY THAT THE CITY IS RESPONSIBLE FOR WITH THE MAINTENANCE. BUT I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT THE POOL BOARD IN 21 YEARS HAS EVER COME BEFORE THE COUNCIL AND SAID, HEY, WE HAVE MISMANAGED, WE HAVE MADE MISTAKES, WE NEED OPERATING FUNDS, GIVE THE CAN YOU GIVE US \$150,000.00? WE HAVE NEVER COME BEFORE YOU TO DO THAT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I'LL GO OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER, CAN YOU NEED YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YES, I CAN.

THANK YOU, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN, BUT I WILL BE QUICK. THIS IS ONE OF THE CLASSIC SITUATIONS WHERE AM ASSUMING THE CITY PAYS FOR THE WATER. A LOT OF PEOPLE COME IN FROM THE COUNTY TO SUMMON THE POOL, INCLUDING -- ON A MINUTE, I JUST HAVE SOMETHING THAT POPPED UP. LOOKS LIKE YOU ARE DONE TALKING. PEOPLE COME IN FROM THE SURROUNDING AREA TO SUMMON THE ICE POOL, WHICH IS GREAT. WE HAVE AN INCREDIBLY HARD-WORKING PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT TO, YOU KNOW, KEEP EVERYTHING RUNNING. I BELIEVE HOPKINS SWENSON OUR POOL EVERY MORNING, OUR SUPERVISOR, BUT SHE DOESN'T HAVE ANY MONEY TO HELP SUBSIDIZE OUR POOL. THIS IS A SITUATION WHEREIN IT'S AN ABSOLUTE SNAPSHOT OF WHERE WE ARE IN 2024. YOU KNOW, WE ARE BASICALLY REALLY OVER PERFORMING WITH THE HOMELESS, WITH THE POOL, AND ALL KINDS OF THINGS FOR THE LOCAL COMMUNITY IN THE COUNTY, BUT WE GET NOTHING BACK. I JUST FEEL THAT IS REALLY BAD. SO, I THINK THE ICE POOL IS A REAL TREASURE, AND WE SHOULD REALLY LOOK AFTER IT AS BEST WE CAN, BUT REALLY, THE COUNTY SHOULD BE STEPPING UP AND HEAVILY SUBSIDIZING THIS IN MY OPINION. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, OLIVER, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IS THERE ANYONE IN CHAMBERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? I'M SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU AND YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YES, I CAN.

THANK YOU. CAN YOU STILL SEE THE TIMER?

YES.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

IS INTERESTING TO HEAR THE APPROACH THAT WE CONTINUE TO BE TAKING UNDER NEW CITY MANAGEMENT. WE HAVE A PRETTY EXTENSIVE DIALOGUE ABOUT THE WAY THAT OUR BUDGET COMMITTEE WAS INTENDED TO WORK, AND ALL UP UNTIL THE END OF LAST YEAR, THE SCHEDULING FOR THOSE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEES WERE FAIRLY CONSISTENT, AND THE COMMITTEE DID A LOT OF EXCELLENT WORK. WE ARE IN A FISCAL EMERGENCY, RIGHT? YOU GUYS ORDERED OFFICIAL EMERGENCIES. IT'S REALLY CONCERNING UNDER NEW CURRENT MANAGEMENT, THE SEA OF DEPLETED ATTEMPTS TO CIRCUMVENT THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE PROCESS. SO, WE DEFTLY NEED TO MAYBE HAVE THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE WEIGH IN ON THIS VERY IDEA THAT HERE WE ARE, AGAIN, HAVING CITY MANAGERS ATTEMPT TO BYPASS THAT PROCESS, AND BRING ITEMS BEFORE COUNSEL BEFORE THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE CAN EVEN LOOK INTO IT. WE ABSOLUTELY NEED TO HEAR ABOUT PRIOR AD HOC IDEAS, DANTE, BECAUSE THE REAR ANY SITUATION WHERE WE HAVE NOT HAVE REPORTING OUT FROM THE AD HOC, THEY HAVE NOT BEEN AGENDAS, AND THAT WE ARE HEARING INFORMATION ABOUT POTENTIAL HIRING OF A CONTRACTOR, THAT IS SOME MONEY. LET'S HEAR ABOUT THE MONEY. LET'S NOT PUSH THIS OFF AND POLITICIZE IT AND DILUTE IT FOR THERE. LET'S HEAR ABOUT IT TONIGHT. SO, WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO ABOUT THE POOL? WE NEED TO STOP PUTTING OURSELVES IN SITUATIONS WHERE WE HAVE EMERGENCY FUNDING.

HAVE TO MAKE FINANCIAL DECISIONS AND THE 11th HOUR, AND THAT IS THE REASON WHY THE FINANCIAL DECISION GETS MADE. THE LAST THING I WILL SUGGEST IS I WOULD LOVE TO HEAR DANTE'S ANALYSIS ON POOL COVERS AS A HEATING METHOD. TELL ME WHAT YOUR RESEARCH HAS TOLD YOU. BECAUSE I AM HEARING IS THAT THAT IS ACTUALLY A METHOD TO USE. YOU TELL ME WHAT YOU USE.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS. IS THERE ANYONE IN CHAVERS WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? I AM SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. MARIA, CAN YOU NEED YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YES, HI, I WAS NOT PLANNING TO SPEAK ON THIS, BUT SINCE I AM STILL ON THE CALL, I GUESS I AM SPEAKING AS A ROTARIAN, AND ON BEHALF OF THE CHAMBER. THE ROTARY CLUB HOST THE SWIM PROGRAM, WHICH IS THE PROGRAM THAT TEACHES SECOND GRADERS HOW TO SWIM, AND IT IS A LIFE-SAVING PROGRAM. IT'S ONE OF THE ONLY PROGRAMS LIKE IT IN THE COUNTRY. I DON'T KNOW, DIANA, YOU ARE IN THE SAME ROTARY CLUB IS ME. YOU MIGHT KNOW HOW LONG IT HAS BEEN AROUND. BUT I AM THINKING 50 SOME YEARS. IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT PROGRAM, AND THE HEALTH OF THE POOL IS VITAL FOR THE CONTINUATION OF THE PROGRAM. SO, I JUST WANTED TO THROW THAT IN THE MIX.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS.

YEP.

SORRY? I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IT IS THERE ANYONE IN CHAMBERS? I'M SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM IT. LINDA, CAN YOU NEED YOURSELF, PLEASE? LINDA, CAN YOU MEET YOURSELF? THERE YOU GO. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

[INAUDIBLE - MUFFLED] I WOULD LIKE TO CORRECT MYSELF AS THE MAYOR DID . I USE THE WORD BULLDOZED IN REFERRING TO A THING.

I'M SORRY, LINDA, KENNY PLEASE FOCUS ON THIS ISSUE? THANK YOU.

ABOUT THE WATER HEATER, I THINK IT WOULD BE GREAT IF THE MAYOR AND CITY COUNCIL WAS NOT LISTENING TO PEOPLE . ONE OF THEM WAS RAISING MONEY, SPECIFICALLY IN THIS CASE, THE WATER HEATER, IT HAS BEEN MENTIONED TIME AND TIME AGAIN, YOU KNOW? TO EXPLORE OTHER SOURCES OF REVENUE. KYLE, OLIVER, MYSELF, AND OTHERS, WE ARE NOT BEING HEARD. ANYWAYS, ONE OF THEM, IN MY OPINION, WITH RAISING MONEY FOR REPLACING THE WATER HEATER IS A FUNDRAISER. EVERYBODY KNOWS THAT THE POOL IS WELL LOVED AND APPARENTLY WELL USED -- YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.

IT'S SIMPLY TO PUT IT OUT TO THE PUBLIC AS A VERY GENEROUS CENTER FOR CITIZENS IN SEBASTOPOL WHO HAVE THROWN A TON OF MONEY TO THE HOMELESS THING WHO THINK VERY QUICKLY THEY CAN GIVE ENOUGH MONEY FOR POOL HEATERS. IT'S NOT LIKE ONE PERSON CAN COUGH IT UP. WE ARE LIVING IN A TOWN OF A BUNCH OF MILLIONAIRES.

THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IS THERE ANYONE IN CHAMBERS?

THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK BRIEFLY. MY NAME IS VIRGINIA. I HAVE BEEN ON AND OFF ON THE POOL BOARD FOR PROBABLY 14 OF THE LAST 20 YEARS, AND I USE THE POOL ALL THE TIME. I JUST WANT TO SPEAK TO THE IDEA OF PLANNED REPLACEMENT VERSUS REACTIONARY REPLACEMENT, WHICH IS LIKE, FOR EXAMPLE, IF THE HEATER GOES DOWN TODAY, AND WE HAD TO CLOSE FOR THE NEXT EVEN FOUR WEEKS, THEORETICALLY, WE HAVE HUNDREDS OF KIDS, I DON'T KNOW HOW MANY SENIORS. IT COULD HAVE BEEN THE ROTARY PROGRAM IF IT HAD BEEN THREE WEEKS AGO. SO, AT ANY GIVEN MOMENT, THE POOL IS OPEN FROM BEFORE SUNRISE UNTIL AFTER SUNSET, AND IT IS PACKED WITH ALL KINDS OF PEOPLE. SO, IF THERE IS A WAY, LIKE, THE FACT THAT DANTE OBSERVED THIS AND BROUGHT THIS FORWARD, IF THERE IS A WAY TO GET THIS REPLACED IN A PLANNED CLOSURE EXPEDIENTLY, IT WILL REALLY SERVE THE COMMUNITY. I REALLY SUGGEST THAT IT IS PROBABLY FINANCIALLY MORE REASONABLE TOO. SO, THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

THANK YOU FREE PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IS THERE ANYONE OUT ON YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE IT PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND, PLEASE AND MEET YOUR PHONE, OR PLEASE WAIVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. I AM SEEING NONE. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS? I AM SEEING NONE. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NOW THAT WE ARE BACK AT THE DAIS, I WILL ASK THE BUDGET COMMITTEE TO WEIGH IN ON THIS ITEM. I DON'T KNOW THAT IT ACTUALLY CAME BEFORE THEM, BUT IT IS WORTHWHILE TO GET THEIR PERSPECTIVE. SO, IF WE CAN HAVE THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WILL WEIGH IN ON THIS?

YEAH. I WANT TO THANK THE POOL BOARD MEMBERS THAT ARE HERE TONIGHT, AND I WANT TO THINK THE POOL MANAGER FOR YOUR SERVICE AND COMMUNITY BENEFITS FOR ALL OF US. WE HAVE A FRIEND WHO IS A LIFEGUARD DOWN AT THE POOL, AND SHE TOLD US OF THE PROBLEMS WITH THE HEATER, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN, YOU KNOW, JUST TRYING TO KEEP IT GOING. THIS IS A REAL NEED THAT YOU ALL HAVE. WE HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER ITEMS TONIGHT, AND IN ORDER TO JUST, YOU KNOW, GET THIS GOING, I WANT TO MAKE A MOTION. I KNOW OTHER PEOPLE SOUGHT TO COMMENTS, BUT I WOULD MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE \$42,860.00 FROM THE BUILDING OF A STRUCTURE AND FACILITY RESERVES FUND FOR THE COST OF RELEASING THE POOL HEATER. AND I WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE THE POOL BOARD WHO STARTED OUT I THINK IT WAS THREE MONTHS AGO OFFERING \$30,000.00 TO REPLACE THE HEATER, AND YOU HAVE COME UP OF ALMOST 15,000. SO, I THANK YOU FOR THAT. SO, I HAVE A MOTION ON THE TABLE.

SO, PROCEDURALLY, WE LOOK FOR A SECOND, AND WE COME TO COMMENT. IF THERE IS NO SECOND, WE GO DIRECTLY TO COMMENT.

I SECOND THAT.

OKAY, I SEE A SECOND FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS , AND NOW WE ARE AT COMMENTS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I'M READY TO GO ON, WHENEVER AM CALLED ON.

OKAY. I DO WANT TO HEAR FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE ON THIS ITEM. I'M STILL WAITING TO HEAR.

I'M HAPPY TO ATTRIBUTE THAT, AND YES, WE HAVE HAD THE THOROUGH DISCUSSION OF BUDGET HEARING, AND I AM NOT ENTIRELY SURE, BUT I REMEMBER THE PUBLIC SAYING THAT WE NEED TO FIGURE OUT THE PROCESS FOR THE BUDGET HEARING, MAKE IT MORE ACCESSIBLE, AND I CANNOT AGREE MORE. I THINK WE ARE TRYING TO MAKE SURE THAT IF WE HEAR SOMETHING, THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAS HAD A HEARING ON THE SPECIFIC ISSUE THAT WE REPORTED OUT. BECAUSE HAD WE BEEN ABLE TO REPORT IT OUT, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER WAS 100% IN FAVOR OF WHATEVER THE REQUEST WAS. SHE WILL FIND IT. I HAVE A LITANY OF REASONS WHY I WASN'T GOING TO FUND IT. SOME OF WHICH I DEFINITELY INVADE BY WAY OF MY QUESTIONS HERE TODAY. AND THAT IS BASICALLY IT. SO, WE DIFFERED. THE REASONS WHY WE DIFFERED WAS OUR OWN ASSESSMENT OF FACTS. I HOPE THAT THAT IS SOME INDICATION OF A REPORT ON A BUDGET COMMITTEE. JUST BY WAY OF MY COMMENTS, AND THAT I WILL SAY THE SAME THING ON TAPE WITH THE BUDGET HEARING. I DON'T REALLY KNOW WHY AND FOR WHAT REASON THIS IS NOT SELF-SUSTAINING. I HAVE A FEELING, BASED ON WHAT I HEARD AT THE BUDGET HEARING TONIGHT, FOR THE PEOPLE IN THE AUDIENCE, THE FACT THAT THIS NEEDS TO BE REVISITED. SO, I WILL NOT BE IN FAVOR OF GIVING \$42,860.00 UNLESS IT IS CONTINGENT ON THERE BEING A REOPENING OF THE LEASE IN ORDER TO BE REALLY CLEAR ON WHO AND WHAT IS RESPONSIBLE TO MAKE THIS SELF-SUSTAINING. MEANING THE FACT THAT THERE WILL NOT BE REPEATED QUESTIONS, AND ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, TO HAVE TAXPAYERS MONEY SPENT ON THESE PARTICULAR CAPITAL ITEMS.

THANK YOU. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I THINK YOU ARE NEXT.

YES, I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS A COUPLE OF THINGS. ONE IS, WHAT WE DO HAVE A BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE PROCESS, AND WHILE I SERVED ON THAT FOR FIVE YEARS, WE FREQUENTLY HAVE -- ENOUGH REALLY, BUT I AM SURE OUR OLD CITY MANAGER CAN ATTEST THAT AS THINGS COME UP, THEY COME TO THE FULL COUNCIL. ESPECIALLY IN AN EMERGENCY ITEM LIKE THIS THAT AFFECTS THE POOL. AND I WILL CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THAT PROCESS. I SUPPORT THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE PROCESS, BUT WE ARE ALL FIVE SEPARATE ELECTED OFFICIALS THAT ITEMS CAN COME FORTH AND WE CAN TAKE A VOTE IN THE PUBLIC. WE DON'T HAVE A PARKS AND REC'S DEPARTMENT IN THE

CITY, SO, WE HAVE ALWAYS RELIED ON NONPROFITS TO HELP US RUN OUR COMMUNITY SERVICES. THAT INCLUDES THE COMMITTEE CENTER, THE POOL, THE SENIOR SERVICE, AND WE HAVE HAD THESE CONTRACTS IN PLACE THAT THE CITY MAINTAINS THEM, WE ON THE PROPERTY, WE ON THE INFRASTRUCTURE, JUST LIKE THE POOL. NO DISRESPECT, BUT I KNOW ABOUT THIS CONTRACT, AND I SUPPORT IT. I THINK THE NONPROFIT THAT RUNS THE SWIMMERS, IT IS YOUR JOB TO ANALYZE YOUR RATES FOR THE SWIMMERS, AND TO SET THOSE. HAVING USING THE POOL FOR YEARS, I DON'T HAVE A POOL IN MY BACKYARD. I HAVE HELD BIRTH A LOT PARTIES THERE, I HAVE GONE TO AEROBICS IN THE MORNING, AND I DO APPRECIATE OUR SUPERVISOR HOPKINS, WHO I UNDERSTAND SHE IS OUT OF MONEY THIS FISCAL YEAR, BUT THE NEW FISCAL YEAR STARTS FOR THEM I THINK ALSO JULY 1st, COMING IN TO HOPEFULLY HELP US OUT. BECAUSE AS I HAVE USED THE POOL, MY FAVORITE AEROBICS TEACHER, BRUCE, I KNOW HE COMES ALL THE WAY FROM BURNSVILLE, AND A LOT OF PEOPLE DO COME FROM OUTSIDE OUR CITY TO USE THE SERVICES, BECAUSE I THINK WE ARE THE ONLY PUBLIC POOL IN THE WEST COUNTY. SO, YOU KNOW, I 100% SUPPORT THIS TONIGHT. I SO APPRECIATE YOU GUYS STEPPING UP. I KNOW THAT WE HAVEN'T GIVEN YOU OPERATING EXPENSES, AND WE HAVE GIVEN OTHER NONPROFITS OPERATING IN OUR BUILDINGS AS EXPENSES. SO, I APPRECIATE EVERYTHING YOU DO, AND IT WILL BE SUPPORTING THIS TONIGHT.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

HAVING BEEN SO FULL INVOLVED IN THE COMMITTEE CENTERS, I KNOW WE HAVE THE CONTRACT IN PLACE SIMPLY BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE PARKS AND REC. I KNOW THEY HAVE THEIR SERVICES THROUGH THE CITY AND SUCH, BUT BECAUSE WE HAVE NEVER BEEN ABLE TO FULLY AFFORD THAT, I THINK I AM GRATEFUL THAT ALL THESE BOARDS HAVE TAKEN ON AND MAINTAINED THESE PROPERTIES. I THINK THAT AS A CITY, IT GETS TO A POINT WHERE WE ARE HAPPY WITH THAT THAT WE AS A COUNCIL NEED TO REASSESS TOGETHER, YOU KNOW, AND LOOK AT ALL OF THAT, AND WHATEVER IS DECIDED IS DECIDED, AND WE NEED TO HONOR THAT. I JUST APPRECIATE THE FACT THAT YOU ACTUALLY OFFER TO PAY MORE. I SECONDED IT, SIENNA NWORA MATT, BUT IT IS IMPORTANT FOR EVERYONE TO UNDERSTAND THAT THIS IS THE PARKS AND REC'S. THIS HOW IT WORKS WITH THE CITY. THANK YOU.

MY COMMENT IS A REQUEST. I SUPPORT THIS ITEM, AND I SUPPORT IT EVEN IN THE MIDST OF THIS FISCAL CRISIS THAT WE FACE, AND THAT IS A HARD DECISION FOR ME, BECAUSE ANY ITEMS COME UP, I AM BASICALLY A NO. BUT THIS ONE, I THINK THE DOWNSIDE THIS IS HUGE FOR OUR COMMUNITY, AND THE UPSIDE IS HUGE ALSO. I WOULD ALSO ASK WHETHER THE INDIVIDUAL WHO MADE THE MOTION AND THE SECOND WOULD BE OPENED TO MODIFYING IT TO RECOVER THE CONDITION OF THE MOTION THAT THERE BE A REQUEST DIRECTED TO LINDA HOPKINS OFFICE TO ASSIST A PORTION OF THE EXPENDITURE FOR THIS WATER HEATER OUT OF HER DISCRETIONARY FUNDS.

I THINK THAT IS A GREAT IDEA. AND FOR FUTURE FUNDING, MAYBE.

OKAY. I AM SO SORRY. THIS IS THE MOTION TO APPROVE THE EXPENDITURE, SUBJECT TO A REQUIREMENT THAT THE CITY MAKE A FORMAL REQUEST OF OUR SUPERVISOR, LINDA HOPKINS, FOR FULL REIMBURSEMENT OR PARTIAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR THIS EXPENSE OUT OF THIS DISCRETIONARY FUND AFTER THE BEGINNING OF THIS NEXT FISCAL YEAR. I LIKE THAT MOVIE, TOO.

WHAT SHE SAID.

OKAY, WE HAVE A FIRST AND A SECOND. MARY, CAN YOU RESTATE THE MOTION FOR US INTO THE ROLL CALL, PLEASE?

I DID RESTATE IT, BUT I ALSO ASKED IF WE COULD HAVE THE MOVER IN THE SECOND AMENDED TO ALSO INCLUDE THE RESOLUTION FOR THE BUDGET AMENDMENT.

THANK YOU. SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS TO APPROVE THE \$42,682 FOR THE BUILDING STRUCTURE FOR THE COST OF RELEASING THE POOL HEATER, APPROVING AND ADOPTING THE BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR THIS AMOUNT, AND ARE CAUSING THAT THE CITY MAKE A FORMAL REQUEST TO SUPERVISOR HOPKINS TO FULL OR PARTIAL REIMBURSEMENT FOR EXPENSES OUT OF THE DISCRETIONARY FUNDS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? 14

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

YES.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

NO.

MAYOR RICH?

YES.

THAT PASSES 4-1 WITH VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN VOTING IN OPPOSITION.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THANK YOU TO OUR POOL BOARD MEMBERS AND THE AQUATIC DIRECTORS FOR BEING PRESENT THIS EVENING. WE WILL NOW MOVE ON TO ITEM NUMBER SIX, WHICH IS A PUBLIC HEARING, AND I WANT TO ALERT TO THE COUNCIL THAT IF WE MANAGED TO SPEND 30 TO 45 MINUTES ON EACH OF THESE ITEMS, WE WILL GET THROUGH THE ESSENTIAL ITEMS, WHICH WOULD BE THROUGH ITEM NUMBER EIGHT. I HAVE BEEN INFORMED THAT THESE ARE ESSENTIAL ITEMS THAT WE NEED TO GET THROUGH TONIGHT. SO, THIS NEXT ITEM IS A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE CONSIDERATION AND AUTHORIZATION OF THE SEBASTOPOL SOLID WASTE COLLECTION SERVICES RATES CHARGED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY AGREEMENT. SECTION 7.3 OF THE AGREEMENT PROVIDES FOR ANNUAL ADJUSTMENTS, SOLID WASTE RATES ON AN INDEX ON OUR ONE. THE NEW MONTHLY RATES ON THE TABLE BELOW, IT IS INCLUDED IN THE AGENDA, TO BE INCLUDED BY THE CITY COUNCIL AND PROPOSED TO BE EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2024, AND WHO, ON STAFF, WE ARE LOOKING THROUGH OUR VERY ABLE ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER TO DIRECTIONS ITEM.

AND WE LOOK AT THIS WAY BEFORE 30 MINUTES. SO, AS A COUNCIL IS AWARE, THROUGH OUR CURRENT FRANCHISE AGREEMENT, WE ARE REQUIRED EACH YEAR TO REVIEW THE REFUGE RATE INDEX AS PART OF OUR CONTRACT. TONIGHT ITEM IS FOR THE COUNCIL TO REVIEW THE RATES AND CITY APPROVAL OF THE CONTRACT AGREEMENT. ATTACHED TO THE AGENDA ITEM, THE CITY DID RECEIVE THE LETTER WITH WHERE WE ARE REQUESTING THE ANNUAL RATE ADJUSTMENT EFFECTIVE JULY 1st, 2024. THE ANNUAL RATE ADJUSTMENT ARE SIMILAR TO THE CPI ADJUSTMENTS AND IS TIED TO THE INFLATION. THE RATE INCREASE IS AN OBLIGATION IN OUR CONTRACT, AND IS STANDARD PRACTICE. OUR RATES, EVEN WITH THE INCREASE IN THIS, IS COMPARABLE TO OTHER JURISDICTIONS. AS YOU HAVE STATED BEFORE, IS 7.3 OF OUR FRANCHISE AGREEMENTS TO ADJUST OUR MAXIMUM COLLECTION SERVICE RATES, WHICH DESCRIBE THE ELEMENTS AND RATE MYTHOLOGIES. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THE MYTHOLOGY, I AM NOT AN EXPERT, BUT WE ARE HERE IF YOU WOULD LIKE A DETAILED EXPIRATION ABOUT HOW THE RATES ARE SET. THE BASICALLY, IN SIMPLE FORM, THE RATE INDEX IS CALCULATED AND APPLIED TO SEVERAL SERVICE COMPONENTS SUCH A SINGLE FAMILY, THE COMMERCIAL, AND IS TYPICALLY ON A BIG LEVEL OF SERVICE PER MONTH. THEY REQUIRED IN THE CITY FOR POTENTIAL RATE ADJUSTMENTS BASED ON THIS COMPLEX FORMULA, WHICH IS IN THE AGREEMENT, BUT CAN ALSO BE EXPANDED UPON, WHICH, AGAIN, I AM NOT AN EXPERT ON THAT. BUT IT HAS TO BE TIME SO THAT THE CHANGES ARE EFFECTIVE JULY 1st, WHICH IS WHITE NEEDS TO BE ON THIS MEETING TONIGHT. WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO GET THESE IN PLACE ON JULY 1st. WE NEED TO SUBMIT OUR NEW PROPOSED SHEETS AND RELATIONS BASED ON THE LETTER OF APRIL, 2024, WHICH IS ATTACHED TO THIS. THERE BEEN ANNUAL DECREASE, INCLUDING COSTS FOR LABOR, COST FOR FUEL, VEHICLES, OTHER COSTS, AS WELL AS COSTS FOR MATERIAL DISPOSAL AND PROCESSING. WE DID HAVE OUR OUTSIDE CONSULTANT WORKING WITH US ON THE GARBAGE. THEY DID REVIEW THESE RATES, AND IN THE CONTRACT, THEY HAVE CONFIRMED THAT THE RATES ARE ACCURATE, AND THAT IT IS BASICALLY AN INCREASE OF 3.7%. SO, THE COUNCIL HAS ASKED TONIGHT TO ADOPT A RESOLUTION WITH THESE NEW RATES. AS I RESTATED, SEE IF YOU HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS.

ALL RIGHT. I SEE A QUESTION. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I SEE A QUESTION FROM VICE MAYOR.

THANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR. BASED UPON WHAT YOU JUST SAID, IF WE DON'T APPROVE THIS REQUEST, THEN SOMEHOW, WE ARE VIOLATING THE CONTRACT? IS THAT WHAT HURT?

OUR FRANCHISE AGREEMENT.

SO THEN, LIKE, I MEAN, WHY ARE WE HAVING TO DO THIS EXCEPT FOR JUST HAVE THIS BE A PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE PUBLIC?

FOR THE PUBLIC TO GET NOTIFICATIONS FOR THE RATE INCREASES? YES. BUT IT IS A STANDARD REQUIREMENT. YOU BOTH KNOW WE WOULD BE IN VIOLATION OF OUR AGREEMENT. BUT IS BASICALLY TO GET INFORMATION TO THE PUBLIC ABOUT HOW THE RATES WERE INCREASED, AND WITH THE INCREASES ARE USED FOR.

GOT IT, THANK YOU.

OTHER QUESTIONS? SO, I HAVE A QUESTION, WHICH IS SOMETHING WE DON'T HAVE ANY DISCRETION IN THESE RATES.

THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING. WE HAVE THE ATTORNEY RIGHT THERE, BUT THAT IS MY UNDERSTANDING FOR THE FRANCHISE AGREEMENT BASED UPON THE COUNCIL THAT HAS TO REVIEW THE RATES, AS LONG AS THEY MATCH WITH IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE AGREEMENTS.

OKAY. WHAT IS THE REQUESTED ACTION TONIGHT? IS IT TO APPROVE THE RATES? THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING.

YES. IT WOULD BE THE RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE RR I, WHICH IS A REFUGE RATE INDEX.

TO OUR CITY ATTORNEY, IS THAT CORRECT? SO DISCRETION ALSO WANT TO VIOLATE THE CONTRACT?

EVERYTHING THAT YOU SAID IS CORRECT. WE DO THIS EVERY YEAR, SO, THEY ARE EDUCATED AS TO WHY THE RATES WENT UP AND HAVE BEEN SCRUTINIZED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENTS? AND I DON'T THINK -- DOES ANY COUNCIL MEMBER NEED TO ASK QUESTIONS? OKAY, THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. OKAY, SO, TO THE PUBLIC, PLEASE?

THANK YOU. THIS IS ACTUALLY A PUBLIC HEARING.

PUBLIC HEARING. WE WILL BE OPENING THE PUBLIC HEARING. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE RR I, I AM SEEING NONE AND CHAMBERS. KYLE, CAN YOU IN THE DRIZZLE, PLEASE?

I WILL. I JUST WANT USES AS AN OPPORTUNITY TO REMIND COUNSEL IN THE PUBLIC THAT THE COMPANY, OF WHICH, YES, THERE ARE SUBDIVISIONS FOR SAN FRANCISCO, PARTICULARLY THE SAN FRANCISCO POSITION DID HAVE A PRETTY HEFTY LAWSUIT OF FRAUD RELATED TO THE RIVALRY IN THE CITY OF SANFRANCISCO. I KNOW THAT THEY REPEATEDLY SUGGESTED -- [INAUDIBLE - MUFFLED] I HOPE THAT WHILE WE ARE IN THIS RATE FOR ONE MORE YEAR, WE DO MAKE THOSE TYPES OF CONSIDERATIONS WHEN WE DECIDE ON WHAT TYPE OF COMPANY WE HAVE HERE. WE DO NOT HAVE CONSIDERATIONS OF COMEDY PRACTICES, BUT MAYBE WE CAN CONSIDER THE PROCESS WHETHER OR NOT TO WORK IN THE FUTURE. THANKS.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO INTO CHAMBERS IS THERE ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THE PUBLIC COMMENTS. I'M SEEING NONE. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENTS, LINDA.

I DON'T KNOW WHY WE WOULD WANT TO CONSIDER PAST PERFORMANCE AND STUFF. THIS SURE DID NOT STOP US FROM LOOKING AT CONSIDERING THE CONTRACT WITH BADGER METERS THAT HAVE MULTIPLE LENGTH IN LAWSUITS AGAINST THEM.

LINDA, I'M REALLY SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU. IF YOU COULD ADDRESS THE RATE ISSUE, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT. THANK YOU.

I WILL DO THAT RIGHT NOW. I DON'T KNOW WHY I DO THAT. SO, THE RATE INCREASE, I THINK IT'S ONLY FAIR THAT YOU KNOW THAT I AM CELL PHONE FREE, TV FREE, AND I DON'T WANT TO BE BOMBARDED BY WIRELESS RADIATION. SO, LET ME KNOW WHAT THE RATES ARE GOING UP TO IN REAL DOLLARS TO WHAT? THAT WOULD BE TOO MUCH TO ASK. I WOULD APPRECIATE IT. THIS WOULD BE A SIMPLE, OBVIOUS REQUEST.

THANK YOU, LINDA, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS AND WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENTS? I AM SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM ONE LAST TIME. IS THERE ANYONE ASSUMED WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENTS, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND, PLEASE IMAGE YOUR PHONE, OR PLEASE WAIVE TO GET MY ATTENTION. I'M SEEING NONE. THE PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSE ON THIS ITEM.

PUBLIC HEARING IS CLOSED. THANK YOU SO MUCH. JUST A COMMENT ON THE ETHICAL COMMENT REGARDING THE TEAM IN THE COUNTY, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE

REPUTATION IN THE COUNTY IS IMPECCABLE, AND I AM NOT AWARE OF ANY ETHICAL CONCERNS WITH THE TEAM. AS TO THE INCREASE IN RATES, THERE IS A STAFF REPORT. THOSE ARE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC, EVEN IF YOU DON'T HAVE ACCESS ONLINE YOURSELF. BUT THEY WILL SAY THAT THE 20 GALLON RATE AS AN EXAMPLE FOR A SINGLE-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL WILL GO UP FROM 1683 TO \$17.44. THAT'S A LOT. I WILL GO UP FROM \$84.74 TO \$92.42. SO, HOPEFULLY THAT WILL DIVIDE A REFERENCE POINT. ANY DISCUSSION POINT, WE ARE BACK AT THE DAIS. GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR.

SO, I JUST WANT TO MAKE A MOTION.

AUTHORIZING THE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE SOLID WASTE RATE EFFECTIVE JULY 1st, 2024. SO MOVED.

I WILL SECOND THAT.

OKAY, ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENT BEFORE WE TAKE A ROLL CALL VOTE?

I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SOME COMMENTS.

YES?

IS ALWAYS GIVES ME THE OPPORTUNITY TO TALK TO THE GARBAGE HAULERS. WE HAVE A COMMENT. I JUST WANTED TO REITERATE THAT IT IS WITH THE DENTAL FOR THE PAVEMENT. I WILL HAVE ALL MY PROPERTY CLEARED BEFORE I AM ON SOME LIST, AND HAD TO USE YOUR SERVICES. I CALLED UP, AND I GOT TWO BINS, AND THEY WANTED JUST ONE WITH ALL GREEN, BUT I FOUND OUT I COULD NOT GET ONE WITH ALL GREEN. I HAD TO DO THESE CONVERSATION BENDS. IT WAS ALSO HARD TO GET THEM DELIVERED, BECAUSE YOU ONLY DELIVER CERTAIN DAYS. AND THEN WHAT I LEARNED IS I CAN, FOR LESS MONEY, BY THE GREEN BINS, LIKE, I HAVE ONE THAT I ALWAYS GET EXTRA. I ORDERED FOUR OF THOSE. THEY PROMISED ME A DELIVERY DATE, BUT THEY SAID THEY WEREN'T POSITIVE. SO, ANYWAYS, I HAVE TO TELL YOU, I GOT REALLY POOR SERVICE. THEY NEVER DELIVERED THE GREEN BINS IN TIME FOR MY LANDSCAPERS TO CLEAR. I REALLY WANTED TO HONOR AN EXCLUSIVE GREEN COLLECTION, BECAUSE THEY REALLY PAY ATTENTION TO COMPOSTING, AND I JUST CANNOT GET ALL OF THAT. AT THE END OF THE DAY, I WAS BILLED FOR THE TWO DELIVERIES, AROUND \$750.00. ONCE AGAIN, I HAVE BEEN MAKING COMMENTS FOR SOME OF YOU THAT HAVE BEEN AROUND THAT WE BOUGHT A NEW TRUCK, AND YOU TOOK AWAY THE DISCOUNT WERE USED TO BE ABLE TO GET 12 MONTHS OR 11 MONTHS IF YOU PAID UP FRONT. I KNOW YOU HAD A LOW INCOME, HE SAID IT WAS REPLACE WITH THAT, BUT I JUST HAVE TO SAY IS A CUSTOMER, I AM NOT SUPER IMPRESSED. WE ALSO DID NOT GET A GARBAGE PICKUP ONE DAY, AND I HAD TO CALL IN, AND THEY WERE LIKE, OH, THERE IS A DRIVER SICK. IT WILL JUST COME TOMORROW. BUT I AM, LIKE, WHY WEREN'T WE NOTIFIED? WHY DIDN'T WE GET AN EMAIL, YOU KNOW? SO, WE GET LITTLE TEXTS AND STUFF ONE POWER IS OUT. I JUST WANT TO GIVE YOU FEEDBACK, BECAUSE I KNOW WE ARE APPROVING THIS TODAY, BUT YOU ARE COMING BACK FOR BID, AND THESE ARE REALLY EXPERIENCES. I JUST WANT TO REITERATE THAT'S, YOU KNOW, I AM UNDERSTAND THAT YOU PROVIDE YOURSELF A CUSTOMER SERVICE, AND I HAVE NOT FELT IT TO BE HONEST.

I WILL GIVE IT A CHANCE TO TAKE THE PODIUM AND RESPOND IF YOU ARE INTERESTED, OTHERWISE WE WILL JUST MOVE ON. NO? ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET'S JUST TAKE A MINUTE, PLEASE.

GOOD EVENING. IS THIS ON? DO YOU HAVE ME? I AM IN THE FULTON, THE ASSISTANT GENERAL MANAGER, AND COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I APOLOGIZE FOR YOUR EXPERIENCE. ONE THING I WILL DO TOMORROW WHEN I GET BACK IS TAKE A LOOK SPECIFICALLY AT YOUR ACCOUNT. WE DO PRIDE OURSELVES. WE ARE NOT PERFECT. WE ARE TRYING TO IMPROVE PROCESSES ALL THE TIME, SO, YOUR FEEDBACK IS REALLY VALUABLE, ESPECIALLY WHEN YOU'RE UP FOR THIS UPCOMING BID. WE STRUGGLE WITH SUPPLY-CHAIN STUFF. WE STRUGGLE WITH LABOR STUFF, STUFF, YOU KNOW, THAT EVERYBODY IN THE CITY, ALL OF YOUR CITY SERVICES, YOU GUYS FEEL THE SAME PAIN. BUT WE ARE WORKING TO MAKE IT BETTER.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALL RIGHT. SO, WE HAVE A MOTION THAT MAC OH, I AM SORRY, GO AHEAD.

I APPRECIATE YOU GETTING UP THERE AND DOING THAT, BECAUSE I HAD A SIMILAR SPIRIT. I ORDERED NEW CANS, AND IT TOOK A MONTH TO RELEASE THE BROKEN ONES. THIS WAS JUST IN THE LAST COUPLE OF MONTHS, AND THEN THE SAME THING NO PICKUP. AND WHILE THE CITY AND FIND OUT, BECAUSE ALL MY NEIGHBORS WERE

ASKING ME. YOU SHOULD KNOW, YOUR CITY COUNCIL. AND I KNOW THOSE THINGS HAPPEN, BUT, YOU KNOW, SINCE YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO GIVE YOU FEEDBACK. WE HAVE A MOTION AND SECOND IT. I HAVE MY OWN STORIES, AND PEOPLE SAID IT HERE WHO ALREADY KNOW MY STORY, BUT I DON'T NEED THEM TO COMMENT -- I UNDERSTAND. I UNDERSTAND. WE ARE IN COMMENTS, SO, WE'LL FINISH THE -- POINT OF ORDER, WE'LL GET TO SPEAK.

I THOUGHT THERE WAS A MOTION IN A SECOND? IF THERE IS, THAT WE JUST CALL THE QUESTIONS --

NO, MY UNDERSTANDING, AND IF CITY ATTORNEY COULD CORRECT ME IF I AM WRONG, MY UNDERSTANDING IS A MOTION IN A SECOND, THEN WE CAN TAKE ADDITIONAL COMMENT, AND THEN TAKE A VOTE. IS THAT ACCURATE?

WHEN YOU HAVE A MOTION IN A SECOND, YOU MOVE INTO DISCUSSION?

YES, THANK YOU.

BUT THE CHAIR CAN DEVIATE FROM THAT, AND IF THEY PUSH THE,, MAYBE THAT IS APPROPRIATE AS WELL.

OKAY, I HAVE EXERCISED MY DISCRETION. WE HAVE HAD DISCUSSION, WE HAVE HAD ADDITIONAL COMMENTS, AND THEY WOULD POINT OUT THAT WE ARE RIGHT ON TIME, FOLKS, WHICH IS FABULOUS. I THINK, DO WE HAVE ANY ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION HERE? OTHERWISE, I THINK WE CAN CALL FOR A VOTE. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, ANYTHING? OR GOOD? OKAY, AWESOME. THEN LET'S CALL FOR THE VOTE.

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN FOR THE AUTHORIZE ADDRESSES FOR THE SOLID WASTE CHARGES, EFFECTIVE JULY 1st, 2024. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

YES.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? 14

MAYOR RICH

YES.

MOTION PASSES NEEDLESSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO THE TEAM HERE THIS EVENING. THANK YOU. NOW, WE ARE GOING ON TO OUR NEXT ITEM, AND I AM THRILLED TO SEE THAT WE ARE FIVE MINUTES AHEAD OF THE TIMING THAT I HAD HOPED FOR. THIS IS A PUBLIC HEARING. CONFERENCE OF USER FEES SCHEDULE ITEM IS TO CONDUCT A PUBLIC HEARING TO FILL THE PUBLIC PROCESS HEARING REQUIREMENTS AND UPDATE TO THE COMPREHENSIVE USER FEE SCHEDULE. UPON ITS CONCLUSION, THE CITY COUNCIL SHALL CONSIDER ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION INCLUDING THE COMPREHENSIVE FEE SCHEDULE, ESTABLISHING USER AND REGULATORY FEES, WHICH LIST CERTAIN FEES AND DEPOSITS COLLECTED BY THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL, INCLUDING BUILDING DEPARTMENTS SPECIFICALLY FOR BUILDING PERMIT FEES. I BELIEVE THIS ITEM WILL BE LED BY OUR BUILDING OFFICIAL. IS THAT CORRECT? I AM SORRY.

GOOD EVENING, MAYOR. THANK YOU.

I WILL THE ITEM, IT WILL INTRODUCE THE ITEM, AND THEN WE DO HAVE THE ITEM BEFORE THE COUNCIL THAT IS TO CONDUCT THE PUBLIC HEARINGS FOR THE CITY SCHEDULE OF USER REGULATORY FEES INTENDED TO USE FOR FISCAL YEAR 24 AND 25. I DO HAVE TERRY MATTSON, WHO IS NO STRANGER TO THE CITY COUNCIL. HE IS FROM CLEAR SOURCE, AND HAS DONE A RECENT STUDY THAT IS COME BEFORE THE COUNCIL IN FEBRUARY. OUR INTERIM BUILDING OFFICIAL IS PRESENT TO RESPOND TO ANY RESPONSES THEY MAY HAVE FOR THE BUILDING FEES THAT WAS A COST OF SERVICE THAT WAS DONE ABOVE AND BEYOND THE INFLATIONARY FACTORS. SO, WITH THAT, I WILL TURN IT OVER TO TERRY FROM CLEAR SOURCE, AND GIVE COUNSEL A VERY BRIEF SUMMARY ABOUT A REGULATORY FEE. TERRY, ARE YOU THERE?

THERE WE GO, TERRY.

THANK YOU. THANK YOU, MAYOR, AND MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL, FOR ALLOWING ME TO SPEAK. ARE YOU GUYS OKAY?

WE CAN HEAR YOU. WE CAN'T SEE YOU.

YOU KNOW, I WOULD BE HAPPY TO 30 VIDEO, BUT THIS IS THE HOST IS NOT ALLOWING VIDEO RIGHT NOW.

CAN WE PLEASE ALLOW --

SAM, CAN YOU GO ALLOW GO AHEAD AND ALLOW ACCESS FOR TERRY PLEASE?

THANK YOU. TERRY, IF YOU COULD GO AHEAD AND START WHILE WE DO THAT, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

WONDERFUL. WELL, AGAIN, GOOD EVENING, MAYOR, MEMBERS OF CITY COUNCIL, THANK YOU FOR ALLOWING ME TO DISSIPATE. AS MENTIONED, I AM HERE FROM CLEAR SOURCE, AND OUR FIRM ASSISTED THE CITY WITH ITS PRIOR FEE STUDY, AND AT THE TIME OF YOUR PRIOR FEE STUDY BACK IN 2020, THE CITY COUNCIL AUTHORIZED ANY WILL ADJUSTMENTS TO FEES IN ORDER TO ALIGN YOUR FEES TO REGIONAL COST INFLATION. SO, WHAT HAPPENS IS YOU PERIODICALLY PERFORM FEE STUDIES, AND IN THE INTERIM YEARS, THE CITY COUNCIL IS AUTHORIZED ADJUSTMENTS TO FEES WITH THE IDEA THAT YOU WOULD AVOID DEGRADING COST RECOVERY LEVELS. SO, JUST TO BE CLEAR, WE TALK ABOUT USER AND REGULATORY FEES, THIS IS A SCHEDULE THE CITY MAINTAINS THAT LISTS FEES FOR DISCRETE SERVICES THAT ARE REGULATORY IN NATURE, OR CAN BE WILL RECALL USER FEES WERE SOMEBODY IS ASKING FOR SOME DISCRETE SERVICE, BUT THE IDEA IS WE ARE NOT EXAMINING TAXES, WE ARE NOT EXAMINING ASSESSMENTS, WE ARE NOT EXAMINING UTILITY RATES, WE ARE EXAMINING A VERY LIMITED SCOPE OF YOUR FEE WORLD. MOST COMMONLY, IT IS ASSOCIATED WITH BUILDING PERMITTED ISSUANCE, FIELD INSPECTION, ENTITLEMENT REVIEW, ENGINEERING REVIEW, AND SO FORTH. SO, THIS YEAR IS IN IN BETWEEN YEAR. AND INTERIM YOUR. MOST FEES IN YOUR FEE SCHEDULE ARE PROPOSED TO INCREASE AT ABOUT 3.67%. THERE ARE SOME FEES THAT ARE PROPOSED TO REMAIN UNCHANGED. THE 3.67% IS WHAT IS THE REGIONAL INFLATION FACTOR THAT HAS BEEN USED OVER THE LAST SEVEN YEARS. SO, IT IS CPI FOR THE BAY AREA REGION, THE PRIOR ANY WILL CHANGE, IN THAT THERE ARE STAFF ALSO IN ADDITION TO THAT ANNUAL CPI ADJUSTMENT. THE CHECK WITH DEPARTMENTS OF SAFETY. CHICKEN FOR REQUESTS AND DISCRETE, NOT INFLATIONARY ADJUSTMENTS THAT YOU WOULD LIKE THE COUNCIL TO CONSIDER. IN THIS YEAR, THE PRIMARY CHANGE THAT THE COUNCIL WILL BE ASKED TO CONSIDER IT SOME ADJUSTMENTS TO BUILDING PERMIT FEES. WHEN YOU COMPLETED YOUR PRIOR STUDY, THE BUILDING PERMIT FEES WERE NOT SET TO FULL COST RECOVERY, CIVIL COST RECOVERY WAS IDENTIFIED, BUT AT THE VERY MINOR PERMIT LEVEL, YOU LEFT FEES AT A LEVEL OF PARTIAL COST RECOVERY, WHICH IS CONSISTENT WITH SOME OF THE COMMUNITIES WE WORK WITH. WHAT WE CONSIDER COMPLEX DEVELOPMENT -- THE ADOPTED FEES WERE AT PARTIAL COST RECOVERY BECAUSE YOU ARE ALREADY MAKING SOME SIGNIFICANT CHANGES TO FEES. THIS TAKE YOUR FEES TO THAT FULL COST RECOVERY LEVEL FOR LARGER BUILDING PERMIT CATEGORY IS. SO, THAT IS THE ACTION BEING REQUESTED. IT'S IMPORTANT TO NOTE THAT THIS IS NOT AN IDEA OF SERVICE LEVEL ENHANCEMENT TO OFFSET COSTS THAT YOU INCUR. SO, THE IDEA HERE IS THAT YOU WOULD AVOID USING LIMITED GENERAL FUND REVENUE FOR SERVICES THAT PROVIDE DIRECT BENEFIT SO THAT WHEN YOU HAVE USED THE GENERAL FUND REVENUES, YOU CAN DIRECT THEM TO SERVICES THAT PROVIDE THAT MORE BROAD, COMMUNITY BENEFIT LIKE PUBLIC SAFETY, PUBLIC WORKS, AND PARK MAINTENANCE SERVICES. SO, I HOPE THAT IS HELPFUL. THIS CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION. IF YOU NEED ANY ADDITIONAL INFORMATION, OR IF YOU HAVE ACTIONS OR FEEDBACK, I AM HAPPY TO RECEIVE IT. THANK YOU.

I would not say at this point. I would go ahead and you have questions and the building official is here to answer, are those specific to page 40 and 41 IN THE REPORT?

YOU'RE EXACTLY RIGHT -FPLGT THEY APPEAR IN A FEE SCHEDULE BUT WHEN YOU LOOK WHAT ARE WE PROPOSING TO DO, THAT'S ON PAGE 40.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS, WAIT THEN I THINK, DID YOU HAVE ANY COMMENT? NO, NOTHING FROM STEVE AT THE MOMENT. THEN IF WE COULD OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING THEN I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

SO THIS IS THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE USER FEE SCHEDULE. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT I WOULD GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM.

SEEING NONE AT CHAMBER. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, PLEASE GET MY ATTENTION. LINDA COULD YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE.

I WOULD APPRECIATE IF YOU COULD CLARIFY THAT THESE FEES ARE SEPARATE AND APART FROM AND I THINK THEY PROBABLY ARE. THE IMPACT FEES AND THE DEVELOPERS IMPACT FEES THAT HAVE BEEN RECENTLY DECIDED RULES UPON BY THE U.S. SUPREME COURT WHICH WILL, IF I UNDERSTAND, PROBABLY DIMINISH OUT OF REVENUE THAT THE CITY WILL GET FROM ALL OF THESE MISGUIDED DEVELOPMENTS. HUNDREDS OF ARE IN THE PIPELINE AND BEING SPAMED. THAT'S WHAT I'M ASKING. I'M ASKING IF THIS IS DIFFERENT FROM THE DEVELOPERS' IMPACT FEES THAT ARE BEING REDUCED. THIS IS A RECENT, A SUPREME COURT DECISION FOR BEING, THEIR SUBJECT BEING CHALLENGED. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU LINDA FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I'LL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE. I'LL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY, PLEASE UNMUTE OR GET MY ATTENTION. SEEING NONE, THE PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED.

THANK YOU FOR BEING HERE. I DON'T HAVE A QUESTION FOR YOU BUT I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR YOUR WORK ON THIS. WE HEARD ABOUT THIS IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. SO I JUST WANT TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION TO UPDATE AND ESTABLISH USER AND REGULATORY FEES.

SECOND.

ALL RIGHT, ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS. COUNCIL MEMBER. I WATCHED THE BUDGET MEETING. SO I FEEL IT WOULD BE REPETITIVE.

WELL INFORMED, GREAT. COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON ANY? I HAVE A FIRST AND SECOND. AND I HAVE TO GIVE THE NOD TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. THAT ANSWERS MY QUESTIONS. THEY'VE DONE THE DEEP DIVE. THERE WAS A QUESTION. THIS IS A LEGAL QUESTION FOR OUR CITY ATTORNEY. IS IS THERE A LEGAL CHALLENGE OF SOME KIND TOUR FEES THAT I SHOULD BE AWARE OF. I HEARD A COMMENT FROM A MEMBER OF OUR PUBLIC.

LINDA IS TALKING ABOUT IMPACT FEES THAT ARE DIFFERENT THAN THESE FEES. THESE ARE FEES FOR DIRECT SERVICES THAT THE CITY PROVIDES FOR INDIVIDUALS AND GROUPS. SO THEY'RE COMPLETELY DIFFERENT. SO THERE'S NO CHALLENGE WITH THESE TYPE OF FEES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK WE'RE READY FOR A ROLE CALL VOTE.

THANK YOU MAYOR. SO MOVED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ZOLLMAN FOR USING YEAR 24-25. COUNCIL MEMBER ZOLLMAN.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

YES.

MAYOR GARDENER.

YES.

NOW WE'RE GOING TO CALL THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA WHICH IS PUBLIC HEARING WEED ABATEMENT. PURSUANT TO SECTION FOUR OF ORDNANCE 647 OF THE CITY OF SEBASTAPOOL. ALL WEEDS GROWING UPON ANY PRIVATE PROPERTY OR IN ANY PRIVATE STREET OR ALLEY OF SAID ORDNANCE NUMBER 647 CONSTITUTE A PUBLIC NUISANCE WHICH NUISANCE MUST BE ABATED BY THE DESTRUCTION OR REMOVAL THERE OF AND THE RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT IS FIRE. BUT WE HAVE STEVE OUR BUILDING OFFICIAL HERE. THAT'S GOOD. GIVEN THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WEEDS, WE DON'T WANT FIRE. OH, I'M SORRY, CAN YOU BE CLOSE TO THE MIC AND TURN IT ON. THE GREEN LIGHT SHOULD GO ON.

OKAY. GOT IT.

THANK YOU.

HAVING WORKED WITH BILL THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS AND THEN THIS SEVERAL TIMES AND OTHER JURISDICTIONS I'M GOING TO PRESENT FOR YOU TONIGHT BECAUSE OUR FIRE CHIEF IS NOT AVAILABLE. WE DID START THE PROCESS WITH WEED ABATEMENT. WE HAVE 54 LETTERS OUT TO 54 DIFFERENT PROPERTY OWNERS TO GET

THEIR PROPERTIES CLEARED. WE DO THIS EVERY YEAR AT THIS TIME. QUITE FRANKLY, WE'LL GET ABOUT 51 OR 52 OF THOSE TO COMPLY IMMEDIATELY. WE WILL HAVE TWO OR THREE THAT WE WILL HAVE TO HAVE FURTHER DISCUSSIONS WITH. BUT USUALLY THEY'RE NICE DISCUSSIONS. BILL WAS VERY GOOD AT CONVINCING PEOPLE, NO WE NEED TO DO THIS, BUT WE WON'T DO THAT AGAIN THIS YEAR. AND BY THE END OF JUNE OR FIRST WEEK OF JULY WE WILL HAVE ALL OF THOSE 54 LOTS CLEARED. AND WITH THAT, UNLESS YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS I'LL END MY PRESENTATION.

ANY QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBER.

WHY IS THIS IN THE PUBLIC HEARING. IS THIS USUALLY COMING UP ON CONSENT?
NO.

IT COMES UP ON CONSENT WHEN WE CALL FOR THE PUBLIC HEARING SO YOU DO SEE IT TWICE EACH YEAR. YOU SEE IT WHEN YOU HAVE TO, IT'S LIKE A PROTEST HEARING SO YOU HAVE TO GET IN A DEFINITIVE DATE. WE DID SEE ON CONSENT, WE PLACED THE DATE FOR TONIGHT. NO ONE IS HERE TO PROTEST IT, NOW IF THE COUNCIL APPROVES IT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT CAN GO OUT AND ABATE THE WEEDS, THANK YOU.

ANY OTHER, GO AHEAD COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

I'M JUST CURIOUS SINCE I JUST PAID A LOT TO HAVE WEEDS ABATED. WHAT IF SOMEONE CAN'T AFFORD IT. HOW TO WE DEAL WITH THAT?

IN THE FIVE YEARS I HAVE BEEN HERE WE HAVE ALWAYS FOUND A WAY TO HELP THEM WITH THAT. WE'VE ENLISTED A NUMBER OF DIFFERENT THINGS AND DIANA IS SMILING AT ME. BECAUSE SHE KNOWS WE DID VOLUNTEER ON ONE. WE FOUND WAYS TO DO THAT EVERY TIME AND QUITE FRANKLY WE'LL FIND A WAY THIS TIME. EVEN IF IT MEANS.

SMALL TOWN. YAY.

AND WE DO HAVE A NUMBER OF VOLUNTEERS THAT ARE PRETTY EAGER. WE'LL FIND A WAY TO MAKE SURE THEY'RE ALL CLEAR. ONE WAY OR ANOTHER.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS. OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING.

THIS IS OPENING THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR WEED ABATEMENT. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. GOING OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA.

OF COURSE I WANT TO THANK OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT WHICH IS WONDERFUL AND UNDER STAFFED, UNDER APPRECIATED AND UNDER ACKNOWLEDGED. BUT ANY WAY ASIDE FROM THAT SO THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR OUR HEALTH AND SAFETY AND YOU HAVE EVERYTHING YOU NEED. AND THANKS FOR THE WEED ABATEMENT. BUT I WANTED TO GIVE YOU A BIT OF A HEADS UP. BECAUSE IN OUR NEIGHBORHOOD HERE AMONGST OUR NEW NEIGHBORS WE HAVE A REPEAT FIRE STARTER AND WE'VE ALREADY HAD A FIRE IN ONE OF THE RVs YOU KNOW. WHICH HE HAD A HAND IN STARTING. SO, WE HAVE ANOTHER FELON IN OUR MIDST WHO IS INTRIGUED WITH FIRES.

LINDA, LINDA, I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU. BUT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WEED ABATEMENT. IF YOU HAVE NEIGHBORS THAT HAVE ANY WEEDS THAT NEED ABATEMENT, WE WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

WELL A LOT OF MY NEIGHBORS DO A LOT OF WEED ALONG WITH METH AND OTHER THINGS. BUT ANY WAY, I CAN TELL YOU WHO IT IS.

IF YOU WOULD PLEASE GET IN TOUCH WITH MARY GURLEY AND PROVIDE HER WITH THE ADDRESS I THINK THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL.

WELL IT'S THE NAME OF A PERSON WHO IS, THAT'S, THANK YOU.

THANK YOU LINDA FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE WHO HAS ANY COMMENTS ON WEED ABATEMENT.

I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION UPDATE AND ESTABLISH, OOPS, WRONG ONE. I'M SORRY. I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION FOR THE WEED ABATEMENT.

SECOND.

DO YOU NEED ME TO RESTATE THAT.

I'LL RESTATE IT.

OKAY, SORRY. I WAS JUMPING THE GUN THERE.

IN ANY ADDITIONAL DISCUSSION BEFORE WE GO TO A ROLE CALL VOTE.

SO MOVED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER ZOLLMAN THAT ANY WEED GROWING IN ALLEY IS A NUISANCE. COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER ZOLLMAN.

YES.

AND MAYOR GARDENER.

YES. THANK YOU FOR THAT WONDERFUL INDIVIDUAL WHO WAS HERE VERY BRIEFLY ON ZOOM. WE'RE NOW GOING TO THE NEXT ITEM WHICH IS PUBLIC HEARING ON THE FISCAL YEAR 24-25 STREET LIGHTING ASSESSMENT. MARY GIRLIE I SEE A HIGHLIGHTED PORTION IN YELLOW. CAN YOU PLEASE HELP US UNDERSTAND WHAT WE NEED TO DO TONIGHT?

THIS MEETING WILL BE POSTPONED OR WILL BE POSTPONED ONCE YOU OPEN IT TO MOVE TO POSTPONE IT. WE ARE NOT OPENING THIS ITEM FOR DISCUSSION. THIS ITEM WILL ONLY BE OPEN TO A TIME AND DATE SPECIFIC SO WE DON'T HAVE TO RENOTICE THE PUBLIC HEARING NOTICE.

AND PROCEDURALLY DO WE NEED TO TAKE QUESTIONS? SO I WOULD LIKE TO OPEN THE PUBLIC HEARING. NO, I'M SORRY.

NO YOU'RE JUST OPENING THE ITEM TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING TO THE NEXT MEETING OF JUNE 18th.

WHAT SHE SAID. DO WE NEED A VOTE.

WE NEED A SECOND.

I'LL SECOND.

I'LL SECOND. SECOND.

WHO WON?

OKAY SO SO MOVED BY MAYOR RICH AND SECONDED BY COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER TO CONTINUE THE PUBLIC HEARING ORIGINALLY SCHEDULE -LD FOR TONIGHT ON THE F1 24-25 TO THE JUNE 18th COUNCIL MEETING. COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER.

YES.

COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWES.

YES.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

YES.

MAYOR RICH.

YES.

THE ITEM PASSED UNANIMOUSLY.

WE'RE NOW ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. CAN YOU PLEASE HANDLE THAT MARY GURLEY.

IF YOU DID NOT GET A CHANCE TO SPEAK AT PUBLIC COMMENT EARLIER THIS IS NOW YOUR OPPORTUNITY. I WILL GO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT AND AGAIN WERE NOT ABLE TO SPEAK AT THE PUBLIC COMMENT EARLIER. SEEING NO HANDS RAISED. NO PHONES OFF THE HOOK, NO ONE TRYING TO GET MY ATTENTION, THERE'S NO PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE SECOND PERIOD.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE NOW ARE AT ITEM 10, CITY MANAGER AND OUR CITY CLERK REPORTS. DO WE HAVE ANY?

JUST A REMINDER THAT THURSDAY IS THE AGENDA REVIEW COMMITTEE. COUNCIL MEMBERS WILL BE HOLDING THEIR MEETING, IF YOU CAN LET ME KNOW IF YOU WILL BE ATTENDING. WE'RE STILL IN NEGOTIATION SO THERE'S NOTHING TO REPORT OUT AT THIS TIME. AND THAT IS IT.

OKAY, THANK YOU FOR THAT. AND IF OUR CITY MANAGER IS STILL ONLINE. OKAY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO OUR NEXT ITEM IS COUNCIL MEMBER REPORTS. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

YES, I'LL BE VERY, VERY BRIEF CONSIDERING IT'S BEEN A REALLY LONG DAY. I JUST WANTED TO ALERT THE PUBLIC BECAUSE I KNOW SOME ARE TAKING THE FACT THAT WE PURPOSEFULLY FOR THE FACT THAT WE DON'T WANT TO REPORT OUT. WE ACTUALLY DO. SO I JUST WANT TO SAY SIGNIFICANTLY THAT COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON AND I WERE ABLE TO GO TO OUR FIRST BOARD MEETING UP IN SACRAMENTO. AMAZING, AMAZING STUFF. AND WHAT MIGHT TAKE AWAY IS THE FACT THAT THERE ARE A LOT OF POLICIES AND A LOT OF CONTRACTS THAT WE CAN EASILY ADOPT TO MAKE SURE WE CAN CONTINUE TO MITIGATE OUR RISKS BY WAY OF WORKERS COMP, BY WAY OF EMPLOYMENT LIABILITY, AND OTHER GENERAL LIABILITY. SO I'M VERY EXCITED TO WORK WITH COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON AND STAFF TO MOVE THESE FORWARD. I WANT TO SAY I HAD A WONDERFUL MEETING WITH OUR SOON TO BE FIRE CONSOLIDATE PARTNER AROUND SOME THINGS THAT HAPPENED AT THE SENIOR CENTER. AND SPECIFICALLY TO ADDRESS THE FALL RISK. AND THE NUMBER OF TIMES THAT OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT HAS HAD TO COME OUT AND RESPOND TO THIS AND ALSO SPECIFICALLY TO BRING IN KAISER BECAUSE IT SEEMS THAT KAISER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR A LOT OF THE HEALTH CARE FOR OUR SENIORS. AND THERE'S QUESTIONS ABOUT THE FOLLOW UP. ONCE THEY'RE BACK IN THEIR HOMES. ATTENDED THE HEALTH ACTION CHAPTER MEETING WHERE THEY CONTINUE TO DO A LOT OF WORK TO COME OUT. ATTENDED A LAB MEETING OR LIBRARY ADVISORY BOARD MEETING WHERE THERE WAS A LOT OF HEALTHY STRONG, VIGOROUS THOUGHTS AND ARGUMENTS ABOUT WHY OUR LIBRARY ADMINISTRATION ALL OF A SUDDEN CHANGED. THE POLICY WHEN OUR LIBRARY ADVISORY BOARDS CAN ACTUALLY PRESENT BEFORE THE FULL COMMISSION. I'M SURE THAT WILL GET RESOLVED HOPEFULLY IN A PEACEFUL WAY. AND THEN, VIA THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. YES THANK YOU THAT YOU SAY YOU WATCH. I WILL SAY THAT WE'RE ACTUALLY SPENDING A LOT OF TIME DISCUSSING THE FORMAT THAT THE COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO HAVE IT BE PRESENTED TO ALL OF OUR OUR COLLEAGUES. I THINK WE'RE DOING THE BEST WE CAN TO MAKE SURE THINGS ARE REALLY CLEAR YET STILL HAVE THE DETAILS ONCE YOU HAVE IT PROVIDED TO YOU. AND YES MANY THANKS TO THOSE WHO SPONSORED THE PRIDE MARCH. I THINK THERE WERE PROBABLY 50 PEOPLE THERE. THANK YOU.

ANYONE ELSE READY TO GO HERE. COUNCIL MEMBER MAURER, GO AHEAD.

I HAVE ATTENDED, SORRY. STARTING OVER. THERE WAS A COMPOST GIVE AWAY HERE AND I WANTED TO THANK THE CLIMATE COMMITTEE WHO HELPED WITH THAT. THERE ARE SEVERAL HUBS NOW OPEN AT SONOMA COUNTY FOR FREE COMPOST. YOU CAN FIND MORE INFO AT SONOMA.COM WHERE YOU CAN GO AND PICK UP FREE COMPOST. SOME OF THESE COMPOST HUBS ARE ONLY OPEN A COUPLE OF DAYS A WEEK BUT HILLSBORO HAS ONE ALL THE TIME. AT THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE WE APPROVED A LITTER -- A LETTER TO THE COUNCIL WHICH WOULD SAVE \$12,000. WE ALSO DISCUSSED A PROPOSED RIGHTS OF NATURE ORDINANCE WHICH WAS BASED ON A SANTA MONICA SUSTAINABILITY ORDINANCE. AND IT'S INCLUDED IN OUR GENERAL PLAN. AND IT WAS RECOMMENDED TO BE BROUGHT BACK TO COMMITTEE AT THEIR NEXT MEETING. AT THE ZERO WASTE MEETING THE BOARD APPROVED A CONTRACT FOR MANAGEMENT OF THE NEW HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE FACILITY IN WINDSOR. AND I HAVE A COUPLE COMPOSTING TIPS FOR YOU BECAUSE I ASK QUESTIONS. SO KLEENEX, PAPER TOWELS AND PAPER NAPKINS ALL CAN GO IN THE COMPOSTING BIN. AS LONG AS IT'S NOT USED FOR SOMETHING LIKE WIPED UP ENGINE OIL, AS LONG AS IT'S NOT USED TO WIPE UP SOMETHING TOXIC IT CAN GO. AND ALSO THE ENVELOPES WITH THE LITTLE WINDOW, THOSE ARE ALL RECYCLABLE. YOU CAN PUT THOSE PAPER ENVELOPES INTO YOUR RECYCLING BIN. AND I WENT TO THE BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN MASTER PLAN MEETING AND MADE SOME COMMENTS ON SOME OF THEIR PROPOSED BIKE PLANS. I ALSO ATTENDED A RUSSIAN RIVER WATERSHED MEETING WHERE WE HEARD THE PRESENTATION ON EDUCATION FOR CHILDREN ON WATERSHED AND WATCHED THREE VIDEOS THAT HELD A CONTEST FOR HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS. AND THEY MADE THESE VIDEOS ON THE WATERSHED. SO AND AS STEVEN SAID A BUDGET MEETING. THAT'S IT FOR ME.

ALL RIGHT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANYONE ELSE READY TO GO? COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON.

SURE I WILL GO. AS STEVEN ALREADY STATED, WE WENT OUT TO SACRAMENTO AND LEARNED A LOT ABOUT THE SERA THAT WE WILL BE REPRESENTING THE COUNCIL FOR THE CITY AND ALL THE THINGINGS THEY CAN OFFER THAT CAN HOPEFULLY HELP US OUT IN THE CITY THAT IS PART OF OUR GROUP SITUATION, AND SO, SOME EXTRA TRAINING AND WE RECENTLY GOT SOME ASSIGNED AS RECENTLY AS THIS WEEK. I ALSO, ALTHOUGH, WE MISSED THESE MEETINGS A LOT AS THE SCTA REPRESENTATIVE, I JUST WANTED TO MENTION I DON'T KNOW THAT I'VE ANNOUNCED THAT I'M ON THEIR CLIMATE ACTION SUB COMMITTEE AND I'M ALSO AN ALTERNATE ON THEIR EXECUTIVE BOARD. AND SO I'VE BEEN ATTENDING THOSE SUB COMMITTEE MEETINGS IN ADDITION TO THE BOARD MEETINGS. AND JUST AN UPDATE THAT WE SENT A LETTER TO COMMENT ON MTC's EQUITY PRIORITIES COMMITTEE. A LOT OF TIMES IT'S REALLY DIFFICULT TO I GUESS LOBBY TO GET OUR FAIR SHARE OF THE BAY AREA MONEY BECAUSE OF WE DON'T HAVE A LOT OF MASS TRANSIT UP HERE. WE ALSO DON'T HAVE A LOT OF VOTING MEMBERS SO THAT NORTH BAY HAS TO GROUP TOGETHER WITH MTC. BUT YOU'RE ALWAYS STRUGGLING TO TRY TO GET OUR AT LEAST FAIR SHARE OF THE POT. AND SO, WE WORK A LOT ON THAT. AS A PREVIOUS COMMUTER I'M HAPPY BECAUSE I UNDERSTAND A LOT ABOUT THAT. I WILL SAY THAT, UPCOMING ALSO LINDA HOPKINS AND I HAVE A ZOOM MEETING JUST FOR WEST COUNTY THAT WE REQUESTED WITH CALTRANS TO TALK ABOUT SOME OF THE ISSUES WE HAVE REGARDING SIGNALS AND CROSSWALKS. AND IT'S NOT ONLY IN SEBASTAPOOL BUT THERE WILL ALWAYS BE SOME CONCERNS SHE HAS OUT IN THE DISTRICT. AND THAT IS SOMETHING CUSTOM THAT WE REQUESTED SPECIFICALLY FOR OUR AREA AND IT WILL BE HAPPENING ON THE 17th. I'LL BE HAPPY TO REPORT BACK AFTER THAT. AND THAT'S PRETTY MUCH WHAT'S HAPPENED IN THE LAST FEW WEEKS.

GREAT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS.

I WILL BE VERY BRIEF. IT HASN'T BEEN A LOT GOING ON. I'VE BEEN ATTENDING THE LEGISLATIVE MEETINGS. IT'S BEEN A LITTLE SLOW RIGHT NOW IN DISCUSSION. JUST SIMPLY BECAUSE OF WHERE EVERYBODY IS AT IN SACRAMENTO. IT'S LOOKING LIKE THE NEXT TWO MONTHS WILL BE A LOT MORE ACTIVE AS FAR AS THE COMMUNITY CENTER JUST AS THEIR LIAISON. I ALWAYS WANT TO REPORT OUT THAT THEY ARE MAKING GREAT STRIDES IN OFFERING A LOT MORE CAMPS AND DIFFERENT THINGS IN AN EFFORT TO RAISE MONEY. I JUST WANT TO ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO CHECK THAT OUT. AND THE SEBASTAPOOL FRIENDS, THEY HAVE THEIR MEETING COMING UP. AND WE HAD A MEETING BUT WE'RE GOING TO HAVE TO RESCHEDULE BECAUSE OF THE CITY MANAGER BEING OUT. THAT'S ON THE RADAR. IT'S GOING TO BE A RESCHEDULE BECAUSE OF THAT. ANY WAY.

GREAT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ALWAYS THRILLING TO HEAR EVERYTHING THAT'S GOING ON. I'LL REPORT OUT THAT THE EIFD AD HOC CAN MOVING FORWARD AND THAT REPORT SHOULD COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL REGARDING THE, THE OFFER THAT'S BEEN MADE TO THE SELECTED CONSULTANT. MEET YOUR NEIGHBOR IS MOVING FORWARD, I MEET WITH THEM REGULARLY. THEY CONTINUE TO DO TRAINING SESSIONS FOR EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS THAT ARE PRETTY IMPRESSIVE. URBINE I DID A PRESENTATION. VERY WONDERFUL. I WENT WITH A SCRIPT BUT BOY THEY JUST STARTED ASKING ME QUESTIONS. WHICH IS REALLY GREAT. KEPT ME ON MY TOES. IT WAS WONDERFUL. THE ZERO WASTE GROUP I CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THEM. THEY'RE A SUBGROUP OF THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE AND THEY ARE MOVING FORWARD ON KIND OF THE ZERO WASTE HERO STORIES WITH SUPPORT FROM SLOAN PAGEL. AND SONOMA GROUP VECTOR CONTROL, I WANT TO GET OUT ON THOSE BOTHS -- BOATS OUT ON THE LAGUNA SOON.

I HAVE ONE MORE THING.

GO AHEAD.

I HAVE BEEN ATTENDING THE GOLDRIDGE BOARD MEETING JUST TO INFORM MYSELF. THAT'S SOMETHING I'VE BEEN DOING.

I MAY HAVE MISSED THIS BUT VICE MAYOR, DID YOU STATE THERE'S A BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETING ON THURSDAY THIS WEEK AT 1:00. I MAY HAVE MISSED THAT.

12:30.

IT'S POSTED AS 1:00. IT IS HAPPENING AT 1:00.

I HAD IT AT 12:30.

I DID TOO BUT I JUST SAW IT WAS POSTED AT 1:00.

IT WILL HAVE TO BE AT 1:00.
THERE YOU GO.

Agenda Item Number: 2

AND I JUST HAVE TO REPORT OUT I WANT TO LET THE PUBLIC KNOW THE CITY COUNCIL DID INTERVIEW CITY ATTORNEY INTERVIEWS TODAY.

I WANT TO THANK EVERYBODY WHO STAYED. I APPRECIATE ALL THE EFFORT.

PEACE TOWN IS STARTING OUT ON WEDNESDAY. AND THERE'S A RIBBON CUTTING CEREMONY THERE AT 4:45.

I'M CUTTING THE RIBBON. OKAY. THANK YOU EVERYONE. AND ENJOY OUR WONDERFUL SEBASTAPOOL IT'S VERY SPECIAL. THANK YOU EVERYONE FOR STAYING THIS LATE.

MEETING IS ADJOURNED. [Event Concluded]

Agenda Item Number: 2

City Council Meeting Packet for Meeting of: June 18, 2024

Page 51 of 51