

CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF: Tuesday – September 3, 2024

MINUTES FOR Regular City Council Meeting of September 3, 2024
As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of September 17, 2024

Please note that these are action minutes only. Detailed raw transcript is attached to the minutes and made a part of the public record. These action minutes are the City's record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City and City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

6:00 pm City Council Regular Meeting, In Person – Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA; and by Virtual Format (Zoom)

Call to Order: Mayor Rich called the Regular Meeting to Order at 6:06 pm.

Roll Call:

Present: Mayor Diana Gardner Rich
Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman
Councilmember Sandra Maurer
Councilmember Neysa Hinton
Councilmember Jill McLewis

Absent: None

Staff: City Manager Don Schwartz
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley
Administrative Services Director Ana Kwong
Building Official Steve Brown
City Engineer Mario Landeros
Fire Chief Shepley Schroth-Cary/Battalion Chief Dave Bray
Associate Planner John Jay
Police Chief Ron Nelson
Assistant Public Works Superintendent Erik Billing

SALUTE TO THE FLAG : Mayor Rich led the salute to the flag.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). None Required.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS:

The following were introduced/presented:

- Introduction of City Attorney Alex Mog
- Years of Service - Miko Lim, Accountant/Analyst: 5 years

- Years of Service - Alexander Roa, Volunteer Fire Fighter: 10 Years
- Proclamation – September 2024 – National Seniors Month
- Proclamation – September 15th – October 15th 2024 National Latinx/Hispanic Heritage Month

Reference Order Number: 2024-184

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

There were no stated conflicts of interest by City Councilmembers.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):

Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Linda
- Oliver
- Kate
- SebCoFo

Mayor Rich responded to public comment.

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Councilmembers may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Mayor Rich asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.

Councilmember Maurer requested Item number 3 be pulled.

Mayor Rich requested Item Number 6 be pulled.

Councilmember McLewis requested clarification on the art project. Staff provided clarifications.

Mayor Rich opened for Public Comment(s). The following member(s) of the public provided public comment.

Linda

Mayor Rich responded to public comment.

MOTION:

Mayor Rich moved and Councilmember Hinton seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Item Number(s) 1, 2, 4, and 5.

Item number 3 and item number 6 have been removed from the consent calendar.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

1. Approval of City Council Meeting Minutes of August 6, 2024 – Regular Meeting (Responsible Department: Assistant City Manager/City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approved City Council Meeting Minutes of August 6, 2024 – Regular Meeting

Minute Order Number: 2024-185

2. Approval of Artist for City Art Commission at Joe Rodota Trail and Agreement with Regional Parks (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Budget. This Art Commission is being funded through the City of Sebastopol’s Art In-Lieu Fee Fund, which is designated for public artworks. The total approved budget of \$31,000.00 has been allocated for the commissioned piece and staff implementation (Responsible Department: Planning Dept/Public Art Committee)

City Council Action: Approved Artist for City Art Commission at Joe Rodota Trail and Agreement with Regional Parks (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Budget. This Art Commission is being funded through the City of Sebastopol’s Art In-Lieu Fee Fund, which is designated for public artworks. The total approved budget of \$31,000.00 has been allocated for the commissioned piece and staff implementation

Minute Order Number: 2024-186

- ~~3. Approval of contract amendment with Baker Tilly for Fleet Management Asset Study to amendment budget for an increase of \$18,900 (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget; Funding is included and was approved in the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget (Responsible Department: City Manager)~~

4. Approval of Notice of Completion for the Sunset Ave/Taft St Pedestrian Safety Crossing Improvements Project (Responsible Department: Engineering) (Project included Grant Fund from District 5 Infrastructure grant funding - \$200,000; and School District donation - \$20,000)

City Council Action: Approved Notice of Completion for the Sunset Ave/Taft St Pedestrian Safety Crossing Improvements Project (Responsible Department: Engineering) (Project included Grant Fund from District 5 Infrastructure grant funding - \$200,000; and School District donation - \$20,000)

Minute Order Number: 2024-188

5. Approval of Notice of Completion for the Youth Annex ADA Upgrade Project (Responsible Department: Engineering) (Project included Grant Funding from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) - \$180,000)

City Council Action: Approved Notice of Completion for the Youth Annex ADA Upgrade Project (Responsible Department: Engineering) (Project included Grant Funding from the Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) - \$180,000)

Minute Order Number: 2024-189

~~6. Approval of Salary Schedule for newly created position of Public Works Director/City Engineer and newly created positions of Engineer I and Engineer II as approved at the August 6, 2024 City Council Meeting, (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24-25 City Operating Budget; Funding is included and was approved in the Adopted FY 24-25 City Operating Budget and at the August 6, 2024 Council Meeting) and Approval of Salary Schedule for Professional Services Temporary Hires (There is also no fiscal impact with this item as salary savings from City vacancies would be used for any temporary professional hire). (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/Human Resources) (Item was reviewed and discussed at the August 12th 2024 Budget Committee Meeting as referenced in Staff Report).~~

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

7. Presentation from Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) (Mark Bramfitt) on process and timelines for Consolidation Process through LAFCO. The Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) was created by State law in 1963 to regulate the boundaries of cities and special districts and will provide a presentation on the City of Sebastopol Fire Reorganization/Consolidation Process (Fire Ad Hoc Committee/Responsible Department: City Manager)

City Manager Schwartz presented the agenda item and introduced Mark Bramfitt.

Mark Bramfitt, Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) provided a presentation to the City Council and Community.

Mayor Rich asked for comments from the Fire Ad Hoc Committee members.

Mayor Rich opened for questions of staff or the consultant from City Council.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. Member(s) of the public spoke as follows:

- Kyle
- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/and or Direction to Staff:

Councilmember McLewis responded to public comment.

Mayor Rich thanked the presenter for the presentation.

City Council Action: No action required. Received Presentation.

Reference Order Number: 2024-191

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

8. Discussion and Consideration Fire Ad Hoc Committee Duties/Tasks/Duration of Committee - This item was continued from the July 16, 2024 City Council Meeting (Fire Ad Hoc Committee/Responsible Department: City Manager)

City Manager Schwartz presented the agenda item.

The Fire Ad Hoc Committee provided additional comments.

Mayor Rich opened for questions of staff or the Ad Hoc Committee.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. Member(s) of the public spoke as follows:

- Kyle
- Linda
- Oliver

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/and or Direction to Staff:

The Council continued discussion of duties and tasks of the fire ad hoc committee meeting.

MOTION:

Vice Mayor Zollman moved and Councilmember McLewis seconded the motion to approve the roles of the Fire Ad Hoc Committee as follows::

1. Negotiate on behalf of the City of Sebastopol with the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District throughout the LAFCO process
2. Have primary responsibility for advising budget committee as relayed by the City Manager for review of budget related items; with final decision to be made by the City Council as a whole as it is related to the fire department budget.
3. Lead review of extension and possible expansion of contract services with the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District for fire chief administration and fire department operational responsibilities, set to expire September 30, 2024.
4. Review Resolution committing to moving forward with the LAFCO Process.
5. Review any Revenue Sharing or Tax-Exchange Agreement, authorizing the exchange of tax revenues between the City of Sebastopol and the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District.
6. Review the LAFCO application, which includes the Plan of Service, to ensure regulatory compliance and thoroughly evaluate the consolidation's feasibility and benefits.
7. Lead public communications concerning the LAFCO process and subsequent consolidation with the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District.

As Amended:

8. Regular Reports out to City Council either verbal or written; November, January, March, May, and July

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved the roles of the Fire Ad Hoc Committee as follows::

1. Negotiate on behalf of the City of Sebastopol with the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District throughout the LAFCO process
2. Have primary responsibility for advising budget committee as relayed by the City Manager for review of budget related items; with final decision to be made by the City Council as a whole as it is related to the fire department budget.
3. Lead review of extension and possible expansion of contract services with the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District for fire chief administration and fire department operational responsibilities, set to expire September 30, 2024.
4. Review Resolution committing to moving forward with the LAFCO Process.
5. Review any Revenue Sharing or Tax-Exchange Agreement, authorizing the exchange of tax revenues between the City of Sebastopol and the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District.
6. Review the LAFCO application, which includes the Plan of Service, to ensure regulatory compliance and thoroughly evaluate the consolidation's feasibility and benefits.
7. Lead public communications concerning the LAFCO process and subsequent consolidation with the Gold Ridge Fire Protection District.

As Amended:

8. Regular Reports out to City Council either verbal or written; November, January, March, May, and July
Minute Order Number: 2024-192
9. Revenue Measures: Status of those that have been considered; are still being considered; or new measures to considered (This report is a follow up from the Budget Committee Meeting that was held August 12th) (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/City Manager)

Administrative Services Director Kwong presented the agenda item.

The Budget Committee provided additional comments.

Mayor Rich opened for questions of staff or the Budget Ad Hoc Committee.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. Member(s) of the public spoke as follows:

- Oliver
- Calum
- Linda
- Kate
- Robert
- Kyle

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/and or Direction to Staff:

The Council continued discussions on revenue options.

Council was in consensus to direct staff to have the budget committee review these revenue options.

City Council Action: Directed staff to have the budget committee review these revenue options.

Minute Order Number: 2024-193

PUBLIC HEARING(s):

10. ~~Public Hearing – Building Valuation Policy Average: Approval of Resolution of Cost per square Foot by Occupancy & Type; Valuation per square foot when contract price not available authorizing the Building Official to raise valuations on an annual basis as of July 1 of each year based on the annual Construction Cost Index (Responsible Department: Building)~~ This publicly noticed public hearing agenda item has been pulled/removed from this agenda and will not be heard at this meeting. This public hearing will be publicly noticed again for a future City Council meeting.

City Council Action: None Taken. Item Continued to future meeting.

Reference Order Number: 2024-194

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION)(CONTINUED):

11. EIFD (EIFD Ad Hoc Committee: Responsible Department: Planning) Pursuant to direction provided on January 16, the City has retained consulting firm Kosmont Companies through a competitive procurement process effective July 15, 2024 for advisory services related to the evaluation and potential initial implementation of an EIFD (paid for by a County funding allocation). Initial work tasks have thus far focused on:
 - a. Evaluation of multiple potential EIFD boundary alternatives within the City and as well as portions of unincorporated County jurisdiction within the Fifth Supervisorial District; and
 - b. Initial compilation of potential infrastructure and/or affordable housing projects that could be included on the list of authorized facilities to receive EIFD funding in the future.

Kosmont and City staff would like to facilitate a discussion during the City Council’s meeting on September 3, 2024, regarding the projects or types of projects that the City Council would like to see included on the list of authorized facilities that could potentially receive EIFD funding in the future. Consistent with the EIFD statute, the staff report for the January 16 discussion item provided a definition projects that would be eligible to receive funding (e.g., water, sewer, flood control, roadways, sidewalks, libraries, parks and other recreational facilities, affordable housing, fire prevention, broadband infrastructure).

Associate Planner John Jay presented the agenda item and introduced the consultant.

Kosmont Companies provided a presentation.

The EIFD Ad Hoc Committee provided additional comments.

Mayor Rich opened for questions of staff, the consultant or Ad Hoc Committee.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. Member(s) of the public spoke as follows:

- Oliver
- Robert
- Kate
- Kee
- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/and or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the EIFD projects; page 8.

- City library expansion
- City Hall complex
- City Parks improvements
- Traffic / roadway improvements (e.g., roundabouts)
- Community pool
- Remodel / relocation of Community Center
- Downtown Corridor pedestrian connectivity enhancements/Reimagining downtown
- Flood control improvements
- Various unfunded roadway, bicycle, traffic signalization improvements
- Various unfunded water and sewer improvements (e.g., new wells)
- Veterans Building/Other community buildings
- See what 2% looks like – what does that equal
- Housing
- Flood control improvements
- Fire House
- Make City Utilities (like PG&E)

Kosmont suggestion for City Council consideration: • Allocation range between 25% to 50% (Did not discuss)

City Council Action: No formal motion.

Minute Order Number: 2024-195

6. Approval of Salary Schedule for newly created position of Public Works Director/City Engineer and newly created positions of Engineer I and Engineer II as approved at the August 6, 2024 City Council Meeting, (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget; Funding is included and was approved in the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget and at the August 6, 2024 Council Meeting) and Approval of Salary Schedule for Professional Services Temporary Hires (There is also no fiscal impact with this item as salary savings from City vacancies would be used for any temporary professional hire). (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/Human Resources) (Item was reviewed and discussed at the August 12th 2024 Budget Committee Meeting as referenced in Staff Report).

Deborah Muchmore, Muchmore Than Consulting , Human Resources Consultant, presented the agenda item.

Mayor Rich opened for questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. Member(s) of the public spoke as follows:

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/and or Direction to Staff:

- Linda
- Kate
- Kyle

MOTION:

Mayor Rich moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to approve Salary Schedule for newly created position of Public Works Director/City Engineer and newly created positions of Engineer I and Engineer II as

approved at the August 6, 2024 City Council Meeting, (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget; Funding is included and was approved in the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget and at the August 6, 2024 Council Meeting) and Approval of Salary Schedule for Professional Services Temporary Hires (There is also no fiscal impact with this item as salary savings from City vacancies would be used for any temporary professional hire). (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/Human Resources) (Item was reviewed and discussed at the August 12th 2024 Budget Committee Meeting as referenced in Staff Report).

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Salary Schedule for newly created position of Public Works Director/City Engineer and newly created positions of Engineer I and Engineer II as approved at the August 6, 2024 City Council Meeting, (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget; Funding is included and was approved in the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget and at the August 6, 2024 Council Meeting) and Approval of Salary Schedule for Professional Services Temporary Hires (There is also no fiscal impact with this item as salary savings from City vacancies would be used for any temporary professional hire).

Minute Order Number: 2024-190
Resolution Number: 6611-2024

14. Discussion and Consideration of Approval to Amended Job Description and Salary Schedule for Police Chief. The proposed annual base salary budget at top step would be \$202,464 and a budget amendment would be required for any increase in salary. If approved, the salary schedule and budget amendment would return to the next City Council meeting of September 17, 2024. (Responsible Department: Administrative Services/Human Resources)

Deborah Muchmore, Muchmore Than Consulting , Human Resources Consultant, presented the agenda item.

Mayor Rich opened for questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. Member(s) of the public spoke as follows:

- Kyle
- Oliver
- Linda
- Kate

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/and or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the job description and salary.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Mayor Rich seconded the motion to approve the proposed revised Police Chief classification/job description, recommended total salary and benefits of \$289,523 for an increase of \$46,023 to the FY 2025 budget and authorize recruitment immediately; and direct staff to return with a budget amendment and Salary schedule at the next City Council meeting.

- Language that includes: Progressive modern methods of policing in brochure; willing to examine alternative methods that fits the community
- Corrections / edits to job description
- Agendize for budget committee presented with information of overview of salary parities (what it means for overall salary schedule)

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved the proposed revised Police Chief classification/job description, recommended total salary and benefits of \$289,523 for an increase of \$46,023 to the FY 2025 budget and authorize recruitment immediately; and direct staff to return with a budget amendment and Salary schedule at the next City Council meeting.

- Language that includes: Progressive modern methods of policing in brochure; willing to examine alternative methods that fits the community
- Corrections / edits to job description
- Agendize for budget committee presented with information of overview of salary parities (what it means for overall salary schedule)

Minute Order Number: 2024-198

Resolution Number: 6612-2024

The following items were not discussed:

12. Consideration of Recommendation for Selection of Facilitator for February 2025 City Council Goal-Setting Session and approval of amendment to contract not to exceed \$10,000 (There is no fiscal impact/no requested increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget; Funding is included and was approved in the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget) Recommended Facilitator: Baker Tilly (Responsible Department: City Manager)

Reference Order Number: 2024-196

13. Discussion and Consideration of City Priorities (Short term and long term) (Responsible Department: City Manager)

Reference Order Number: 2024-197

3. Approval of contract amendment with Baker Tilly for Fleet Management Asset Study to amendment budget for an increase of \$18,900 (There is no fiscal impact/increase in funding to the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget; Funding is included and was approved in the Adopted FY 24 25 City Operating Budget (Responsible Department: City Manager)

Reference Order Number: 2024-187

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor’s discretion depending upon the number of

speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:

14. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)
15. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)
16. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers)

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

September 3, 2024 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the City Council Special Meeting Closed Session of Monday September 16, 2024 at 6:00 pm at City of Sebastopol City Hall, 7120 Bodega Avenue, Sebastopol, CA.

The Special City Council Meeting, Closed Session, Meeting of Monday, September 16, 2024 will be adjourned to the City Council Regular Meeting of Tuesday, September 17, 2024 at 6:00 pm, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Mayor Rich adjourned the City Council Meeting of September 3, 2024 at 10:57 pm to the next City Council Meeting of September 17, 2024 at 6:00 pm, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street.

Respectfully Submitted:

Mary Gourley
Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment:
Raw Zoom Minutes

PLEASE STAND BY FOR REALTIME CAPTIONS.
RECORDING IN PROGRESS.

CAN YOU TURN THE MICROPHONE ON, PLEASE GIVE US AN I WILL MAKE SURE EVERYONE ELSE IS READY BUT I AM NOT GOOD OKAY. YES, WE WILL CALL THIS MEETING TO ORDER AT 6:06 P.M. TO TRICK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR BEING HERE. THE FIRST ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS A ROLL CALL. IF YOU CAN HANDLE THAT, MARY GOURLEY?

THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.
HERE.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.
CARE.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.
HERE.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.
HERE.

MAYOR RICH.

PRESENT . THE MEDICAL COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE IN ATTENDANCE. AND NOW WE WILL DO THE SALUTE TO THE LEG. PLEASE STAND. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE NOT GOING TO PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS. THE FIRST ITEM ON THE AGENDA HERE IS AN INTRODUCTION AND WELCOME TO OUR NEW CITY ATTORNEY, ALEX MOG LET'S GIVE THIS GUY SOME APPLAUSE. HE IS AMAZING ALREADY. ALEX, WOULD YOU LIKE TO SAY ANYTHING?

I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH AND COUNCIL PREDATORS IN HONOR TO BE HERE BUT I'M SO HUMBLLED BY THE TRUST YOU PUT HIM IN THE LAST FEW WEEKS. IT HAS BEEN GREAT BEING HERE AND WORKING WITH STAFF OVER THESE LAST FEW WEEKS THAT I LOOK FORWARD TO THE NEXT, MANY MARKETS AN EXCELLENT BED ALEX WAS CHOSEN A VERY COMPETITIVE GROUP OF INTERVIEWS. HE COMES WELL RECOMMENDED AND HE MADE IT TO THE TOP IN THE MIDST OF ALL OF THAT. HAVING PRIOR EXPERIENCE, AND IT HAS ALL BEEN EXCELLENT. IT IS GREAT TO HAVE HIM HERE ON BOARD THAT HE WILL HAVE TO PROVE HOW FABULOUS HE IS TONIGHT, RIGHT? OKAY. THE NEXT ITEMS ARE A COUPLE OF YEARS OF SERVICE AWARDS. THESE ARE ALWAYS ABSOLUTELY FUN AND UPLIFTING. AND THEN WE HAVE A COUPLE OF PROCLAMATIONS. THE FIRST, THAT YOUR SERVICE AWARD IS TO MIKO LIM , AN ACCOUNTANT AND ANALYST. UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR, WHO I THINK IS GOING TO THE PODIUM RIGHT NOW. WE WILL TRACK HER. AND THEN I BELIEVE SHE IS GOING TO SAY A FEW WORDS IN HONOR OF MIKO LIM. GO AHEAD. THIS IS ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE SERVICES DIRECTOR

YES, THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR. MIKO LIM CANNOT BE WITH US TONIGHT. HE HAS SMALL CHILDREN AT SCHOOL IS BACK IN SESSION SO WE CANNOT BE HERE BETTER WITH PLEASURE I STAND BEFORE YOU TO HONOR MIKO LIM FOR HIS FIVE YEARS OF DEDICATED SERVICE TO THE CITY. OVER THE PAST FIVE YEARS, HE HAS BEEN A STAR OF MANY COMPLEX SPREADSHEETS. AND A TRUE TEAM PLAYER. AND ALTHOUGH MIKO LIM IS VERY QUIET AND PREFERS TO WORK IN THE BACK, THE BACK END SCENE,

HIS ABILITY TO COLLABORATE EFFECTIVELY WITH ALL THE DEPARTMENT HAS BEEN NOTHING BUT AMAZING. SO I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU TO MIKO LIM FOR HIS FIVE YEARS OF SERVICE FOR THE CITY IS LOOKING FORWARD TO HIS CONTINUED JOURNEY OF SUCCESS AND COLLECTIVE ACHIEVEMENT . A VERY SPECIAL THANK YOU TO THE CITY COUNCIL FOR ACKNOWLEDGING MIKO LIM'S CONTRIBUTION TO THIS MILESTONE FOR THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IF YOU GO MUCH AS RECORDING, THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR EVERYTHING YOU HAVE DONE, MIKO LIM, FOR OUR CITY , THAT BEING THERE WITH YOUR CHILD FOR THAT IS SUPER IMPORTANT. IF YOU COULD COME UP AND SHAKE SOME HANDS ON BEHALF OF MIKO LIM? OKAY. THOSE ARE ALWAYS VERY FUN. HOW ABOUT SOME APPLIES FOR MIKO LIM? HE MIGHT SEE THIS. OKAY. THE NEXT YEAR OF SERVICE AWARD IS, I THINK, IT IS ALEXANDER ROA, AS I RECALL. DO WE HAVE SOMEONE FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT WHO WANTS TO ACCEPT THAT? GO AHEAD. STEVE THIBODEAU. YES, AND ALEX IS IN THE ROOM WITH HIS CHARMING, BEAUTIFUL YOUNG GOT A BIT ALEX, COULD YOU PLEASE COME UP TO THE PODIUM SO WE CAN ADMIRE YOU AND YOUR DAUGHTER? [LAUGHTER] WE WILL TRY TO PAY ATTENTION TO THE WORDS. BUT YOUR DAUGHTER IS MORE IMPORTANT. THANK YOU. GO AHEAD

Reporter: THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH, COUNCIL, STAFF AND CITIZENS BUT IT IS BETTER FOR ME TO COME UP HERE AND RECOGNIZE OUR FOLKS THAT ARE SO DEDICATED TO THE CITY AND COMMUNITY. WE HAVE A VERY SPECIAL ONE TONIGHT. THIS IS ALEXANDER ROA, ONE OF OUR FIRE CAPTAINS. EXCELLENT!

IS ACTUALLY GOING TO BRING HIM UP, BUT THAT'S OKAY.

WE HAD TO ADMIRE FIRST THAT ARE GOING TO INTRODUCE THE BEAUTIFUL YOUNG LADY? OKAY, THANK YOU.

SO ALEX, AS YOU KNOW, HE HAS 10 YEARS OF SERVICE. THAT IS A MILESTONE AND A HUGE COMMITMENT TO THIS CITY. AND I HAD THE PLEASURE OF WORKING WITH ALEX FOR 10 YEARS. SO ALEX CAME ALONG TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT IN 2014. ALEX HAS RESPONDED TO OVER 4000 CALLS FOR SERVICE AND AND WITH THAT, HE HAS ATTENDED OVER 400 -- ON A THURSDAY NIGHT. SO THAT IS A BIG COMMITMENT. WE SAY THANK YOU FOR THAT, ALEX. ALEX WAS PROMOTED TO ENGINEER IN 2017. AND THEN TO CAPTAIN IN 2024. HE HAS MOVED UP THROUGH THE RANKS. HE IS IN A LEADERSHIP ROLE AND WE ARE GLAD THAT ALEX PUTS A LOT OF TIME HELPING OUR NEW STAFF TO GET UP TO SPEED. THANK YOU FOR THAT, ALEX. ALEX WAS ALSO A FIREFIGHTER OF THE YEAR IN 2019. A PRESTIGIOUS AWARD FOR OUR FOLKS. ALEX IS ALSO PART OF OUR CAREER STAFF BACK IN 2020. ALEX WAS HIRED AS A FIRE ENGINEER. SINCE THEN, ALEX MOVED ON. HE IS A FOUNDER OF -- COLLECTIVE, THAT IS HIS COMPANY. HE DOES MAPPING. THANK YOU FOR YOUR THREE YEARS OF BEING WITH US AS A CAREER FIREFIGHTER. ALSO, ALEX HAS BEEN INSTRUMENTAL IN MAINTAINING OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT STATISTICS. IMPORTANT OF ALL THE DATA SO YOU GUYS CAN SEE THAT PATTY HAS BEEN WORKING ON THAT. HAS ALSO HELPED HER DEVELOP OUR STIPEND SHIP. WE IMPLEMENTED THAT IN JUNE WE WERE HOPING TO IMPLEMENT THAT AGAIN. ALEX HAS ALWAYS BEEN, IN OH, A SUPER POSITIVE GUY. HE SHOWS UP TO THE STATION WITH A SMILE ON HIS FACE. HE IS READY TO WORK AND HE ALWAYS UNDERSTANDS

WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. I CERTAINLY APPRECIATE THAT. ALEX HAS ALSO BEEN A GREAT MENTOR TO OUR NEW FIREFIGHTERS. WE HAVE HAD AT LEAST 11 FOLKS COME ON THE LAST COUPLE OF YEARS. THAT IS A HUGE JOB TO GET THOSE FOLKS UP TO SPEED. THEY PRETTY MUCH HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE SAME KIND OF TRAINING THAT OUR CAREER FIREFIGHTER HAS TO GO TO BED YOU CAN IMAGINE HOW THAT HAS GOT TO FIT IN ON SUCH A SHORT TIMELINE. LET'S SEE. ALEX -- BUT HE HAS HIS BEAUTIFUL DAUGHTER. SAY HELLO. [LAUGHTER] SO WE ENJOY SEEING ALL OF THAT. WITH THAT, LET'S CELEBRATE ALEXANDER ROA FOR 10 YEARS OF SERVICE . SYMMETRICAL, ALEX. WHAT YOU COME UP AND SHAKE SOME HANDS AND GET YOUR CERTIFICATE.

OKAY. I LOVE THESE MOMENTS. SO OUR NEXT TWO ITEMS ARE PROCLAMATIONS. THE FIRST ONE IS NATIONAL SENIORS MONTH. I'M GOING TO ASK OUR VICE MAYOR TO PRESENT THAT PROCLAMATION. DO WE HAVE, I SEE. YES, THE ED OF THE SENIOR CENTER IS IN THE ROOM. GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR.

THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR. SO DECLARING 2024 AS NATIONAL SENIORS MONTH. WHEREAS IT IS A TIME TO SHINE A LIGHT ON SENIOR CENTERS DURING THE INFORMATION ABOUT HOW VITAL THEY ARE TO THE HEALTH AND WELL-BEING OF THE COMMUNITY. AND WHEREAS, SENIOR CENTERS OUR COMMUNITY PARTNERS TO PROVIDE ACCESS TO HEALTH, ECONOMIC SECURITY, SOCIAL ENGAGEMENT, PURPOSE, CREATIVITY, MOBILITY, INTUITION, AND ALL IN A SOCIAL AND FUN ENVIRONMENT. WHEREAS, THE SENIOR CENTER IS OUR PRIVATE NONPROFIT ORGANIZATION AND IS SEBASTOPOL'S OLDEST SENIOR CENTER WITH AN INCORPORATION DATE OF 1969. WHEREAS, THE SEBASTOPOL SENIOR CENTER WELCOMES PEOPLE OF EVERY FAMILY COMPOSITION, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, PHYSICAL OR MENTAL ABILITY, RELIGIOUS BACKGROUND, ETHNICITY, RACE AND GENDER, AND ENCOURAGE PEOPLE TO PARTICIPATE FULLY IN EVERY ASPECT OF THE SENIOR CENTER LIFE AND ACTIVITIES. AND WHEREAS, THE 2024 THEME OF NATIONAL SENIORS MONTH IS EMPOWERING CONNECTIONS IN OUR OWN SEBASTOPOL SENIOR CENTER IS A PLACE WHERE CONNECTIONS ARE MADE BETWEEN THE SENIOR CENTER AND ACUITY. NOW RESOLVED THAT MAYOR RICH, ON BEHALF OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL , DOES PROCLAIM SEBASTOPOL 2024 IN SEBASTOPOL AS THE NATIONAL SENIORS MONTH. I COULD NOT BE MORE PROUD OF ALL THE WONDERFUL WORK THAT IS DONE ON BEHALF OF THE CENTER. KATIE, IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO COME UP WHICH ARE SOME WONDERFUL NEWS, THAT WOULD BE GREAT. WE ARE REALLY LUCKY WITH KATIE BECAUSE SHE LEFT SEBASTOPOL FOR A WHILE AND WE ENTICED HER BACK.

THANK YOU, EVERYBODY. THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, OF THE MEMBERS, AND STAFF, OF COURSE. THANK YOU FOR RECOGNIZING SEPTEMBER AS NATIONAL SENIORS MONTH BUT I'M THE DIRECTOR OVER THERE BUT I WANTED TO SHARE A COUPLE THINGS AND I WILL KEEP IT QUICK . AS YOU KNOW, ABOUT ONE THIRD OF THE POPULATION IS -- OF THE POPULATION OF SEBASTOPOL IT IS OVER 60. SO WE ARE NOT JUST A PLACE TO GATHER. WE ARE TRULY A HUB OF CONNECTION, LEARNING, AND SUPPORT FOR JUST LAST YEAR, WE SAW A 24,000 ITEMS FOR CLASSES, SERVICES, AND LUNCH. 7000 MEALS SERVED. 200 SENIORS ATTENDED THE FALL PREVENTION CLASS OR LECTURE. 150 DENIERS SIGNED UP FOR A

SUPPORT GROUP. AND 300 SITE AND FOR AN LGBTQIA+. THAT IS JUST A SMALL STEP AT OF THE SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE SENIOR CENTER. SO WHAT CAN WE DO EIGHT DURING NATIONAL SENIORS MONTH? COME IN FOR LUNCH BUT I KNOW THAT CITY ATTORNEY, MAYBE COME JOIN US FOR LUNCH BUT IT WILL BE FUN. OR TAKE A CLASS OR LECTURE COULD SIMPLY TELL A FRIEND OR NEIGHBOR ABOUT THE SENIOR CENTER. THOSE ARE A COUPLE OF WAYS. IT IS NOT JUST A SINGLE ACT BUT IT TAKES A DEDICATED TEAM OF STAFF, VOLUNTEERS, CITY COUNCIL AND STAFF TO TRULY MAKE THIS HAPPEN. WE ARE VERY EXCITED MOVING FORWARD WITH OUR PARTNERSHIP WITH GOLD RICH, AND OF COURSE THE SEBASTOPOL FIRE DEPARTMENT AND POLICE DEPARTMENT. THAT'S IT BUT I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU FOR RECOGNIZING SEPTEMBER AS NATIONAL SENIORS MONTH.

ALL RIGHT. OUR FINAL PROCLAMATION IS A PROCLAMATION RECOGNIZING NATIONAL LATINX/HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH. THAT IS SEPTEMBER 15-OCTOBER 15. SINCE MY VICE MAYOR FACILITATED THIS, I WILL PASS THIS OFF TO HIM TOO. I REALLY APPRECIATE HIS EFFORTS TO CONNECT WITH ALL MEMBERS OF OUR COMMUNITY, ALL FACETS.

THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR. IT WAS ADRIANA -- SHE IS THE PROCTOR OF HEALTH ACTION TOGETHER. SO THE MAYOR INDICATED, THIS IS FROM SEPTEMBER 15-OCTOBER 15 AS NATIONAL LATINX/HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH. AMERICANS HAVE SERVED NATIONAL HISTORIC HERITAGE MONTH ON SEPTEMBER 15 THROUGH OCTOBER 15th BY CELEBRATING THE HISTORIES, CULTURES, CONTRIBUTIONS OF PEOPLE, SPANISH, MEXICAN, SOUTH -- DISSENT THAT HAVE CONTRIBUTED TO THE RICH HISTORY AND ECONOMICS OF THE UNITED STATES. WHEREAS, THE OBSERVATION STARTED IN 1968 AS HISPANIC HERITAGE WEEK, UNDER PRESIDENT LYNDON JOHNSON, AND IT WAS EXPENDED BY PRESIDENT RONALD REAGAN IN 1988, STARTING ON DECEMBER 15th AND ENDING ON OCTOBER 15. WHEREAS, SEBASTOPOL VALUES DIVERSITY, EQUITY, FAIRNESS, AND ENSURING THAT ALL RESIDENTS. NOW BE IT RESOLVED THAT MAYOR RICH ON BEHALF OF THE CITY COUNCIL , CITY OF SEBASTOPOL, DECLARE SEPTEMBER 15-OCTOBER 15, AS NATIONAL -- NATIONAL LATINX/HISPANIC HERITAGE MONTH. THE GREAT MAN ON THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL ON THE DATE OF SEPTEMBER 3, 2024.

EXCELLENT. SOME APPLAUSE FOR THIS GREAT CONTRIBUTION. ALL RIGHT. THAT IS THE FUN PART. NOW WE GET INTO THE BUSINESS PART. THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST. LOOKING AT MY FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS BID ANY STATEMENTS OF CONFLICT OF INTEREST? NO? MOVING ON. THAT'S ON THE AGENDA IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. I'M GOING TO TURN TO OUR CITY CLERK, MARY GOURLEY, TO MANAGE THAT.

THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH PRACTICES FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA TONIGHT. THERE IS A 20 MINUTE TIME LIMIT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. PUBLIC COMMENT IS TWO MINUTES EACH FOR THE PROCESSES I WILL TAKE AND CHAMBER FIRST AND THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM, COME BACK TO CHAMBER AND GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IS ANYBODY IN CHAMBER WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON THE AGENDA TONIGHT, SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE ? LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THERE YOU GO. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

OKAY. [INAUDIBLE] CURRENTLY FIVE RVs AND TRAILERS ON THE HIGHWAY. ONE WITH ABOUT THE SAME NUMBER OF VEHICLES IN VARIOUS STATES OF DISREPAIR. [INAUDIBLE] UNDER STAFF EXEMPLARY -- 50% OF THEIR TIME IS DEALING WITH THE HOMELESS. [INAUDIBLE] HAS COMPLAIN TO SEBASTOPOL. A RECESSED ENTRYWAY TO THE BUILDING. THAT IS BEING USED FOR DRUG DEALING AND AS A RESTROOM WITH URINE AND FECES DOWN THERE. THIS IS LIKE THE REDWOOD MARKETPLACE ACROSS THE HIGHWAY. LUCKY TO HAVE SEVERAL VACANT COMMERCIAL SPACES FOR THE TO PLACE CONTINUING TO HAVE FIVE VACANT COMMERCIAL SPACES. AND THAT ALL MEANS THE LOSS OF A LOT OF TAX REVENUE TO THE CITY. ALL THESE VACANCIES. ANYWAY, [INAUDIBLE] THE LIAISONS OF THE RV VILLAGE WHICH WAS A DISASTER FROM THE START. [INAUDIBLE] UNINFORMED MANAGEMENT. A COUPLE OF MILLION DOLLARS PER LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

IN ADDITION TO THAT, LARGELY RESPONSIBLE FOR THE FIRE GOING \$6 MILLION DEBT. THE WATER METERS WHICH ARE NOW FAILING. A BUNCH OF THEM ARE FAILING. WE ARE PAYING ABOUT HALF \$1 MILLION A YEAR FOR THE NEXT 12 YEARS FOR THE WATER METER FIASCO. MAYOR RICH -- LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT NEXT, I WILL COMMIT TO CHAMBERS.

THANK YOU. PRETTY MUCH EVERY COUNCIL, THERE A LOT OF GOOD WRITTEN COMMENTS ON THE WEBSITE. IF HE REALLY GOOD IF YOU COULD ACTUALLY ANNOUNCE WHEN PUBLIC COMMENT IS ANNOUNCED TO SAY, TO LET PEOPLE KNOW BIT PARTICIPATION IS OCCURRING. THERE A LOT OF PUBLIC COMMENTS ON THE WEBSITE. I ONLY HAVE 120 SECONDS TO SAY WHAT I AM SAYING NOW. SOME PEOPLE HAVE SAID ALL WEEKEND POSING DETAILED SOLUTIONS TO CITY PROBLEMS THAT A LOT OF PEOPLE DO NOT KNOW IT IS THERE. YOU HAVE TO CLICK AN EXTRA BUTTON ON THE AGENDA OF THE COUNCIL MEETINGS. SO A LOT OF PEOPLE ARE PUTTING IN A LOT OF TIME AND THINKING THROUGH COMMENTS AND HAVING SUGGESTIONS. -- SO I THINK THAT MIGHT BE REALLY VALUABLE THING TO MENTION WHERE THE PUBLIC COMMENTS ON THE WEBSITE. ON THE PUBLIC COMMENT AND AGENDA ITEMS. ONE ADDITIONAL SENTENCE FOR THAT IS MY COMMENT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE ? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN, THANK YOU. THE REASON SEBASTOPOL TIMES ARTICLE TRACKING THE TRANSIENTS FOLLOWS ONE SEBASTOPOL OFFICER AS SHE MAKES HER ROUNDS. ED IS AN ALARMING REPORT OF DRUG USE AND OTHER ILLEGAL ACTIVITIES. IN THE ARTICLE, THERE IS REFERENCE TO A METH PIPE IN A PHOTOGRAPH OF THE USE OF SYRINGES, APPARENTLY FULL OF NEEDLES FROM HEROIN USE AND A TRANSIENT CAMPSITE. THIS IS A HUGE RED FLAG FOR A COMMUNITY. THE PEOPLE LIVING IN TENTS WITHOUT CARS AND WITHOUT ANY SOURCE OF INCOME CAN SUPPORT A HEROIN HABIT, THAT MEANS FIRST AND FOREMOST, THE DRUG IS READILY AVAILABLE AND EASY TO ACCESS WITHIN OUR COMMUNITY. AND PEOPLE HAVE NO INCOME AND NO TRANSPORTATION ARE ABLE TO SUPPORT THEIR DRUG ADDICTIONS, IT MEANS IT WOULD BE VERY EASY FOR A TEENAGER TO GAIN ACCESS TO EXTREMELY DANGEROUS DRUGS. MATH CAN PERMANENTLY DAMAGE PEOPLE'S BRAINS AND MENTAL ABILITIES. AT IS DEBILITATING AND CAN

LEAD TO PSYCHOTIC DELUSIONS WOULD OPPOSE THE THESE DRUGS ARE IN USE IN OUR TRANSIT COMMUNITY, WHAT ARE THEY DOING TO FIND THE DEALERS, AND TO STOP THE FLOW OF THESE EXTREMELY DANGEROUS DRUGS IN OUR TOWN? SEBASTOPOL HAS OVER 2000 STUDENTS. AS ADULTS, OUR JOB IS TO CREATE A SAFE ENVIRONMENT. THIS IS NOT A SAFE ENVIRONMENT. IT SHOULD BE VERY STRIKING THAT THESE DRUGS ARE SO AVAILABLE AND A SEMI RURAL TOWN OF 7500 CITIZENS WITH PEOPLE OVER THE AGE OF 60 IN THE MAJORITY. WHY IS SEBASTOPOL A VALID LOCATION FOR METH AND HEROIN DEALING? DOES ANYONE KNOW HOW THIS HAPPENED? AND THOSE SURVEYS, PUBLIC SURVEYS WAS THE NUMBER ONE FOR TREMONT RESIDENTS. WHAT IS THE PLAN TO DEAL WITH THE FLOW OF HEROIN AND METHAMPHETAMINE INTO OUR COMMUNITY? WHAT IS THE PLAN TO KEEP RESIDENTS AND STUDENTS SAFE? THESE ARE MY QUESTIONS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. I WILL NOW GO BACK OUT. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE ? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? I CAN HEAR YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE

FIRST, I LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU FOR THE OPPORTUNITY TO PARTICIPATE IN THE MEETING. SECONDLY, I HAVE A QUESTION I WOULD LIKE TO ADDRESS TO ALL MEMBERS OF THE COUNCIL. I WOULD APPRECIATE A SHORT PANTS IN RESPONSE. I'M ACTUALLY FOLLOWING UP ON OLIVER'S PRESENTATION. HAVE YOU READ THE WRITTEN COMMENTS SET UP AND PRESENTED OR SUBMITTED TO THE COUNCIL VIA THE ADDRESS PEOPLE EMAIL THEM INTO? THEY APPEAR ON THE COUNCIL AGENDA PAGE. I'VE OFTEN WONDERED HOW MANY OF THE COUNCIL PEOPLE ACTUALLY READ THEM WHEN PREPARING FOR THE COUNCIL MEETINGS. THAT IS MY QUESTION. THANK YOU FOR YOUR RESPONSE.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS . SEEING NONE , I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM . IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENTS FOR ITEMS ON THE AGENDA, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I WANTED TO TAKE THE OPPORTUNITY TO POINT OUT THERE IS, MAKE SURE EVERYONE IS AWARE OR VIEWING THIS RECORDING IN THE FUTURE. ON THE PAGE FOR THIS MEETING, THE CITY COUNCIL PAGE FOR THIS MEETING, IF YOU SCROLL DOWN BELOW THE LIST OF DOCUMENTS, THOSE THAT FOLLOW THE AGENDA, THERE IS A PUBLIC COMMENT SECTION AND THERE ARE SIX PUBLIC COMMENTS THAT ARE COPIED THERE THAT WERE SENT IN BY THOSE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC. I APPRECIATE THE OPPORTUNITY TO POINT THAT OUT. WE HAVE VERY ENGAGED COMMENTERS HERE IN SEBASTOPOL. THEY OFTEN DO TAKE THE TIME TO ADD VERY CONSIDERED COMMENTS INTO US. SECONDLY, IN TERMS OF THE QUESTION PRESENTED TO US. JUST A REMINDER, WE DO NOT SPECIFICALLY ANSWER QUESTIONS IN THE MIDST OF PUBLIC COMMENT, BUT WE WOULD LOVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO FOLLOW-UP AND ANSWER THOSE QUESTIONS. DID YOU HAVE A CONTRIBUTION?

JUST A CLARIFICATION. ON THE MEETING PAGE, THERE IS A BUTTON -- AND THAT IS WHERE ALL OF THAT INFORMATION IS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. IN TERMS OF WHETHER THOSE ON OUR COUNCIL , THE COUNCIL MEMBERS HERE. IF THEY ACTUALLY READ ALL OF THE EMAILS

THAT ARE SENT INTO US, I WILL SAY THAT I CERTAINLY DO. I EXPECT THAT ALL OF US READ ALL OF THEM. THEY ARE IMPORTANT TO US. THEY -- AND MARY GOURLEY, OUR CITY CLERK , REPORTING DIRECTLY TO THE CITY COUNCIL, IS VERY EFFICIENT IN GETTING THAT INFORMATION TO US BUT I FIND IT VERY INTERESTING. ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? I AM SORRY, GO AHEAD?

WANTED TO ADD WE GET THOSE ENROLLED TYPE IT AS SOON AS THEY COME TO THE CITY, THEY ARE FORWARDED TO OUR INBOXES. WE ARE NOT SAVING THEM UP.

THANK YOU. DID YOU HAVE A COMMENT, MARY GOURLEY?

I WANTED TO SAY THAT THEY COME INTO CITY OF SEBASTOPOL OR THE CITY COUNCIL.GOV. THEY ARE FORWARDED TO ALL CITY COUNCILMEMBERS BUT EVERY PUBLIC COMMENT I GET IS FORWARDED.

EXCELLENT. THANK YOU TO EVERYONE FOR SUBMITTING THOSE COMMENTS. THEY ARE HIGHLY VALUED, ESPECIALLY IN A HIGH COMMUNITY -- A SMALL COMMUNITY, BY THE CITY COUNCIL. WE ARE NOW INTO OUR CONSENT CALENDAR. THERE ARE SIX ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. THE CURRENT PROTOCOLS FOR THE CITY COUNCIL, I NEED TO READ THE TITLE OF EACH OF THESE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. I'M GOING TO RUN THROUGH THAT. AND THEN WE WILL SEE IF ANY OF OUR COUNCIL NUMBERS NEED TO PULL ANY OF THESE ITEMS OR HAVE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS ABOUT ANY OF THE SIX ITEMS. IF ANYONE PULLS AN ITEM IT GETS PLACED AT THE END OF OUR REGULAR CALENDAR ENOUGH TO MAKE AN EXCEPTION. WE ALLOW CERTAIN QUESTIONS TO BE ANSWERED IF THEY CAN BE QUICKLY ANSWERED BY OUR SEPARATE ITEM ONE. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES OF AUGUST 6, 2024. NUMBER TWO THAT APPROVAL OF ARTIST FOR CITY OR COMMISSION AT JOE RENAUD TO TRAIL AN ARGUMENT WITH REGIONAL PARKS. THERE IS NO PHYSICAL IMPACT/INCREASE IN FUNDING TO THIS ITEM NUMBER TWO. ITEM THREE. APPROVAL OF CONTRACT AMENDMENT WITH BAKER TILLY FOR FLEET MANAGEMENT ASSET STUDY TO AMENDMENT BUDGET FOR AN INCREASE OF \$18,900. THERE IS NO PHYSICAL IMPACT OR INCREASE IN FUNDING TO THE ADOPTED BUDGET AS A RESULT OF THIS ITEM. NUMBER FOUR. APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF COMPLETION FOR THE SUNSET AVENUE/TAFT STREET PEDESTRIAN SAFETY CROSSING PROJECT. ITEM FIVE. APPROVAL OF NOTICE OF COMPLETION FOR THE YOUTH ANNEX ADA UPGRADE PROJECT. ITEM NUMBER SIX. APPROVAL OF SALARY SCHEDULE FOR NEWLY CREATED POSITION OF PUBLIC WORKS DIRECTOR/CITY ENGINEER, AND NEWLY CREATED POSITIONS OF ENGINEER ONE AND ENGINEER TWO AS APPROVED AT THE AUGUST 6 CITY COUNCIL MEETING. THOSE ARE THE SIX ITEMS. I AM TURNING TO MY FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS TO DETERMINE WHETHER OF ANY OF THESE ITEMS -- COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, GO AHEAD .

HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT NUMBER THREE. THE ASSESSMENT STUDY. DO WE TAKE PUBLIC COMMENTS BEFORE WE PULL IT? HOW DOES THAT WORK?

KNOW, IF YOU'RE ASKING THE BULLET ITEM FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR, THE PROTOCOL IS IT GOES TO THE END OF THE MEETING AND IT WILL BE AN ITEM AT THAT TIME. THAT IS WHEN PUBLIC COMMENTS WILL BE TAKEN.

BUT WHY PULL IT BEFORE?

YES, YOU WOULD HAVE IT PULLED NOW.

OKAY, I WOULD LIKE TO PULL THAT ONE.

OKAY, SO COUNCILMEMBER MAURER HAS REQUESTED THAT ITEM NUMBER THREE, IS THAT CORRECT? THAT IT BE PULLED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR. WE WILL HEAR THAT AS A NEW ITEM NUMBER AFTER NUMBER 14. CORRECT?

THAT IS CORRECT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

BECAUSE I DO NOT WANT TO POLLINATE THINK THAT I'M WONDERING WITH THE NUMBER TWO. WITH THIS MONEY ALLOCATED PUT THEM SIMPLY ASKING BECAUSE WE HAVE A PIECE OF ARTWORK THAT A LOT OF MONEY WAS ALLOCATED TO. AND FOR NUMEROUS YEARS AND IT HAS NEVER BEEN INSTALLED BUT IS THERE ANY WAY TO KNOW THE PROGRESS WITH THIS? OR IF IT IS SCHEDULED? WHEN IT IS SCHEDULED TO BE UTILIZED? I'M TRYING TO, THIS HAS BEEN PENDING FOR YEARS AND WE HAVE ANOTHER. WHERE IS IT? HOW DO WE KNOW?

I DO NOT HAVE THE ANSWER TO THAT, BUT I KNOW OUR ASSOCIATE PLANNER IS HERE BUT IT WAS JUST A QUESTION THAT IS A TIMELINE?

THANK YOU. THE ITEM IS, I BELIEVE IT IS ALREADY CONSTRUCTED. IT IS JUST WAITING TO HAVE THE LOCATION FINALIZED BY CITY COUNCIL AND REGIONAL PARKS WITH THE LAND IS OWNED BY -- SO AS SOON AS THE CITY COUNCIL SIGNS OFF, THE NEXT STEP IS FOR THIS ITEM IN THE AGREEMENTS TO GO TO THE PARK COMMISSION TO HAVE FINAL APPROVAL. AT THAT POINT, THE SITE TO HAVE IT INSTALLED ON THERE. SO I WOULD SAY, PROBABLY EARLY NEXT YEAR WOULD BE THE EARLIEST IT COULD GO IN. WE ARE GETTING INTO THE SEASON WHERE -- AND STUFF LIKE THAT IS NOT EASY TO DO. SO TO MAYBE ANSWER THE QUESTION ABOUT THE -- THEY ARE STARTING THEIR FOUNDATION WORK NEXT WEEK. THEY WILL BE HOPEFULLY HAVING THAT INSTALLED BY THE END OF THIS YEAR.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT WAS OUR ASSOCIATE PLANNER. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM HELLO COUNCILMEMBERS? ANY OTHER ITEMS TO BE PULLED? I HAVE A QUESTION REGARDING ITEM NUMBER SIX. THAT IS THE PUBLIC WORKS CITY ENGINEER ITEM. I LOOKED AT THE PAY SCALE WHICH IS ON PAGE 411. THAT IS WHERE THAT QUESTIONS SHOULD BE DIRECTED. BUT I UNDERSTAND FROM THE STAFF REPORT THE JUSTIFICATION FOR THE PAY SCALE IN THIS PARTICULAR INSTANCE. BUT I HAVE TO WONDER WHETHER HIS STAFF TOOK INTO CONSIDERATION THE IMPACT ON OTHER PAY RATES IN OUR SALARY SCALE. I SEE THAT PUTS THIS POSITION IN A FAIRLY HIGH RELATIVE POSITION IN TERMS OF SALARY. SO WE LOOK AT THIS STAFF REPORT AND CONSIDERATE IS, IS THIS A JUSTIFIED SALARY? I HAVE TO ASK THE BIGGER IMPLICATIONS. THE PURPOSES OF OTHER MANAGEMENT POSITIONS. HAS THAT BEEN CONSIDERED?

[INAUDIBLE] KIND OF ELABORATING ON THIS SORT OF THING. SO WE ARE AWARE WE ARE CHANGING EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT SALARIES PIECEMEAL. AND DOING A MARKETING ANALYSIS FOR EACH OF THOSE. THIS IS NOT SORT OF A PLAN OR INTENTION. TO SOME EXTENT, THE ROLE OF RETIREMENT OF OUR POLICE CHIEF. THEY ARE AWARE THERE IS SOME IN EQUITIES, RATHER, ACROSS THE -- WE HOPE WE CAN GET THOSE RECTIFIED. WE ARE AWARE THAT THEY ARE TAKING THESE INDIVIDUALLY AND PLACING THEM IN THE MARKET AT THE TIME THEY NEED TO.

THANK YOU. YEAH. THE BUDGET IMPLICATIONS ARE NOT COVERED IN THE STAFF REPORT. IS THAT CORRECT? THE BUDGET IMPLICATIONS?

LET ME TAKE A LOOK AT THE REPORT FOR THAT.

I GUESS MAYBE THE QUICK OR EASY WAY TO ASK THE QUESTION IS, WILL THE BROADER, RATHER THAN PIECEMEAL, BUT THE BROADER IMPLICATIONS OF INDIVIDUAL SALARY CHANGES BE COMING BACK TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE SO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE CAN HAVE A CLOSER LOOK AT THE IMPLICATIONS OF THESE PIECEMEAL CHANGES?

THAT IS NOT ATTENDED EYES AT THIS TIME, BUT WE CAN CERTAINLY DO THAT.

IS OUT OF DIRECTION YOU [INAUDIBLE - OVERLAPPING SPEAKERS] RATHER THAN INFORMATIONAL.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LET'S PULL THAT FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR, PLEASE. OKAY, I THINK WE CAN GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT WHEN WE ARE READY. MARY GOURLEY, THE REMAINING ITEMS .

THANK YOU, MAYOR. YES, SO THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AND CALENDAR ITEMS ONE, TWO, FOUR AND FIVE. ITEMS NUMBERS SIX AND ITEMS NUMBER THREE WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THE END OF THE AGENDA BUT IF YOU'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THOSE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS, I WILL GO IN CHAMBER FIRST. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE .

FIRST OF ALL, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU FOR PULLING NUMBER THREE. NUMBER SIX, YOU KNOW, SINCE THE MEMBER IS DANCING AROUND THAT SALARY SCHEDULE. I DO NOT SEE THE ACTUAL SALARY LISTED HERE. SO IN ADDITION TO THAT, ON NUMBER TWO, ABOUT THE -- TRAIL. AGAIN, [INAUDIBLE] IT IS INTERESTING. I LOVE ART. AS MUCH AS ANYBODY. PROBABLY MORE. BUT ANYWAY, BUT I LOVE HEALTH, TOO. GOOD HEALTH. MY HEALTH AND EVERYBODY ELSE'S. I HAVE A REAL PROBLEM WITH -- THE IDEAL HABITAT FOR TICS. WE HAVE A LOT OF PEOPLE WITH TICK-BORNE TO SIZES -- DISEASES HERE THAT ARE NOT BEING RECOGNIZED. IT IS MADE UP BY THE STATE. IT IS THE MATTER OF ACTUALLY PLACING THEM, AFFECTIVE GOOD SCIENCE THROUGHOUT, ON THE -- IT NEEDS TO BE, PEOPLE NEED TO BE INFORMED IN THEIR LIVES. THEN THERE DOGS GO ON THESE TRAILS. NOT TO MENTION ALL THE PEOPLE THAT ARE LIVING THERE, THE HOMELESS PEOPLE THAT HAVE BEHAVIORAL PROBLEMS ANYHOW, AND MANY OF THEM -- AN LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.

SOME OF THEM HAVE LYME DISEASE BUT I CAN TELL YOU FROM THAT EXPERIENCE, THAT IS VERY DIFFICULT TO TREAT, AND EXPENSIVE. BUT ANYWAY, THERE NEEDS TO BE -- ON THAT. I AM WONDERING, ON SUNSET AVENUE. I DON'T KNOW WHERE THAT IS. IF SOMEBODY COULD TELL ME WHERE SUNSET AVENUE AND -- STREET, THE PEDESTRIAN CROSSWAY. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS . IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT -- SEEING NONE , I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THE FOUR CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSE ON THESE ITEMS.

OKAY, WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNCIL TABLE. LOOKING FOR A MOTION I CAN SET ITEMS ONE, TWO, FOUR, AND FIVE. IS THAT CORRECT, MARY GOURLEY?

CORRECT.

WOULD YOU LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION?

I WILL MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE ITEMS ONE, TWO, FOUR, AND FIVE ARE LOOKING FOR A SECOND.

SECOND.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH MARY GOURLEY?

SO MOVED BY MAYOR RICH AND A SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON TO APPROVE ITEMS ONE, TWO, FOUR AND FIVE. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. AYE.

--

YES. THE MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THE INTERSECTION OF SUNSET AND TAPPED, IN RESPONSE TO THE QUESTION, IN IS THE AREA OF -- HIGH SCHOOL. WE ARE NOW IN THE INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATIONS. THE NEXT SECTION OF THE AGENDA. OUR FIRST ITEM HERE IS PRESENTATION. REGARDING THE -- COMMISSION. THE PROCESS AND TIMELINES FOR CONSOLIDATION. I BELIEVE I SEE MARK HIMSELF WORKING TO THE PODIUM. AND I WILL REMIND EVERYONE, MARK IS AWARE OF THIS AND I HAVE SEEN HIS PRESENTATION. IT IS VERY EFFICIENT. 10 MINUTES, PLEASE, IF YOU WOULD?

THANK YOU VIDEO THE ME BEFORE BED I WILL REDUCE MY SOLACE AS MARK BRAMFITT. I HAVE AN INFLUENTIAL TITLE AND I WORK AT A SECURE AGENCY CALLED THE SONOMA LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION. I UNDERSTAND HE WANTED A BRIEF UPDATE REGARDING THE PROPOSAL TO CONSOLIDATE OR MERGE THE FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES ACTIVITIES OF THE CITY WITH THE -- FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT. MAYOR, YOU CAN. I WAS GOING TO SAY, WHAT LAFCO IS IN TWO MINUTES OR LESS. THEY HAVE TWO GOALS IN THE CONTEXT OF WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT TONIGHT. IS PROMOTING THE EFFECTIVE AND EFFICIENT PROVISION OF LOCAL GOVERNMENT SERVICES. WE HAVE TWO PRIMARY ACTIVITIES. IN THE CONTEXT OF TONIGHT, THAT IS THAT WE CONSIDER REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS FROM LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCIES. THOSE REORGANIZATION PROPOSALS CAN COVER A LOT OF THINGS FROM DISSOLUTION, JUST INCORPORATION, DETACHMENT, ANNEXATIONS, CONSOLIDATIONS. THERE IS AN AWFUL LOT THERE AND THAT IS A LOT OF JARGON. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. SO THERE IS A PROPOSAL ALSO TO REORGANIZE THE FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES PROVISION THAT IS DONE NOW BY YOUR FANTASTIC BANTER -- VOLUNTEER FIRE DEPARTMENT. AND WITH THAT INTO BALDRIDGE FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT FOR THIS IS NOT A CONSOLIDATION. THIS IS USED BY THE PUBLIC AND THAT IS OKAY. THIS IS ACTUALLY A REORGANIZATION. WE ARE GOING TO DETACH THAT SERVICE FROM THE CITY. WE ARE GOING TO ANNEX THAT CITY OVER TO GOLD RIDGE. THE FIRST STEP ON THAT PROCESS IS A LITTLE HUNG UP. I'M HERE TO BE EMBARRASSED ABOUT THAT. THE MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW IS NECESSARY FOR ALL THREE DISTRICTS OR THREE AREAS THAT ARE IN PLAY - - FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT IS ALSO BEING CONSIDERED BY GOLD RIDGE FOR ANNEXATION OR REORGANIZATION. THE REPORT IS 90% WRITTEN. I HAD HOPED TO DEBUT IT BEFORE THE COMMISSION AT THE MEETING TOMORROW.

I HAVE A DATA ANALYSIS ISSUE I AM GETTING SOME HELP ON. I AM LOOKING TO SOME PEOPLE IN THE BACK ROW HERE. THE COMMISSION WILL BE LOOKING AT THAT REPORT IN OCTOBER. ASSUMING THEY APPROVE, AND I THINK THERE'S A VERY HIGH LIKELIHOOD THEY WILL, GOLD RIDGE WILL BE ABLE TO MAKE A PROPOSAL FOR THIS REORGANIZATION. AS IT STANDS NOW, THEY'RE NOT ABLE TO DO THAT. THAT IS THE FIRST STEP I THINK IT IS ALMOST KNOCKED OVER IN TERMS OF A POLLING PIN. GOLD RIDGE IS GOING TO DRIVE THIS PROCESS BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO BE THE APPLICANT . THAT DOES NOT MEAN THAT THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL IS NOT INVOLVED OR SHOULD BE INVOLVED THAT I'M GOING TO COVER THAT IN THE NEXT SLIDE. THE CITY, AS I MENTIONED, HAS INTERESTS IN THIS PIT IT WORKS THE WAY YOU WANT IT TO. I KNOW GOLD RIDGE HAS THAT COMMITMENT AS WELL BUT I WILL TELL YOU HOW TO MAKE SURE THAT HAPPENS FOR YOU. I WANT TO BE REALLY CLEAR TO THE CITIZENS OF SEBASTOPOL. THEY WILL HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TO WEIGH IN ON THIS PROCESS. THEY HAVE A STATUTORY ROLE TO CONDUCT THAT WE HAVE A PROTEST PROCEEDING. YOU COULD CALL IT A REFERENDUM. IT GIVES THE CITIZENS A CHANCE TO SAY, WAIT A MINUTE. WE DO NOT LIKE THIS IDEA. THAT CAN EITHER FORCE AN ACTUAL ELECTION, OR COULD NULLIFY THE PROPOSAL. I WANT THE CITIZENS OF SEBASTOPOL TO KNOW THEY HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY. I WANT TO POINT OUT TO THE COUNCILS ON THE CITIZENS, THIS IS NOT PERMANENT FOREVER. YOU CAN UNDO THIS REORGANIZATION IF IT DOES NOT WORK OUT DOWN THE ROAD. THAT IS NEVER HAPPENED IN THE LAFCO EXPERIENCE, BUT THAT IS A POSSIBILITY. YOU COULD GO THROUGH THIS PROCESS AGAIN. IN THIS CASE, IT WOULD BE DETACHING TERRITORY FROM GOLD RIDGE AND BRINGING IT BACK TO THE CITY. I WANTED TO MAKE THAT CLEAR. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THE PROPOSAL PACKAGE. I WILL FORGET ABOUT THE FIRST FOUR. THOSE ARE ALL THE RESPONSIBILITY OF GOLD RIDGE TO MAKE AN APPLICATION TO LAFCO. THE FIFTH ONE IS CRUCIAL TO THE CITY. THIS IS WHAT WE CALL A PLAN FOR SERVICE. WE ARE GOING TO ASK GOLD RIDGE TO TELL US HOW THEY ARE GOING TO RUN THE FIRE AND EMERGENCY SERVICES IN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. WE ARE GOING TO ASK THEM HOW THEY ARE GOING TO FUND THAT, AND WE ARE GOING TO ASK SOME PARTICULAR THINGS IN THEIR . TAKE A STAFFING PLAN. A FIVE-YEAR PROJECTION OF BOTH COSTS AND REVENUES. LASTLY, THIS IS CRUCIALLY IMPORTANT. LAFCO HAS THE ABILITY TO ORDER THE TRANSFER OF ASSETS AND LIABILITIES FROM THE CITY TO GOLD RIDGE. THAT INCLUDES THE FACILITY, THE FIREHOUSE, THE VEHICLES, THE EQUIPMENT , AND ANY LIABILITIES THAT MAY NEED TO BE TRANSFERRED TO GOLD RIDGE. THE NEXT SLIDE IS CRUCIALLY IMPORTANT TO THE CITY. THIS IS KEY CITY INVOLVEMENT. WE NEED A RESOLUTION FROM YOU AT SOME POINT, AND PROBABLY SOONER THAN LATER BUT I KNOW GOLD RIDGE WOULD LIKE IT SOONER. THING YOU'RE INTERESTED IN GOING DOWN THIS PATH. LAFCO IS NOT GOING TO APPROVE THE REORGANIZATION THAT YOU ARE NOT SUPPORTIVE OF. WE NEED A RESOLUTION THAT SAYS YOU ARE SUPPORTIVE OF THIS MOVE. I WOULD STRONGLY RECOMMEND, AND I KNOW YOUR AD HOC FIRE SERVICES COMMITTEE HAS BEEN INVOLVED WITH GOLD RIDGE THAT YOU GET INVOLVED WITH THIS PLAN FOR SERVICES BIT OF GOLD RIDGE IS SAYING THEY'RE GOING TO PUT TWO PAID FIREFIGHTERS AND ONE 10th OF A CAPTAIN IN YOUR FIREHOUSE ANYONE SOMETHING DIFFERENT, IT

IS KEY YOU INFLUENCE THAT DECISION-MAKING AROUND THAT. THERE IS A CRUCIAL PORTION, I THINK, OF THE PLAN FOR SERVICES. THAT IS HOW THIS IS ALL GOING TO GET FUNDED BUT I CAN MAKE SOME ASSUMPTIONS THAT GOLD RIDGE IS GOING TO APPLY THEIR PERSONAL TAXES AND KEEP THAT MONEY. I BELIEVE THERE IS A TRANSFER THAT WILL TRANSFER PRETTY NEW TO HAVE AN AGREEMENT SHOWING WHAT THAT IS GOING TO LOOK LIKE. IF I WAS SITTING IN YOUR SHOES, I THINK THAT IS THE CRITICAL PATH. TO GET NEGOTIATED AND FIGURE OUT HOW THAT IS GOING TO WORK. THE LAST ONE IS THE APPLICANT, GOLD RIDGE HAS INDICATED THEY ONLY WANT TO DO THE COMMUNITY OUTREACH THAT IS MANDATED BY LAFCO CODE. YOU MAY WANT TO DO MORE THAN THAT. THE COMMISSION LIKES TO SEE MORE THAN THAT. FOR EXAMPLE, THERE IS NOTHING IN THE CODE THAT SAYS YOU NEED TO DO COMMUNITY TOWN HALLS. THERE'S NOTHING THAT SAYS YOU NEED TO DO MAILING. I HAVE TO DO MAILING. NOTIFICATIONS ABOUT THE HEARINGS THAT I HAVE TO DO MAILING FROM THE PROTEST PROCEEDING, BUT I DO NOT HAVE TO DO MORE THAN THAT. IT IS CRUCIAL FOR THE CITY COUNCIL AND FOR THE CITIZENS TO THINK ABOUT WHAT COMMUNITY OUTREACH YOU MIGHT WANT TO DO. LASTLY, THE OTHER OPTIONS BUT I WANTED TO MENTION, I KNOW YOU HAVE ENTERED INTO A CONTRACT FOR LEADERSHIP AND ADMINISTRATION SERVICES FROM GOLD RIDGE. NOMINALLY, YOU HAVE TO COME TO LAFCO FOR APPROVAL FOR THAT BUT I CAN TELL YOU THE HISTORY OF THAT PRINT THAT WAS DRIVEN BY FIREFIGHTER UNIONS IN THE STATE. I'M LOOKING THE OTHER WAY AT THAT BECAUSE I'M ASSUMING YOU'RE GOING ON THE PATH OF REORGANIZATION. IF YOU DECIDE NOT TO GO DOWN THE PATH OF REORGANIZATION, WE ARE GOING TO NEED TO DO THAT WORK OF GETTING THAT APPROVED THROUGH LAFCO BUT WE TRY TO MAKE IT AS EASY AS POSSIBLE. RIGHT NOW, I AM LOOKING THE OTHER WAY ON THAT. THAT'S IT. I THINK MY LAST SLIDE IS ANY QUESTIONS YOU MAY HAVE BEEN I HOPE THAT WAS UNDER 10 MINUTES. CEMENT THAT WAS PERFECT. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

THANK YOU.

I'M SORRY BUT I THINK I WOULD LIKE TO TURN TO THE FIRE AD HOC AND SEE IF THERE'S ANYTHING ADDITIONAL THEY WOULD LIKE TO OFFER IN THIS PRESENTATION?

THANK YOU. I JUST WANTED TO POINT OUT TO THE FULL COUNCIL AND THE PUBLIC THAT THE FIRE SUBCOMMITTEE HAS MET WITH MARK SEPARATELY WHAT WE JUST HEARD TONIGHT IS ALL THE FACTS WE HAVE ALREADY COVERED AND ARE FULLY AWARE OF. THIS IS REALLY A PART OF THE PUBLIC PROCESS. I DO NOT WANT THE COUNCIL TO FEEL LIKE THE AD HOC HAS NOT ALREADY HEARD THIS. WE HAVE AN AGENDA ITEM COMING RIGHT AFTER THIS TO DISCUSS SOME OF THESE POINTS. THANK YOU.

I WANTED TO REITERATE WE THOUGHT IT WAS IMPORTANT ALL OF YOU HEARD WHAT WE HEARD. WHEN WE HAD OUR MEETING. SO THERE WAS NOT ANY TRANSLATION. AN EXCELLENT FIT THANK YOU VERY MUCH. VICE MAYOR, YOU HAD A QUESTION?

THANK YOU. THANK YOU FOR THE PRESENTATION. AS WAS MENTIONED, WE HAVE AN AGENDA ITEM COMING UP TO DISCUSS THE SCOPE OF HIGHER FIRE AD HOC MOVING FORWARD. PART OF IT IS TO TALK ABOUT THE BUDGET. AND MAINTAINING SOME DEGREE OF CONTROL OR SOME DEGREE OF ACCESS

TO THE PROJECT DURING THE NEGOTIATION PERIOD. GIVEN YOUR EXPERIENCE, HOW IMPORTANT IS THAT? FOR THE NUMBERS OF THE AD HOC TO HAVE ACCESS? OR IF THEY HAVE OTHER INFORMATION FOR, LET'S SAY, JUST THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET, AS THEY GO THROUGH THE NEGOTIATIONS?

I'M ASSUMING THAT INFORMATION IS WELL IN HAND. I MEAN, I'M NOT HERE TO TELL YOU HOW TO NEGOTIATE HOW MUCH MONEY TO GIVE TO GOLD RIDGE BUT I THINK GOLD RIDGE WILL ASK YOU FOR WHAT I -- WHAT THEY THINK THEY NEED.

FOR ACCESS TO THE FINANCES AND TO BE ABLE TO MAKE ASSERTIONS THIS IS HOW MUCH IS DEDICATED. YOU KNOW, FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET, AND THIS IS WHAT WE THINK SHOULD BE ABLE.

I THINK THAT IS WELL KNOWN AND WELL IN HAND BY THE COMMITTEE, AS I HAVE EXPERIENCED IT

IS THAT TYPICAL?

YES, YES.

OKAY.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

THIS QUESTION IS DIRECTED TO STAFF AND I BELIEVE WE SET ASIDE MONEY IN THE BUDGET FOR COMMUNITY EDUCATION AROUND THE CONSOLIDATION. COULD YOU REMIND US WHAT WE DID?

I BELIEVE THE AMOUNT OF 15,000. I BELIEVE THAT GOLD RIDGE HAS SOME FUNDS SIMILAR TO THAT . MAYBE THE SAME AMOUNT, IF I REMEMBER RIGHT. THANK YOU.

ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS?

I AM VERY SORRY. AN ITEM CAME TO MY MIND OVER THE WEEKEND AND I NEGLECTED TO MENTION IT DURING THE PRESENTATION BUT I THINK THERE'S A FOURTH ITEM YOU SHOULD CONSIDER. I IMAGINE THAT GOLD RIDGE IS CONSIDERING APPLYING FOR REORGANIZATION INVOLVING SEBASTOPOL AND THE MONORAIL FIRE PROTECTION DISTRICT. IF THEY FILE A SINGLE REORGANIZATION APPLICATION, WE GO THROUGH THE PROTEST PROCEEDING, THE RESIDENTS, THE LANDOWNERS, AND THE REGISTERED VOTERS OF BOTH TERRITORIES WILL BE COUNTED TOGETHER RATHER THAN SEPARATELY. IF YOU HAVE A STRONG INTEREST IN HAVING A SEPARATE PROTEST PROCEEDING FOR SEBASTOPOL , I THINK YOU NEED TO MAKE THAT CLEAR TO GOLD RIDGE BUT AS I UNDERSTAND FROM THE -- THAT MEANS YOU LOOK AT THOSE TWO TERRITORIES AS ONE TERRITORY AND DO THE PROTEST ACCORDINGLY.

SO I HAD A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. THANK YOU FOR SHARING THAT. GENERALLY, THE PROTEST PROCEEDING IS BASED ON THE RESULTS, A PERCENTAGE OF PROPERTY IMAGE, CORRECT?

I WILL DO IT VERY QUICKLY.

THE MATH.

I'M GOING OVER 10 MINUTES. WE SEND OUT NOTICES TO ALL LANDOWNERS BECAUSE THEY ARE GOING TO BE AFFECTED BY TAXES. THEY GET A CHANCE TO SAY, NO, WE DO NOT LIKE THIS PROPOSAL. WE ALSO PUT PROPOSALS IN THE NEWSPAPER. VOTERS, YOU CAN ALSO PROTEST. WE COUNT THEM COMPLETELY SEPARATELY. IF EITHER PILOT GETS TO 25%, GO TO AN ELECTION FOR THAT ELECTION IS VOTERS ONLY. SO THE LANDOWNERS ARE OUT OF THE PICTURE AT THAT POINT. WHAT I HAD BROUGHT UP IS WE ARE

GOING TO COUNT THE MONORAIL AND THAT SEBASTOPOL TOGETHER IF IT IS ONE PROPOSAL, AND NOT TWO SEPARATE.

AND THE RELATIVE NUMBERS OF SEBASTOPOL VERSES -- IN TERMS OF TAXPAYERS AND VOTERS?

I DO NOT HAVE THAT INFORMATION.

THAT IS A FACTOR?

WE RECOMMEND WILL PUT THE AD HOC -- GREAT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? NO? YES, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NOW I THINK WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM. MARY GOURLEY? OH, DO WE HAVE THE FIRE? SURE. FEEL FREE.

I WANT TO FIRST SAY THANK YOU TO MR. MARK BRAMFITT FOR HIS PRESENTATION.

PLEASE INTRODUCE YOURSELF.

-- SEBASTOPOL FIRE DEPARTMENT BUT I WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU TO MARK BRAMFITT FOR HIS PRESENTATION. I WANTED TO SHARE HOW EXCITED WE ARE TO TAKE NEXT STEPS IN OUR BOARD IS LOOKING FOR TO SIGNING A JOINT RESOLUTION AT THE NEXT BOARD IT MEETING ON THE 11th. WE LOOK FORWARD TO HOPEFULLY A RESOLUTION OR A JOINT RESOLUTION TO BE BEFORE THE CITY ON THE SEVENTH.

GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR STAFF, ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? ALL RIGHT. CITY CLERK, MARY GOURLEY.

IN QUEUE PARENTHESIS PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE INFORMATIONAL ITEM ONLY PRESENTED BY LAFCO. I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

SO IT IS REALLY INTERESTING TO HEAR THEIR PRESENTATION TONIGHT AND OF COURSE, WE'LL BE HEARING A LOT MORE FROM THE FIRE AD HOC. BUT THE QUESTION I HAVE IS, THIS SEEMS LIKE WE ARE FAIRLY DEEP IN THE PROCESS WITH LITTLE TO NO ACTUAL REPORTING OUT FROM THE FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE. THE FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE HAS THAT OPPORTUNITY AT EVERY COUNCIL MEETING TO PRESENT SOMETHING IN WRITING. THE FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE HAS HAD MULTIPLE OPPORTUNITIES TO PRESENT ON THEIR PROGRESS. AND WHAT WE HAVE GOTTEN FROM THE FIRE AD HOC HAS BEEN LITTLE TO NO UPDATES ALONG THE WAY. THAT IS REALLY UNFORTUNATE. I THINK A KEY ASPECT OF MAKING SUCH A MONUMENTAL DECISION OF HANDING OVER THE KEYS OF OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT SIDE OF OUR DISTRICT, HANDING OVER LEADERSHIP OF OUR FIRE DEPARTMENT OUTSIDE OF OUR CURRENT DISTRICT IS ABOUT TRANSPARENCY AND COMMUNITY INPUT. WE HAD SCHEDULED THINGS LIKE A TOWN HALL FORMAT. THAT WAS CANCELED THE LAST MINUTE AND TURNED INTO A SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING. THAT DOES NOT PROVIDE THE SAME LEVEL OF TRANSPARENCY AND PUBLIC INPUT THAT A TOWN HALL WOULD. FOR EXAMPLE, I HAVE 45 SECONDS LEFT TO SPEAK. IN THAT CITY COUNCIL MEETING, ALL CITIZENS WERE RESTRICTED IN THAT SAME WAY TO ONLY SPEAKING TO TWO MINUTES, ALLOWING COUNCILMEMBERS TO RUN THE SHOW AND TO DIRECT THE NARRATIVE IN A WAY THAT IS NOT TRANSPARENT AND NOT OPEN TO THE COMMUNITY. WE ARE SEEING THAT CONTINUE THROUGHOUT THIS PROCESS. SO I THINK IT IS REALLY UNFORTUNATE, BUT THAT IS THE APPROACH THE CITY IS GOING TO TAKE. IT DOES NOT FEEL LIKE IT IS IN ALIGNMENT WITH

THE DIRECTIVE BUT THE FIRE AD HOC IS GIVEN FOR THE COUNCIL IS NOT HELD THE FIRE AD HOC ACCOUNTABLE FOR HOLDING UP TO THE DIRECTIVE THEY WERE GIVEN MULTIPLE TIMES OVER VARIOUS AD HOC HAPPENING OVER THE YEARS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS . SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE ? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE .

AS ALWAYS, I AGREE WITH KYLE. HE IS ABSOLUTELY RIGHT . HE IS VERY ARTICULATE ABOUT IT. THIS IS, I FEEL COMFORTABLE. LIKE HE SAID, HANDING OVER THE KEYS. GIVING AWAY OUR FIRE STATION AND THE VEHICLES AND EVERYTHING ELSE. TO ANOTHER ENTITY WITHOUT ANY KIND OF PUBLIC INPUT OR CONVERSATION. ALSO, I AM KIND OF SKEPTICAL ABOUT THIS THING. [INAUDIBLE] DOES NOT DECREASE THE ODDS AND THE CHANCES THERE IS GOING TO BE SOME KIND OF A -- IT JUST DOES NOT SEEM RIGHT. THIS THING, I THINK, YOU KNOW, AGAIN WITH KYLE, IT NEEDS TO BE BROUGHT OUT FOR A TOWN HALL OR DISCUSSION SO EVERYBODY IS HURT. IT IS SORT OF TYPICAL OF, WELL, MAYOR RICH. THE SILENCE EVERY WHICH WAY SHE CAN. INCREASING THE PUBLIC COMMENTS TO THREE MINUTES IF SHE WANTED. SHE COULD RESPOND TO THE QUESTIONS IF SHE WANTED. BUT SO ANYWAY, I AM SUGGESTING THERE BE A TOWN HALL AND WE PUT IT UP FOR VOTING. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT . NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE , I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, NO FURTHER PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO OUR VERY ABLE CITY CLERK, MARY GOURLEY. BACK AT THE CITY COUNCIL TABLE FOR DISCUSSION. I THINK THERE IS NO ACTION WE HAVE TO TAKE, CORRECT?

RECORD THIS WAS JUST AN INFORMATIONAL PRESENTATION. TO MAKE ANY FINAL QUESTIONS OR COMMENTS FROM CITY COUNCILMEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I WANT TO SAY WE HAVE HAD SEVERAL WEEKS OFF. SO WE HAD ONE MEETING AT THE BEGINNING OF JULY WHICH I MISSED BECAUSE I WAS EXTREMELY ILL. AND THEN THERE WAS A SECOND MEETING, WHICH OCCURRED AFTER OUR LAST COUNCIL MEETING WHICH WAS CANCELED. I JUST HAD A MEETING WITH MARK LESS THAN A WEEK AGO SO WE CAN UNDERSTAND THIS PROCESS. THERE IS NOT BEEN ANY REPORTING BECAUSE WE DO NOT HAVE ANY NEWS TO REPORT. SO TONIGHT, THE NEXT ITEM IS THE REPORT. SO THERE IS TRANSPARENCY. HALF OF AUGUST, WE WERE ALL ON VACATION. FOR ME, I HAVE BEEN ON VACATION FOR A MONTH. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT COMMENT. I THINK IT IS IMPORTANT FOR ALL OF US RECOGNIZE THAT ALL OF THE COUNCILMEMBERS HERE AND STAFF ARE DOING THEIR BEST TO MEET THE VARIOUS OBLIGATIONS AND THE FIRE AD HOC IS MOVING FORWARD. GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE FIRE AD HOC IF YOU HAD LOOKED INTO THIS IDEA OF THIS ONE APPLICATION FOR SEBASTOPOL AND --

SO CAN I ASK, AS IS THE QUESTION THAT SHOULD BE WITH OUR NEXT ITEM? PART OF THIS ITEM? BUT I SEE OUR CITY ATTORNEY LEANING IN.

OKAY. GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I JUST LEARNED ABOUT THAT AS I WAS WORKING IN THE BUILDING HERE. WE HAVE NOT HAD A CHANCE TO DISCUSS IT YET. GO AHEAD.

JUST TO ADD TO THAT, WE ARE LEARNING ABOUT THE PROCESS AND WANT TO MAKE SURE WE DO IT CORRECTLY AND APPROPRIATELY. I AM SURE WE WILL ADJUST THIS ISSUE AND WE CAN TALK ABOUT IT. WE HAVE A MEETING ON THURSDAY. THAT IS THIS THURSDAY.

GREAT, OKAY. GATHERING EVEN MORE INFORMATION. THAT UNDERSCORES THE PROCESS HERE. EVERY AD HOC GOES THIS -- GOES THROUGH THIS EVERY TIME. THEY NEED TO PROCESS AS THEY GO THROUGH THIS MULTISTEP ANALYSIS. I THINK THAT CLOSES THIS ITEM. WE WILL THEN MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM. WE ARE NOW INTO OUR REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS. I WILL SAY THANK YOU TWO -- TO PROVIDE THAT INFORMATION TO US BUT I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO THE FIRE AD HOC AND STAFF TO HONOR THESE IMPORTANT ISSUES. OUR NEXT ITEM IS ITEM NUMBER EIGHT. DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION OF THE FIRE AD HOC DUTIES AND TASKS AND DURATION OF THE COMMITTEE. THIS ITEM WAS CONTINUED FROM THE JULY 16 CITY COUNCIL MEETING. WE DID NOT HAVE TIME TO ADDRESS IT. SO NOW WE ARE PRESENTED WITH THE INFORMATION TODAY. GOING TO THE FIRE AD HOC AND HOW YOU WOULD LIKE TO PROCEED WITH THIS PRESENTATION.

I JUST WANT TO CONFIRM. DID WE CONFIRM THIS AGENDA ITEM TO ACTION?
[INAUDIBLE]

LET ME VERIFY.

IT WAS NOT PART OF, I DO NOT SEE -- I BELIEVE IT WAS SUPPOSED TO BE. IS THERE A WAY TO PULL THAT UP?

I MEAN, WE CAN TALK IT THROUGH. I HAVE IT IN MY --

SO WE CAN JUST ASK THE PUBLIC TO WAIT A MINUTE. WE WILL RESOLVE IF THE AD HOC HAS THE MATERIALS IT NEEDS FOR THE PRESENTATION.

IT WAS THE EXEMPTION -- I THINK WE WERE GOING TO INCLUDE THAT AGAINST. BUT MAYBE WE CAN GET IT UP. IF WE HAVE GOT IT. IF NOT, I AM HAPPY TO KICK OFF THIS AGENDA ITEM.

THE PURPOSES OF THIS ITEM, TO TAKE A BREAK TO MAKE SURE.

[INAUDIBLE] WE CAN CERTAINLY LIST IT.

WE DO NOT HAVE IT IN WRITING.

I WILL KICK IT OFF. WE JUST WENT AHEAD AND HAD A RECENT MEETING, TWO RECENT MEETINGS TO COVER THIS INVESTMENT IN EARLIER, WE HAVE ALL BEEN ON VACATION SO WE HAVE BEEN WORKING ON VACATION SCHEDULES. BUT THE GOAL OF BRINGING THIS BACK IN WRITING IS TO MAKE SURE WE ARE ON THE SAME PAGE. AND WE HAVE INDICATED THROUGHOUT THE SEVEN RECOMMENDATIONS, AND I THINK IT IS REALLY CLEAR. THE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADVISING THE CITY COUNCIL. THIS IS NOT SOMETHING THAT THE AD HOC, JUST LIKE ANY AD HOC, WOULD TRY TO DO A DEEP DIVE INTO THE PROCESS. AND TO TRY TO UNDERSTAND ALL OF THE NUANCES AS WE HEARD TONIGHT THAT WE SHOULD MAYBE DO TWO APPLICATIONS. AND THE OTHER APPLICATION BE SEPARATE AS DISCUSSED. SO THAT IS AN EXAMPLE OF SOME OF THE THINGS WE ARE FINDING OUT. WE HAVE A LOOSE ANNEXATION SCHEDULE. THERE ARE THREE KIND OF DEADLINES. AND WE ALSO HEARD TONIGHT THAT JUST SHIFTED BY ONE

MONTH. SO THESE THINGS HAPPEN BECAUSE WE'RE LOOKING AT PARTNERS WITH GOLD RIDGE AND LAFCO

I WILL INTERRUPT FOR A MOMENT BUT I SEE THE TENTATIVE SCHEDULE IS ON THE SCREEN THERE. I'M LOOKING TO THE CITY CLERK. IS THERE A WAY TO EMAIL THAT TO COUNCILMEMBERS AND ALSO POST IT ON THE WEBSITE FOR THE PUBLIC? THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL. THANK YOU. I'M SORRY. GO AHEAD.

THIS IS THE SCHEDULE AND WE HAVE DISCUSSED THIS WITH LAFCO. SOME THINGS MIGHT MOVE AROUND. WE ARE TALKING ABOUT THE OUTREACH PERIOD TO BE IN THE EARLY PART OF 2025. ONCE LAFCO WOULD GIVE US THE APPROVAL. THEY WOULD ENTERTAIN THE ORGANIZATION WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT OF GOLD RIDGE. WANTING TO INFORM THE COMMUNITY . WE WOULD GO AHEAD AND MOVE FORWARD WITH THE APPLICATION. AND THEN WE WOULD DO THE -- WHAT WE DISCUSSED HERE. DO YOU HAVE ANYTHING TO ADD AT THIS POINT? OKAY.

I SEEK QUESTIONS. LOOKING TO STAFF. ON THE PRESENTATION SIDE?

I WOULD ADD I THINK THIS PROCESS IS GOING TO WORK VERY WELL IF IT FOLLOWS THE RECOMMENDED ACTIONS TO BASICALLY VALIDATE THEIR RESPONSIBILITIES TO THE AD HOC. COMPLETING SOME OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES, WHICH ARE LIKELY TO BE IN LINE WITH THE WHOLE ANNEXATION PROCESS. WHAT WE DO WITH THE BUILDING, SOME OF THE STAFFING, ET CETERA. I THINK THE -- HAVING THE AD HOC FOCUSED ON FIRE AND THAT WILL HELP THINGS MOVE MUCH SMOOTHER.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. AND I THINK - - HAD A QUESTION ALSO.

THANK YOU. NUMBER TWO. THE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADVISING ON BUDGET MATTERS WITH THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. I AM NOT CLEAR. ABOUT HOW THAT WOULD WORK WITH THE FIRE AD HOC. RELAYING INFORMATION ABOUT ONE DEPARTMENT BUDGET AND NEGOTIATION WITHOUT ACTUALLY HAVING THE FULLER CONTEXT OF BUDGET IMPLICATIONS FOR ALL OF THE CITY WITH THE ACTUAL BUDGIE CAN -- BUDGET COMMITTEE AND WHAT THEY WHAT HAPPENED I THINK THIS IS A QUESTION FOR THE CITY ATTORNEY. BECAUSE THIS SEEMS TO BE A THREAD IN THE FACT THAT HIGH DOLLARS OF ONE AD HOC COMMITTEE THAT IS BEING CHARGED WITH NEGOTIATIONS THAT IMPACTS ONE DEPARTMENT. THE ENTIRE CITY COUNCIL, THE FULL CITY BUDGET. THAT A BUDGET COMMITTEE IS SUPPOSED TO BE MINDFUL OF AND KEEPING TABS ON. CAN YOU WALK US THROUGH WHAT YOU WOULD RECOMMEND?

WELL, IT IS UP TO THE COUNCIL, YOU KNOW, TO APPROVE THE RESPONSIBILITY. I WOULD SAY THE AD HOC COMMITTEE IS THE AD HOC COMMITTEE. SO THERE CANNOT BE CORRESPONDENCE INTO THE AD HOC COMMITTEE. THE BUDGET COMMITTEE IS IN A STANDING MEETING WITH, YOU KNOW, -- TO THE PUBLIC. SO REPORTS OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE STAFF CAN PASS THAT ALONG TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. BECAUSE THE BUDGET COMMITTEE IS HAVING THOSE DISCUSSIONS OR THE OPEN MEETING. STAFF CAN PASS ON WHAT YOU HAVE SAID BACK TO THE AD HOC COMMITTEES. THOSE ARE PUBLIC DISCUSSIONS SO EVERYONE CAN SEE AND BE PART OF THAT. YOU KNOW, IT IS REALLY UP TO YOU WHO THE ASSIGNED RESPONSIBILITY IS FOR WITH THESE BUDGET DISCUSSIONS.

DID THAT ANSWER YOUR QUESTION, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ?

IT DID FROM A -- PERSPECTIVE. AND THE FACT THAT WE DO NOT VIOLATE THE ACT. BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE THIS IS -- BECAUSE THIS HAS NOT BEEN CLEAR TO ME. IT DOES NOT, I AM NOT ENTIRELY SURE IT IS CLEAR TO HIM EITHER. ABOUT HOW THAT WOULD -- IN THE MEETING. I JUST WANT TO WALK THROUGH THE SAMARITAN YOU'RE SITTING THERE WITH THE FIRE AD HOC AND THEIR DISCUSSING BUDGET IMPLICATIONS FOR THE FIRE BUDGET ITSELF. AND YOU ARE SITTING THERE AND YOU ARE HEARING THIS DISCUSSION. BASED UPON THE COMMENTS FROM ALEX, HE WOULD TAKE THAT INFORMATION AND HE WOULD TAKE IT AND DISCUSS IT WITH THE CURRENT MEMBERS OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. IN THE DULY NOTICED BUDGET MEETING, HE WOULD SAY, WE JUST CAME FROM THE FIRE AD HOC MEETING. THIS IS WHAT THEY ARE SAYING . THE IMPACT TO THAT DEPARTMENT BUDGET IS GOING TO BE, SO PLEASE BE MINDFUL OF THAT. YOU ARE DISCUSSING THE CITY BUDGET. IS THAT YOUR UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THIS IS GOING TO WORK?

IF I START FROM THE PREMISE THAT THE CITY HAS ADOPTED A BUDGET FROM THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. AND MY ASSUMPTION, AND MY ONLY REASON TO THINK THIS IS TRUE. WE WOULD NOT BE ADDING TO THAT BUDGET OVER THE COURSE OF THE YEAR. WE ARE NOT GOING TO BE LOOKING FOR OTHER CITY FUNDS TO SUPPORT THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT ARE THE ALLOCATIONS ARE ONLY WITHIN THAT BUDGET. TALKING ABOUT TWO PARTS OF THAT BUDGET IN PARTICULAR. THOSE ARE UNCERTAIN AT THIS POINT THAT ONE IS THERE IS -- AS EXPECTED. ABOUT \$300,000 IN MEASURE H FUNDS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN ALLOCATED. SECONDLY, WE HAVE \$100,000 IN THAT BUDGET THAT IS NOT BEEN ALLOCATED FOR OTHER PURPOSES. TO ME, THOSE FUNDS ARE PART OF, THEY SHOULD POTENTIALLY BE PART OF THE NEGOTIATIONS AROUND REORGANIZATION. THEY ALSO MIGHT BE PART OF THE ANSWER TO THE QUESTION OF WHAT ARE WE GOING TO DO WITHOUT EXPENDING FIREFIGHTER COVERAGE PRIOR TO THE ORGANIZATION, BUT -- REORGANIZE. CLOSER TO THE GOLD RIDGE MODEL. THESE ARE ALL PART OF THE FIRE AD HOC RESPONSIBILITY. THEY SHOULD HAVE NO CITYWIDE BUDGET IMPLICATIONS BEING ON THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET. IN ANY FINAL DECISIONS WOULD COME TO THE FULL COUNCIL. FOR CONSIDERATION. BUT I'M NOT EVEN SURE FROM A -- PERSPECTIVE IF WE COULD HAVE A CONVERSATION ON THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET. THE AD HOC COMMITTEE AND HAVE ANOTHER CONVERSATION ON THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET. FROM THE CITYWIDE PERSPECTIVE, I DO NOT SEE THAT BEING NECESSARY. WE ARE ALL GOING TO BE WITHIN THE APPROVED BUDGET. I HOPE THAT IS CLEAR.

GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN

YES, THAT IS INTERESTING, AND INTERESTING WAY TO THINK ABOUT THE PROCESS. BUT THAT IS NOT WHAT I WAS SERVING ON THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. SO I'M ASSUMING, THE FIRE AD HOC IS HAVING A CURRENT MEETING. SO I'M ASSUMING THE NEXT TIME THE BUDGET COMMITTEE MEETS, WHICH WE ARE GOING TO BE MEETING SOON TOO, YOU WOULD SUGGEST A PROPOSED AGENDA ITEM FOR THE BUDGET MEETING, STATING THE FACT THAT THIS IS A REPORT OUT FROM AD HOC. THAT IS GOING TO HAVE SOME SORT OF IMPLICATION FOR THE CITY BUDGET.

SO TO MAKE SURE WE ARE IN QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE STICK TO QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS FOR THIS PURPOSE. THE DISCUSSION FOR LATER. BUT THAT IS, THE QUESTION I THINK FROM THE VICE MAYOR IS WHAT THEY CAN EXPECT FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE THAT YOU WILL BE REPORTING OUT TO THEM IT IS THAT YOUR QUESTION, VICE MAYOR?

YES, THANK YOU FOR REPHRASING THAT.

I SEE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON .

CITY MANAGER?

WE CANNOT REPORT OUT TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. AFTER CHECKING WITH THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, THEY CAN TALK ABOUT THAT AND IF THEY WOULD LIKE. [INAUDIBLE] IN TERMS OF NEGOTIATION PURPOSES, AS WELL AS SIMPLICITY OF MANAGEMENT BUT THE FIRE AD HOC SHOULD BE THE LEAD ROLE IN ASSESSING THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET ITEMS.

I THINK YOUR QUESTION HAS BEEN ANSWERED, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YEAH, I WILL POST THIS IN THE FORM OF A LUSHTON. IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT HAVING SERVED ON THE COUNCIL FOR EIGHT YEARS THAT OTHER AD HOC HAVE TAKEN LEADS AND I WILL USE THE EXAMPLE OF WHEN I SAT ON THE PARK VILLAGE SUBCOMMITTEE. REFORMED -- TWO SUBCOMMITTEE MEMBERS NEGOTIATED THE CONTRACT, OVERLOOK THE FINANCES, AND REPORTED OUT EITHER WITH THE FULL COUNCIL OR TO A SEPARATE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE. SO I KNOW WE HAVE A HISTORY IN THIS AREA THAT I CANNOT RECALL ANOTHER SUBCOMMITTEE. BUT I DO KNOW THAT THE SUBCOMMITTEE SPECIFICALLY OPERATED VERY SIMILAR. SO I JUST WANTED TO ADD THAT TO THE DISCUSSION. IF YOU CAN THINK OF ANOTHER ONE, I CANNOT, BUT I KNOW THAT ONE FOR SURE.

I CANNOT GIVE ANOTHER ONE OFFHAND, BUT I DO KNOW YOU MADE A RECOMMENDATION OR DECISION YOU MADE A RECOMMENDATION TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AT THAT POINT.

ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO, I'M SORRY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

NUMBER SEVEN SISLEY PUBLIC COMMUNICATIONS CONCERNING THE LAFCO PROCESS AND SUBSEQUENT CONSOLIDATION OF THE GOLD RIDGE PRODUCTION DISTRICT. I ASKED IF THERE WERE SIDES OF COMMUNICATIONS. AND THE CITY MANAGER SAID, YES, HE THOUGHT THERE WAS AND THAT IT WAS 15,000. SO I DO NOT UNDERSTAND WHAT THAT MEANS. THE AD HOC? THEY'RE GOING TO LEAD THIS? THE AD HOC IS GOING TO LEAD THIS? IT SEEMS LIKE TO ME, THAT IS FOR DISCUSSION. SO I WOULD LIKE TO UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU MEAN WHEN YOU SAY YOU'RE GOING TO LEAD THE COMMUNICATIONS?

I THINK FOR ME, AND JOE CAN ANSWER AS WELL. WE WOULD PUT THE TIMELINE INTO THE PROPOSAL THAT THE COUNCIL WOULD AGREE TO AND PROPOSE AND THAT WE WOULD TRY TO COME UP WITH SOME WE WERE GOING TO WORK WITH TO DO THE COMMUNICATIONS, YOU KNOW? WHO WE WOULD RECOMMEND TO HIRE. IT WOULD ALL COME BACK TO COUNCIL.

YEAH. EVERYTHING WE ARE DOING IS TRYING TO GET INFORMATION WE NEED TO BRING BACK YOU ALSO THERE IS TRANSPARENCY FOR EVERYONE.

I HAD A FOLLOW-UP TO THAT. I AM LOOKING AT PAGE THREE OF THREE. AND IT STRUCK ME. THERE WAS A WORD HERE REGARDING OUTREACH. THE

SECOND LINE SAYS THAT THE FIRE AD HOC WILL DEVELOP AN OUTREACH PLAN AND LIKELY ATTEMPT PUBLIC SPEAKING ENGAGEMENTS, UTILIZE SOCIAL MEDIA, CONDUCT MEDIA CAMPAIGNS, TO SECURE AND FACILITATE -- IS THERE A REASON THAT, WHY? WHAT WOULD BE THE ANSWER? WE JUST WOULD NOT COMMIT?

WE HAVE NOT MADE A RECOMMENDATION YET. IT WAS TRYING TO CAPTURE EVERYTHING AND THINK, COULD LIKELY HAPPEN, BUT WE WOULD NOT PLAN TO BRING THE RECOMMENDATION BACK. SO.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THAT MAKES SENSE. AND I HAVE AN ADDITIONAL QUESTION. OH, IS THERE IN HERE ANYWAY, I DATE FOR THIS AD HOC COMMITTEE?

WE ARE ASSUMING THAT THE FINAL ONE, THE PROCESS WHEN EVERYTHING IS DONE. SO AGAIN, THAT IS THE ASSUMPTION. WHEN WE ARE -- AND THE GOAL ACCORDING TO THE SCHEDULE IS JULY 2025.

JULY 1, 2025. THAT IS IDEAL. YOU HAVE TO GET THROUGH THIS PROCESS TO START

OKAY. SO TURNING TO OUR CITY ATTORNEY, INCIDENT, HOW IMPORTANT IS IT THAT WE INDICATE THIS IS AN AD HOC?

YOU DO NOT NEED TO. IT STILL HAS A DEFINED PURPOSE. THERE IS NO NEED. OKAY. AND MY FINAL QUESTION WAS, I DO NOT SEE A COMMITMENT IN THIS STAFF REPORT TO APPROACH OUT TO THE CITY COUNCIL. SO I'M WONDERING IF THERE IS ANY OBJECTION TO AN EXPECTATION TO REGULAR REPORTS OUT OF SOME KIND. YOU KNOW, NOVEMBER, DECEMBER, JANUARY, EVERY OTHER MONTH OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

WE HAVE NOT PUT THAT DOWN. OBVIOUSLY, WE TRY TO REPORT OUT AFTER EVERY MEETING WHAT IS GOING ON. AND THAT IS NOT ALWAYS POSSIBLE. SO WE WOULD LOVE TO DO THAT. TRY TO AGENDA IS AN ITEM FOR THE PUBLIC ALSO SO WE CAN DO AN UPDATE TO OUR PROCESS. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU. THAT IS ALL THE QUESTIONS I HAD. I'M SORRY -- CEMENT KNOW.

IF THERE ARE NO OTHER QUESTIONS, MARY GOURLEY, COULD YOU PLEASE HANDLE THE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS?

THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH. THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT WITH THE FIRE AD HOC TASKS. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL COME IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE ? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

NO, YOU CAN LET ME KNOW.

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I'M DEEPLY CONCERNED ABOUT THE PROPOSAL TO INCREASE THE AUTHORITY OF THE FIRE AD HOC AS OUTLINED IN DISCUSSION ITEMS ONE AND TWO IN THIS AGENDA. NOT ONLY WAS A LOOSE PROTOCOL SCHEDULE NOT MADE AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC IN A TIMELY MANNER, BY THE OWNER AS A PUBLIC NOTICE AND. BUT THE ACTION THE COMMITTEE ITSELF RAISE SERIOUS QUESTIONS ABOUT TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY. IT'S IMPORTANT TO HIGHLIGHT THAT THE CITY ATTORNEY IS RECOMMENDED THE FIRE AD HOC PRODUCE REGULAR REPORTS TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. WHATEVER, THIS PROCESS, THE FIRE AD HOC HAS CONSISTENTLY FAILED TO PRODUCE ANY SORT OF WRITTEN REPORT FOR THE LACK OF DOCUMENTATION A SIGNIFICANT LAPSE OF RESPONSIBILITY OF -- SUBSTANTIAL IMPLICATIONS FOR HEALTH. WHEN COUNCILMEMBER

REPEATEDLY HAS STATED ABOUT REPORTING OUT IN PERSON, BUT HAS FAILED TO DO SO ON A REGULAR BASIS. THIS PATTERN OF NEGLECT ONLY EXACERBATES CONCERNS ABOUT THE COMMUNITY TRANSPARENCY, EFFECTIVENESS BIT HOW CAN WE -- THAT IS NOT DEMONSTRATED A COMMITMENT TO KEEPING BOTH THE COUNCIL AND THE PUBLIC INFORMED? TO MOVE OUT OF THIS PROPOSAL UNDER THESE CONDITIONS, TABLE 2 ENDORSE THE THOUGHT PROCESS THAT LACKS THE TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY THAT IT DESERVES. I AM STRONGLY URGING COUNCIL TO REJECT THIS PROPOSAL UNTIL THE FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE , WHO CONSISTENTLY DEMONSTRATES A RELIABLE REPORTING PROCESS, BOTH IN WRITING AND IN PERSON, AND UNTIL ALL RELEVANT DOCUMENTS ARE DISCLOSED TO THE PUBLIC. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. IF ANYBODY IN CHAMBERS LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

YEAH. AND LOVE AND GRATITUDE. BEING VERY ARTICULATE. AS YOU KNOW, OF COURSE, I'VE ALWAYS ENCOURAGE YOU TO RUN FOR CITY COUNCIL. WE NEED RESPONSIBLE PEOPLE IN THIS TOWN. IN A DIFFERENT DIRECTION. BUT ANYWAY, REGARDING THE AD HOC, I AM WONDERING, YOU KNOW, [INAUDIBLE] COMPUTER FREE, CELL PHONE FREE, TV FREE, AND LARGELY ELECTRICITY FREE. THE MAN-MADE STATIC FIELDS FROM CELL PHONES AND ALL OF THAT. CELL TOWERS AND SO FORTH. SO ANYWAY, BUT I AM GOING TO ASK OTHER REPORTS WHICH CURRENTLY DO NOT EXIST. THE FIRE AD HOC , IS NOT AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC? THAT IS WHAT I WANT TO ASK THAT I WANT TO KNOW THAT. AND ALSO, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY, AGAIN, A RIGHT TO KNOW HOW MUCH THIS IS ALL GOING TO COST. IT SEEMS LIKE A FIGURE OF \$255 PER PARCEL TAX OF PROPERTY. BUT I THOUGHT I HEARD THAT GOLD RIDGE WOULD DECIDE HOW MUCH THEY NEEDED. AGAIN, I THINK THAT IS SOMETHING RIGHT UP FRONT. THE PUBLIC NEEDS TO BE APPRISED OF.

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 25 SECONDS.

THAT'S ABOUT IT, YOU KNOW? I JUST PRAY THAT SOMEDAY WE WILL GET SOME RESPONSIBLE LEADERSHIP HERE.

LINDA, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. OLIVER?

THANK YOU. THIS IS A REALLY LONG COMPLICATED PROCESS. THE CITY ORDINANCES AND THE WAY A CITY IS RUN IS [INAUDIBLE] I MEAN, WE ARE IN THE MIDDLE OF THE SUMMER. THESE GUYS ARE ON VACATION? WHAT IS GOING ON? WHERE WE ARE AT THE BEGINNING OF SEPTEMBER. AND IT IS, LIKE, THAT IS COMPLETELY UNREALISTIC. MY UNDERSTANDING IS, YOU KNOW, PROBABLY TWO YEARS BEFORE THIS HAPPENS IF IT EVER HAPPENS. THESE GUYS ARE WORKING REALLY HARD FOR THE LAST GUYS WERE WORKING REALLY HARD. I DON'T KNOW WHAT -- SO IT DOES NOT MAKE MUCH SENSE TO ME. I MEAN, I AM SURE EVERYTHING WILL BE WRITTEN OUT IN DUE COURSE FOR THE PUBLIC. I AM FINE WITH THAT. THANK YOU.

OLIVER, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT ON THE FIRE AD HOC? SEEING NONE, I WILL COME BACK FOR THE LAST TIME TO CHAMBERS.

SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED. SHE MET THANK YOU TO MARY GOURLEY. WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNCIL TABLE FOR DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM. I SEE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON HAS RAISED HER HAND.

YEAH. I WANTED TO MAKE A COMMENT. I DO NOT THE ITEMS ONE AND TWO AS GIVING MORE RESPONSIBILITY OR MORE FREEDOM TO THE AD HOC. I THINK IT IS CLEARLY STATING WHAT THE AD HOC IS WORKING ON. I AM THE ONE THAT NOTICED THE TIMELINE AS PART OF THE AGENDA ITEM. SO I BROUGHT THAT TO EVERYONE 'ATTENTION AND WE GOT IT POSTED. SORRY ABOUT THAT. BUT WE DO WORK WITH PARTNERS IN THIS PROCESS. IT IS A COMPLICATED PROCESS. WE ARE FIGURING IT OUT. WE HAVE NEVER DONE A RECONSIDERATION FIRE CONSOLIDATION BEFORE. SO HONESTLY, THE TIMELINE GOES UNTIL NEXT JULY. JULY 1st. WE HAVE PLENTY OF TIME TO WORK ON THESE ITEMS. WE EXPECT TO HAVE DRAFTS DONE AND FINE-TUNE THOSE. AND I KNOW THAT LAB CORE HAS EVEN OFFERED TO HELP US ADVISE ON THIS SO WHEN WE ARE READY TO SUBMIT AND IT IS APPROVED BY THIS COUNCIL, WE ARE READY TO GO FORWARD. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ?

I JUST WANTED TO REITERATE THAT WHAT WE HAVE GOT TO TONIGHT IS ALL WE HAVE DISCUSSED. IT REALLY IS. I DO ATTEND GOLD RIDGE BOARD MEETINGS. I ATTEND BECAUSE I WANT TO UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR PROCESS IS AND WHAT THEY ARE TALKING ABOUT AND AGREEING TO. YOU KNOW, AT THEIR BOARD MEETINGS SO I ATTEND THOSE ON MY OWN TIME, TAKING AN EVENING AWAY FROM MY OWN FAMILY TO HEAR MORE ABOUT WHAT IS HAPPENING. AND WE DO HAVE A MEETING ON THURSDAY. I'M HAPPY TO TALK TO ANYONE THAT WANTS TO TALK ABOUT IT. BECAUSE I AM SO IMMERSSED IN THIS PROCESS AND COMMITTED TO IT. ALL THE FIREFIGHTERS KNOW. THEY SEE ME AT THE MEETINGS. I'M HAPPY TO TALK WITH ANYONE. I'M ALL ABOUT TRANSPARENCY. I JUST DO NOT KNOW WHAT I CAN REPORT WHEN THIS IS ALL WE HAVE TO REPORT. SO I JUST, YOU KNOW, ANYTIME YOU WANT TO REACH OUT, AND HE WAS WOULD BE HAPPY TO TALK ABOUT IT. IT IS A PROCESS AND WE HAVE NEVER DONE THIS BEFORE. WHEN I RECEIVED SOME INFORMATION, EVIDENTLY REACHED OUT AND ASKED IF WE COULD MEET WITH MARK BUT I WANTED TO BETTER UNDERSTAND. SO WHEN WE CAME HERE, WOULD HAVE CLEAR DIRECTION AND UNDERSTANDING. I'VE ALSO SPOKEN WITH -- DAVE FROM GOLD RIDGE ABOUT THIS, TRY TO CLARIFY THINGS WE CAN BRING THAT BACK TODAY BUT IT IS IMPORTANT TO ME WE DO THIS RIGHT. EVERYONE COMES WE CAN ALL GO INTO THIS WITH OUR EYES WIDE OPEN. THAT IS MY COMMITMENT TO THIS. I AM SORRY THAT SOMEONE FEELS LIKE WE ARE NOT TRANSPARENT AND I DO NOT KNOW HOW TO BE MORE TRANSPARENT. EVERYTHING WE HAVE IS GREAT OUT HERE TONIGHT. THANK YOU.

SO MY FOLLOW-UP COMMENT ON THAT IS TO REMIND EVERYONE THAT, THE ONE INDIVIDUAL, EXPRESSING AN OPINION DOES NOT REFLECT THE OPINION OF THE ENTIRE COMMUNITY AND CERTAINLY DOES NOT REFLECT THE OPINION NECESSARILY OF THE COLLEAGUES OF THIS AD HOC THAT ARE SITTING HERE. I HAVE TO SAY THAT I AM NOT CONCERNED ABOUT TRANSPARENCY WITH THIS AD HOC COMMITTEE WE HAVE APPOINTED. WE WILL MOVE ON AND CONTINUE TO FUNCTION ETHICALLY AND APPROPRIATELY. ANNA COMMENT FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SAY THANK YOU TO OUR AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR ALL THE HEAVY LIFTING YOU ARE DOING. IT HAS BEEN A LONG

PROCESS. I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO MAYOR RICH, AND THE DEPARTMENT HERE. IT HAS BEEN A REALLY LONG AND COMPLICATED PROCESS. AND I SEE A LOT OF MOVEMENT HERE. THAT IS EXCITING TO BE RESOLVING A PROBLEM. I SEE THE VALUE OF THE ORGANIZING WITH GOLD RIDGE. BUT THE ONE PIECE THAT IS KIND OF LEFT HANGING, AND I THINK THAT GETS LEFT HANGING, IN MY MIND, IT IS PROBABLY LEFT FOR THE PUBLIC AS WELL. FOR SOME PEOPLE IN THE PUBLIC. THAT IS WHEN WE FIRST AGREED TO DO THIS, WE WERE TOLD THAT THERE WOULD BE A -- IN TERMS OF CONSOLIDATION. THAT WE WOULD HAVE A COST SAVINGS. AND I DON'T KNOW CURRENTLY WHAT THIS COST SAVINGS ARE PAIRED ARE THERE STILL COST SAVINGS? WHAT IS THE COMPARISON BETWEEN STAYING INDEPENDENT AND REORGANIZING WITH GOLD RIDGE? SO I FEEL LIKE THAT COULD BE AN IMPORTANT QUESTION TO BE LOOKED AT. I WOULD LIKE TO ADD THAT TO YOUR LIST. TO HAVE A CLEAR, YOU KNOW, SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON. I AM NOT SAYING, I REALLY VALUE WHAT GOLD RIDGE IS BRINGING TO THE TABLE. I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO ME TOO BUT I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO THE PUBLIC TO HAVE THAT SIDE-BY-SIDE COMPARISON. BECAUSE WHAT WE WERE TOLD IN THE BEGINNING OF THIS WHOLE PROCESS, IS THAT WE WERE ENGAGED. THERE WERE COST SAVINGS BUT I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE STILL GOING IN THAT DIRECTION. SO I JUST WANT TO KIND OF FRAME WHAT IS GOING ON HERE. IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE IS A REQUEST THAT WE ADDED AS A TASK. MAYBE YOU COULD CONSIDER THAT THE AD HOC WANTING TO COMMENT.

SO I WANT TO COMMENT, AND THEN WE ARE GOING TO HAVE AN ANSWER. THAT IS KIND OF THE WORK WE HAVE NOT DONE YET. SO A PLAN OF SERVICE IS, THAT IS WHY WE ARE TALKING ABOUT A NEGOTIATION HERE. THE MONEY HAS NOT BEEN AGREED TO. AND SO WHEN YOU ASK WHAT THE COST SAVINGS IS, BECAUSE WE HAVE NOT GOT A CONTRACT YET, WE HAVE NOT AGREED WITH THEM, THAT IS PART OF THE PROCESS THAT I FULLY EXPECT THAT WILL COME BACK.

SO I WANTED TO -- THE CITY ATTORNEY AND I JUST HAD A MEETING PIT IT WAS VEGAN AND I THINK --

ONE THING I WILL MENTION TO COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS. THERE OTHER AGENCIES THAT GO THROUGH THE SORT OF PROCESSES AND THEIR CONSULTANTS TO THE SPECIALIZED IN THAT COURT OF EXPERTISE. [INAUDIBLE] THE CITY MIGHT LOOK TO RETAIN ONE OF THOSE TO HELP WITH THIS EXACT ISSUE FOR YOU LONG-TERM.

I JUST WANTED YOU TO KNOW THERE ARE OPTIONS BUT PERHAPS THAT IS SOMETHING TO BRING TO THE MEETING ON THURSDAY. TO DISCUSS THE WHOLE FINANCE PIECE OF IT. TO COMMUNICATE THAT OUT TO EVERYBODY. AND KEY.

THANK YOU. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES, WHEN GOING INTO AD HOC, IT IS NOT THE. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR ALL OF THE ONGOING WORK. AND AS FAR AS [INAUDIBLE] THE BUDGET SAVINGS. THAT WAS MY QUESTION EARLIER, DURING THE EARLIER PRESENTATION ABOUT THE MONEY AND SORT OF THE OFFERING OF POTENTIAL WAYS TO NEGOTIATE WITH GOLD RIDGE , USING THE AMOUNT THAT IS BEEN BY DEPARTMENT BUDGET ACCORDING TO HIM. IT IS CUSTOMARY FOR THOSE THINGS TO HAPPEN. BUT FOR US MOVING FORWARD, I WOULD WANT THAT TO BE PART OF THE SCOPE OF THIS AD HOC.

THAT IS TO HAVE ANY OF THAT TYPE OF NEGOTIATION. THAT ALSO AFFECTS THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET. GOING THROUGH THE STAFF IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. THE BUDGET MEETING. THAT IS PUBLIC. AS FAR AS WHAT IS HAPPENING WITHIN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AD HOC AS IT AFFECTS THAT DEPARTMENT. IT WILL HAVE IMPLICATIONS FOR THE WHOLE CITY BUDGET. I DO NOT SUBSCRIBE TO -- THE GENERAL MANAGER SAID. WHATEVER HAS BEEN ALLOCATED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, AS LONG AS IT DOES NOT EXCEED THE AMOUNT WE HAVE AUTHORIZED FOR THAT DEPARTMENT BUDGET, THEN EVERYTHING IS FINE. I DO NOT AGREE WITH THAT AT ALL. SO I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THAT PULLED OUT AS SOON AS THE FIRE AD HOC HAS A MEETING. MY HOPE WOULD BE THAT THE CITY MANAGER WOULD THEN HAVE A PROPOSED AGENDA ITEM AT THE VERY NEXT BUDGET MEETING. SO THAT WAS MY REQUEST. I'VE ASKED THE BUDGET COMMITTEE LEAD STAFF -- A STANDING ITEM ON THE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

GREAT. SO I HAD A COUPLE OF COMMENTS. I'M REALLY PLEASED TO SEE THIS DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE TASKS FOR THIS AD HOC. WE ARE GETTING BETTER AT IT. BUT THERE WAS A TIME WHEN WE DID NOT HAVE SUCH A GREAT OUTLINE OF SPECIFIC TASKS. I THINK THAT REALLY, THAT REALLY UNDERSCORES THE ETHICAL RESPONSIBILITY PARTS THAT THIS AD HOC HAS TAKEN TO THIS ISSUE AND THIS IS BEEN A MULTIUNIT ISSUE, NUMBER DIFFERENT AD HOC'S, AS THIS SUPER IMPORTANT TOPIC FOR OUR COMMUNITY WAS THROUGH THE PROCESS SO EVERYONE IS COMFORTABLE WITH IT. IT IS REALLY IMPORTANT TO PEOPLE WHO LIVE HERE. AND OUR FIREFIGHTERS. MY SUGGESTION WOULD BE, I AM FULLY IN SUPPORT OF THE DESCRIPTION WITH A COUPLE OF CHANGES. I WOULD DEFINITELY PREFER THAT THE BUDGETARY ITEMS BE SUBMITTED TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. I HAVE TO POINT OUT THAT IT IS NOT JUST THE 100,000, OR WHATEVER IT WAS. THE 100,000 AND \$300,000 AMOUNT. WE ALL KNOW AT THIS POINT, THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR A GENERAL FUND AND PROPERTY TAXES, DEPENDING ON HOW THESE NEGOTIATIONS GO FORWARD. THERE MAY BE MONEY THAT IS SET ASIDE OUT OF OUR GENERAL FUND FOR THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUT IF NOT THAT, THAT THERE BE SOME REDIRECTION OF EXISTING PROPERTY TAXES IN ORDER TO MEET THE NEGOTIATED AGREEMENTS. SO ALL OF THAT, TO ME, THAT IS MONEY. BUDGET. THE CITY COUNCIL HAS SET UP AND DELEGATED AUTHORITY FOR BUDGET TO OUR COUNCILMEMBERS, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. THAT IS MY FIRST REQUEST. I WOULD ADD IN THE MONEY ITEMS GO THROUGH THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, ESPECIALLY SINCE WE HAVE BEEN -- ISSUES. I APPRECIATE OUR CITY MANAGER ALREADY MAKING THAT A STANDING ITEM. THE BUDGET COMMITTED SCHEDULE. AND THE OTHER THING IS I WOULD LIKE TO SEE REGULAR AND EXPECTATIONS OF REGULAR REPORTS OUT OF SOME KIND TO THE CITY COUNCIL. HEARING FROM THE AD HOC WHAT THOSE REPORTS, WHAT THE TIMING MIGHT BE. MY THOUGHT WAS EVERY COUPLE OF MONTHS FOR NOVEMBER, JANUARY, MARCH, EIGHT, AND JULY. IT SEEMS LIKE A REPORT OF SOME KIND, EVEN IF IT IS JUST -- IT WOULD HELP ALL OF US FEEL -- AND ENSURING THE PUBLIC OF ACTIVITIES GOING FORWARD. THOSE WOULD BE MY TWO REQUESTS, OTHER THAN THAT, I WOULD REQUEST THE DESCRIPTION AS IT IS HERE. I AM LOOKING TO THE AD HOC.

I JUST HAVE A QUESTION.

GO AHEAD. GO AHEAD.

ARE YOU OKAY? I MEAN, THERE IS NO, WE DON'T HAVE A REGULAR CADENCE BECAUSE WE ARE ALSO WORKING WITH -- ALL OF THAT. SO MY QUESTION IS, WHAT IS THE APPROPRIATE WITH AN AGENDA ITEM VERSUS GIVING YOU SOMETIMES A WRITTEN REPORT? SOMETIMES THERE IS NOT MUCH TO REPORT OUT.

YES. I WOULD PERSONALLY WOULD NOT EXPECT ALL FORMAL WRITTEN REPORT OUT EVERY TWO MONTHS. SOME SORT OF REPORT OUT, EITHER VERBAL OR WRITTEN, WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE TO ME. WOULD THAT BE ACCEPTABLE TO THE AD HOC?

SHORT.

I AM SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON , ANY OTHER COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON IT. SO I JUST A CLARIFYING QUESTION. SO IF WE ARE SUBMITTING TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, I AM A LITTLE CONFUSED. BECAUSE WE ARE GOING TO BE TRYING TO NEGOTIATE, AND MAYBE WITH THE HELP OF AN OUTSIDE PERSON, WE MAY HAVE TO COME BACK AND ASK FOR THAT. THEN WE ARE GOING TO GO BACK TO THE FULL COMMITTEE. IF THERE IS A BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE. THE CITY MANAGER WILL BRING IT TO THEM, UPDATES. BUT IS THEIR EXPECTATION THAT IF WE THINK THIS IS THE RIGHT THING TO RECOMMEND TO THE FULL COUNCIL, THAT THE BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE COULD COME BACK AND SAY, NO, WE DO NOT AGREE, BASICALLY? AND I JUST WANT TO REMIND, NOT ONLY ARE WE WORKING OFF THE MONEY SITUATION, WE ARE ALSO WORKING TO GET BETTER, BETTER RESPONSE TIMES AND BETTER FIRE COVERAGE FOR OUR CITY. THIS IS NOT JUST A BUDGET SITUATION. I BELIEVE, I RECALL, DO NOT QUOTE ME. WE WERE NOT GOING TO SAVE A LOT OF MONEY IN THE FIRST COUPLE YEARS, BUT IT WAS OVER THE LONG HAUL WE WERE. MAYBE COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS CAN REMIND ME OF THIS .

THERE WERE SOME SMALL THINGS. THIS IS ABOUT SUSTAINABILITY AS WELL. TAKE TIME SUSTAINABILITY. I THINK THAT QUESTION MIGHT BE BEST DIRECTED TO THE BUDGET. OUR CITY MANAGER. [LAUGHTER] THE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

SO COUPLE OF THOUGHTS. ONE IS, IN TERMS OF THE BUDGET THIS YEAR, TO ME, THERE ARE TWO ISSUES RELATED. ONE IS THE CURRENT FY 2024-25 FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET. IS LIKE THE USE OF MEASURE H. HOW THOSE FUNDS ARE ALLOCATED. THE REPORT SAYS THAT THE RECOMMENDATIONS, THE FINAL RESPONSIBILITY TO MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE FULL CITY COUNCIL WOULD BE THE FIRE AD HOC. ULTIMATELY, IT WILL GO TO THE FULL CITY TO COUNCIL FOR DECISION. NOT JUST TO THE FIRE AD HOC. BUT I'M ALSO HEARING, I THINK I AM HEARING THAT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WANTS TO HAVE A VOICE AND NEGOTIATE THE FINANCIAL ASPECTS OF THE NEGOTIATIONS FOR THE FUTURE. SO HOW MUCH WE WOULD BE SHARING OF REVENUE WITH GOLD RIDGE FOLKS. AND ALSO WHAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT WHAT THE FIRE AD HOC AND THE NEGOTIATION WITH GOLD RIDGE REPORTED OUT TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. NOT JUST FOR THIS YEAR , BUT FOR THE FUTURE BUDGET. IS THAT CORRECT? I WOULD APPRECIATE CLARITY THERE

SO I WAS THE ONE MAKING THE PROPOSAL BUT I WOULD SAY MY MAIN CONCERN IS THAT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE SURE IT IS NOT -- SO THE FIRE AD

HOC IS FOCUSED ON NEGOTIATIONS. FIGURING OUT THE DETAILS. THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, AND SO BUDGET, SO FIRE AD HOC IS REALLY TAKING ABOUT HOW OUR FIRE SERVICES GOING TO GO FORWARD EFFECTIVELY? WHAT SORT OF THINGS NEED TO BE DONE TO MAKE SURE THAT HAPPENS? THE BUDGET COMMITTEE FOR MY PERSPECTIVE HAS A BIGGER PICTURE. SO THE IDEA OF REPORTING OUT TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WHEN MEETING WITH THEM REGULARLY, ALLOWS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE TO BE AWARE OF ANY RED FLAGS THAT COME UP, IN LIGHT OF OTHER BUDGETARY COMMITMENTS. SO THAT IS KIND OF WHAT I AM THINKING OF THE TERMS OF THE PICTURE. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THAT IS IN TERMS OF DETAILS. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

I THINK THAT IS CORRECT. WE ARE NOT THERE TO SACRIFICE BUT IT IS NOT JUST ABOUT THE BALANCE -- IT IS ABOUT RESPONSE TIME. FROM MY PERSPECTIVE, IT IS NOT -- NEGOTIATION. OKAY, WHATEVER YOU THINK IS BEST, HOW DOES THAT FIT INTO THE GLOBAL PERSPECTIVE? BECAUSE A PERCENT OF US ARE WORRIED ABOUT THE MOBILE PROJECT. FOR ALL DEPARTMENTS. AND ALSO, THE TRANSPARENCY PART. SO I THINK SORT OF KEEPING RUNNING TABS ON HOW IF IT DOES EXCEED THE AMOUNT ALLOCATED, THEN, YOU KNOW, THE SOONER WE KNOW THAT THE HEAD OF TIME. MAYBE IT GOES LESS. YEAH, THEN WHAT ELSE COULD WE POSSIBLY SPEND ON IF IT COMES LOWER?

I DO NOT THINK IT IS ABOUT GETTING PREAPPROVAL. I THINK IT IS ABOUT REPORTING OUT AND INFORMING.

YET, OUR GOAL IS TO BRING EVERYTHING OUT TO YOU ALL UP SO WE CAN ALL SHOULDER THIS DECISION TOGETHER. THIS IS NOT JUST, WE ARE BEING SUBJECT MATTER EXPERTS HERE AND PUTTING IN THE TIME SO WE CAN HELP EVERYONE ELSE BETTER UNDERSTAND. THE AMOUNT OF HOURS THAT IT REQUIRES. AND JUST KEEP IN MIND, I MEAN, WE DO WORRY ABOUT THE OVERALL BUDGET TOO.

EXACTLY. THANK YOU FOR THE CLARIFICATION. YEAH. NO SECRETS. THE CITY MANAGER KEN SCHERER AWAY. AS WE STATED, WE ARE NOT ABSOLUTELY SURE WHAT WE ARE GETTING INTO UNTIL WE ARE IN IT. WE ARE ALL KIND OF LEARNING. THAT IS WHY THE PROCESS IS GOING THE WAY IT IS.

OKAY. ANY FURTHER COMMENTS ON THIS ITEM? SO I THINK WE HAVE -- IT NEEDS TO COME BEFORE STEP BEFORE WE HAVE A MOTION AND THINK ABOUT A DECISION, RIGHT? SO MY THOUGHT WAS THAT WE ADD WHATEVER LANGUAGE IS NECESSARY. -- CAN HELP US WITH THIS. IT WOULD REQUIRE THAT REPORTS OUT GO TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE FROM THE FIRE AD HOC. AND WE HAD LANGUAGE THAT REQUIRE REGULAR REPORTS THAT WE HAVE IN MIND. AT MINIMUM, EVERY TWO MONTHS IT MINIMUM, VERBAL OR WRITTEN, AS THE FIRE AD HOC GIVES APPROPRIATE. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

YEAH IF YOU CAN ADOPT ONE OF THE TWO TO SAY PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADVISING THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AND BUDGET MATTERS RELATED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND REPORTING. AND THE SUBSEQUENT REPORTING TO COUNCIL. SOMETHING LIKE THAT.

OKAY. I'M LOOKING AT MARY GOURLEY TO SEE IF THAT WORKS ? MODIFY NUMBER TWO?

LET ME SEE IF THIS WORKS. SO NUMBER TWO WOULD HAVE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADVISING THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AS RELAYED THROUGH THE CITY MANAGER FOR REVIEW OF BUDGET RELATED ITEMS WITH FINAL DECISION TO BE MADE BY THE CITY COUNCIL AS RELATED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET.

PERFECT. OKAY. AWESOME.

AND ONE ADDITIONAL WOULD BE, TO REQUIRE REGULAR REPORTS OUT TO THE CITY COUNCIL, EITHER VERBAL OR WRITTEN, FOR POTENTIAL -- THOSE TIME FRAMES.

IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO EVERYONE? OKAY. DOES ANYONE WANT TO MAKE A MOTION? OR SHALL WE HAVE MARY GOURLEY READ THE MOTION ?

IF I CAN GET -- I WILL RESTATE IT.

OKAY.

SO THIS IS HOW I WOULD READ THE MOTION. SO WITH ALL RULES OF FIRE AD HOC AS FOLLOWS WOULD NEGOTIATE ON THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL WITH GOLD RIDGE DISTRICT THROUGHOUT THE LAFCO PROCESS, HAVE PRIMARY RESPONSIBILITY FOR ADVISING THE BUDGET RESPONSIBILITY THROUGH BUDGET RELATED ITEMS WITH THE FINAL DECISION TO BE MADE BY THE CITY COUNCIL AS A WHOLE, AS RELATED TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT BUDGET. EXTENSION AND EXPANSION OF CONTACT SERVICES WITH GOLD RIDGE BY EXPANSION DISTRICT FOR CHIEF AND MINISTRATION OF THE FIRE DEPARTMENT CHIEF RESPONSIBILITIES, SET TO EXPIRE SEPTEMBER 30, 2024 BUT A RESOLUTION FOR THE LAFCO PROCESS. ANY REVENUE SHARING, AUTHORIZING THE EXCHANGE BETWEEN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AND GOLD RIDGE DISTRICT , REVIEW THE TRANSITIVE REPLICATION, WHICH INCLUDES SERVICE TO ENSURE REGULAR COMPLIANCE AND THREE EVALUATE THE CONSOLIDATION OF BENEFITS, POWER COMMUNICATIONS REGARDING THE LAFCO PROCESS AND THE -- DISTRICT. REGULAR REPORTS OUT TO THE ZONAL COUNCIL, EITHER VERBAL OR WRITTEN AND THE TIMEFRAME OF --

SO MOVED.

I WILL SECOND.

OKAY. WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND SO STATING THE MOTION FOR THE FIRE AD HOC. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

AYE .

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

YES .

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

AYE.

MAYOR RICH.

YES

THE MOTION CARRIES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU TO THE FIRE AD HOC COMMITTEE AND TO ALL WHO CARRIED US TO GET US TO THIS POINT. THANK YOU FROM THE INFORMATION WE HAVE COLLECTED SO FAR. THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS ITEM NUMBER NINE. I WOULD LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT WE HAVE NOW ABOUT FIVE REMAINING ITEMS AND IT IS ALREADY 7:45. SO I'M GOING TO DO MIGHT ARTIST TO MOVE US THROUGH EACH OF THESE ITEMS. RECOGNIZING WE HAVE TO HAVE THE DISCUSSION AND CONSIDERATION THAT IS IMPORTANT FOR ALL OF US TO BE INFORMED. THE NEXT ITEM IS REVENUE MEASURES.

THE STATUS BE CONSIDERED BY NEW MEASURES TO BE CONSIDERED. THIS IS A REPORT FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE, I BELIEVE. THAT IS ITEM NUMBER NINE. SO WHO IS LEADING THIS PRESENTATION?

WE HAVE OUR CURRENT PROTOCOLS. FROM THE GENERAL MANAGER. THAT IS DESIGNATED TO THE DEPARTMENT HEAD FOR THE PRESENTATION. AND THEN IF -- AND I WANT TO JUMP IN AFTER.

OKAY.

[INAUDIBLE] TO LEAD THIS. AND I WILL CATCH GOLD RIDGE BEFORE THEY TAKE OFF.

THANK YOU.

CAN YOU HEAR ME?

YES.

IT DOES NOT HAVE A LIGHT SO I AM NOT SURE IF IT IS ON OR NOT. SO THIS IS AGENDA ITEM NUMBER NINE. THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR , AND CITY COUNCIL PIT ITEM BEFORE THE COUNCIL TONIGHT IS TO RECEIVE A REPORT FROM THE BUDGET COMMITTEE OF REVENUE ACTIONS AND PROVIDE STAFF THE INPUTS INTO ALL THESE REVENUES THAT HAS BEEN CONSIDERED IN THE PAST. THE COMMITTEE HAS ENGAGED WITH STAFF TO IDENTIFY AND EVALUATE REVENUE ACTIONS. THE CITY COUNCIL ADOPTED THE JULY 2024-25 BUDGET DESPITE THE DEFICIT. THE STAFF REPORT OUTLINED SEVERAL POTENTIAL REVENUE COURSES OF ACTION THAT THE CITY CLERK CONSIDERS. HOWEVER, STAFF RECOMMENDATION, THE SIX REVENUE ACTIONS, THAT IS ON MY NEXT SLIDE. WHEN IS THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT -- NUMBER TWO? FINANCING THE STRICT EIFD. THE REVIEW AND USER FEES. NUMBER FOUR. INITIATE DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES. NUMBER FIVE. UPDATE BUILDING EVALUATION FEES FOR THE NUMBER SIX IS TO CONSIDER TRENCH CUT ORDINANCE. WHAT THAT, I AM GOING TO TURN IT EITHER BACK TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE OR THE CITY MANAGER TO DISCUSS THESE ACTIONS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM TURNING TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS ON THE PRESENTATION?

YEAH. IN ADDITION TO WHAT IS BEEN PUT UP THERE, WE CONSIDERED MANY OTHER POTENTIAL REVENUE GENERATING IDEAS. SPECIFICALLY, AS INDICATED IN THE STAFF REPORT, BIO CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS. THUMBS UP, THUMBS DOWN. INCLUDING VACANCY. THERE ARE MANY, MANY OTHER IDEAS FOR THE PUBLIC IN ADDITION TO THE SIX THAT ARE THERE. AND I WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO STAFF FOR LISTENING AND INCORPORATING THOSE INTO THE REPORT. THIS WAS ALL DONE IN A TIMELY WAY BECAUSE WITH THE PUBLIC, NOT JUST A FEW TIMES, SO IT IS EASIER FOR YOU TO PUT A SALES TAX THERE. YOU WOULD NOT DO ANY -- TO EXPLORE ANY OTHER WAYS TO BRING REVENUE, OTHER THAN JUST DOING -- SO FOR MYSELF, I FELT IT WAS IMPORTANT TO REVISIT ALL THE POTENTIAL OPTIONS. DUST THEM OFF. HAVE ANOTHER HEART AND DISCUSSION. THAT MEANS THE PUBLIC IS INTERESTED ALL ON TAPE. AND IT TOOK AT LEAST TWO MORE HOURS, MINIMUM, TO GO BACK THROUGH ALL OF THEM. WE LISTEN TO STAFF ABOUT THE BENEFITS VERSUS THE RISK AND THE TIME ASSOCIATED BY PURSUING ALL OF THEM. THINGS WE DISCUSSED SIX MONTHS AGO. IT IS NOT GOING TO PAN OUT AS FAR AS THE AMOUNT OF TIME AND THE ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM. IT WAS DECIDED THAT WAS NOT SOMETHING THAT STAFF WOULD RECOMMEND. SO I THOUGHT IT WAS GOOD WE HAD

THAT DISCUSSION. THOSE WERE THE ONES THAT ENDED UP TURNING OUT TO BE, THAT STAFF RECOMMENDS TO PRESERVE. IT IS NOT IRONIC THAT WHEN WE MOVED TO THE AGENDA ITEMS AS FAR AS PRIORITY, THOSE ARE THE SAME ONE STAFF ARE RECOMMENDING. THE BIGGEST BANG FOR THE BUCK IS THE FOCUS ON ONE'S THAT WILL BRING IN THE MOST REVENUE IN THE SHORTEST AMOUNT OF TIME. THAT IS BASICALLY MY SUMMARY OF WHAT TRANSPIRED IN THAT MEETING. DID YOU WANT TO ADD ANYTHING TO THIS?

WE TALKED ABOUT THE -- AND THE SALARY. SO THAT IS COMING UP AS AN AGENDA ITEM. WE ALSO TALKED ABOUT RENEGOTIATING REVENUE. [INAUDIBLE] WE TALKED ABOUT OBTAINING GRANTS. YEAH. IT WAS A LOT. THERE ARE TWO SETS OF NOTES AFTER THIS, IN THE STAFF REPORT. SO THE FIRST IS OUR CITY CLERK, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER NOTES. AND AFTER THAT IS THE TRANSCRIPTION. I THINK THAT IS THE TRANSCRIPTION FROM ZOOM. BUT I THINK THE NOTES, THE PREVIOUS NOTES MAKE MORE SENSE.

THANK YOU. I DID HAVE A QUESTION. AND STAFF, ANYTHING TO ADD? CITY MANAGER? ANY QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? OKAY. SO I HAD A QUESTION. I'M LOOKING AT THIS LIST OF SIX ITEMS THAT I THINK I JUST HEARD THE VICE MAYOR ANSWER THIS QUESTION, BUT I WANT TO MAKE SURE. ARE THESE SIX ITEMS IN THE RECOMMENDED PRIORITY STAFF REPORT THAT IS COMING UP? ARE THESE SIX ITEMS INCLUDED IN THE RECOMMENDED ITEMS THAT STAFF FEELS NEED TO BE PRIORITIZED OVER THE NEXT FIVE MONTHS? IT IS UNCLEAR TO ME BECAUSE I SEE TRENCH CUT ORDINANCE THERE.

THEY ARE NOT. THAT IS THE REASON WHY I MENTIONED TO THE GENERAL MANAGER. I THINK -- I HAVE HAD DISCUSSIONS. PLEASE DO NOT DO THAT. ESPECIALLY WHEN IT RELATES TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. IT CAUGHT US OFF GUARD. THE ITEMS IN HERE, WE DID NOT DISCUSS AT THE BUDGET MEETING. WE DID NOT TAKE TIME TO TALK ABOUT THE TRENCH CUT ORDINANCE FOR THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT WAS DISCUSSED A FEW MONTHS AGO. I THINK WE SORT OF ROLLED IT OUT, BUT IT HAS -- SO MY THOUGHTS WERE, WE DISCUSSED MUCH MORE THAN THAT. AND WE DID NOT LAND SPECIFICALLY ON [INAUDIBLE]

SO THESE SIX ITEMS THAT ARE ON THE SCREEN, THEY ARE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS? THEY ARE NOT NECESSARILY THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE BUDGET COMMITTEE FOLLOWING DISCUSSIONS YOU HAD ABOUT POTENTIAL DEVELOPMENT? INTERESTING. SO THEN I WILL ASK, WHAT WERE THE POTENTIAL REVENUE GENERATING ITEMS THAT THE BUDGET, THAT COUNCILMEMBERS WOULD BEEN APPOINTED TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE FELT WERE A PRIORITY? AND WORTH THE TIME AND EFFORT, GIVEN WHAT THEY LEARNED IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE?

WELL, WITH THE EIFD. THE POTENTIAL HOTELS, THE TWO HOTELS. THE RENEGOTIATION OF THE LIGHT RAYS. AND I DO BELIEVE WE NEED TO UPDATE THE BILLING PIECE. THERE WAS SOME SNAFU WITH THE BUILDING FEES. WE NEED TO CLEAN THAT UP.

OKAY. SO THAT MEANS? AND PURSUING GRANTS. THAT WAS ON YOUR LIST. SO I AM GOING TO ASK A FOLLOW-UP QUESTION. THESE ITEMS YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED, EIFD, SUPPORTING THE HOTELS, THE LIBRARY NEEDS RENEGOTIATING, AND PURSUING -- WHAT DID YOU JUST SAY? GRANTS.

YOUNG, GRANTS. ARE THOSE ITEMS ON THE STAFF REPORT LIST AS PRIORITIES RECOMMENDED BY STAFF? I AM LOOKING AT MARY GOURLEY BECAUSE I THINK SHE MIGHT BE ABLE TO VERIFY

THOSE WERE LISTED AS PRIORITIES THAT I WILL SAY THAT AFTER THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAD BEEN MEETING, CITY STAFF WENT BACK THROUGH AND DID A SECOND REVIEW OF THE -- OPTIONS. NOT ALL OF THESE WILL BE DONE WITHIN THE NEXT SIX MONTHS. WE WANTED TO LOOK GOOD LIKE THE TRENCH COAT ORDINANCE. WE THINK WE WANT TO BRING THAT BACK EARLY NEXT YEAR. THAT IS TO HELP OUR STREETS. SO BASICALLY, WHAT A TRENCHCOAT ORDINANCE IS THAT THE CONTRACTOR HAS TO GO TO A PIPE REPAIR OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, THERE'D BE REQUIRED TO SET ASIDE FUNDS FROM THAT CONTRACTOR SO WHEN THAT STREET IS REPAIRED, WE WOULD HAVE FUNDS TO BE ABLE TO PULL FROM. CHAPTER WITHOUT LOOKING AT THESE AGAIN, WE THOUGHT THAT WOULD BE SOMETHING WE WANT TO LOOK AT. WE WANT TO RECOMMEND THAT FOR THE NEW PUBLIC WORKS CITY ENGINEER WHEN THEY COME UNDER THAT WAS NOT DISCUSSED IN HALL WITH THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. AFTER THAT MEETING, WE WENT BACK AND REALLY EVALUATED THESE USER FEES. THAT IS THE BEST PRACTICE WANTED TO UPDATE DOES TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE IS CURRENT OR USUALLY THAT IS A YEARLY THING. SO SOME OF THESE ARE JUST ROUTINE. ROUTINE ITEMS. I THOUGHT WE WANTED TO BRING FORWARD THAT WE ARE LOOKING AT WAYS TO BE ABLE TO SET FUNDING ASIDE.

OKAY. I SHOULD ASK -- DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

I WAS JUST WONDERING IF ANY BUSINESSES HAVE BEEN SURVEYED? IF ANYONE HAS SPOKEN WITH ANY OF OUR COMMERCIAL REAL ESTATE AGENTS? I KNOW ONE IS HERE IN DOWNTOWN SEBASTOPOL PRETTY MUCH KNOWS ALL RESTAURANT AND FOOD BUSINESSES THAT WANT TO COME INTO THE CITY. I'VE SPOKEN TO HER AND SHE HAS GIVEN ME HER OPINION ON WHY SHE THINKS THE BUSINESSES ARE NOT COMING IN. I'M WONDERING IF ANYONE HAS TAKEN THE INITIATIVE TO TALK TO THEM AS WELL? AND I KNOW THIS IS SOMETHING THAT TOUCHES A NERVE. BUT PART OF IT IS THE -- I JUST WONDERED, YOU KNOW, IT DOES NOT MAKE SENSE TO TALK ABOUT IT IF NO ONE WANTS TO COME HERE. BUT AT THE SAME TIME, BE NICE TO KNOW WE HAVE HAD CONVERSATIONS WITH REAL ESTATE AGENTS AND THOSE WHO MANAGE COMMERCIAL PROPERTIES TO UNDERSTAND IF THERE ARE PEOPLE LOOKING FOR SOMETHING HERE IN SEBASTOPOL THAT WE ARE NOT PROVIDING. AND TO LOOK AT WHAT THE POSSIBILITIES ARE PIT I'M CURIOUS IF ANYONE HAS LOOKED AT THAT?

NOT THAT I KNOW OF

I DID, BUT IT WAS A NUMBER OF YEARS AGO. AND CONVERSATIONS. THERE WAS ONE REALTOR WE SEE SIGNS ALL OVER TOWN IN THE COUNTY.

[INAUDIBLE] FOR THE RESTAURANT ITEMS STUCK. I JUST THINK WE SHOULD, AND I DID SPEAK, YOU KNOW, FROM LAST YEAR. THEY TOLD ME WHAT THEY THOUGHT. I THINK IT WOULD BE GOOD TO --

[LAUGHTER] YEAH THE POINT YOU'RE MAKING IS IT IS IMPORTANT TO GET OUT THERE AND ASKED THE QUESTIONS. YES. OKAY. OKAY. SO DOES STAFF HAVE AN ANSWER TO COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS'S QUESTION?

NO.

HAVE ANY BUSINESSES BEEN SERVED? YOU KNOW, JUST THE PEOPLE, THE BUSINESSES YOU HAVE YOUR ALREADY. HAVE THEY BEEN SPOKEN TO? I KNOW THE DOWNTOWN ASSOCIATION IS PART OF THAT WITH THE CHAMBER IN 2020. DURING COVID, WE DID A SURVEY WHERE WE ACTUALLY WENT AND SPOKE WITH OTHER BUSINESSES. I'M JUST WONDERING DOWN THE ROAD IF THAT IS A POSSIBILITY?

I WOULD SAY AS OF RIGHT NOW, WE HAVE NOT. EXPANDING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. THE HIRING OF THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR, HOPEFULLY THAT WOULD BE A TASK. GO THROUGH THE CHAMBER, THE DOWNTOWN ASSOCIATION, BUSINESSES, TO MAKE SURE WE GOT THAT DATA. I THINK BY AND LARGE, I THINK THAT IS RIGHT BUT WILL HAVE MORE CAPACITY WHEN WE ADD THAT POSITION. THAT WE HAVE A HOUSING ELEMENT REQUIREMENTS. SOME THINGS YOU NEED TO MAKE SOME GOOD PROGRESS ON. WE ALSO TALKED ABOUT DOING SOME KIND OF AN ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT STRATEGY. THERE ARE MANY POTENTIAL AVENUES FOR THAT. THEY MEAN VERY DIFFERENT THINGS. APPROACH FOR RETAINING LOCAL BUSINESSES IS DIFFERENT THAN ATTRACTING NEW BUSINESSES IS DIFFERENT THAN ATTRACTING VISITORS. AND SO HAVING A BETTER DEFINITION OF WHAT THAT LOOKS LIKE AND HOW YOU PROCEED I THINK WOULD BE USEFUL IN THE FIRST HALF OR SO OF NEXT YEAR.

SO TO ADD ON TO WHAT YOU ARE SAYING. I THAT WITH THE HEAD OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT THE COUNTY. HE SHARED THEY HAVE, THEY UTILIZE THE BEST BUSINESSES TO COME INTO TOWN. HE MADE A BLANKET OFFER THAT ANYTIME WE WOULD LIKE TO HAVE THOSE DISCUSSIONS, THAT WE COME IN. HE WAS WILLING TO HAVE HIS DEPARTMENT -- YES.

GREAT. THAT COMMITMENT, ALONG WITH THE EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT, IS THE MOST COLLABORATIVE IN ALL WITH -- AND SOME OTHER FOLKS AS WELL. ONE OTHER THING I WANTED TO MENTION. AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS IS AWARE BECAUSE SHE WAS THERE BUT I MET RECENTLY WITH A FEW BUSINESS LEADERS. I THINK SORT OF THE BOTTOM LINE WAS WE ARE GOING TO START OPERATING AS IF WE ARE IN THE SAME TOWN INSTEAD OF HAVING THE CITY AND THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY SERVING AGAINST EACH OTHER. IF I CAN WORK IT OUT, GOING TO START ATTENDING THE STANDING BOARD MEETINGS. [INAUDIBLE] TRYING TO DO A LITTLE BIT OF RELATIONSHIP BUILDING AND COLLABORATION WITH THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AS WELL.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. THE QUESTION SECTION ON THIS ITEM. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? LET'S SEE. I DID HAVE, SO I DID HAVE A REFLECTION. THERE HAS BEEN SOME DISCUSSION. IT SOUNDS LIKE, AND I WANTED TO MAKE SURE THIS IS AN ACCURATE CONCLUSION. IT SOUNDS LIKE THERE WAS DISCUSSION AT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE LEVEL ABOUT REVENUES THAT HAD BEEN CONSIDERED. BUT IT IMPRESSES ME TO LEARN THAT I THINK EVEN AFTER THAT BUDGET COMMITTEE DISCUSSION, STAFF WENT FURTHER AND CONSIDER OTHER OPTIONS. THAT IS WHAT WE ARE SEEING AS THE SIX ITEMS ON THIS SLIDE. IS THAT CORRECT?

YES. SOME OF THESE WERE DISCUSSED AND WE PUT HIM ON HOLD AND WENT BACK TO STAFF AND SAID, WHICH ONE OF THESE DO YOU THINK WE COULD DO? THAT IS THE RECOMMENDATION WE HAVE TO BE BROUGHT FORWARD.

I THINK THE PORT -- THE POINT WAS TO DEMONSTRATE CONTINUING EFFORTS TO PURSUE REVENUE OPTIONS. ANYWAY, GETTING AWAY FROM THE QUESTIONS. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE PUBLIC COMMENT? OKAY, PUBLIC COMMENT. OH, I AM SORRY. GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS. PLEASE DO. [LAUGHTER] OKAY. PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

THANK YOU PARENTHESIS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE REVENUE OPTIONS FOR AGENDA ITEM NUMBER NINE. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL GO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. OLIVER?

THANK YOU. THE FORMAL BUSINESS ORDINANCES. I THINK THAT IS A SUPER HIGH PRIORITY AT THIS POINT. THE WALL STREET JOURNAL -- BECAUSE ONLINE PHARMACY [INAUDIBLE] SO WHEN SEBASTOPOL, FIGHTING TOOTH AND NAIL. THAT MAY BE CLOSING SOON. DIFFERENT TIMES. WE HAVE TO GET A FACILITY AND WE HAVE TO -- TIME. WHOLE FOODS IS DOWNTOWN -- THEY PRODUCE A LOT OF SALES TAX FOR THE CITY. I FEEL THIS WHOLE DOCUMENT, KIND OF EVERYTHING WE KIND OF [INAUDIBLE] A LOT MORE FOCUS ON THE DEVELOPMENTS. THE BUSINESSES ARE KEY. WE WANT THEM TO PHYSICALLY COME HERE AND STUFF BUT TO DO THAT, YOU REALLY NEED TO PUT OUT THE -- THAT IS REALLY HARD FOR THEM. IT IS A COMPLETE WASTE OF TIME. IN NEW YORK CITY OR SOMETHING. UTILIZING THE STOREFRONTS OR WHATEVER. [INAUDIBLE] THE TIME AND ENERGY OF CHASING PEOPLE DOWN. I APPRECIATE THIS TIME. I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO SEE THE COUNCIL TAKING THAT KIND OF ACTION. THANK YOU.

OLIVER, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. CALEB, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES, I CAN. GOOD EVENING, COUNCIL . SORRY. I'M DOING DOUBLE DUTY TONIGHT. I DID NOT SEE THE PRESENTATION. THERE ARE SOME THINGS I WANTED TO TOUCH UPON. NAMELY THIS PERTAINING TO THE THEORIES AND SUGGESTED APPROACHES IN THE PRESENTATION. YOU KNOW, ONE OF THE THINGS YOU HAVE BEEN PUSHING FOR WELLIVER, ACTUALLY, SINCE THERE ORGANIZATION OF THE IMPACT THESE BID ANY INCREASING IMPACT FEES IS REALLY NOT THE RIGHT DIRECTION TO TAKE BID RIGHT NOW, OUR GOAL IS TO INCENTIVIZE HOUSING. INCREASING FEES, IMPACT FEES, ALL OF THAT, FURTHER DISCOURAGES HOUSING DEVELOPMENT IN THE COMMUNITY. QUITE FRANKLY, WE CANNOT AFFORD THAT. AS IT PERTAINS TO, YOU KNOW, THE BUILDING FEES, WE JUST UPDATED THOSE BUT I'M A LITTLE BIT OF PUDDLED AS FAR AS REVISITING THAT BUT AGAIN, IT IS ONLY DISCOURAGED FOR DEVELOPMENT BUT I KNOW THE CANOPY WOULD NOT BE IN ACTED BY TAKING THESE BUILDING FEES BECAUSE THEY ARE FASTER BUT I'M CONCERNED BECAUSE I'M NOT CERTAIN ABOUT ST. VINCENT DePAUL BEING IMPACTED BETWEEN THIS WINTER THIS WHOLE PROCESS TO HELP GET THAT PROJECT MOVING FORWARD. I HAVE VERY, VERY DEEP CONCERNS THAT THIS COULD DERAILED THAT PROJECT DESPERATELY NEEDED FROM THE SUPPORT OF HOUSING. SO I WOULD LIKE TO SAY I THINK WE NEED TO TAKE A LONG HARD LOOK AT MAYBE SOME ADDITIONAL POLICIES WE COULD POTENTIALLY EXPLORE. I KNOW THOSE WERE, TO SOME EXTENT, APOLOGIES FOR MISSING THE PRESENTATION. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT WE REALLY TAKE A HARD LOOK AT OTHER SOLUTIONS SO YOU DO NOT KEEP PERPETUATING THE SAME THING AGAIN AND AGAIN. WHAT THAT, THANK YOU VERY MUCH BUT I KNOW YOU GUYS ARE REALLY WORKING HARD ON ALL OF THIS AND I

APPRECIATE IT. THESE ARE SOME TOUGH ISSUES. THANK YOU FOR EVERYTHING YOU ARE DOING.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS PIT IF ANYBODY WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM? SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE ? THANK YOU, LINDA. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

YOU KNOW, [INAUDIBLE] BUT ALSO, I WANT TO CONGRATULATE YOU AND ENCOURAGE YOU TO GO AHEAD AND START ATTENDING OTHER MEETINGS AND STUFF. THAT IS A BIG PART OF THE PROBLEM HERE. I KNOW I HAVE BEEN ATTENDING MEETINGS FOR THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND ALSO THE DESIGNER -- AND NONE OF THEM KNOW WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE OTHER ENTITIES. NONE OF THEM ATTEND THE CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS. THEY DO NOT HAVE A CLUE WHAT IS GOING ON. YOU KNOW? THERE IS NO CROSS COMMUNICATION. THAT IS WHAT IS DATED. AGAIN, YOU KNOW, NOT HAVING A CLUE WHAT IS GOING ON WITH THE RV VILLAGE. IT IS JUST LIKE THAT. WE HAVE GOT A DYSFUNCTIONAL CITY. IT IS DYSFUNCTIONAL BECAUSE OF THE LACK OF COMMUNICATION, IN MY OPINION BIT ABOUT THE REVENUE STUFF. ONE THING. THE REVENUE THAT HAS BEEN -- BEFORE. AND NO, THEY USED TO HAVE OPEN HOUSES ALL THE TIME WHEN JEFF WEAVER WAS HERE. MEET YOUR LOCAL -- WE HAVE SOME WONDERFUL -- THERE HAD BEEN A TRANSLATOR IN -- SO NO HE IS IN SEBASTOPOL. [INAUDIBLE] SO ANYWAY, -- AN LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS.

HE CAME UP WITH A VERY GOOD LETTER I GOT A COUPLE COPIES OF FOR DONATION. WE NEED TO CELEBRATE OUR -- OUR POLICE AND FIRE DEPARTMENT. AND ENCOURAGE SUPPORT. WHICH WE WILL DO BECAUSE THIS IS A CITY MILLIONAIRE WITH THE DO-GOODERS. ANYWAY, THAT'S ONE THING. ANYWAY, ALL THE BUILDING IS GOING TO BE A DETRIMENT -- AN LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES.

LACKING INFRASTRUCTURE. LINDA, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. IS ANYONE IN CHAMBERS I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE , I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE PRINT

BUSINESSES GENERATE SALES TAX AND SALES AND LOCAL BUSINESSES HAVE DECLINED THREE YEARS IN A ROW. SEBASTOPOL SAID THAT ONE OF THE BUSIEST INTERSECTIONS IN SONOMA COUNTY. HIGHWAY 12 AND HIGHWAY 116 BUT WHY CAN'T THE CITY CAPTURE ANY OF THIS REVENUE? WHY DOES SEBASTOPOL HAVE ALL THE TRAFFIC AND NONE OF THE REVENUE FROM THESE CARS? IF YOU CANNOT FIGURE OUT HOW TO DRIVE UP SALES, THE CITY WILL CONTINUE TO BE IN FINANCIAL CRISIS NO MATTER HOW MUCH YOU RAISE SALES TAX WOULD DATA RICH AND COUNCILMEMBER HINTON SUPPORTED REMOVING THE ONLY DOWNTOWN HOTEL IN SEBASTOPOL FOR SUPPORTIVE HOUSING , WHICH PERMANENTLY REMOVED D.O.T. AND OTHER CRUCIAL REVENUE. AND NOW WE FIND OUT HOW HARD IT IS TO ACTUALLY GET A HOTEL IN TOWN. SEBASTOPOL HAS 500% MORE HOMELESS PEOPLE THEN -- AND ESPECIALLY MORE HOMELESS THAN OTHER CITIES. THIS IS THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM. WE HAVE AN RV VILLAGE SITTING ACROSS FROM A MAJOR SHOPPING CENTER WHICH IMPACTS LOCAL BUSINESSES. HOW WILL OUR LOCAL BUSINESSES COMPETE

WHEN SEBASTOPOL HAS SO MANY MORE VISIBLY HOMELESS PEOPLE THE NEIGHBORING CITIES SUCH AS WINDSOR, HEALDSBURG, SONOMA, AND QATAR BE? THEY HAVE A MAJOR HANDICAP IN TERMS OF BEING UNATTRACTIVE AND SAFE SPACES FOR CONSUMERS. IF WE DO NOT ADDRESS WHAT IS CAUSING OUR CURRENT BUSINESSES TO FAIL, WE WILL NOT HAVE SUCCESS IN THE FUTURE, NO MATTER HOW HIGH SALES TAXES GO. ONCE AGAIN, IF YOU DO NOT ADDRESS THE ELEPHANT IN THE ROOM, IF YOU HAVE NOT BEEN UP TO 116, I WOULD ADVISE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AND MAYBE ASK ST. VINCENT DePAUL TO CLEAR OUT SOME OF THE SHOPPING CARTS AND PILES OF GARBAGE FROM THEIR LOTS. THANK YOU.

KATE, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT . NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

YEAH. THE REAL CAUSE -- THE ROOT CAUSE OF OUR FINANCIAL ISSUES IS THE CITY ECONOMIC VITALITY IS IN DECLINE. RESTAURANT REVENUES ARE DROPPING. THE EFFECT IS WE HAVE LESS SALES TAX REVENUE. RAISING SALES TAXES IS A GREAT IDEA BUT IT IS EASY TO DO. WE CAN PUT SOME STUFF OUT THERE AND PEOPLE COME AND THEY GET IT. BUT WITH THE DECLINING REVENUES, IS IT ACTUALLY GOING TO GUARANTEE IN INCREASING REVENUES OF THE CITY? YOU MAY FIND YOU HAVE LESS REVENUES, EVEN AFTER SALES TAX INCREASE. FIXING BUILDING FEES, THEY ARE RELATIVELY SMALL DOLLARS TO THE CITY, BUT THEY DO HAVE AN IMPACT ON THE BUILDERS AND ON THE COST OF HOUSING. RECENTLY, LAST COUPLE OF WEEKS, I'VE BEEN TRAVELING THROUGHOUT THE NORTHWEST BUT I WAS IN OREGON. I CAME ACROSS A BUILDER SIGN THAT SAYS NEW HOME STARTING AT \$289,000 WOULD I HAD TO GO SEE WHAT YOU COULD GET FOR \$289,000. THAT IS THE AMOUNT WE BUILD A 400 SQUARE FOOT APARTMENT FOR A HOMELESS PERSON. I KNOW COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, THE LACK OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING , IT IS A STRUGGLE WITH HOW CAN I BUILD A BEAUTIFUL HOUSE, A SINGLE-FAMILY HOME FOR \$209,000 IN OREGON WE CANNOT COME CLOSE TO THAT IN CALIFORNIA? I SUSPECT ONE OF THE THINGS IF YOU SAT DOWN AND ADDED IT ALL UP IS THE WE AND THE COUNTY PUT ON THESE NEW PROJECTS. IT IS BECOMING A SIZABLE PART OF WHAT IT COSTS TO BUILD A HOUSE IN CALIFORNIA. MAYBE WE NEED TO RETHINK SOME OF THAT. THAT WAS MY MAIN POINT. YOU KNOW, IT IS EASY TO STICK IT TO A BUILDER. MAYBE WE ADD UP ALL THE THINGS WE ARE DOING AND MAKE SURE WE THINK IT IS A REASONABLE COST. THANK YOU.

ROBERT, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS PER SEEING NONE, KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

I DO NOT WANT TO GO TOO DEEP INTO THE APPROACH WE SAW HERE WITH A BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE. WHAT THEIR PRIOR TIARAS -- WHAT THEY ARE. BUT THE SUBCOMMITTEE, WE ARE NOT ACTIVELY DISCUSSING IN ADVANCE OF GENERATING AN AGENDA ITEM WITH THAT BUDGET SUBCOMMITTEE. SO A REAL STRONG DISCONNECT THERE BUT MAYBE IF IT WAS A PRESENTATION FROM STAFF ON WHY THOSE SIX RECOMMENDATIONS, BUT WE HAVE GOT TWO SIDES. EARLY WHAT I AM TALKING ABOUT IS JUST A SERIES OF QUESTIONS THAT COULD MAYBE BE ANSWERED. I APOLOGIZE FOR NOT READING THE TRANSCRIPT ABOUT THAT. I'M CURIOUS IN THE

CONVERSATION ABOUT VACANCY TAX. WHETHER THE CONCEPT OF NOT AS A REVENUE GENERATION FOR THE VACANCY TAX HEALTH, BUT FROM ACTUALLY LEVERAGING PROPERTY OWNERS TO NOT BE LAND SPECULATORS. BUT MAYBE WE HAVE SOME SORT OF A HOTEL DEVELOPMENT. BUT INSTEAD, LEVERAGING THOSE INDIVIDUAL THROUGH THESE TAXES. REDUCE THE RENTS THEY ARE CHARGING ON LOCAL BUSINESSES TO ACTUALLY GENERATE BUSINESSES IN OUR COMMUNITY THAT BRING IN SALES TAX REVENUE BUT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT THAT CONVERSATION AND TALES. THE POTENTIAL SALES TAX REVENUE FOR BUSINESSES COMING TO OUR COMMUNITY WAS PART OF THAT CONVERSATION? SECONDLY, SOME OF YOU MAY HAVE NOTICED THE SUPERCHARGER SET UP POPPED UP IN THE PARKING LOTS. IN FRONT OF VACANT RESOURCES. I WAS WONDERING, WHAT TYPE OF REVENUE IS BEING GENERATED OFF OF THESE CHARGES? ARE WE BRINGING IN REVENUE FROM ELECTRIC GENERATION OR ELECTRIC CHARGING? WHAT IS THE DOLLAR AMOUNT THE CITY IS CURRENTLY RECEIVING FROM THE ELECTRIC GENERATION OF VEHICLES IN PUBLIC PARKING LOTS? WHAT ARE THE NUMBER OF PUBLIC THE RUN ELECTRIC CHARGERS THAT THE CITY IS MAINTAINING? WHAT IS THE CITY DOING TO INNOVATE NEW IDEAS ON REVENUE GENERATION? NOT JUST GOING OLD HAT?

KYLE, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. AS ANY PUBLIC COMMENT , SEEING NONE , I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU. BACK AT THE TABLE FOR DISCUSSION. GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND McKAY WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO EVERYBODY FOR THEIR EFFORTS TO DOUBLE DOWN ON SALES TAX AND ATTEND MEETINGS. I HAVE HEARD REFERENCE TO THE FACT THAT THERE HAS BEEN MEETINGS WITH BUSINESS OWNERS. THE LIAISON TO THE CHAMBER. OF THAT COULD BE ADDED TO THE EMAILS WHEN THESE MEETINGS ARE HAPPENING, THAT WOULD BE GREAT. I'M HAPPY TO ATTEND. I HAVE SPOKE TO MARIAH AND OFFERED TO CONTINUE SERVICES TO PASS EV'S. I THINK SHE IS AWARE I AM INTERESTED, JUST LIKE I THINK ALL OF US ARE INTERESTED. TO SUPPORT THE CHAMBER AND THE BUSINESS ASSOCIATION AND THE BUSINESS IS TO TRY TO MAKE THEIR JOBS EASIER, GENERATE MORE SALES TAX FOR THE BUDGET BUT I WANTED TO PUT THAT OUT THERE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE NOTE. I THINK I HAVE IN A PREVIOUS MEETING. THE STAFF REPORT. SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF PROJECTS THAT HAVE BEEN DISCUSSED THUS FAR AND COULD BE CONSIDERED. WE ARE NOT, WE DO NOT KNOW, THE AD HOC COMMITTEE. WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN AND WHAT WE ARE NEGOTIATING. BUT I DO NOT SEE OUR POSSIBLE 80 EXPANSION AT THE FIREHOUSE.

SO WE ARE STILL ON REVENUE OPTIONS ITEM. THAT IS ITEM NUMBER NINE. APPEARED REVENUE ACTION, PAGE THREE. NOT TO SAY, I DON'T KNOW WHAT DIRECTION WE ARE GOING? WE HAVE CITY HALL COMPLEX LISTED HERE. CITY PARKS, COMMUNITY POOL. A POSSIBLE LIBRARY EXPANSION BUT I WANTED TO MAKE SURE WHEN WE LIST THOSE THINGS.

I'M SORRY, SO I THINK YOU ARE LOOKING AT A DIFFERENT ITEM. I WAS GOING TO REVENUE OPTIONS ITEM.

OH, SORRY, SORRY, SORRY.

ARE WAY AHEAD OF US. [LAUGHTER] SO WE ARE ON ITEM NUMBER NINE WHICH IS REVENUE.

SORRY ABOUT THAT.

SO MIKE, I WANTED TO POINT OUT IN RESPONSE TO PUBLIC COMMENTS. OUR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE VERY PRESENT AT MEETINGS THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY. ATTENDING COMMUNITY CENTER MEETINGS, SENIOR CENTER MEETINGS. I ATTEND AS A LIAISON, THE MAM AND ESSENCE YOU MEETINGS. SO THERE IS A LOT OF PRESENCE AND WE ARE AWARE OF OUR COMMUNITY NEEDS. AND THE NEEDS OF OUR BUSINESSES AND OUR RESIDENTS. AND I APPRECIATE ALL MY COUNCILMEMBERS FOR THEIR CONSTANT ENGAGEMENT IN ALL OF THOSE WAYS. I ALSO WANTED TO COMMENT THAT HIGHWAY 116 AND HIGHWAY 12 ARE FAR FROM THE HIGHWAY CORRIDOR. WE HAVE BENEFITS FROM THAT. BUT THEY ARE NOT AS ATTRACTIVE TO LARGE BUSINESSES. WE ARE LOOKING AT THE REVENUE OPTIONS ITEM. THIS WAS REALLY REFERRED OUT IN ORDER TO SHARE WHAT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE HAD LEARNED. IN TERMS OF ALL THE EFFORT THEY HAD ENGAGED IN TO COME UP WITH SOME CREATIVE, INNOVATIVE IDEAS FOLLOWING UP ON ANY PUBLIC COMMENTS REGARDING POTENTIAL REVENUE, DEVELOPMENT ITEMS. WE HEARD SOME ADDITIONAL ITEMS THAT STAFF PROPOSED IN THIS LIST OF SIX. IN TERMS OF THIS LIST OF SIX, I AM TURNING TO MARY GOURLEY . HAS THIS BEEN POSTED ON THE WEBSITE? OKAY. AND THAT WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL TO KNOW THAT. I KNOW WE ARE DISCUSSING THESE ITEMS THAT WE WANT TO DISCUSS FURTHER. A LATER DISCUSSION ABOUT PRIORITIES. ANY COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I WANTED TO ENCOURAGE THAT THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT, TO DEFINE EXACTLY WHAT WE ARE DOING. FOR EXAMPLE, MY SUGGESTION IN TALKING WITH COMMERCIAL, IT IS TO HEAR WHAT THEY ARE DOING FROM BUSINESS OWNERS WHO ARE LOOKING FOR, YOU KNOW, SPACES THAT ARE THEY LOOKING TO COME HERE? ARE THERE CHALLENGES THEY ARE HAVING HERE? IF IT IS ANYTHING WE CAN ADDRESS BUT I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THAT MORE SPECIFIC AND MORE OUTLINED AS FAR AS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND WHAT THAT MEANS FIT FOR ME, THAT IS -- AND I GUESS I WANTED TO ASK IF WE COULD CLARIFY PROCESSES. I HEARD VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TALK ABOUT WANTING TO BE AT ALL THE EMAILS AND EVERYTHING. THEN I JUST HAVE NOT, AM TRYING TO UNDERSTAND THE PROCESS. I DO NOT GET COPIED AS AN ALTERNATE AND ALL THE DIFFERENT READINGS PEOPLE ARE HAVING. I JUST WAIT TO HEAR. ARE WE CHANGING THE PROCESS NOW? I DO NOT WANT TO GET CAUGHT IN THIS THING WHERE I WAS NOT ON AN EMAIL. I WANTED TO MAKE SURE.

[INAUDIBLE] THERE ARE MEETINGS THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL.

I WANT TO MAKE SURE I UNDERSTOOD THAT. I DID NOT WANT TO GET INTO THISFOR TAT. YOU KNOW, WE DO NOTIFY.

YEAH, SOME ALTERNATIVE. [LAUGHTER]

YEAH, I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE. MY BIGGEST COMMENT IS ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BUT I HOPE WE CAN BECOME MORE SPECIFIC. I NOTICE A STEEP MOUNTAIN TO CLIMB AND TO TRY TO FIGURE IT ALL OUT. IF WE

COULD TRY TO FIGURE OUT, MAYBE A DETAILED APPROACH THAT IS ONE OR TWO STEPS BUT YOU KNOW, A FUTILE STEPS FOR IT IS BETTER THAN STARING AT THE MOUNTAIN AND NOT TAKING ANY.

SO PERHAPS THIS IS A TOPIC WE COULD DISCUSS AND WE COULD DO THIS AS A PRIORITY DISCUSSION WHICH IS COMING UP AND THAT MAY BE SOMETHING THAT COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND OTHERS MIGHT WANT TO PRIORITIZE . DOES THAT WORK?

YEAH I WANTED TO SAY, I APOLOGIZE EARLIER. I STAPLED MY COPIES. I WANTED TO MAKE NOTE THAT I APPRECIATE THIS SECTION OF THIS STAFF REPORT NOW THAT I AM ON THE RIGHT ITEM. THE BUDGET COMMITTEE WAS NOT IN FAVOR OF REMOVING THE FARMER ORDINANCE FROM THE DOWNTOWN. I KNOW WHAT WAS DISCUSSED TONIGHT AND I WILL HAVE TO WAIT AND AS THAT BUT I'M ALSO NOT IN FAVOR OF THAT. I JUST WANTED TO MAKE THAT PUBLIC COMMENT TONIGHT. THANK YOU.

OKAY. THANK YOU VERY MUCH BUT ANY OTHER COMMENTS ON THESE REVENUE OPTIONS? IS THERE ANY ACTION REQUIRED OF US HERE?

YES BUT IF YOU ARE REQUIRING STAFF TO CONTINUE TO FORWARD ON THIS ITEM, I WOULD RECOMMEND AN ACTION.

TO MOVE FORWARD --

WOULD YOU LIKE STAFF TO CONTINUE MOVING FORWARD IN THE DIRECTION OF THOSE REVENUE OPTIONS?

AHEAD, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN .

I'VE GOT TO SAY I AM A LITTLE BIT CONFUSED. THE ADDITIONAL WORK ON THIS BY STAFF. THESE ARE SIX THINGS. BUT NOW WE ARE GOING TO LOOK INTO THE AGENDA ITEM OF, I THINK IT IS, GENERAL MANAGER. ALL THOSE OTHER PRIORITIES. THE LEGAL ONES, THE POTENTIAL ONES. IT IS BROKEN INTO SEVEN. I DO NOT SEE THESE AS PART OF SEVEN. SO I GUESS I AM REALLY GETTING CONFUSED.

I AM TOO. THIS SEEMS LIKE A DISCUSSION. IT IS THE WRONG ORDER. WE ARE GOING TO BE TALKING ABOUT -- THERE ALREADY NINE PRIORITIES RECOMMENDED TO BE FOCUSED ON COUNCILMEMBERS THAT MADE SOME RECOMMENDATIONS AND NOW WE HAVE NEW ITEMS THAT ARE COMPLICATING THAT DISCUSSION COULD REFER TO SAY, OH, YEAH, THAT HAVE STAFF WILL FORWARD ON ALL OF THESE, HOW DOES THAT AFFECT THE PRIORITIES THAT HAVE ALREADY BEEN LAID OUT IN THE STAFF REPORT FOR ITEM NUMBER, I THINK, IT IS 13? MARY GOURLEY, CAN YOU DELAY THE DISCUSSION OF THIS UNTIL WE HAVE THE PRIORITIES?

WE CAN DELAY THE DISCUSSION BUT I WILL DEFER TO THE CITY MANAGER AND I WOULD SAY A LOT OF THESE ARE IN THE PRIORITY DISCUSSION, OTHER THAN THE TRENCH CUT ORDINANCE. AND PERSONALLY, BECAUSE I DO NOT SEE THOSE TAKING A ACT UNTIL THE NEXT CITY ENGINEER COMES ON, BUT STAFF CAN STILL -- IN MY OPINION. IT IS NOT A BIG STAFFING TIMING ISSUE AT THIS TIME BUT I WILL DEFER TO THE CITY MANAGER.

I WOULD PROPOSE THAT WE MAKE A DECISION ABOUT THESE SIX ITEMS IN THE CONTEXT OF THE PRIORITIES DISCUSSION

GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER

I RECOMMEND THAT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS COME BACK TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE SO WE CAN GET A CLOSER LOOK AT EACH ONE IN TERMS OF -- EXCEPT FOR THE EIFD. WE WILL BE DISCUSSING THAT. WE DO NOT REALLY DISCUSS THE TRENCH CUTS BUT I UNDERSTAND WE NEED TO

GO BACK TO THE BUILDING EVALUATION. COULD WE BRING THOSE BACK TO THE BUDGET?

I AM COMPLETELY IN SUPPORT OF THAT IDEA.

[INAUDIBLE]

OKAY.

FOR A BIT OF CONTENTS, IF WE WERE DOING THE PRIORITIES SETTING -- I THINK WHAT WE ARE MOST INTERESTED IN IS TO THE EXTENT WE TALKED ABOUT OTHER REVENUE OPPORTUNITIES. WHAT WE ARE SUGGESTING IS THIS A BE THE UNIVERSE OF THEM THAT WE ARE SUGGESTING WE FOCUS ON AT THIS POINT. THERE ARE SO MANY OTHER POSSIBILITIES, TWO OR THREE TIMES AS MANY OF THE SIX BUT THERE ARE MANY OTHERS WE HAVE TALKED ABOUT OVER TIME AND WHERE TRYING TO BRING SOME FOCUS TO THAT PARTICULAR TOPIC. I AGREE ABOUT BRINGING THESE BACK TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. PARTICULARLY INCLUDING FURTHER DEFINING THE DEVELOPMENT OF WHAT IT IS AND IS NOT IS REALLY COOK TO BE AN IMPORTANT CONVERSATION FOR THE COUNCIL TO HAVE.

OKAY, THAT WOULD BE MY SUGGESTION. I WOULD LIKE TO EMPHASIZE THAT THE PURPOSES OF THE COUNCIL PROCESS, THE COUNCIL HAS SET UP A BUDGET COMMITTEE AND IS ASKED STAFF GO THROUGH THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. THAT WAY, WE DO NOT END UP HAVING THESE SORT OF CONFUSED CONVERSATIONS AT THE COUNCIL TABLE. YES, PLEASE. LET'S BUMP IT BACK TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AND THE BUDGET COMMITTEE CAN REVIEW THESE AND BRING THEM BACK TO THE FULL COUNCIL AS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AS DEEMED APPROPRIATE. THAT WOULD BE MY SUGGESTION. DOES ANYBODY HAVE ANY COMMENTS OR INPUT?
COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

NO. I THINK WE NEED ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT SO BADLY. ANYTHING WE DO, I WOULD RECOMMEND WE DO NOT DELAY THOSE DISCUSSIONS.

I THINK THOSE MIGHT BE IN THE CONTEXT OF THE PRIORITIES.

I AM HOPING. THAT IS WHAT WE NEED THE MOST.

YEAH, YEAH, I GET IT. ANY OTHER COMMENTS? DO I NEED TO MAKE A MOTION, MARY GOURLEY? NO?

DIRECTING STAFF TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE.

IS THAT BUDGET CONSENSUS? YES? OKAY, GOOD. WE ARE DONE WITH THAT ITEM. WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A FIVE-MINUTE, NO LONGER, A FIVE MINUTE BREAK. THANK YOU, EVERYONE.

[THE EVENT IS ON A RECESS. THE SESSION WILL RECONVENE IN FIVE MINUTES. CAPTIONER ON STANDBY.]

READY FOR THE MAGICAL RECORDING WORDS? OKAY. ALL RIGHT. WE ARE BACK FROM OUR BREAK READ IT IS A: 37 OR SO. THIS NEXT ITEM IS THE EIFD , NUMBER 11. ITEM NUMBER 10 WAS REMOVED FROM THE AGENDA. THAT WAS POSTED, AS I UNDERSTAND IT. BEFORE WE GET INTO ITEM NUMBER 11, I WOULD LIKE TO CHECK IN WITH STAFF AND MAKE SURE WE ALL UNDERSTAND THE IMPLICATIONS OF NOT GETTING TO SOME OF THESE ITEMS ON THE AGENDA. IT IS NOW PAST A: 30 A. AND I AM SEEING EIFD . THE FACILITATIVE DISCUSSION. THE CITY PRIORITY DISCUSSION. THE JOB DESCRIPTION FOR THE POLICE CHIEF, AND THE TWO ITEMS THAT WERE PULLED OFF OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR. SO LOOKING TO STAFF, ARE THERE ANY SPECIFIC NEGATIVE CONSEQUENCES FROM US NOT GETTING TO ANY OF THOSE ITEMS?

I WILL SPEAK TO THE TWO STAFFING. YES, SO THAT WE CAN START -- AN AND I WOULD BE ONE OF THOSE ITEMS IS ITEM NUMBER SIX?

ITEM NUMBER SIX ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS FOR THAT IS ON THE PUBLIC WORKS CITY ENGINEER. YOU APPROVED IT AT THE LAST MEETING, BUT THIS IS THE FORMAL EDGE OPTION ON THE SCHEDULE. WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO COMMENT ON THAT BUT IN THE SAME THING WITH THE POLICE CHIEF SO WE CAN START THE PROCESS READ

OKAY. SO MY THOUGHT IS WE DO THE EIFD DISCUSSION. WE HAVE A CONSULTANT HERE FOR THAT ITEM. WE DO THE EIFD WITH ITEM NUMBER 11. AND THEN PERHAPS WE SHOULD GO DIRECTLY TO THE TWO STAFFING ITEMS, AND THEN PICK UP WITH THE PRIORITIES DISCUSSION, LEAVING THE FACILITATOR DISCUSSION AND ITEM NUMBER THREE AS THE LAST ITEM. DOES THAT MAKE STRATEGIC SENSE TO ANYONE? CITY MANAGER?

I WOULD HOPE WITH BOTH THE FACILITATOR AND THE FLEET ANALYSIS DISCUSSIONS WOULD BE ON THE -- SIDE. ON THE FACILITATOR ITEM, THE RISK THERE IS THAT WHOEVER WOULD WANT TO DO THAT MIGHT NOT BE AVAILABLE. IF THE CITY IS LOOKING AT FOLKS TO DO THIS WORK. THE FLEET ANALYSIS, WE WANT TO GET THIS WORK [INAUDIBLE] HAS THE MOST KNOWLEDGE. TWO LESS WEEKS.

UNDERSTOOD READ SO LET'S LEAP INTO IT. MARY GOURLEY, IF YOU COULD KEEP US ON TRACK FOLLOW THAT REORGANIZED AGENDA, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

THANK YOU, MADAME MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBERS THAT I WILL DO A QUICK INTRODUCTION FOR THIS ITEM AND THEN KICK IT OVER TO THE CONSULTANTS. SO THE EIFD ENHANCED FOR DIRECTIONAL FINANCING DISTRICT, STAFF HAS BEEN WORKING WITH THE AD HOC COMMITTEE. THAT INCLUDES THE MAYOR AND VICE MAYOR BUT IT ALSO INCLUDES SUPERVISOR HOPKINS. AND THE -- TEAM THAT IS BEEN AWARDED THE CONTRACT. I WILL LET THEM GO INTO THE SPECIFIC DETAILS AS TO WHAT THE EIFD IS AND WHY WE ARE HERE TONIGHT. WE WANTED TO KIND OF BRIEF THE COUNCIL ON WHAT IS IN THE EIFD AND WHAT CAN IT FIND? THESE ARE KIND OF LONG-RANGE PROJECTS. AND WE DID HAVE THE CONSULTANT TEAM UP HERE A COUPLE OF WEEKS AGO. HE WENT ON A TOUR WITH MYSELF, THE VICE MAYOR, AND OUR INTERIM PLANNING DIRECTOR TO KIND OF SHOW THE TOWN AND SHOW HIM SOME OF THE PROJECTS THAT ARE ON THE LIST THAT IS PART OF YOUR PACKET THIS EVENING. SO WITH THAT, I WILL KICK IT OVER TO JOE. I HAVE A SLIDE SO I NEED JUST A COUPLE OF SECONDS TO GET THOSE UP AND THEN GO THROUGH THOSE PER THANK YOU. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

YEAH, THANK YOU, JOHN. HELLO, MAYOR, AND MEMBERS OF THE CITY COUNCIL. I AM JOE HERE FROM KOSMONT. I WILL LAST NIGHT -- PASS IT TO MY COLLEAGUE, FELICIA, WHO WILL DO THE MAJORITY OF THE PRESENTATION. BOTH OF US WILL BE AVAILABLE FOR DISCUSSION AND QUESTIONS.

AND YOU REMIND US HOW MANY SLIDES ARE IN THIS FLIGHT THAT? ABOUT 10 SLIDES.

10 SLIDES. SO LOOKING AT MY FELLOW CITY COUNCILMEMBERS HERE, WE WILL PLAY IT BY EAR, BUT IF THERE ARE QUESTIONS THAT COME UP FOR COUNCILMEMBERS THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED AT THE END OF EACH SLIDE, PLEASE SPEAK UP. THEY'LL BE SO MUCH CONTENT THAT WAITING

UNTIL YOU ARE FINISHED WITH THE 10 WILL BE LESS EFFICIENT FOR THE COUNCIL. GO AHEAD AND WE WILL SEE HOW IT GOES.

GREAT. I THINK THE PRESENTATION IS COMING UP NOW.

NO, NOT YET. MARY GOURLEY, ARE YOU BRINGING IT UP?

I'M SORRY. I THOUGHT --

NO, IT IS NOT UP YET. BUT JOHN IS WORKING ON IT SO I'M SURE IT WILL BE UP. AS WE ARE WAITING FOR THAT, I WANTED TO COMMENT. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND I, WE WERE APPOINTED TO BE ON THE EIFD AD HOC COMMITTEE. IT HAS BEEN A PLEASURE WORKING WITH KOSMONT. THEY HAVE HAD A LOT OF EXPERTISE IN INSIGHT. THERE ARE ALSO CURRENTLY WORKING WITH THE SANTA ROSA EIFD. THAT IS BEEN A CONTRIBUTING FACTOR THAT IS INCREASE THE PRODUCTIVITY OF OUR EFFORTS. JOHN JAY? [LAUGHTER] ARE YOU LIKELY TO BE SUCCESSFUL THERE? SO THE PLAN IS FOR KOSMONT TO PRESENT ABOUT A 10 MINUTE SLIDESHOW. THERE ARE SOME PROJECTS THAT HAVE BEEN CONSIDERED BY THE EIFD AD HOC. THE POINT I WOULD MAKE IS THAT THIS IS NOT THE FINAL DISCUSSION. THIS IS JUST AN INITIAL DISCUSSION BY THIS COUNCIL TO KIND OF BRAINSTORM ON IDEAS THAT THEY MIGHT WANT THE AD HOC COMMITTEE TO CONSIDER. SO CONTRIBUTING IDEAS BEYOND THOSE THAT ARE ON THE LIST WOULD BE VERY HELP WILL TO ALL OF US.

OKAY. SHOULD I GO AHEAD? CAN EVERYONE SEE?

THESE DO. WE CAN SEE THE SLIDES THAT FIT

FELICIA WILLIAMS FROM TRENTON AND COMPANIES WILL JUMP RIGHT INTO IT. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. JOHN JAY GAVE A LITTLE BIT OF BACKGROUND TO YOU. WE'VE BEEN WORKING WITH THE EIFD AD HOC COMMITTEE. THIS IS A FOLLOW-UP TO THE JANUARY MEETING WHERE THEY PROVIDED A REPORT AND SOME POTENTIAL REVENUE CALCULATIONS FROM THE COUNTY, ALONG WITH THE POTENTIAL LIST OF INFRASTRUCTURE THAT COULD BE FUNDED. SO WHAT WE ARE REALLY LOOKING FOR TONIGHT, THIS IS KIND OF OUR FIRST PUBLIC OUTREACH AND CHECK IN WITH THE COUNCIL. WE HAVE A LIST OF POTENTIAL INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS THAT CAME FROM THAT JANUARY MEETING AND OTHER SUGGESTIONS BY COUNCILMEMBERS AND STAFF THAT HE WANTED TO REVIEW THAT. AS YOU KNOW, EIFD IS A FUNNY MECHANISM FOR PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE. WE WANTED TO GO THROUGH THAT LIST AND SEE WHAT OTHER PROJECTS COULD BE ADDED THERE OR IF THERE SHOULD BE SOME PRIORITIES FOR THE PROJECTS. SECONDLY, WE ARE GOING INTO OUR ANALYSIS NOW BEEN ABOUT DO THAT, GET A BOUNDARY FOR THE EIFD . WE ALSO NEED A LEVEL OF TAX INCREMENT THAT THE CITY WOULD BE WILLING TO CONTRIBUTE ALONG WITH THE COUNTY TO THE EIFD. WE CAN GET INTO THAT DISCUSSION AS WELL. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. JUST A BACKGROUND. TAX AND FINANCING BUT I DO NOT THINK ANY TO GO THROUGH THIS IN THE INTEREST OF TIME. THIS IS ONLINE SO THE PUBLIC CAN SEE IT. TAX INCREMENT FINANCING HAS BEEN ALONG FOR A VERY LONG TIME, SINCE 1952. WE CAN FORM A DISTRICT TO ENABLE TAX INCREMENT FINANCING. THIS IS NOT A NEW TAX WHICH IS VERY WHARTON BUT IT IS REVENUE GENERATED BY THE INCREASED PROPERTY TAX REVENUES FROM NEW DEVELOPMENT. YOU CAN SEE THE GRAPHIC AT THE BOTTOM SHOWS IT IS PRIVATE PROPERTY INVESTMENT THAT CREATES THE PROPERTY TAX REVENUE THAT IS USED TO CREATE AND BUILD PUBLIC PROJECTS FOR THE COMMUNITY. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THIS IS JUST A

GRAPHIC ILLUSTRATION OF THAT. YOU CAN SEE THE BASELINE PROPERTY VALUE IS SET. AN INCREMENTAL INCREASES ABOVE THAT ARE WHAT ARE CAPTURED INTO THE EIFD FUND. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THIS IS A VERY POPULAR TOOL SINCE THE LEGISLATURE APPROVED THEM IN 2012. YOU CAN SEE THERE ABOUT 14 THAT OF INFORMED ALL ACROSS CALIFORNIA. MANY CITIES LIKE YOURSELVES EVALUATING POTENTIAL EIFD AND OTHER TAX IMPLEMENT FINANCING TOOLS. NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. DOES THE BACKGROUND AND FRONT INNATE FUNDAMENTALS SO WE CAN GET INTO THE DISCUSSION. THIS IS ABOUT 45 YEARS FROM THE FIRST BOND ISSUANCE THAT IS MADE. IT IS GOVERNED BY PUBLIC FINANCING COMMITTEE. THAT IS COMPOSED OF THE ELECTED OFFICIALS FROM THE CONTRIBUTING TAX ENTITIES THAT WOULD BE CITY AND COUNTY, AND SOME PUBLIC MEMBERS FUNDED BY THE COUNCIL BROTHERS AMENDATORY HEARINGS. AND THAT TRAGEDY FOR TILLMAN THAT PRESENTED, THIS IS SIMILAR BUT THIS IS A PROTEST OPPORTUNITY SO THERE IS NO PUBLIC VOTE TO FORM THE DISTRICT BUT THERE ARE SEVERAL PUBLIC HEARINGS RHETORIC FIRE. UNLIKE NEW DEVELOPMENT AGENCIES WHICH IS FINANCING, THE BOUNDARIES OR THE AREAS DO NOT HAVE TO BE CONTIGUOUS. THIS WILL GET INTO OUR DISCUSSION OF THE BOUNDARY THAT IT DOES NOT NEED TO BE OF DISPARATE PARTS OF THE CITY WHERE YOU SEE DEVELOPMENT HAPPENING. THAT IS ACTUALLY ALLOWED IN THE EIFD , WHICH WAS NOT ALLOWED BEFORE A NEW DEVELOPMENT BUT ELIGIBLE PROJECTS THAT YOU CAN BE ANYTHING FOR MORE THAN 15 YEARS. AND OF COMMUNITYWIDE SIGNIFICANCE. THIS IS ANOTHER IMPORTANT POINT GOING INTO A DISCUSSION. INFRASTRUCTURE YOU FOUND IS NOT NECESSARILY HAVING TO BE REMOVING THE BOUNDARIES OF THE EIFD. AS LONG AS IT HAS A CONTRACTUAL RELATIONSHIP AND COMMUNITYWIDE SIGNIFICANCE, AN INCREMENTAL TAX REVENUES CAN BE USED FOR THE PROJECTS. IMPORTANTLY, TWO YEARS AGO, THE LEGISLATURE ADDED MAINTENANCE TO THE LEASES FOR THE EIFD AND

I'M GOING TO STOP YOU FOR JUST A MINUTE GET ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT ARE WE OKAY? OKAY, MOVING FORWARD. LIKE YOU, FELICIA. SO ONCE AGAIN, THIS DOES NOT INCREASE PROPERTY TAXES WOULD NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. WE WANTED TO GET INTO SORT OF PICTURES OF WHAT CAN BE FUNDED. THIS IS REALLY ACCORDING TO THE LEGISLATION. THESE ARE KIND OF THE NINE MAIN AREAS OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE THAT CAN BE FUNDED BUT AS WE GO INTO THE INFRASTRUCTURAL LIST, KEEP THESE IN MIND. WE CAN GO BACK TO THIS IF YOU LIKE. BUT THE FIRST AREA OF FEEDBACK WE WOULD LIKE TO GET FROM THE COUNCIL IN PUBLIC IS, WHAT TYPES OF INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS WOULD YOU WANT THE EIFD TO INVEST IN? NEXT SLIDE, PLEASE. THIS IS AN INITIAL LIST. THIS CAME FROM STAFF, CITY COUNCIL, THE AD HOC COMMITTEE, AND THE JANUARY MEETING. THIS IS HOW THIS LIST WAS DEVELOPED. THESE ARE NOT IN ANY SORT OF PRIORITY ORDER BUT I WOULD LOVE TO GET YOUR FEEDBACK. ARE THESE KIND OF THE KEY INFRASTRUCTURE PROJECTS YOU SEE BEING FUNDED BY THE EIFD? OR THE PROJECTS THAT ARE MISSING HE WOULD LIKE TO ADD? I WILL TAKE NOTES AS WELL SO WE CAN AMEND THIS LIST AND I WANTED TO GET THAT FEEDBACK INITIALLY AT THIS POINT.

YES, GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS .

I CAN MAKE ALL KINDS OF ASSUMPTIONS. THE INVESTMENT CONNECTIVITY ENHANCEMENTS AND WHAT EXACTLY IS THAT?

FELICIA, I THINK IT MIGHT HELP TO HAVE SOME CONTEXT IN ORDER TO ANSWER THE QUESTION APPROPRIATELY. HELP MEANINGFUL, HOW FINITE ARE THESE IDEAS? DOES OUR CITY COUNCIL, PLEASE HELP US UNDERSTAND. ARE THESE JUST IDEAS THAT WILL BE CONSIDERED IN FURTHER DISCUSSIONS? WILL THE CITY COUNCIL HAVE FINAL AUTHORITY OVER THESE? HOW MANY? YEAH.

THAT WAS MY QUESTION. I'M SORRY. SO WHAT DOES THE DOWNTOWN CORRIDOR PEDESTRIAN CONNECTIVITY ENHANCEMENTS MEAN? I AM LOOKING TO MY FELLOW, NO VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? DO YOU HAVE INPUT ON THAT?

YET. I MEAN, FROM WHAT I GATHERED FROM OUR CONVERSATIONS WITH JOHN JAY, WE DEAFLY HAVE AN IDEA. BUT WHAT I HAVE GOTTEN IS TWO PLANNING GRANTS. ONE FROM GOLD RIDGE AND ONE FROM -- THAT WOULD HELP WITH THE IDEA OF THE DOWNTOWN PEDESTRIAN PRODUCTIVITY ENHANCEMENTS. COULD YOU COME UP TO THE MICROPHONE AND CANCEL THE QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND EDUCATE THE PUBLIC?

YES. THAT WOULD INCLUDE KIND OF WAYS TO MAKE CROSSING THE PUBLIC RIGHT-OF-WAY SAFER FOR PEDESTRIANS. AS SOME OF YOU MAY KNOW, PART OF MAINSTREET ARE VERY DANGEROUS TO CROSS, EVEN THOUGH THERE ARE CROSSWALKS AND OTHER TRAFFIC SAFETY ITEMS THERE. SO THIS WOULD LOOK AT FEASIBILITY AND MAKING THOSE SAFER. COULD POTENTIALLY MOVING OR REDUCING TRAVEL LANES FOR VEHICLES. PROVIDING BUILD OUTS TO SLOW TRAFFIC DOWN. PROVIDING OTHER TYPES OF SAFER PEDESTRIAN CROSSINGS. YOU KNOW, MAY BE ADDITIONAL LIGHTING. SIGNAGE THAT ALERTS DRIVERS TO THE FACT THAT THERE IS A PUBLIC CROSSWALK THERE. SO MOST OF THE THINGS LIKE THAT. AS VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN MENTIONED , THE EXISTING TRANSPORTATION GRANT IS SPECIFICALLY LOOKING AT KINO THAT CORRIDOR OF MAINSTREET. LOOKING AT PEDESTRIAN ENHANCEMENTS TO PROVIDE MORE SAFETY FOR BIKING, WALKING, OTHER TYPES OF NON-VEHICLE MOVEMENT.

AND ELAINE RESTRICTIONS?

AND OF THE VARIETY OF THINGS. LANE RESTRICTIONS COULD BE ONE OF THOSE OPTIONS.

A POPULAR TOPIC HERE. [LAUGHTER]

I AM AWARE.

THANK YOU.

AND DID YOU HAVE ANY EXPANSION ON WHAT WAS PROVIDED BY J&J TO THE QUESTION BY COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

NO, I DID NOT , BUT I THINK ANSWERING YOUR QUESTION, MAYOR RICH, WOULD BE HELPFUL HERE. THOUGH THIS IS A LIST OF INFRASTRUCTURE ITEMS WE COULD POTENTIALLY LOOK AT AND TO MATCH THE FORMAL PROCESS WILL CREATE THE INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL PLAN THE SPECIFIC PROJECTS FUNDED. THE POINT I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE HERE IS THAT ANY PROJECT THAT IS NOT IN THE FINANCING PLAN CANNOT BE FINANCED BY THE EIFD BUT IN A WAY, THIS IS A LITTLE BIT LIKE YOUR CIP. WE WANT TO MAKE THIS LIST AS BROAD AS POSSIBLE. AS TIME CHANGES, THERE ARE A

LOT OF PROJECTS YOU CAN INVEST IN. THIS LIST WILL BE LARGER RATHER THAN SMALLER.

THANK YOU.

-- WHAT IS THAT?

FELICITY, CAN YOU DO FIND THAT FOR US?

SO BROAD FIELD IS AREAS THAT NEED REMEDIATION. THE ENVIRONMENTAL REMEDIATION.

AN EXAMPLE? A CONCRETE EXAMPLE?

JOHN JAY IS COMING TO THE MICROPHONE.

[LAUGHTER]

THERE IS A PREVIOUS LAUNDROMAT ON MAIN STREET. THERE IS AN OPEN ENVIRONMENT. THERE IS WATER CONTENT MEASURE.

THANK YOU.

WHAT IS THE AVERAGE LIST NEEDING TO LOOK LIKE. IT SEEMS LIKE THE MORE YOU ADD, THE MORE THE DEBATE BECOMES WHAT THIS COULD BE UTILIZED FOR, TO ME, IT SEEMS LIKE THERE SHOULD BE MORE. BUT IF WE MAKE IT SO BROAD THAT THIS COVERS EVERYTHING, AND NOT HAVE THE LIST.

I THINK YOUR POINT, IT WOULD PROBABLY BE A BALANCE BETWEEN THE TWO. WE DO HAVE SOME THINGS FROM OTHER EIFD. SOME ARE VERY SPECIFIC. TO INVEST IN AFFORDABLE HOUSING. MOST HAVE KIND OF ALL RANGE. THEY PUT THEM INTO BUCKETS. THIS IS WHAT I WOULD RECOMMEND. AS WE GO THROUGH THE PROCESS, WE WILL HAVE THE PUBLIC HEARINGS AND INPUT. BUT PUT THEM INTO BUCKETS. OPEN SPACE, CITY BUILDINGS, LIBRARIES, ET CETERA, ET CETERA FOR TRAFFIC IMPROVEMENT. PUT THEM INTO CATEGORIES TO DETERMINE. WHAT AREAS ARE PRIORITIES, AND IN ADDITION, WHAT TYPES OF PRIORITIES THERE ARE. MAYBE JUST AN INITIAL LIST.

SO MAYBE A QUESTION WE COULD ASK YOU AND JOE IS, AND YOU LOOK AT THIS LIST, GIVEN YOUR EXPERIENCE AND EXPERTISE, ARE THERE CATEGORIES OR ITEMS THAT I AM MISSING THAT WE SHOULD BE ADDING IN THAT YOU SEE IN OTHER COMMUNITIES INCLUDED IN ORDER TO ENSURE THEY HAVE A BROAD ENOUGH LIST OF INTEREST?

THE ONE TO ME THAT MOST OTHER EIFD HAVE HIS AFFORDABLE HOUSING . BUT THAT ALSO DEPENDS ON THE COMMUNITY. WITH THE LOSS OF REDEVELOPMENT, AS A LOT OF YOU KNOW, WE LOST OUR MAJOR FUNDING FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING. SO A LOT OF CITY SEE THIS AS A TOOL TO BRING BACK THE 20% THAT ASIDE TO BE ABLE TO ASSIST WITH SOME OF THOSE STABILIZING PROJECTS RHETORIC IS THERE A DOWNSIDE TO AFFORDABLE HOUSING? WHAT THAT NECESSARILY REQUIRE THE AFFORDABLE HOUSING BE PRIORITIZED?

NOT NECESSARILY. NOT ON THE LIST TO BE FUNDED. THAT WOULD BE UP FOR A COMMUNITY DISCUSSION. SO I THINK THAT WOULD BE WHAT YOU MAY NEED TO LOOK AT. 80, HOW TO FACILITATE THE COMMUNITY DISCUSSION ON THAT AND WHAT TYPES OF INVESTMENTS YOU WOULD NEED TO LOOK AT.

OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE YOU SEE THAT IS MISSING OTHER THAN THAT?

I DON'T KNOW. I WILL ASK JOE AS WELL.

NO, NO GOOD I BELIEVE YOU COVER THE PRIMARY TOPICS.

I WOULD LIKE TO ASK THE QUESTION OF OUR CITY ATTORNEY. DO YOU SEE AS OUR CITY ATTORNEY, KNOWING THE COMMUNITY, ANYTHING YOU THINK IS MISSING?

NO, I DO NOT. AND I THINK TO THE PREVIOUS POINT, THE OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A LIST LATER ON IN THE PROCESS. YOU KNOW, AGAIN, IF YOU FEEL IT IS TOO BROAD. THIS IS THE FIRST TIME SOME OF YOU ARE SEEING IT SO IT IS AN OPPORTUNITY TO PUT THOSE SUGGESTIONS OUT THERE FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION. YOU CAN ALWAYS NARROW IT LATER ON IN THE PROCESS BEFORE IT GETS FINALIZED.

SO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I THINK YOU WERE PRESENTING A QUESTION ABOUT THE FIREHOUSE? DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE FIREHOUSE? I MEAN, I DO NOT KNOW ABOUT HAVING THE PRESENTATION. BUT I DEFINITELY BROUGHT THAT UP EARLIER. AND I WILL BE BRINGING THAT UP WHEN I MAKE MY COMMENTS TONIGHT.

OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE? I'M SORRY, DID YOU CONCLUDE THE PRESENTATION?

WE HAVE A COUPLE MORE AREAS OF INPUT.

THANK YOU. GO AHEAD.

SO THE NEXT AREA IS THE EIFD BOUNDARY CONSIDERATIONS . THERE WAS A LITTLE CONSIDERATION -- DISCUSSION ON THIS AT THE GENERAL MEETING. TO IDENTIFY SOME OF THE GOALS WITH THE DISTRICT LOUDLY. THE FIRST IS THAT YOU WANT TO CAPTURE AS MUCH OF PRIVATE DEVELOPMENT AS POSSIBLE. THIS IS WHY THESE ARE VALUE CAPTURING TOOLS BUT AT THE SAME TIME, YOU WANT TO BALANCE OUT A CONTINUED FLOW OF PROPERTY TAXES TO BOTH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. TO MAINTAIN A BALANCED BUDGET. THAT IS REALLY WHERE WE WILL TARGET IT TO HAVE A POSSIBLE -- EIGHT POSITIVE PHYSICAL IMPACT CONTRIBUTED. THE BOUNDARIES DO NOT NEED TO BE CONTIGUOUS AND YOU CAN CHOOSE DIFFERENT PARTS OF THE CITY THAT HAVE FUTURE DEVELOPMENTS SLATED. THE PUBLIC IMPROVEMENT FUNDED DO NOT NEED TO BE WITHIN THE EIFD BOUNDARY. SO WE HAVE BEEN LOOKING AT REALLY FOCUSING ON CITY AND COUNTY CORRIDORS WITH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY SITES. HERE ARE SOME OF THE CORRIDORS WE HAVE DISCUSSED FOR THE AD HOC COMMITTEE AND STAFF. WE ARE GOING THROUGH SOME ALTERNATIVE MAPS WOULD AT THE VERY LEAST, I WANTED TO SEE IF YOU HAD ANY INPUT AND --

SO THIS IS NOT DESCRIBING SPECIFIC BOUNDARIES. THIS IS DESCRIBING DEFINITIONAL?

YES.

OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS ON THIS? ONE QUESTION I HAD IS WHETHER THESE BOUNDARIES, DO SOME OF THESE BOUNDARIES EXTEND BEYOND THE CITY LIMITS AND OTHERS NOT?

SO WE WOULD BE LOOKING TO INCLUDE, BASED ON COUNTY FEEDBACK, SOME PORTIONS OF APPROPRIATED ST 5.

OKAY. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION? NO? SORRY. [INAUDIBLE]

SO THE QUESTION ABOUT ASKING WHERE THE BOUNDARIES ARE. I -- WITH THE BOUNDARIES, YOU ARE PROVIDING US WITH DESCRIPTIONS OF HOW THE BOUNDARIES WOULD BE DETERMINED.

YES, EXACTLY. THAT IS ONE PART OF THE ANALYSIS WE NEED TO DO. WE NEED TO LOOK AT THE BOUNDARIES. THESE WOULD BE THE GUIDELINES THAT ARE NEEDED FOR THE BOUNDARIES.

MAYBE YOU COULD WALK US THROUGH THEM QUICKLY. I AM NOT -- GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER.

SO YOU HAVE CAPTURED AS MUCH FUTURE DEVELOPMENT AS POSSIBLE. WHAT WOULD THAT BOUNDARY BE?

SO THOSE WOULD BE THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITIES. THIS IS WHERE WE SHOULD HAVE A DISCUSSION. I KNOW THERE WAS SOME DISCUSSION OF PUTTING THE ENTIRE CITY INTO THE EIFD . AND I THINK THAT WOULD NOT BE RECOMMENDED BY THE CONSULTANT BECAUSE IT WOULD NOT INFORM THESE GOALS. SO I THINK THAT IS WHAT WE ARE GOING FOR. A BALANCE BETWEEN HOW DO YOU CONTINUE TO HAVE MONEY FORMING INTO THE GENERAL FUND, AND HOW TO JUST FOCUS ON THE AREAS THAT REALLY ARE GOING TO HAVE A NEW DEVELOPMENT, AND LEAVE THE IMPROVEMENTS INTO THE ECONOMIC ENGINES OF THE CITY.

VICE MAYOR?

YET. THIS IS FOR ME AN EXAMPLE. WHY DOES -- NOT WANT TO WITH THE CITY AS A WHOLE? BECAUSE THE VALUE ADDED PURPOSES, YOU DO NOT WANT TO CAPTURE A BUNCH OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES. WHERE ARE YOU GOING TO GET A VALUE ADD FROM A BUNCH OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES? IS THAT A FAIR STATEMENT?

YES .

OKAY. THAT WOULD BE AN EXAMPLE OF WHY YOU ARE NOT GOING TO JUST HAVE THE BOUNDARIES . RIGHT?

YES. SO YOU GENERALLY DO NOT WANT SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES BECAUSE THERE IS NOT SORT OF A LARGE INCREMENTAL VALUE. AND YOU ALSO DO NOT WANT TO INCLUDE PUBLIC HEARINGS.

THAT WAS AN EXAMPLE THAT STOOD OUT IN MY MIND BUT I DO NOT KNOW IF THAT HELPS FOR ANYONE ELSE?

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

EVER SINCE WE TALKED ABOUT THIS, I STILL HAVE IT TO THIS DAY. HOW DOES THE CITY KEEP UP WITH INFLATION IF WE ARE CONSTANTLY TAKING THE REVENUE AND EARMARKING IT FOR THIS EIFD ? WHERE YOU SEE THE FINANCIAL CHALLENGES WE

WE DON'T WANT TO PUT THE ENTIRE CITY IN EIFD, BECAUSE THEN YOU WOULDN'T GET THE PROPERTY TAX REVENUES.

WELL, AND HOW WOULD THAT WORK WITH THESE NEW HOTEL DEVELOPMENTS. AND YOU KNOW, WITH ALL OF THAT HAPPENING DOWNTOWN, I MEAN, TO ME THAT SEEMS LIKE, YOU KNOW, WE'RE JUST CUTTING OUR NOSE OFF.

SO WE'RE GOING TO -- CITY ATTORNEY, PLEASE.

JUST TO THE POINT THAT'S BEEN MADE, YOU'RE EXCLUDING THOSE AREAS BECAUSE, RIGHT, WE'RE GOING TO HAVE INFLATION. AND PROPERTY TAX IS GOING TO GO UP, YOU KNOW, WITH NO DEVELOPMENT INCREMENTALLY, 2% A YEAR, WHATEVER. SO YOU DON'T WANT TO SPEND THAT IN THE EIFD.

WHAT YOU WANT TO SPEND IN THE EIFD IS THE BIG INCREASES WHEN THE PROPERTY GETS REDEVELOPED AND NOW THE PROPERTY TAX GOES UP BY 50%. THAT'S WHAT YOU WANT IN THERE AS SOMETHING YOU CAN SORT OF LEVERAGE BECAUSE YOU HAVE A BIG AMOUNT THERE AND YOU'RE

LEVERAGING IT WITHIN THIS DISTRICT WHO FRANKLY ISSUE BONDS WHO YOU CAN THEN USE ON THE CAPITAL EXPENDITURE THAT YOU WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO AFFORD OTHERWISE. BUT YOU'RE LEVERAGING AND YOU'RE USING THAT TAX INCREMENT, THAT BIG INCREASE. YOU KNOW, IN MOST SITUATIONS AS THE COLLATERAL FOR THOSE BONDS FOR CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS.

OKAY. I'M FINDING THIS VERY CONFUSING. SO IT SOUNDS LIKE WE'RE GOING TO PICK AND CHOOSE THE BUILDINGS THAT ARE GOING TO GIVE US, YOU KNOW, THE BIGGEST BANG FOR OUR BUCK AND EXCLUDE SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES. THEN HOW -- IT DOESN'T MAKE SENSE TO ME. WE'RE GOING TO BE CARVING OUT PLACES. LET'S CARVE OUT THIS NEIGHBORHOOD. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET INVOLVED, BUT THIS AREA --

MM-HMM.

I THINK THAT IS CORRECT.

IT SOUNDS KIND OF SKETCHY, ACTUALLY, TO ME.

HOW DOES THIS WORK WITH THE COUNTY TOO? YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT THE COUNTY PART. I MEAN, HOW MUCH DEVELOPMENT IS HAPPENING IN THESE COUNTY AREAS. TRUTHFULLY, WE ALL KNOW DEVELOPMENT. WE JUST TALKED ABOUT HOW DEVELOPMENT IS A CHALLENGE HERE, AND YET WE'RE TALKING ABOUT WHEN THINGS ARE DEVELOPED.

WELL AND I, I DEFER TO KOSMONT ON WHAT DEVELOPMENT IS POSSIBLE, BUT I WOULD SAY THAT THE CITY'S CURRENTLY NOT GETTING ANY OF THAT PROPERTY TAX REVENUE. SO EVEN IF THE COUNTY'S WILLING TO GIVE ANY PART OF IT TO EIFD FOR FACILITIES WITHIN THE CITY, THAT'S A BENEFIT TO THE CITY.

BUT IF WE CAN ALL AGREE, IS THAT CORRECT -- AM I UNDERSTANDING THAT CORRECT IN TERMS OF THE PROJECT?

YEAH.

YEAH, THE AGREEMENT HAS TO BE BOTH THE CITY -- GO AHEAD FELICITY. YES, THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. AND I THINK TWO POINTS THEY WOULD MAKE -- AND I HOPE THEY ADDRESS YOUR CONCERNS AND YOUR QUESTIONS -- ONE, IF THE CITY AND COUNTY FORM THE DISTRICT TOGETHER, THE CITY WILL RECEIVE INTO THAT EIFD FUND COUNTY PROPERTY TAX WHICH THEY WOULD NEVER RECEIVE BEFORE. SO THAT'S A VERY IMPORTANT POINT THAT YOU ARE LEVERAGING COUNTY DOLLARS THAT WOULD NORMALLY NOT GO INTO SEBASTOPOL FOR IMPROVEMENTS BUT THE COUNTY IS WILLING TO PUT THOSE IN BECAUSE THEY IMPROVE BOTH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. THE SECOND POINT THAT I'D LIKE TO MAKE IS ONE OF THE REASONS TO DO AN EIFD IS IF THERE ARE AREAS THAT HAVE THE POTENTIAL FOR DEVELOPMENT BUT DEVELOPERS ARE RELUCTANT JUST LIKE THE BROWNFIELD SITE, THEY CAN'T -- IT'LL TAKE TOO MUCH MONEY TO IMPROVE THE SITE. THE INFRASTRUCTURE ISN'T THERE. THEN THIS SORT OF HAS A CATALYTIC EFFECT THAT IF YOU FORM THE EIFD YOU USE THE MONEY FROM THE EARLY DEVELOPMENT TO PUT IN INFRASTRUCTURE THAT THEN SPURS ADDITIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT THAT WOULD NOT HAVE NORMALLY OCCURRED. SO THERE ARE KIND OF TWO PIECES THERE WHERE, YOU KNOW, MANY COUNTIES HAVE A POLICY WHERE THEY DO A BUT FOR TEST THAT THIS REVENUE WOULD NOT BE GENERATED BUT FOR THE CREATION OF THE EIFD. AND THAT'S REALLY THE REASON TO THE IT. AND THAT'S WHY WE DO THE FISCAL IMPACT ANALYSIS TO MAKE SURE THAT THE

INVESTMENT THAT YOU ARE PUTTING IN HAS A HIGH RETURN AND ISN'T JUST SOMETHING THAT WOULD HAVE HAPPENED ANYWAY IN THE CITY.

OKAY. SO WE'RE STILL IN QUESTION SECTION, GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER. SO BASICALLY MY QUESTION EARLIER WAS, SO YOU'RE GOING TO CARVE OUT SECTIONS OF THE CITY THAT YOU PERCEIVE IS GOING TO BE MORE REVENUE ENHANCING. AND YOU'RE SHAKING YOUR HEADS, YES THAT'S TRUE. SO THEN I WOULD GUESS THAT YOU'RE PROBABLY GOING TO CARVE OUT SECTIONS IN THE COUNTY AS WELL, BECAUSE AS FAR AS I KNOW, THE WEST COUNTY IS A LOT OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES, JUST LIKE SEBASTOPOL IS A LOT OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES. SO IT'S JUST KIND OF CONFUSING HOW YOU'RE GOING TO CARVE OUT ALL -- I MEAN, WHEN I HEARD ABOUT THIS I THOUGHT IT WAS JUST GOING TO BE, OKAY, ALL OF SEBASTOPOL AND THEN A CERTAIN BOUNDARY AROUND WEST COUNTY. BUT NOW IT'S SOMETHING VERY DIFFERENT. CARVING OUT SECTIONS THAT WILL PARTICIPATE AND THEN SECTIONS THAT WON'T.

AND I WOULD CLARIFY IT AS -- REMEMBER, THE IMPRUCHTS CAN BE BUILT OUTSIDE OF THE EIFD. SO EVEN IF THE SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES ARE NOT IN THE EIFD, THEY WILL BENEFIT FROM THE PEDESTRIAN IMPROVEMENTS IN DOWNTOWN AND OTHER THINGS. SO THEY WILL STILL BENEFIT FROM THE INVESTMENT EVEN THOUGH THEY ARE NOT IN THE EIFD. AND QUITE FRANKLY, A LOT OF SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES SOMETIMES DON'T WANT TO BE IN THE EIFD. SO IT'S ALSO ANOTHER THING TO CONSIDER.

SO THE CONCEPT WOULD BE YOU HAVE A -- YOU DEFINE THE DISTRICT BASED ON WHERE YOU'RE LIKELY TO HAVE THE FUTURE INCREASES IN TAX, BUT YOU COULD THEN TAKE THAT EIFD MONEY AND BUILD, FOR INSTANCE, A CIVIC CENTER OR SOMETHING THAT WOULD BENEFIT EVERYONE IN TOWN. YES.

IT SOUNDS LIKE YOU COULD ALSO BE OUT OF TOWN, IS THAT CORRECT? SO IT COULD BE IN THE WEST COUNTY YOU COULD END UP WITH A POOL OUT IN THE WEST COUNTY, AND IT WON'T BE IN TOWN.

THAT COULD BE A POSSIBILITY DEPENDING ON WHAT THE -- BUT REMEMBER, THE CITY COUNCIL AND THE COUNTY HAVE TO AGREE ON THE PROJECTS. SO WE DO HAVE INPUT. BUT YEAH, I THINK THE REASON THIS MEETING, HONESTLY, IS SO IMPORTANT IS BECAUSE IT IS A COMPLY KATD ISSUE, AND THIS IS ONE INFORMATIONAL OPPORTUNITY THAT WILL BE FOLLOWED BY OTHERS FROM KOSMONT. ARE THERE ANY OTHER QUESTIONS? GO AHEAD COUNCILMEMBER HINTON BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

YEAH, SO OKAY. SO WHAT I THINK I JUST HEARD -- BECAUSE I'M CONFUSED LIKE EVERYBODY -- IS THAT WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE LIST OF PRIORITIES, WHICH, OF COURSE, I WANT TO ADD A PROJECT TO, BUT THEN I THOUGHT I HEARD YOU SAYING YOU REALLY WANT TO ADD PROJECTS WHERE YOU'RE INVESTING AND THEN YOU'RE GETTING A LARGER TAX BASE. SO MAYBE SOME OF THESE THINGS THAT ARE ON HERE THAT DON'T CREATE -- A LARGER TAX BASE -- WOULD NOT BE OUR PICK, WOULD NOT BE THE SMARTEST CHOICE, RIGHT? LIKE HOW DOES A COMMUNITY POOL GET US MORE TAX REVENUE? FOR EXAMPLE, IT BENEFITS COMMUNITY BUT -- SO I'M CURIOUS ABOUT THAT. IS THAT -- AM I UNDERSTANDING THAT RIGHT?

SO FELICITY, I THINK THIS IS A GREAT OPPORTUNITY TO CLARIFY THE PROJECTS VERSUS WHERE THE FUTURE TAX BENEFIT IS COMING FROM.

YES, SO THE PROJECTS ARE WHAT WOULD BE INVESTED IN AND TO YOUR POINT, COUNCILMEMBER, A COMMUNITY POOL WOULD BE AN ASSET FOR A MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENT. SO IT ACTUALLY WOULD BE SOMETHING THAT WOULD ENHANCE THE COMMUNITY TO THEN HAVE PEOPLE LOOK AT FURTHER DEVELOPMENT IN SEBASTOPOL.

I SEE. SO IT'S SOMETHING WE COULD SAY. BUILD YOUR DEVELOPMENT HERE, BECAUSE WE GOT A GREAT -- LET'S KEEP WITH THE EXAMPLE -- COMMUNITY POOL.

YES, BECAUSE IT'S A GREAT COMMUNITY.

OKAY.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

WELL, I JUST WANT TO SAY WHERE WOULD IT HAPPEN HERE? DOESN'T SOUND REALISTIC FOR SEBASTOPOL. BUT MY QUESTION IS IN READING THIS WHOLE REPORT, I FOUND SOME AREAS THAT SEEM TO BE CONTRADICTORY TO INFORMATION WE'VE RECEIVED BEFORE. SO I'M LOOKING AT PAGE 43 OF 43 AND IT SHOWS AMOUNTS -- POTENTIAL ELIGIBLE PROJECTS WITHIN THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. BUT I SEE AMOUNTS LIKE \$2.5 MILLION. IF I'M NOT MISTAKEN, IT'S \$4 MILLION TO REPLACE THAT. I'M LOOKING AT OTHER AMOUNTS AND WONDERING WHERE THESE NUMBERS CAME FROM. AND DO THEY MATTER TONIGHT? BUT I BELIEVE THE ACCURACY MATTERS, SO I'M LOOKING AT SOME OF THESE NUMBERS HERE, AND THEY DON'T JIVE WITH THINGS WE'VE TALKED ABOUT IN THE PAST WITH VARIOUS MEETINGS. SO I WOULD JUST LIKE IF SOMEONE COULD LOOK AT THAT JUST FOR THE SAKE OF ACCURACY AND MAKE CERTAIN THAT ALL OF THAT IS TAKEN CARE OF. AND THEN I SEE LIKE ON HERE A ROUNDABOUT. IS THAT SOMETHING WE EVER DISCUSSED? ANYWAY, I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT THOSE EDOLLAR AMOUNTS ARE UP TO SNUFF AND WHAT, YOU KNOW, WHAT'S REAL. AND THEN I'M TRYING TO THINK, THERE WAS ONE OTHER QUESTION, BUT I'LL COME UP WITH IT.

OKAY, MAYBE WE CAN -- THAT'S TERRIFIC, BUT IT IS COMPLICATED. MAYBE WE CAN GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT AND COME BACK FOR FURTHER -- NO ONE ANSWERED MY QUESTION.

THE QUESTION FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS PROBABLY NEEDS TO BE ANSWERED BY JON JAY OR KOSMONT, WHERE DID THOSE NUMBERS COME FROM THAT IN ARE THE STAFF REPORT? JON JAY -- SO THE --

I HAD ONE OTHER QUESTION THAT GOES ALONG WITH THIS. SOME OF THE CATEGORIES THAT SAYS -- OR LOCAL MATCH OF GRANT RECEIVED UNKNOWN BUT MIGHT BE PAID BY OTHERS. WHO'S THE OTHERS? THE GRANTS OR, I MEAN -- I'M JUST WONDERING WHAT ALL OF THIS IN THE REPORT MEANS. I THOUGHT WHO'S THE OTHER? SOMEONE IN YOUR POCKET? FELICITY OR JOE, CAN YOU PROVIDE CLARIFICATION.

OH --

HAPPY TO TAKE IT, THANKS, FELICIA. SO I BELIEVE EVERYTHING STARTING WITH PACKET PAGE 21 OF 43 WERE MINUTES FROM THE JANUARY MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL AND NOT NEW INFORMATION. I THINK SOME OF AND NUMBERS FOR PROJECTS -- I THINK THAT WAS THE VERY LAST PAGE, 43 OF 43, THOSE WERE MINUTES FROM BACK IN JANUARY. SO AT THE VERY LEAST, THEY'RE OUT OF DATE. BEST CASE. WE HAVE NOT, ON THE KOSMONT TEAM, DONE ANY NEW COST NUMBERS AND EVEN DISCUSSING WITH CITY MANAGER AND STAFF IN OUR MEETINGS IN THE LAST COUPLE MONTHS. WE

UNDERSTAND LOTS HAS CHANGED SINCE PROBABLY ALL OF THE PROJECTS ON THAT PAGE, 43 OF 43. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY, WHERE'D IT COME FROM, THE JANUARY REPORT BEFORE OUR FIRM WAS RETAINED, PROBABLY BASED ON PREVIOUS ANALYSIS. I THINK MAYBE JUST THE FACT THAT IT WAS APPENDED TO TONIGHT'S STAFF REPORT MAY HAVE CAUSED SOME CONFUSION.

OKAY, BUT TO COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS' QUESTION, THOSE NUMBERS TO THE EXTENT THEY BECOME RELEVANT TO ANY DISCUSSIONS ON THE EIFD WILL BE UPDATED?

YES.

OKAY. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT? OKAY

--

THERE WERE TWO MORE SLIDES. JUST TO FINISH IT UP.

OH, THANK YOU. AND FELICIA, I'M SORRY, I GOT YOUR NAME WRONG.

OH, NO, I LIKE IT BETTER.

I LIKE FELICIA, BUT YES, IF YOU COULD FINISH UP THE SLIDES, I'D APPRECIATE IT.

OKAY, SO THE LAST AREA WHERE WE ARE REQUESTING FEEDBACK IS THE AMOUNT OF PROPERTY TAX INCREMENT THAT THE CITY WOULD WISH TO ALLOCATE TO THE EIFD. THIS IS A VERY KIND OF DETAILED QUESTION, BUT AT LEAST START THINKING ABOUT IT A LITTLE BIT. THE OTHER CITIES GENERALLY PUT IN ABOUT 25% TO 50% OF THEIR INCREMENTAL PROPERTY TAX INTO THE EIFD. AND IF YOU -- SO THAT'S KIND OF THE RANGE WE ARE LOOKING AT. IF YOU LOOK AT THE NEXT SLIDE, THESE ARE THE NUMBERS THAT WERE INITIALLY PROVIDED BY THE COUNTY, AND THIS ASSUMED A 25% CONTRIBUTION FROM BOTH THE CITY AND THE COUNTY. SO THIS GIVES YOU A RANGE. IF YOU DECIDED TO PUT IN 50%, THE NUMBERS COULD BE DOUBLED, BUT WE'RE GOING TO UPDATE THIS ANALYSIS ALONG WITH THE INFRASTRUCTURE COSTS. BUT IT'S SOMETHING TO JUST START THINKING ABOUT AT THIS POINT. THE NEXT PAGE JUST HAS A TIMELINE.

FELICIA, JUST A MOMENT. COUNCILMEMBER?

YES, I HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE ESTIMATED FUNDING CAPACITY. YOU'RE SAYING THAT THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL BOUNDARY COULD RAISE \$25 MILLION. COULD YOU TELL US HOW MANY YEARS THAT \$25 MILLION TAKES TO RAISE AND ROUGHLY HOW MUCH A YEAR THE BEING PUT AWAY TOWARD THIS? HOW DO YOU GET TO THAT \$25 MILLION?

DO YOU WANT TO JUMP IN JOE? .

HAPPY TO. S THE OVER A LONG TIME PERIOD, 45 YEARS. SO IF WE DIDN'T TAKE -- CLOSE TO \$70 MILLION OR \$75 MILLION, WITHOUT ACCOUNTING FOR THE EFFECTS OF INFLATION OR ANYTHING LIKE THAT. THE REALITY OF HOW FAST THE DOLLARS COME IN, IT STARTS TRICKLING IN QUITE SLOWLY, TO BE HONEST. TENS OF THOUSANDS INTO HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS, MAYBE FIVE YEARS DOWN THE LINE WHERE HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS GETTING CLOSER TO A MILLION. SO IT DOES TEND TO TAKE TIME AS THE CITY ATTORNEY COMMENTED A BIT EARLIER. A LOT OF IT IS FORMING THE DISTRICT, SENDING THIS SORT OF CATALYTIC SIGNAL TO PARTNERS SUCH AS PRIVATE SECTOR DEVELOPERS THAT THERE'S A SERIOUS COMMITMENT ON BEEHALF OF THE PUBLIC AGENCIES TO PRIORITIZE INFRASTRUCTURE. THE DOLLARS DO TAKE SOME TIME TO ROLL IN. AND YOU REALLY NEED TO GET SOME CRITICAL MASS OFTENTIMES TAKING THREE TO FIVE YEARS BEFORE YOU

CAN EVEN DO SOMETHING LIKE ISSUE A BOND BASED ON THAT REVENUE STREAM. SO A LOT OF THESE DISTRICTS, A LOT OF THEIR PURPOSE IS TO ISSUE BONDS ONCE THERE IS REVENUE. THAT'S THE WAY WE BITE OFF BIGGER CHUNKS OF THAT NUMBER, THAT \$25 MILLION. ISSUE A BOND, AND IF DAILY REVENUE WOULD CONTINUE TO GROW THERE COULD BE AN ISSUANCE OF DEBT. THAT'S HOW THESE TEND TO WORK.

SO I'M GOING TO JUST REMIND US WE'RE AT 9:30, WE NEED TO GET PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM, PLEASE, A COUPLE FINAL QUESTIONS, GO AHEAD. I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY IF I UNDERSTAND THIS CORRECTLY. SO THE END GOAL IN ANY OF THESE PROJECTS THAT WE DO IS TO ENHANCE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT. THAT CORRECT?

YES.

CORRECT.

MEANING TO ATTRACT MORE DEVELOPMENT, THAT'S THE GOAL.

THAT IS THE PARTIAL GOAL. IT'S REALLY A BALANCE BETWEEN THE TWO. IT'S -- YOU'RE LOOKING AT IMPROVING THE COMMUNITY TO GET THE TYPES OF DEVELOPMENT THAT YOU DESIRE. AND THAT TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT IS USUALLY OUTLINED IN YOUR SPECIFIC PLANS, OTHER TYPES OF PLANS, YOUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PLAN. SO IT'S NOT JUST TO GROW THE CITY, I THINK, IT WOULD BE PER WHAT'S PLANNED.

ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? OKAY. COULD WE -- MARY, COULD YOU PLEASE HANDLE THE PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM?

YES. THANK YOU, MAYOR. SO THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE EIFD AGENDA ITEM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, AGAIN, I WILL DO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN GO OUT TO ZOOM. OLIVER?

[INAUDIBLE]

TURN YOUR MIC ON.

IS THAT BETTER?

IT IS NOW.

WERE YOU ABLE TO HEAR WHAT I SAID BEFORE? OKAY. SO YEAH, I MEAN JUST BACK TO BASICS. I MEAN, WE HAD THE SALES TAX SURVEY EARLIER IN THE YEAR BEFORE THE ATTORNEY JOINED US, AND THE CITY CITIZENS IN SEBASTOPOL WERE VERY CLEAR. I'M LOOKING AT THE COLORFUL SLIDE, WATER, SEWER, STORM, FLOOD. WE NEED MONEY FOR THAT. ROADWAY AND PARKING TRANSIT, ABSOLUTELY. WE DESPERATELY NEED INVESTMENT IN THAT. BUT THIS DOCUMENT IS A COMPLETE MESS. THERE'S A BIZARRE LIST ABOUT -- AS COUNSELOR McLEWIS SAID ABOUT ROUND ABOUTS AND CITY HALL COMPLEX, VARIOUS ROADWAY BICYCLE TRAFFIC SIGNALIZATION IMPROVEMENTS AND STUFF. IT'S A COMPLETE MESS. AT THE END OF THE DOCUMENT THERE'S A COMPLETELY DIFFERENT LIST WITH ALL THE WRONG NUMBERS IN IT, AS FAR AS I CAN TELL. SO NUMBER ONE, I THINK THIS IS A DISTRICT FIVE THING. I DON'T THINK THIS HAS ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. AND NUMBER TWO, I THINK WE REALLY NEED TO STICK TO OUR MEETING THE BASICS OF WHAT THE CITIZENS MADE CLEAR WAS URGENTLY NEEDED, WHICH IS OBVIOUSLY THE SEWER AND THE WATER. IF YOU'RE GOING TO HAVE ANY CHANCE AT HAVING A SALES TAX, PEOPLE ARE GOING TO GET REALLY GRUMPY IF THEY SEE ALL OF THIS STUFF GOING ON.

THANK YOU, OLIVER, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I'LL GO TO ZOOM. ROBERT, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YEP. CAN YOU HEAR ME?

I CAN HEAR YOU. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN.

ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

AS THE DISCUSSION WENT ON, IT BECAME, I THINK EVERYBODY ON THE COUNCIL GETS THE FACT THAT THIS IS A MESS AND NEEDS A LOT OF WORK. I THINK THE THINGS THAT I TOOK AWAY WAS, NUMBER ONE, THE CONSULTANT SAID THIS DOES NOT INCREASE PROPERTY TAXES, WHICH SOUNDS GREAT. IT DECREASES, HOWEVER, OUR PROPERTY TAX REVENUE AS A CITY SO. THE 2% INCREMENT THAT WE'RE GOING TO GIVE UP TO HALF AWAY, THAT 2% INCREMENT PAYS THE INCREASE IN SALARIES EACH YEAR, PAYS THE INCREASED COST OF FUEL, MAINTENANCE, NEW VEHICLES, INFLATION. THAT'S WHERE WE GET IT FROM. WE'RE NOT GETTING ANY INCREASE TODAY FROM SALES TAX ANYMORE. AND UNTIL YOU FIX THE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ISSUES WE'VE GOT IN THIS CITY, YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET INCREASED SALES TAX. SO BE CAREFUL WHAT YOU'RE GIVING AWAY HERE. IT'S NOT A TRIVIAL THING. \$25 MILLION OVER A LONG PERIOD OF TIME. IT'S \$25 MILLION THAT THE FUTURE CITY COUNCILS WON'T HAVE TO SPEND. IN TERMS OF PROJECTS, A POOL, WELL, OKAY, SO THAT WOULD ENCOURAGE MULTIFAMILY DEVELOPMENT. I DON'T KNOW IF THE CONSULTANTS HAVE BEEN BRIEFED PROPERLY ON WHAT THE CITY'S ABOUT. WE DON'T HAVE ROOM FOR MORE DEVELOPMENT AND MORE HOUSING. THAT'S ONE OF OUR ISSUES WE CAN'T GROW OUR WAY OUT OF THIS. WE'RE 1.2 SQUARE MILES, AND IT'S DEVELOPED. A LOT IS LOCKED UP IN CONSERVATION TRUSTS AND CAN'T BE DEVELOPED BECAUSE IT'S AGRICULTURE, WHICH WAS A GREAT IDEA TO MAKE IT A NICE PLACE, BUT IT WON'T WORK WITH THIS PLAN. I THINK WHEN YOU LOOK AT THE LIST OF WHAT OTHER CITIES ARE DOING, I SAW -- I WAS REALLY QUICK, YOU'VE GOT TO LOOK AT THAT MORE CAREFULLY. I SAW REHABILITATE DOWNTOWN. THAT SOUNDS LIKE AN IDEA. I SAW REIMAGINED A SHOPPING MALL. WE DON'T HAVE A SHOPPING MALL, BUT WE COULD REIMAGINE OUR DOWNTOWN AND OUR SHOPPING AREAS AS A PROJECT THAT COULD LEAD TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND SOLVE A LOT OF OUR PROBLEMS. I THINK WE NEED TO GET OFF THE LIBRARY POOL AND INFRASTRUCTURE ROADS AND GET TO HOW CAN WE DO SOMETHING THAT --

ROBERT, TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE IN CHAMBERS THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO BACK TO ZOOM. KATE, COULD YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YES, I'M UNMUTED.

THANK YOU, CAN YOU STILL SEE THE TIMER?

I CAN, THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT, GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

BEFORE THIS GOES ANY FURTHER, I WOULD HIGHLY RECOMMEND THAT THE AD HOC WALK THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AND IDENTIFY LOTS THAT THEY THINK WOULD MEET DEVELOPMENT REQUIREMENTS AS REVENUE GENERATORS ON THE SCALE THAT WOULD JUSTIFY THIS PLAN. IN MY KNOWLEDGE OF THE CITY, THERE ARE VERY FEW SITES. CANOPIES ALREADY

IN PROCESS. CANCEL REDEUCE THE VALUE OF OUR MOST VALUABLE COMMERCIAL SITE WHEN THEY APPROVED YET ANOTHER HOME KEY PROJECT AT THE FAILED RV SITE WHICH WOULD MAKE ALL -- WHICH MAKES ALL THE LAND AROUND THE HOME KEY SITE LESS VALUABLE AND LESS DESIRABLE FOR DEVELOPMENT. IF RICH HINTON AND MAUER HAD NOT APPROVED A SECOND HOME KEY PROJECT ON 116, THEN THE REDWOOD MARKETPLACE WOULD HAVE BEEN A PRIME SITE FOR DEVELOPMENT. SADLY, DUE TO ONE VERY BAD COUNCIL DECISION, ALL THE COMMERCIAL PROPERTY NEAR THE HOME KEY SITE WILL BE LESS DESIRABLE AS MOST PEOPLE DO NOT WANT TO INVEST HUNDREDS OF MILLIONS OF DOLLARS TO BUILD ACROSS THE STREET FROM PERMANENT SUPPORTIVE HOUSING. WHAT THE CITY NEEDS IS NOT THIS BUT HOTELS BECAUSE THAT IS SOMETHING WE CAN BUILD, WE HAVE SPACE FOR, AND THAT OUR MAIN BUSINESS IS TOURISM. ON ANOTHER NOTE, LARRY HAD SAID THAT THE WELL ISSUE AT THE DRY CLEANERS WAS SOLVED AND THAT THIS WAS NO LONGER IN LITIGATION AND IT WAS FIXED. NOW IT SEEMS THAT THE WELL IS POLLUTED. WHAT THE STAY IS THE STATUS OF THE WELL? AND I WOULD LIKE MORE TRANSPARENCY, BECAUSE NOW IT SEEMS LIKE WE HAVE ONE POLLUTED WELL AND WELL FOUR IS ABOUT TO FAIL SO. WE HAVE SERIOUS INFRASTRUCTURE ISSUES HERE THAT NEED TO BE ADDRESSED IMMEDIATELY. AND THIS PLAN DOES NOT DO ANYTHING TO ADDRESS THEM. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, KATE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBER FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK TO ZOOM. KEE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? KEE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THERE YOU GO.

THERE WE GO. YES, AND I CAN SEE THE TIMER. IN TERMS OF DEVELOPMENT, I'D LIKE TO PUT A CONCEPT INTO EVERYONE'S MIND. THERE'S A TERM CALLED A STRODE WHICH IS A COMBINATION OF A STREET AND A ROAD. TYPICALLY IS A STREET IS SOMETHING YOU WALK ON. THE BARLOW IS A PERFECT EXAMPLE OF STREETS. LOTS OF PEOPLE STROLLING AROUND. A ROAD IS SOMETHING THAT GETS YOU FROM POINT A TO POINT B AS QUICKLY AS POSSIBLE. YOU DON'T STOP. YOU BASICALLY, YOU KNOW, A FREEWAY IS AN EXAMPLE OF A ROAD. 116 IS AN EXAMPLE OF THE ROAD. SO 12 IS AN EXAMPLE OF A ROAD. A STRODE IS SOMETHING THAT IS NEITHER. IT'S BEING USED AS A ROAD BUT IT'S GOT STUFF AROUND IT LIKE A STREET -- EXCUSE ME, LIKE A STREET. AND IT IS NOT DESIRABLE. BODEGA IS GREAT IN THAT IT'S MOSTLY A ROAD AND WE SHOULD KEEP IT THAT WAY. THE PROBLEM WE HAVE IS DOWNTOWN THE SOUTH MAIN AREA IS A STROAD. AND THAT'S A PROBLEM IN TERMS OF DEVELOPMENT. NEXT POSSIBLE THING IS THE LIST THERE'S JUST A POSSIBLE WISH LIST. IF IT'S NOT ON THE LIST, WE CAN'T DO IT. IT'S NOT THAT WE'RE GOING TO DO IT. THIRD THING IS THIS IS NOT A TAX INCREASE. THIS IS AN INCREASE IN REVENUE THAT WE'RE GOING TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF. AND THE FOURTH THING IS, BY DOING SOMETHING LIKE THIS, WE TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THE FACT THAT THE COUNTY, IF YOU'LL NOTICE, THE COUNTY WAS LIKE \$100 MILLION AND WE WERE \$25 MILLION SO. WE COULD BUILD LIKE A LIBRARY FOR \$125 MILLION THAT WE WONT BE ABLE TO AFFORD OTHERWISE. THE POOL IS JUST AN EXAMPLE THAT SOMEBODY TOSSED OUT. IT'S NOT REAL. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? THANK YOU, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

OKAY, SO ANYWAY, FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO ADDRESS THE ISSUE -- I HOPE KYLE IS STILL THERE. I WANT TO LET HIM THOSE CHARGERS THERE, THERE'S A DOZEN TESLA CHARGERS RIGHT THERE ON 116. THERE ARE LIKE A DOZEN REFRIGERATORS OR MORE. THEY'RE VERY UNSIGHTLY. I CALLED THE CITY TODAY TO FIND OUT HOW THAT HAPPENED WITHOUT GETTING ANY PERMITS AND STUFF, AND IT TURNS OUT THAT THAT IS OWNED -- THAT IS PRIVATE PROPERTY, AND THE OWNER OF THAT PROPERTY CAN APPARENTLY DO WHAT THEY WANT. AND WHAT THEY WANT TO DO IS PUT THESE TESLA CHARGERS ONLY. THEY DO NOT WORK ON OTHER CARS. MORE THAN A DOZEN OF THEM RIGHT THERE LOOK LIKE CRAP THE REDWOOD MARKETPLACE. SO I'VE ASKED THIS BEFORE, WOULD IT BE TOO HARD TO WRITE ON THE AGENDA WHAT EIFD STANDS FOR? SO OTHERWISE, THIS IS SUCH A BAD IDEA. IT'S SUCH A BAD IDEA. YOU KNOW, IT'S BEEN DETERMINED BEFORE THAT THE MONEYS THAT WE PUT IN HOO, WE WILL NOT HAVE CONTROL OVER WHERE THEY GO. AND SO ANYWAY, WHAT WE NEED, WE NEED TO HAVE SOME BYPASSES OUR INFRASTRUCTURE, PRIMARILY OUR ROADS. IN SUCH BAD SHAPE. AND THEY'RE ONLY GOING TO GET WORSE WITH ALL THOSE HUNDREDS OF UNITS THAT ARE BEING BUILT. THE CONGESTION IS JUST GOING TO BE --

YOU HAVE ABOUT 20 SECONDS.

ANYWAY, WHEN SHE SAYS THAT THERE'S NOT GOING TO BE A TAX INCREASE, THIS SO REMINDS ME OF DANTE TELLING DIANA RICH THAT THERE'S NO COST, NO COST ON THE \$5.6 MILLION LOAN THAT WE WILL BE PAYING OFF --

LINDA, THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

-- WIRELESS WATER METERS FOR 12 YEARS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO BACK TO CHAMBERS IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE, I WOULD GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO MARY. WE'RE BACK HERE AT THE COUNCIL TABLE AGAIN. COMMENTS? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I SEE YOU REACHING IN.

YEAH, SO I JUST HAD A CLARIFICATION. SO WE'VE BEEN ADVISED TONIGHT THAT WE WOULD WANT TO CARVE OUT AND NOT INCLUDE THE WHOLE CITY IT IN IT, BUT YET WE HAVE AN ANALYSIS OF FUNDING CAPACITY WHICH SHOWS \$29 MILLION COMING FROM THE CITY SEBASTOPOL. I WANTED TO CLARIFY THAT QUESTION WITH OUR CONSULTANT.

YES.

OKAY.

THANK YOU.

YEAH, THAT \$25 MILLION IS IF WE GRABBED THE WHOLE CITY, BUT IF WE GRAB PART OF IT, THAT COULD BE CUT DOWN TO \$12 MILLION, LET'S SAY? COULD BE. SO THANK YOU FOR THE QUESTION, VERY IMPORTANTLY WANTED TO GIVE SOME SENSE OF NUMBERS FOR THIS WHOLE DISCUSSION.

THE NUMBERS IN THIS PAGE 11 WERE BASED ON COUNTY AUDITOR CONTROLLER ANALYSIS PRESENTED BACK AT THE JANUARY MEETING. IT IS A CITYWIDE BOUNDARY EXACTLY AS YOU STATED, COUNCILMEMBER, WITH A 25% ALLOCATION. . THE AMOUNT OF COMMITMENT OF THE FUTURE PROPERTY TAX DOLLAR IN ORDER TO SORT OF MANAGE, YOU KNOW, GENERAL FUND NEEDS AND NEED TO PAY FOR PUBLIC SAFETY AND ALL THESE OTHER SERVICES. GENERALLY A LARGER BOUNDARY WOULD HAVE A SMALLER PERCENTAGE OF THOSE FUTURE DOLLARS COMMITTED WHILE A SMALLER BOUNDARY CAN HAVE A HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF THOSE DOLLARS ALLOCATED. SO IF WE DIDN'T SHRINK, SO TO SPEAK, FROM A CITYWIDE BOUNDARY, WE WOULD LIKELY SUGGEST A HIGHER PERCENTAGE ALLOCATION THAT MIGHT BE ABLE TO GET TO THOSE SAME DOLLAR AMOUNTS, JUST WITH A SMALLER BOUNDARY.

CAN I ASK A REALLY SPECIFIC QUESTION? WHAT EXACTLY DO YOU NEED US TO DECIDE TONIGHT AND -- BECAUSE THIS SEEMS LIKE THE BEGINNING OF A NUMBER OF CONVERSATIONS. SO WHAT DECISIONS DO YOU NEED FROM US TONIGHT?

SURE, I'D LIKE TO TAKE A SHOT AT THAT. THANK YOU, FEELS LIKE IT NEEDED TO BE SAID. ON THE PROJECTS, WHICH WAS THE MAIN THRUST OF OUR ASK THIS EVENING, IT'S TO LOOK AT THE PAGE EIGHT AND, QUITE HONESTLY, WE WOULD ASK YOU TO TELL US WHAT YOU HATE, WHAT YOU'D SAY IS MISSING, THAT AT LEAST NEEDS TO BE ON THE LIST FOR CONSIDERATION, OR ANY THOUGHTS FROM THE COUNCIL ON WE LIKE THESE ETWO, THREE, OR FOUR MORE THAN THESE OTHER ONES JUST BECAUSE WE THINK THEY COULD BE THE MOST CATALYTIC OR THE MOST BENEFICIAL TO THE COMMUNITY, WHATEVER REASON YOU MIGHT HAVE FOR DOING SO. SO ON THE PROJECTS, IT'S. THAT. THERE'S NO INPUT TO BE GIVEN, NO PROBLEM. WE WERE HOPING TO CONVEY, ALTHOUGH I FELT LIKE WE FAILED BECAUSE WE WERE RUSHING IS PHILOSOPHICALLY WE WERE TRYING TO CONVEY CITYWIDE MIGHT BE A BAD IDEA BECAUSE IT OVERENCUMBERS THE GENERAL FUND AND DOESN'T LEAD A LOT OF ROOM FROM INFLATIONARY GROWTH TO KEEP THE LIGHTS ON. WE WERE TRYING TO GET A QUICK HEAD NOD OR A THUMBS DOWN WITH THIS PHILOSOPHY THAT, YOU KNOW, WE SHOULD GO AHEAD AND LOOK AT AT LEAST SOME BOUNDARY SCENARIOS THAT ARE SMALLER THAN THE CITY AND JUST FOCUS ON SOME OF THE CORRIDORS WHERE THERE ARE SOME DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY SITES. THAT'S ON THE BOUNDARY DISCUSSION. AT LEAST THE PHILOSOPHICAL AGREEMENT OR DISAGREEMENT IF THE COUNCIL WERE PREPARED FOR THAT. ON THE REVENUE ALLOCATION PIECE THAT WE DIDN'T REALLY GET TO TALK MUCH ABOUT, WHICH IS PAGE TEN, IS AGAIN, IT WAS A SECONDARY ASK IF WE CAN GET IT. WE SORT OF AGREE, YOU SHOULDN'T GO ALL IN. YOU NEED TO KEEP THE LIGHTS ON. BUT ALSO WHY DO IT IF YOU'RE ONLY GOING TO ALLOCATE, YOU KNOW, 1%, 2%, OR 5%. THERE'S GOING TO BE NO DOLLARS TO MAKE IT WORTHWHILE. AND TO SEE IF THERE WAS ANY INITIAL, PERHAPS, AGREEMENT WITH THIS RANGE OF 25% TO 50%, DOES THAT FEEL RIGHT INSTINCTIVELY OF GETTING THE FUNDING CAPACITY TO DO REAL PROJECTS WITHOUT STARVING THE GENERAL FUND AND GRABBING TOO MUCH FOR THE DISTRICT.

JOE, I'M GOING TO BRING YOU BACK TO THE LIST OF -- THE PROJECT LIST. SO IT'S 9:30. YOU HAVE A VERY TIRED CITY COUNCIL HERE. YOU HAVE THREE

ASKS OF US. I'M GOING TO HAVE US ALL FOCUS ON THE PROJECT LIST FOR THE MOMENT AND ASK YOU IF YOU GET NOTHING MORE THAN THE PROJECT LIST FROM US TONIGHT, IS THAT GOING TO BE SUFFICIENT FOR KOSMONT TO MOVE FORWARD WITH THE NEXT STEPS IN THIS PROJECT?

YES. I APOLOGIZE, I MUTED MYSELF. THE ANSWER IS YES, MAYOR. SO LET'S LOOK TO THE PROJECT LIST HERE. I'M SORRY, GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER.

I HAD ONE QUESTION, WHERE ARE THESE LOTS WE CAN DEVELOP? I MEAN, I WALK AND I'M ALL OVER THE CITY EVERY DAY, AND IT JUST -- DUMBFUNDED AT WHERE THESE LOTS ARE THAT WE CAN SUDDENLY DEVELOP. I MEAN, SERIOUSLY. I'M ABOUT BEING REALISTIC AND KEEPING IT REAL, SO I'D LIKE TO KNOW WHERE THEY ARE SO WE CAN LOOK AT THIS LIST IN A CRITICAL MANNER.

THAT MIGHT BE A JON JAY QUESTION.

I CAN ANSWER SOME OF THE MORE -- INCREASED TAX, I'M SORRY.

AS PART OF OUR HOUSING ELEMENT, WE ARE REQUIRED TO PROVIDE A LIST OF SITES EL EL EJBL FOR HOUSING, WHETHER THAT'S SINGLE-FAMILY OR MULTIFAMILY --

DIDN'T WE SAY SINGLE-FAMILY ISN'T IDEAL?

CORRECT. BUT THEY'RE PROBABLY MULTIFAMILY OR REDEVELOPMENT OF A COMMERCIAL SITE THAT IS A VACANT BUILDING. I MEAN, THE REDWOOD MARKETPLACE IS A REDEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITY PROVIDED THAT, YOU KNOW, THE TENANTS THAT ARE THERE, IF THEY DID DECIDE TO MOVE, THE OWNER OF THAT PROPERTY COULD -- HAS SPOKEN TO THE CITY WHEN CARRIE WAS HERE ON MULTIPLE OCCASIONS LOOKING AT REDEVELOPMENT OF THAT AREA. MORE HOUSING RELATED OR AFFORDABLE HOUSING RELATED WAS LEFT OFF THAT LIST THROUGH OUR HOUSING ELEMENT AVAILABLE KIND OF SITES. THAT'S WHERE YOU COULD FIND A LIST OF THOSE. I DON'T HAVE THOSE READILY AVAILABLE. I MEAN, ONE THAT'S BEEN VACANT FOR A WHILE IS ONE THAT'S JUST SOUTH OF THE BIG O TIRE LOT ON THE SOUTH END OF MAIN STREET WHERE 116 FORKS.

NO, I LIVE RIGHT THERE, SO I'M VERY AWARE OF IT. BUT IT'S ALSO A CONTAMINATED SITE, RIGHT?

NO.

NO? THAT'S WHAT I WAS TOLD.

NOT THAT I'M AWARE OF. THE CONTAMINATED SITE IS THE ONE THAT'S BY THE LAUNDROMAT.

I SAW THE CITY ATTORNEY LEANING IN.

JUST QUICK INPUT, REMINDER THAT WE'RE TALKING ABOUT A DISTRICT THAT WOULD BE IN EXISTENCE FOR 45 YEARS. IT MIGHT BE SOMETHING THAT, HEY, 20 YEARS FROM NOW WE THINK THIS MIGHT DEVELOP AT SOME POINT, AND THAT STILL GIVES IT, YOU KNOW, 25 YEARS OF TAX INCREMENT. SO IT'S NOT NECESSARILY WHERE WE KNOW THIS LOT IS GOING TO REDEVELOP TOMORROW, IT'S LIKE WHERE ARE THE AREAS WE THINK THERE MAY BE THIS REDEVELOPMENT OVER THE -- THAT HORIZON. YOU KNOW THE SINGLE-FAMILY NEIGHBORHOODS AREN'T GOING TO GET, YOU KNOW, TORN DOWN AND REDEVELOPED IN THAT WAY, BUT MAYBE THERE ARE CORRIDORS WHERE YOU THINK, ATLAS CORRIDOR, HEY, MAYBE ONE OUT OF

THESE FOUR BUILDINGS MIGHT REDEVELOP OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT. I THINK THAT AT THIS TIME IS SORT OF THE --

I HEAR YOU, BUT I'M JUST GOING TO MAKE MY COMMENT. BASED ON THE HISTORY OF SEBASTOPOL AND SEBASTOPOL TOMORROW, EVERYONE SAYING THAT THEY'RE GOING THE FIGHT DEVELOPMENT, I MEAN, I JUST -- IT JUST SEEMS VERY CONTRADICTORY FOR THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. I AGREE THIS IS LIKE A GOOD -- YOU KNOW, I UNDERSTAND THAT IT WORKS WELL MANY PLACES, BUT AS I SIT HERE LISTENING TO, THIS I'M JUST VERY SKEPTICAL. AND MAY I JUST FINISH MY COMMENT.

GO AHEAD.

FOR ME, WHEN I'M LOOKING AT THESE PRIORITIES, ALL DAY, EVERY DAY I'M GOING TO BE ABOUT OUR WELL AND OUR WATER. WE SELL WATER FOR WEST COUNTY TO A LOT OF PEOPLE. WE HAVE THE WATER HAULERS THAT COME IN HERE ALL THE TIME. SO FOR US, I WANT TO SEE US CONCENTRATING. WATER IS LIFE AND WE SHARE IT WITH WEST COUNTY. I CAN'T SEE HOW ANYONE COULD ARGUE AGAINST US TRYING TO FIX OUR WELLS TO MAINTAIN WATER. AND THEN ROADS, THAT'S PART OF THE PROBLEM HERE. WE HAVE THE BUSIEST INTERSECTION IN THE COUNTY THAT WE KEEP TALKING ABOUT AND TALKING ABOUT. FOR ME, THAT'S THE PRIORITY FOR ME. WATER AND ROADS, INFRASTRUCTURE, FIXING STUFF THAT'S COMPLETELY, YOU KNOW, GOING DOWN HILL HERE BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T INVESTED IN IT. AND I IMAGINE IN THE FUTURE IT'S GOING TO GET WORSE, YOU KNOW, TALKING ABOUT THE YEARS, SO THAT'S FOR ME. THAT'S MY COMMENT.

OKAY. SO ANYTHING THAT -- I'M SORRY, VICE MAYOR WHOEVER WAS FIRST DOWN HERE. I'M NOT SURE WHO.

FOLLOWING UP ON WHAT COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS SAID, YES, LOOKING AT THE LIST THAT'S ON PAGE 3 OF 43, I DEFINITELY AGREE, WATER IS IMPORTANT. AND SO IT DIDN'T REALLY -- THE DOTS DIDN'T CONNECT TO ME UNTIL I WAS ON THE TOUR WITH YOU, JON JAY, BECAUSE WE WERE STANDING THERE WITH THE SITE NEXT TO TAQUERIA. I DID NOT GET THE FULL RAMIFICATIONS OF NEEDING TO CLEAN UP THAT BROWNFIELD, WHICH IS RESIDUAL PROBLEMS WITH THAT WELL. AND THEN WE WERE TALKING ABOUT CALDER CREEK AND NEEDING TO DO THAT, WHICH TIES INTO THE COUNTY BUILDING WHERE SEBASTOPOL ARTS IS, WHICH TIES INTO THE POOL, ICE PARK, AND IT TIES INTO THE PARK SO THAT WHOLE AREA TYING INTO MAIN STREET, TYING INTO THE LEVERAGE THAT WE CAN USE FOR THE PLANNING GRANTS OF CALTRANS AND A BAG GRANT LIKE THAT WHOLE AREA AS IT TIES INTO MAIN STREET AND THAT WHOLE REVISION FOR PEDESTRIAN SAFETY. THAT'S THE AREA THAT I WOULD LIKE TO FOCUS ON, BECAUSE I THINK CLEANING THAT UP WOULD ALSO HELP OUR DOWNTOWN ATTRACT SALES TAX. I THINK IT'D BE A WIN-WIN FOR US AS A CITY AND WOULD APPEASE ALL THE COUNTY INTERESTS AS WELL. BECAUSE THE COUNTY DOES OWN THAT.

SO I'M HOPING, FELICIA AND JOE, YOU'RE LISTENING TO THIS, BECAUSE THE QUESTION WILL BE WHETHER A NEW ITEM NEEDS TO BE ADDED TO THIS LIST IN ORDER TO CAPTURE THE CONCEPT THAT THE VICE MAYOR HAS JUST DESCRIBED. I ALREADY SEE ROADWAYS AND WATER AND SEWER THERE, SO I'M LOOKING TO MY COUNCILMEMBERS TO ASK WHETHER THERE'S ANY

ADDITIONAL PROJECTS THAT NEED TO BE ADDED. COUNCILMEMBER MAHER AND THEN COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

I JUST NEED TO GET SOME COMMENTS OUT FIRST.

YEAH, SURE.

SO FOR ME, WHATEVER THIS IS, IT HAS TO BE FINANCIAL -- IT HAS TO BE AN ESSENTIAL BENEFIT NOT ONLY FOR THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL BUT FOR OUR WEST COUNTY RESIDENTS. I MEAN, IT HAS TO MAKE SENSES. IT HAS TO BE A BENEFIT FOR EVERYONE IN THAT AREA. AND LAST YEAR I LISTENED TO THE SUPERVISORS MEETING. THEY WERE TALKING ABOUT AN EIFD. THE EIFD BECAUSE THERE'S ONE PROPOSED FOR SONOMA AND ONE OVER HERE. AND WHAT THEY SAID, BASICALLY, THEY ONLY WANTED REVENUE-GENERATING IDEAS AND HOUSING WAS THE MAIN THING. AND SO WHEN YOU LOOK AT SLIDE FOUR THAT THEY PRESENTED TONIGHT, THE MAJORITY OF THE PROJECTS THAT ARE BEING PROPOSED ARE HOUSING AND WE'RE NOT PROPOSING HOUSING. HOWEVER, WHAT THEY SAID IS THAT IF WE HAD A BETTER COMMUNITY POOL THAT WOULD BECOME AN ASSET AND THEN THAT WOULD ATTRACT MORE DEVELOPMENT, IE HOUSING. IN MY CONVERSATIONS WITH THE CITY MANAGER, MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT HOUSING COSTS THE CITY MORE THAN WE RECEIVE REVENUE FROM. SO GENERATING HOUSING IS ACTUALLY AN EXPENSE FOR THE CITY. SO IT'S A BIT OF A CONUNDRUM BECAUSE I DON'T WANT TO LOSE AN OPPORTUNITY HERE, BUT I ALSO DON'T WANT TO CHASE DOWN SOMETHING THAT'S TOO GOOD TO BE TRUE WHERE WE'RE GIVING AWAY INCREMENTAL TAX INCREASES OVER TIME THAT WE ACTUALLY NEED. YOU KNOW, WE'RE IN A -- WE'VE DECLARED A FISCAL EMERGENCY AND I THINK IT'S -- WE NEED TO REALLY BE PRUDENT AND NOT BE THINKING ABOUT NONESSENTIAL -- WE HAVE A POOL. I MEAN, IT'D BE GREAT IF WE COULD HAVE A 50-METER POOL. THAT'D BE LOVELY. BUT IS THAT REALLY WHAT WE NEED RIGHT NOW? I'D LOVE TO SEE IVES PARK IVES PARK CLEANED UP. WE NEED ROADS, BUT WILL THAT BENEFIT WEST COUNTY. I'D LOVE TO START OUR OWN UTILITY. CAN WE USE THE MONEY FOR THAT? I'D PUT THAT ON THE LIST.

OKAY. GOT SOME GOOD ADDITIONAL IDEAS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YEAH, WHILE WE'RE ALL ADDING IDEAS, SO I WOULD LIKE TO SEE -- WE TALKED EARLIER ABOUT FINANCES. THE CONSULTANT BROUGHT UP TONIGHT THAT THERE'S 2% THAT WE WOULDN'T GET ANY OTHER WAY FROM THE COUNTY. I'D LIKE TO SEE WHAT THAT FREE MONEY LOOKS LIKE. WHAT DOES THAT EQUAL? THAT CAN PUT ME RIGHT OVER THE EDGE ON THIS. AND THEN WE'RE TALKING ABOUT -- I HEARD WHAT YOU SAID ABOUT 45 YEARS DOWN THE ROAD. I MEAN, I LOOK AT THESE VACANT BANK BUILDINGS THAT ARE GOING TO BECOME SOMETHING AND MAYBE THEY ARE GOING TO BECOME HOUSING. WE'VE -- I'VE THOUGHT ABOUT THAT CORRIDOR WHERE THE LAUNDROMAT WAS THAT NEEDS THE CLEANUP THAT WOULD BE A PERFECT SPOT FOR HOUSING, RIGHT? TRANSITION, RIGHT THERE BY THE POST OFFICE. AND THEN SOMEBODY BROUGHT UP REDWOOD MARKETPLACE. AND I KNOW HOUSING'S BEEN CONSIDERED UP THERE. SO THOSE ARE ON THE CORRIDOR LIKE YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT. THEY'RE ALL -- I MEAN, BANK OF AMERICA AND WEST AMERICA, YOU CAN SHOOT A CANNON THROUGH THOSE DURING THE DAY. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THEY'RE GOING TO DO WITH THOSE. SO IN 45 YEARS, YEAH, I CAN SEE HOUSING. SO HOUSING SHOULD BE ON THIS LIST. I KIND OF AGREE. WE ALREADY HAVE A COMMUNITY POOL. WE DON'T

NEED A BIGGER COMMUNITY POOL. AND THEN WE WANT TO MAKE IT -- WE NEED TO WORK WITH OUR PARTNERS, AND IF THAT'S THE COUNTY, I DON'T WANT TO RUN THIS ALL DOWN AND THEN JUST HAVE THEM NOT LIKE OUR IDEAS. I AGREE. SO ANYWAY, LET'S MAKE SURE WE PUT THAT HOUSING ON THERE AND WE CAN REMOVE COMMUNITY POOL AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED. THANKS.

ANY OTHER COMMENTS? SO I HAD A COUPLE OF SUGGESTIONS. I LIKE THE IDEA OF ADDING HOUSING. I'M LEARNING NOW THAT WHAT WE WANT IS A BROAD LIST AND THAT THE POINT HERE IS THAT IF IT'S NOT ON THE LIST, IT DOESN'T GET DONE. IF IT IS ON THE LIST, IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THAT IT HAS TO GET DONE. SO I'D LIKE TO SEE HOUSING ADDED. I ALSO HAVE A QUESTION FOR FELICIA OR JOE. ONE THING THAT'S NOT BEEN MENTIONED IS THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY. SO IF THE CITY WANTED TO EXPAND AND, FOR INSTANCE, BUILD A NEW LYFT STATION IN ORDER TO ACCOMMODATE IT, EXPAND THE CITY LIMITS TO EXTEND TO THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY, WOULD THE ITEMS THAT ARE LISTED HERE AS PROJECTS ALLOW THAT TO BE FUNDED, AND IF NOT, WHAT WOULD WE ADD TO ALLOW IT TO BE FUNDED?

THANK YOU, MAYOR. BY NATURE OF HAVING FLOOD CONTROL IMPROVEMENTS ON THE LIST, IT WOULD BE INCLUDED. WE WOULD ENCOURAGE, THOUGH, PERHAPS AT LEAST A PARENTHETICAL REFERENCE OF THAT TYPE OF PROJECT AS AN EXAMPLE TO PROVIDE CLARITY.

IT WOULD BE INCLUDED IN WHAT ITEM?

FLOOD CONTROL OR SEWER.

BUT THERE MIGHT BE OTHER EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH EXPANDING OUR CITY LIMITS TO THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY. YOU KNOW, THERE MIGHT BE -- I THINK YOU HAVE TO INVEST IN, YOU KNOW, WATER /SEWER SORT OF INFRASTRUCTURE. ANYWAY, WHATEVER WOULD ALLOW THAT TO BE INCLUDED, I'D LIKE TO SEE ADDED. AND THEN SINCE WE'RE TALKING ABOUT BROAD CATEGORIES, WHAT ABOUT -- WE HAVE THE COMMUNITY CENTER, I THINK, ON THE LIST, WHAT ABOUT ADDITION IMPROVEMENTS OF OTHER COMMUNITY BUILDINGS THAT SERVE THE COMMUNITY AT LARGE? WOULD THAT BE INCLUDED WITHIN THIS LIST?

I'M NOT SURE THAT IT WOULD. I THINK WE PROBABLY NEED TO ADD THAT AND, IDEALLY AGAIN, WITH SOME EXAMPLES. IT DOESN'T SEEM TO ME AS ONE OF THE CONSULTANT TEAM MEMBERS THAT IT FALLS WITHIN CITY HALL COMPLEX OR ANY OF THESE OTHER ONES.

AND THEN FINALLY MY QUESTION IS AS I LOOK AT YOUR EXAMPLES FROM OTHER CITIES, THE EXAMPLES ARE -- THE WORDING IS VERY BROAD. IT ISN'T A LIST OF SPECIFICS, IT'S, YOU KNOW, DOWNTOWN HOUSING AND BLENDED USE SUPPORTIVE INFRASTRUCTURE, DOWNTOWN HOUSING AND TRANSIT INFRASTRUCTURE. ARE YOU GOING TO PROPOSE BROAD CATEGORIES TO US THAT WOULD INCLUDE SOME OF THESE INDIVIDUAL ITEMS OR ARE YOU ULTIMATELY GOING TO WANT US TO COME UP WITH A LIST OF INDIVIDUAL ITEMS?

WE PREFER A COMBINATION. THE NUMBER ON THE -- THE LIST ON THAT PAGE, THE POWERPOINT PAGE, WAS FIVE, WAS MERELY A FEW WORDS TO GET THEM ALL ON THE POWERAPPOINTMENT. EACH OF THESE HAVE A MUCH MORE DETAILED TABLE.

OKAY.

SOME OF THESE HAVE DOWN TO THE CREPT, DOLLARS AND CENT COST FOR A SPECIFIC PROJECT, AND SOME ARE BROADER.

THANK YOU, THAT'S ENOUGH OF AN ANSWER FOR ME. SO I THINK YOU HAVE A NUMBER OF ADDITIONS AS IDEAS.

YES.

DID OUR COUNCILMEMBERS WANT TO ADD ANY OTHER COMMENTS REGARDING PROJECTS? NO. VICE MAYOR?

I HAVE A QUESTION.

GO AHEAD.

I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR THAT WHAT'S ON HERE IS GOING TO REMAIN HERE AND THEN THE ADDITIONAL THOUGHTS ARE GOING TO BE ADDED TO THE LIST ON PAGE 3 OF 43, IS THAT RIGHT?

YOU'RE ASKING KOSMONT, THE ANSWER IS YES. WE DID HEAR, MY NOTES SAY THERE WAS AT LEAST ONE, MAYBE TWO MENTIONS OF REMOVAL OF POOL. IF THERE WERE CONSENSUS, WE'D LIKE TO CONFIRM THAT. IF THERE'S NOT, WE'LL LEAVE IT ON.

YEAH, I WOULD LEAVE IT TOO AS FAR AS THAT CORRIDOR WHICH COULD BE THE CITY HALL COMPLEX AND OTHER PARTS LIKE COMMUNITY CENTER, THE LIBRARY, ALL THAT COULD BE PART OF THE AREA.

LET'S DO A QUICK THUMBS UP, THUMBS DOWN. DOES THE COMMUNITY -- DOES THE POOL GET REMOVED? OKAY. SO WE'RE GOING TO LEAVE THE POOL IN FOR NOW.

CAN I ADD THE FIRE HOUSE?

SO THERE'S A FIRE HOUSE REQUEST FROM COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, CAN WE ADD THAT TO THE LIST?

DONE.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I WAS JUST WONDERING, YOU KNOW, WE'RE PUTTING THIS WHOLE LIST TOGETHER, WHAT IS THE REALISTIC TIMELINE WHEN THIS IS ACTUALLY GOING TO BECOME A PRIORITY LIST. BECAUSE IT'S SO LATE, AND WE HAVE SO MANY THINGS TO GET TO. I MEAN, JUST TO KEEP THIS IN CONTEXT.

SO WHAT'S THE QUICK -- I'M SORRY --

I DON'T KNOW WHO --

SO THE TIMING IS THAT WE WOULD LOOK IN THE BEGINNING OF THE YEAR TO START THE FORMATION OF PROCESS AND THAT'S WHO IT WOULD GO THROUGH THE FINAL LIST. SO IT WOULD BE FIRST QUARTER OF NEXT YEAR.

OKAY, THANK YOU.

YOU'LL HAVE PLENTY OF TIME TO LOOK AT IT.

YES?

MY SUGGESTION FOR A UTILITY TO REPLACE PG&E, DID IT MAKE THE LIST? I THINK THAT'S A GREAT IDEA, BUT I DON'T KNOW, IS IT FORMALLY ON THE LIST?

I HAVE IT ON MY LIST, WE CAN DISCUSS IT.

YES, OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE TO ADD TO THE LIST?

NO, BUT I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION.

YES.

TONIGHT'S LIKE THE DO OR DIE. IF SOMEONE THINKS OF SOMETHING TOMORROW, IT DOESN'T MAKE THE LIST, IS THAT HOW THIS WORKS?

CAN YOU ANSWER THE QUESTION, I WOULD THINK --

THAT SEEMS LIKE A LONG TIMEFRAME.

IF IT WORKS FOR YOU TO SEND IT TO THE AD HOC COMMITTEE, WE COULD DISCUSS IT IN OUR MEETINGS.

I WOULD SUGGEST BEING ON THE AD HOC COMMITTEE IF THERE ARE IDEAS THAT COUNCILMEMBERS COME UP WITH, THEY SHOULD SEND THOSE IDEAS AS A ONE-WAY COMMUNICATION. I WAS THINKING MORE ABOUT THE COMMUNITY, YOU KNOW, AS WE DISCUSSED. THEY MAY HAVE IDEAS, SO -- I DON'T, THIS IS NOT A DONE DEAL FROM MY PERSPECTIVE.

SO REIMAGINING DOWNTOWN WAS RECOMMENDED, AND I WOULD ADD THAT TOO. REIMAGINING DOWNTOWN.

REIMAGINING DOWNTOWN. OKAY.

GOT IT.

OKAY. ANYTHING ELSE FOR THE PROJECT LIST FROM OUR COUNCILMEMBERS? AND KEEP IN -- AND THIS IS JUST -- THERE'S A LOT OF INFORMATION, BUT THE -- STEVEN -- THE VICE MAYOR AND I, THE THOUGHT WAS TO KEEP THE INFORMATION COMING TO THE COUNCIL SO THAT THE COUNCIL CAN KEEP INFORMED. DO YOU -- I THINK IT'S WAY TOO LATE FOR US TO DELVE INTO THE OTHER TWO TOPICS THAT YOU WERE HOPING WE WOULD ADDRESS. IS THAT OKAY? I'M ASKING KOSMONT, BECAUSE WE HAVE OTHER ITEMS WE NEED TO MOVE ON TO ON THE AGENDA TONIGHT.

OH, I THINK WE'RE GOOD WITH THIS FEEDBACK.

THANK YOU.

GOOD, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. DONE WITH THAT DISCUSSION. THANK YOU TO KOSMONT. THANK YOU FOR HANGING IN THERE. AND THANK YOU TO JOHN JAY FOR CONTINUING TO BE OUR MONITOR ON ALL OF THESE ISSUES. OUR NEXT TWO ITEMS ARE THE POSITIONS THAT ARE BEING PRESENTED TO THE CITY COUNCIL TONIGHT, ONE INVOLVES THE POLICE CHIEF. THAT'S ITEM NUMBER 14 ON THE ORIGINAL -- ON THE ORIGINAL AGENDA. THE OTHER ONE IS ITEM NUMBER SIX WHICH WAS PUBLIC WORKS. MARY, WHICH WOULD YOU PROPOSE WE ADDRESS FIRST?

IT APPEARS THAT THE QUESTION YOU WERE GOING TO ASK EARLIER REGARDING THE PAY --

YES.

SO THE SALARY SCHEDULE FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS CITY WORKS ENGINEER YOU DID DISCUSS AT THE LAST MEETING. SO THIS IS A CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM TO ADOPT WHICH YOU HAD DONE. YOU COULD ADDRESS A QUESTION REGARDING THE PAY ON THIS ONE OR YOU COULD --

WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE POLICE CHIEF --

BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT IT'S GOING TO INCORPORATE OTHER --

GOT IT. OKAY, SO GIVEN THAT, OUR CITY CLERK, ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER IS ACCURATE. I WAS CONCERNED ABOUT HOW THE SALARIES WORK WITHIN THE GREATER SALARY SCHEDULES THAT WE'RE FACING. SO I'M FINE ON THE PUBLIC WORKS POSITION, WHICH WAS ITEM NUMBER SIX THAT WAS PULLED FROM THE AGENDA. SIMPLY PROPOSING MAKING A MOTION TO APPROVE THAT ITEM. CAN I DO THAT OR DO WE NEED TO, IN FACT, GO THROUGH PUBLIC COMMENT?

WE PULLED IT OFF THE AGENDA, SO YOU WILL NEED TO --

OKAY. SO ANY QUESTIONS? DOES ANYONE ON THE COUNCIL FEEL A NEED FOR THE PRESENTATION ON ITEM NUMBER SIX THAT I PULLED FROM THE CONCEPT CALENDAR? NO. OKAY. THEN ANY QUESTIONS ON THAT ITEM

BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT? MARY, COULD YOU GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE?

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS ON THE SALARY SCHEDULE FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS CITY ENGINEER DIRECTOR AND THE PROFESSIONAL SERVICES TEMPORARY HIRE IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE,LY GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. LINDA, WOULD YOU UNMUTE, PLEASE.

THANK YOU, GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

MARY, YOU KNOW THAT I AM COMPUTER FREE, CELLPHONE FREE, TV FREE, ET CETERA, DUE TO THE ELECTROSENSITIVITY, BUT WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW IS HOW MUCH IS THAT SALARY?

IS THAT YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA?

WHICH ITEM WERE WE LOOKING AT.

WE ARE ON AGENDA ITEM NUMBER SIX. THIS IS THE ADOPTION OF THE SALARY SCHEDULE FOR THE PUBLIC WORKS CITY ENGINEER POSITION AND THE PROFESSIONAL TEMPORARY HIRE. IT WAS DISCUSSED, THE PUB LYRIC WORKS CITY ENGINEER WAS DISCUSSED AT THE LAST MEETING, SO THIS WAS A CONCEPT CALENDAR ITEM.

SO ANYWAY, THE SALARY IS NOT LISTED THERE, IS IT?

THE SALARY IS LISTED. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, AND THEN WE WILL ADDRESS ANY QUESTIONS.

AND ALSO HOW YOU'RE GOING TO BE FINDING THAT PERSON. I TRUST YOU'RE PROBABLY GOING TO HAVE, YOU KNOW, YOU'VE GOT THE SPEND MORE MONEY TO FIND THAT PERSON. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW HOW MUCH MONEY THAT'S GOING TO BE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU, LINDA, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN, I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. KATE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE?

YES.

THANK YOU.

I JUST WANT TO SAY THAT YOU -- THE SALARY SHOULD BE SET AT MARKET RATE AND AT THE END OF THE DAY, COUNCIL DOESN'T REALLY GET TO SET THE FINAL SALARY BECAUSE THE MARKET DETERMINES WHO'S WILLING TO COME WORK FOR THE CITY FOR A SET AMOUNT OF MONEY. SO WHAT YOU SHOULD BE CONCENTRATING ON IS PEOPLE WHO ARE THE BEST CANDIDATES FOR THE JOB, BECAUSE THE CITY HAS SERIOUS PROBLEMS, ESPECIALLY WHEN IT COMES TO WATER AND SEWER AND OTHER ENGINEERING ISSUES. THE COUNCIL DOES NOT AUTOCRATICALLY DETERMINE A SALARY. WE LIVE IN A COMPETITIVE MARKETPLACE, AND YOU'RE VYING FOR QUALIFIED CANDIDATES. IT'S EXTREMELY EXPENSIVE TO LIVE HERE, AND SOMEBODY WHO'S A PROFESSIONAL PERSON WANTS TO HAVE A SALARY WHERE THEY CAN AFFORD TO PURCHASE A HOME, FOR INSTANCE. SO WITH REAL ESTATE PRICES HERE UP ABOVE \$1 MILLION FOR SINGLE-FAMILY HOUSES, YOU WILL NEED TO PAY A SALARY THAT AFFORDS PEOPLE A LIFESTYLE THAT IS COMMENSURATE WITH THEIR EXPERIENCE AND EDUCATION.

THANK YOU, KATE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS IF THERE'S ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT. SEEING NONE, I'LL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE.

YES,LY.

THANK YOU, CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER STILL?

YES, I CAN.

GREAT, GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

SO I GUESS TANGENTIALLY RELATED TO THIS WAS A COMMENT I MADE PREVIOUSLY WHEN WE WERE LOOKING AT THIS CITYWIDE SALARY SCHEDULE WHERE THERE WAS THIS UNDISCUSSED REMOVAL OF FIREFIGHTER STIPENDS FROM THIS YEAR'S SALARY SCHEDULE COMPARED TO THE LAST. SO ONCE AGAIN, I'M GOING TO USE THIS AS THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK THE COUNCIL TO JUSTIFY THE REMOVAL OF CITY EMPLOYEES TO THAT EXTENT WHICH IS THOSE FIREFIGHTER STIPENDS OFF OF THE SALARY SCHEDULE THAT WE WOULD HAVE FOR THE CITY. THAT BEING ADDRESSED, I THINK THAT ONE THING I'VE SEEN THIS CITY DO REGULARLY IS ATTEMPT TO USE AVERAGES, EITHER LOCAL AVERAGES OR REGIONAL AVERAGES, ESPECIALLY IN TIMES IN WHICH THERE ARE NOT NORMALLY DISTRIBUTED DATA FOR SALARIES AND I'LL JUST REMIND YOU ALL AGAIN THAT THERE IS A DIFFERENCE IN THE MEDIAN AND THE MEAN WHEN YOU'RE MAKING AVERAGES AND THAT THE MEAN IS INFLUENCED BY OUTLIERS, WHICH GENERALLY THE DECISIONS THIS COUNCIL MAKES WILL INFLUENCE THAT TO BE HIGHER THAN IF YOU WERE TO USE A MEDIAN SALARY. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE CITY TO BE FISCALLY RESPONSIBLE AND CHOOSE THE CENTER THAT IS MORE IN FAVOR FOR THE CITY RATHER THAN JUST TAKING AN AVERAGE HOWEVER THEY SEE FIT. THANKS.

THANK YOU, KYLE, FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE MAKING A PUBLIC COMMENT, SEEING NONE, I'LL GO TO ZOOM ONE LAST TIME IF THERE'S ANYONE WHO'D LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. COMING BACK TO THE COUNCIL TABLE UNLESS THERE'S COMMENTS, I WILL GO AHEAD AND MAKE A MOTION TO APPROVE THIS ITEM NUMBERED SIX ON THE ORIGINAL AGENDA. AND I'M LOOKING FOR A SECOND SECOND. MARY, IF YOU COULD DO THE ROLL CALL VOTE?

THANK YOU, MAYOR SO. MOVED BY MAYOR AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR TO APPROVE THE PUBLIC WORK DIRECTOR CITY ENGINEER ONE, ENGINEER TWO AND THE PROFESSIONAL SALARY SCHEDULE.
COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

YES.

MAYOR RICH?

YES.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE'LL NOW MOVE ON TO ITEM NUMBER 14 ON OUR ORIGINAL AGENDA FOR THIS EVENING. AND AS A REMINDER, WE'RE MOVING THINGS AROUND ON THE AGENDA IN ORDER TO MAKE SURE WE ADDRESS THESE TWO IMPORTANT SALARY AND POSITION-RELATED ITEMS. SO ITEM NUMBER 14 IS THE POLICE CHIEF AMENDED PAY RANGE AND TO AUTHORIZE RECRUITMENT AS IT'S DESCRIBED ON THE SUBJECT LINE. I BELIEVE THIS IS BEING PRESENTED BY OUR HUMAN RESOURCES CONSULTANT, SO I'LL TURN TO STAFF AND ASK STAFF TO INDICATE WE SHOULD COME FORWARD.

THANK YOU. SO THIS IS THE REVISED JOB DESCRIPTION IN THE SALARY PROPOSAL FOR THE POLICE CHIEF AS STAFF IS -- OR I'M SORRY, AS COUNCIL

IS AWARE. OUR CURRENT POLICE CHIEF HAS ANNOUNCED HIS RETIREMENT. AND SO BASED ON THAT WE HAVE REVISED THE JOB DESCRIPTION AND ALSO DID A STUDY AS FAR AS SALARY SCHEDULE.

[CAPTIONERS TRANSITIONING]

I WOULD LIKE TO RECOGNIZE -- WHO HAS BEEN WITH US QUITE A WHILE SINCE HE WAS A RETIRED LIEUTENANT HAD VERY NICE TO HAPPEN.

CONGRATULATIONS ON YOUR RETIREMENT

OKAY, COME ON. THANK YOU.

SO YES. WHAT WAITED WAS A VACANCY WE GOT. WE HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE A LOOK AT JOB DESCRIPTION, SALLY, THINGS LIKE THAT BUT TRYING TO BE MORE COMPETITIVE IN THE MARKETPLACE. WE DO RUN BOTH AN AVERAGE WHEN A LOOK AT SALARIES AND THERE ARE REASONS WHY WHAT THE MARKET IS. IN THIS CASE, THE SALARY OR THE CLASSIFICATION WAS LAST AMENDED IN 1999. IT BENEFITS FROM A LITTLE MODERNIZATION. WE DID LOOK AT MARKET FOR THE FIRST PAYMENT. THE FIRST WE DID WAS MOST OF THE LOCALITIES IN THE MARKET. UP CLOSE, WE LOOK AT THOSE. SO THAT SOME OF THEM ARE DIFFERENT THAN THEY ARE. FOR INSTANCE, EXCEPT FOR ABOUT \$80 MILLION, WE CAN, WE CAN PULL ALL THE REST OF US INTO THE SAME BUCKET. THERE IS A LOAN FOR A BUDGET. WE DON'T WANT TO USE THEM AS ONE OF OUR PROMPTS. SO THERE ARE TWO CHARTS ON THE ITEM IT ON THE LEFT IS ONE THAT HAS EVERYBODY EXCEPT, I THINK -- ON THE OTHER IS, TAKEN OUT GRANITE PARK. THAT IS BOTH FIRE AND POLICE FOR THEIR TWO. AND THERE IS A LOT GOING ON. SO THAT CHANGES OUR AVERAGE IN DIFFERENT WAYS. SO THEN --

I'M SORRY. WHAT PAGE ARE YOU ON?

YES, WE ARE ON THE VERY FIRST PAGE AT THE BOTTOM.

OKAY, OKAY. GOD APPEARED THANK YOU PRINTER BACK ON THE RIGHT, YOU SEE THERE IS A GAP WHERE WE REMOVED THE SALARY FOR THE -- AND THAT CHANGES THE NUMBERS BUT IN THIS CASE, WE ARE HAVING AN AVERAGE AND IT DOES NOT REALLY SKEW BECAUSE THERE ARE FOUR NUMBERS AND WE WOULD BE -- BUT I APPRECIATE THAT. THE OTHER THING IS, ONCE WE DID THAT, WE LOOKED AT WHAT THAT NUMBER CONNECTED WITH. WHAT HAS MOST RECENTLY BEEN PLACED, THAT IS THE -- AND I THINK HE WOULD'VE LOVED TO HAVE A MUCH LARGER PULPIT IT MAY HAVE BEEN BECAUSE, YOU KNOW, WE ARE FISHING IN THE SAME WATERS. THE SALARIES THAT WE CAN PRUDENTLY AFFORD. AND -- IS A REASONABLE SALARY. -- THAT IS NOTHING TO SNEEZE AT. AND IN THE OTHER THING WE DO IS -- WHAT YOU HAVE IN YOUR STUDIES THAT WE LOOK TO WHAT OUR DEPARTMENT HEAD. WHAT WE ARE EARNING AND WE HAD JUST PLACED THE DEPARTMENT HEAD AT PAD AND THAT SALARY, THAT IS \$20 A MONTH DIFFERENT THAN WHAT WE JUST PLACED FOR OUR PUBLICS WORK DIRECTOR. THEY DO SOMETHING THAT HAS CLASS EXPERTS CALL IT -- ALL OF THEIR DEPARTMENT HEADS, AND I HAVE MIXED FEELINGS ABOUT THIS. THEY'RE ALL EXACTLY THE SAME SALARY. I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT FOR US. BUT I AM SUGGESTING THAT IT MAKES REASONABLE SENSE FOR \$20 A MONTH TO BAN THE TWO SALARIES FOR THIS AMOUNT. THE CITY DIRECTOR, CITY ENGINEER. SO THAT IS A RECOMMENDATION IN THE REPORT FOR THAT SALARY. NOW WE HAVE A COUPLE OF OPTIONS FOR THE OTHER THING WHERE ASKING FOR IS [INAUDIBLE] SO THERE COULD BE, HAVE A CHANCE

TO GO OUT AND HAVE MORE TIME TO LOOK. POTENTIALLY HAVE SOME OVERLAP AND PLAY IN THE SANDBOX TOGETHER FOR TRANSITION. SORRY. [LAUGHTER] THAT IS ALSO INHERENT. YOU DO HAVE CHOICE WITH THE COUNCIL. YOU COULD PROVIDE PROTECTION THAT SAYS NO. LEAVE THE PHTHALATE WHERE IT IS AND LET WHAT HAPPENS. I THINK WE ARE SEEING THAT THEY ARE ON THE MARKETING OF THE -- AND THEN WE COULD DO THE -- I DO NOTHING \$20 A MONTH IS GOING TO MAKE A TREMENDOUS DIFFERENCE FOR SOMEONE'S DECISION. YOU COULD CHOOSE AND GIVE STAFF DIRECTION TO PUT IT RIGHT AT THE MARKET AVERAGE OR THE MARKET MAINTENANCE SHOULD BE THE SAME IN THIS CASE. OKAY. QUESTIONS? VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES. I APPRECIATE THE WORK YOU PUT INTO THIS BUT WHEN IT WAS BROUGHT INTO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE AND FOR THOSE THAT ARE FOLLOWING ALONG, I THINK THE TRANSCRIPT TO HAVE THE QUESTIONS THAT WERE DIRECTED WITH SPECIAL HARRITY WAS A HUGE CONCERN OF MINE. PEOPLE CAN LEAVE AND WE FILL POSITIONS AND IT DOES NOT SHOW A LOT OF RESPECT WHEN ISSUING A PERSON COMING IN A LOT MORE THAN WHAT THE OTHER DEPARTMENT HAD FOR 20 PLUS YEARS BUT I APPRECIATE YOU TAKING AN EYE TOWARD PARITY WITH THIS BUT MY QUESTION, ANOTHER QUESTION I RAISED IN THE BUDGET HEARING IS THAT LOOKING OUT THE -- BECAUSE AS IT HAS BEEN BROUGHT UP BY WAY OF PUBLIC COMMENT, PUBLIC SAFETY IS A HUGE THING. WHAT I ASKED FOR IN THE BUDGET HEARING IS IF YOU'RE GOING TO LOOK AT A COMMENSURATE CITY LIKE -- DO THEY HAVE THE SAME LEVEL OF CRIME THAT WE HAVE HERE IN SEBASTOPOL? IF YOU'RE GOING TO SAY YES, ARE THE CRIMES THE SAME LEVEL OF CRIME? BECAUSE WE DO NOT HAVE A LOT OF VIOLENT TYPE CRIME HERE. EVERY TIME WE LOOK AT THE -- IT IS MISDEMEANORS, THAT TYPE OF THING. IF WE ARE GOING TO COMPARE SALARIES, DID YOU ALSO LOOK AT -- AND THE TYPE OF CRIME?

WE ACTUALLY DID NOT PULL THAT DATA FOR THIS STUDY. I CAN SAY THE TWO CITIES, THE THREE CITIES THAT ARE NOT, ON THE RIGHT-HAND SIDE. AND ACTUALLY, [INAUDIBLE]

OKAY. I HAVE A COUPLE OF OTHER THINGS.

ANY QUESTIONS FROM OTHER COUNCILMEMBERS?

OKAY BUT I THINK VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ASKED? GO AHEAD.

WE DID REVIEW. OPPOSED TO THE BUDGET COMMITTEE. THAT WAS MORE THAN WE WERE COMFORTABLE WITH. ASKING FOR THE COMPARABLES. COMPARABLE TO WHAT WAS MENTIONED.

SO THEN IS THIS SALARY CONSISTENT WITH WHAT THE BUDGET, IS THE BUDGET COMMITTEE SUPPORTING THE SELLER RECOMMENDATION?

YES.

GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I WANT TO CLARIFY SINCE I WORK A LOT WITH HR. WHEN DOING THIS, DID YOU COMPARE JOB DESCRIPTIONS AND ALL OF THAT? BECAUSE THAT IS THE STANDARD PRACTICE WHEN YOU'RE HIRED. LOOKING AT JOB DESCRIPTIONS. THAT IS ONE OF THE PIECES.

WE LOOK AT THE WHOLE THING. [INAUDIBLE] THE DUTIES AND RESPONSIBILITIES. THAT IS ONE OF THE MAIN REASONS. IT IS A MUCH BROADER JUMP.

DID YOU END UP HAVING ANY CHANGES WITH THE JOB DESCRIPTION WHAT WE HAVE NOW?

YEAH, WE HAVE AMENDED THE JOB DESCRIPTION. CONSIDERABLY, I THINK. ADD THE ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER PUT IT IN THE ACTIVE LINK. WHICH IS GOOD.

THANK YOU.

VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN?

YES. SO GETTING BACK TO THE ADVERTISING. PUTTING OUT THERE THE TITLE TO ATTRACT CANDIDATES BUT I WANT TO KNOW YOUR THOUGHTS ABOUT THE SELLING POINT OF, AGAIN, ACED UPON OUR PRE-SLOTS WE'LL GET, THESE ARE VERY LOW LEVEL. MISDEMEANORS [INAUDIBLE] ET CETERA. SO I'M ASKING YOU, WHEN YOU PUT THIS ALL IN A BROCHURE, HOW YOU'RE GOING TO PUT THAT INTO WORDS? I ALSO WANT TO KNOW IF YOU'RE GOING TO ADDRESS THE COMMENT, BECAUSE NOW WE HAVE THIS HUGE INFLUX OF UNSHELTERED PEOPLE. SO ARE YOU GOING TO SAY THAT WE ARE LOOKING FOR -- THAT UNDERSTANDS THE ALTERNATIVE MODEL. BECAUSE IN SEBASTOPOL TIMES , THE OUTGOING POLICE CHIEF WAS INTERVIEWED, YOU CANNOT JUST -- YOUR WAY OUT OF A SITUATION THAT WE ARE NOW EXPERIENCING IN SEBASTOPOL. YOU CAN THROW THEM ALL IN THE BACK OF THE POLICE CAR, SEND THEM DOWN THERE TO COUNTY JAIL , AND TWO HOURS THEY WILL ALL BE BACK HERE. THAT IS NOT INSTILL PUBLIC SAFETY WHEN YOU HAVE POLICE TAKING PEOPLE TO COUNTY JAIL, AND THEN THAT KEEPS THEM FROM ACTUALLY BEING PRESENT SHOULD A VIOLENT CRIME HAPPENED. SO MY SPECIFIC QUESTION IS, AND THE FLYERS YOU'RE GOING TO BE SENDING OUT, ARE YOU GOING TO ASK IF THEY ARE KNOWLEDGEABLE? AND WHAT THEY BE WILLING TO INCORPORATE ALTERNATIVE MODELS OF POLICING TO INCLUDE COMMUNITY POLICING, AND TO INCLUDE OTHER WAYS OF ENACTING WITH WAYS THEY HAVE NOT BEEN TRAINED IN, OR, LIKE, OUR MENTAL HEALTH, SUBSTANCE VIEWS . [INAUDIBLE] INCREASING. I YOU'RE PLANNING ON PUTTING THAT IN YOUR FLYER?

[INAUDIBLE] ANOTHER THING IS THAT THERE ARE OTHER CITIES THAT HAVE EXPERIENCED AND LIVED THROUGH AND SPENT MONTHS WITH ONE SPECIFIC JUDGE OVER THE -- THERE ARE CITIES. THEY MAKE A -- IN THE GROUND FOR US TO GO TALK.

'S WAS THE ANSWER YES?

YES, SORRY. [LAUGHTER]

I WILL TRY TO TURN DOWN THIS QUESTION SECTION SO WE CAN GRAB PUBLIC COMMENTS.

MY QUESTION IS -- BUT NO MATTER WHAT CITY YOU'RE WORKING IN, IS THERE A STANDARD OF TRAINING THAT ALL POLICE OFFICERS, ESPECIALLY CHIEF OF POLICE, ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE, REGARDLESS OF THE -- IN YOUR AREA? DOES IT VARY THAT GREATLY? I DO NOT UNDERSTAND THAT I WILL DEFER TO MY --

THANK YOU. WE HAVE CHIEF NELSON COMING UP TO ANSWER THAT QUESTION. I AM HOPING FOR SINGLY SO WE CAN MOVE ON.

I WILL MAKE IT QUICK BUT ALL OF OUR TRAINING STANDARDS ARE COVERED BY THE STANDARDS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THEY ARE CONSISTENT AMONGST RANKS THROUGHOUT THE STATE AS TO WHAT THE REQUIRED TRAINING START. THAT IS NOT TO SAY THAT ADDITIONAL TRAININGS COULD BE OBTAINED BY DIFFERENT LIEUTENANTS, DIFFERENT

CAPTAINS, DIFFERENT CANDIDATES FOR CHIEF OF POLICE. THERE ARE DIFFERENT EDUCATION LEVELS, AND THERE PERSONAL PHILOSOPHIES ABOUT POLICING INC. WITH THAT BUT I WILL SAY WE INCORPORATE COMMUNITY POLICING HERE. WE TRY TO BE AS PROGRESSIVE AND MODERNIZED AS WE POSSIBLY CAN, GIVEN SOME OF OUR ASIDES AND CONSTRAINTS AND OTHER THINGS. CONSISTENTLY THROUGHOUT THE STATE, IF YOU WERE TO EVALUATE CANDIDATES FROM THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE A PRETTY CONSISTENT LEVEL OF TRAINING FOR THAT.

THANK YOU. I ASKED THAT BECAUSE THAT CREATES AN EXPECTATION FOR SALARIES AND FOR ALL OF THAT. THAT'LL COMES INTO PLAY WHEN WE START LOOKING TO HIRE ANYONE, SO THANK YOU.

OKAY. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? OR CAN WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT AT THIS POINT? OKAY. MARY GOURLEY, PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE ?

THANK YOU, MAYOR RICH PROTECT THE PUBLIC, FOR THE POLICE OFFICER JOB DESCRIPTION AND SALARY SCHEDULE. LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST ROAD I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE? SEE THE TIMER?

NO, YOU CAN LET ME KNOW.

SURE. GO AHEAD.

I REALLY APPRECIATE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN BRINGING UP THE CONCERNS OF ALTERNATIVE POLICING AND HAVING THAT BE A PRIORITY BUT I THINK HAVING THE -- MATERIAL DOES NOT GO FAR ENOUGH ARE TALKING ABOUT UPDATING A JOB DESCRIPTION. A JOB DESCRIPTION FOR BRINGING US A POTENTIAL POLICE CHIEF WHO WILL BRING US INTO A NEW ERA OF POLICING IN OUR CITY. WE HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY, DESPITE OUR MAYOR'S CONCERN ABOUT TRYING TO RUSH THROUGH AGENDA ITEMS AS A RESULT OF GETTING CLOSER TO 10:30. YOU HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO TAKE THE TIME, PUT IN THE WORK, TO UPDATE A JOB DESCRIPTION TO BRING US INTO A MODERN ERA, PLEASE. THERE IS PLENTY OF REGIONAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES THAT HAVE DONE THIS. I HAVE UPDATED THE JOB DESCRIPTION OF POLICING AND POLICE OFFICERS AND POLICE CHIEFS COMING IN ALIGNMENT WITH COMMUNITY POLICING BEST PRACTICES. PUTTING IN A BROCHURE DOES NOT GO FAR ENOUGH BECAUSE THE POLICE CHIEF DESCRIPTION IS ALSO WHAT IS BEING UTILIZED FOR EVALUATION OF THAT PERSON'S JOB PERFORMANCE. I WOULD REALLY WANT YOU TO TAKE THE TIME AND ENERGY, SINCE YOU ARE UPDATING THE JOB DESCRIPTION, TO DO SO IN A WAY THAT BRINGS US INTO THE FUTURE AND NOT LEAVE US IN THE PAST.

KYLE, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS PUT OLIVER?

THANK YOU. YEAH, I THINK THIS'LL BE A GREAT WAY TO -- SO WE HAVE SOME ASKING FOR SOCIAL WORKERS AND SO ON. THIS IS PRETTY STANDARD STUFF. WE DO NOT NEED SERVICE POLICE MEN BECAUSE -- WHO KNOWS WHAT IS GOING TO HAPPEN NEXT WEEK? I MEAN, IT IS RIDICULOUS. I MEAN, A POLICE FORCE IS A POLICE FORCE. YOU PLAN FOR THE WORST CASE SCENARIO. IT IS NOT THAT YOU PLAN FOR THE POLICE TO ORGANIZE SUCH AS A MASSIVE FOREST FIRE OR EARTHQUAKE BRIDGES BECAUSE IT DID NOT HAPPEN LAST WEEK DOES NOT MEAN IT'LL HAPPEN TOMORROW BUT I THINK THE LAST THING, I COMPLETELY DISAGREEING. THE WORK THAT WAS SAID. BECAUSE BASICALLY, THE MORE KIND OF FLUFF PUT IN THE JOB

DESCRIPTION, I MEAN, AS I SAID PREVIOUSLY, IT IS INCREDIBLY DIFFICULT TO AFFORD TO LIVE YOUR IN THE FIRST PHASE. THERE IS NO MONEY TO PAY A DECENT POLICE CHIEF. AND ONE WHO WAS TECHNICALLY RETIRED AND ALMOST DOING US A FAVOR. WE NEED TO MAKE THIS AS ATTRACTIVE AS POSSIBLE BUT I THINK WE NEED TO BE COGNIZANT OF THE FACT WE HAVE RAPIDLY INCREASING PETTY CRIME. AND -- WILL CHANGE THE LEGAL SYSTEM IN THE COMING YEAR, HOPEFULLY. YEAH, I THINK WE HAVE KIND OF WITNESSED THAT PATH OF THIS ALTERNATIVE WAY OF THINKING ABOUT THIS. THANK YOU PRINT

OLIVER, THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT . I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA, CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF, PLEASE ? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE

VIOLENCE IN THIS TOWN. YOU KNOW, A FEW YEARS AGO, -- ALMOST EVERY DAY. [INAUDIBLE] ANYWAY, HE THOUGHT IT WAS CUTE. I WAS ON -- TERMS. [INAUDIBLE] SOME ORCHARDS AND OTHER WOMEN TOO. BUT ANYWAY, AND THEN THERE WAS THE VIOLENCE. LARGELY UNREPORTED TWO WOMEN. THEY WERE ALL ASSOCIATED -- TWO WOMEN, SUPPOSEDLY HUNG THEMSELVES AND THE BODIES WERE FOUND HERE. I HEARD THAT SHE WAS ALL BLUE. ANYWAY, IT HAPPENS. BUT BY AND LARGE, JUST INCREASING OTHER INNOCENT -- MORE INTERESTING, FRANKLY. BECAUSE I AM ON TERMS THAT MOST OF THESE PEOPLE. OR ALL OF THEM. BUT ANYWAY, ABOUT THE, THERE IS MORE TO LIFE THAN JUST MONEY. SEBASTOPOL IS A SPECIAL PLACE. IT WOULD GO A LONG WAY, IN MY OPINION, IF THE CITY COUNCIL IN PARTICULAR ARE THE MAYOR DID NOT DELETE VILIFY AND DEMONIZE OUR COPS.

LINDA, YOU HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS. WITH THE POLICE CHIEF OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT, AND WE HAVE LOST THREE, THREE OF OUR WONDERFUL COPS, STARTING WITH KEVIN -- THEY HAVE GONE TO -- PARK. THEY WERE NOT HAPPY HERE. THEY GET MORE MONEY. THAT IS FOR A LOT OF OUR GENEROSITY TO THE HOMELESS PEOPLE GOES TO THE CASINO. THAT IS EXPANDING.

LINDA, THAT IS TWO MINUTES.

THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. CAN YOU UNMUTE, PLEASE?

YES, I JUST WANTED TO SAY THANK YOU TO CHIEF NELSON AND ALL THE POLICE OFFICERS FOR THEIR WORK IN SEBASTOPOL BUT I CAN IMAGINE IT IS A VERY TRUST RATING POSITION HERE. AND ALSO, VERY DIFFICULT WORK BUT I JUST WANT TO GIVE A SHOUT OUT TO CHIEF NELSON AND SAY THANK YOU TO HIM FOR ALL OF HIS WORK IN OUR COMMUNITY AND ALL THE REST OF THE OFFICERS. I WANT TO REITERATE THAT PUBLIC SAFETY IS THE NUMBER ONE PRIORITY FOR CITIZENS. POLICE OFFICERS SERVE MANY DIFFERENT ROLES. THEY ARE FIRST RESPONDERS IN NATURAL DISASTERS. THEY ARE FIRST RESPONDERS AND HUMAN DISASTERS. WE NEED TO HAVE QUALIFIED PEOPLE WHO CAN MANAGE A TOWN OF OUR SIZE, AND ALSO THE FACT THAT WE HAVE MANY VISITORS THROUGHOUT OUR TOWN. ONCE AGAIN, THE BUSIEST INTERSECTION IN SONOMA COUNTY. WHEN THERE IS A FIRE EVACUATION, ALL THE CARS COME THROUGH SEBASTOPOL AS WELL. SO ONCE AGAIN, WE NEED TO FIND THE BEST PERSON TO DO THE JOB . AS WE KNOW, CRIME IS VERY UNPREDICTABLE. AND I REALIZE THERE IS A LOT

MORE HEROIN AND METHAMPHETAMINE TRAVELING TO OUR COMMUNITY THANKS TO THE WORK OF THE SEBASTOPOL TIMES. THIS IS NOT A POSITIVE THING FOR OUR COMMUNITY. IT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED BY SOMEONE WHO WAS A PROFESSIONAL. I UNDERSTAND THAT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN IS VERY INTERESTED IN SOCIAL WORKERS CAN WE DO HAVE A HOMELESS OUTREACH COORDINATOR WHICH WE PAY OUT OF OUR BUDGET WHO SHOULD BE A SOCIAL WORKER. AND THAT CONEY ALSO HAS FREE RESOURCES WE COULD EMPLOYEE AND PARTNER WITH BUT IN ADDITION, SONOMA COUNTY DOES NOT HAVE ENOUGH MENTAL HEALTH BETTER TAKE CARE OF ALL THE PEOPLE WHO NEED RENTAL HEALTH SERVICES. WE WILL NOT BE ABLE TO SOLVE THAT PROBLEM THROUGH SOCIAL WORKERS UNTIL THE COUNTY AND MORE BEDS TO THE MENTAL HEALTH CAPACITY. ONCE AGAIN THE PUBLIC SAFETY IS FIRST. THANK YOU PRINT

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT, I WILL GO BACK IN CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO OUR CITY CLERK, MARY GOURLEY. I WANTED TO POINT OUT THAT MARY GOURLEY SERVES TWO ROLES HERE. BOTH THE CITY CLERK, REPORTING DIRECTLY TO THE CITY COUNCIL. A ROLE WE REALLY APPRECIATE. AND ALSO AS OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER. AND SO YOU WILL HEAR HER REFER TO BOTH THOSE ROLES BECAUSE BOTH THOSE ROLES ARE VERY DISTINCT. AND WE HAVE GOT ONE PERSON DOING BOTH. THAT WILL LEAD ME TO SOME DISCUSSION POINTS IN A FEW MINUTES. ANY COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS AT THE MOMENT?

I JUST WANT TO SAY, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN, I COMPLETELY UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU'RE COMING FROM, YOU KNOW? IT SOUNDS TO ME LIKE WE NEED MORE SOCIAL WORKERS THAT ARE DEALING WITH SOME OF THIS THAT I WANTED TO GIVE YOU A DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVE. AS A WOMAN AND A YOUNG GIRL, FROM THE TIME WE ARE ABLE TO UNDERSTAND, WE ARE TAUGHT TO BE FEARFUL OF GETTING OURSELVES IN SITUATIONS. WE ARE TAUGHT NOT TO WALK NIGHT. WHERE TAUGHT TO WALK WITH KEYS BETWEEN OUR FINGERS. WE ARE TAUGHT TO WORK WITH BEAR SPRAY PIT ABOUT THAT NOW BECAUSE I HAVE AN ENCOUNTER ON THE -- THAT FREAKED ME OUT BECAUSE THE GENTLEMAN TRIED TO BREAK BETWEEN MY FRIEND AND I. WE BELIEVE HE WAS TRYING TO STEAL OUR PURSES. AND I WANT YOU TO UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE MANY DIFFERENT PERSPECTIVES ON THE PURPOSE OF THE POLICE. AND I WANT YOU TO TAKE THIS IN THE RIGHT WAY. BUT WHEN WE ARE SAYING WE DO NOT NEED POLICE WE DO NOT HAVE THOSE CRIMES COMMENTS ON THINK IT IS COMING FROM ME WHITE MALE PRIVILEGE POSITION AND NOT A FEMALE POSITION BUT I WANT YOU TO TAKE THAT IN THE SPIRIT I MEAN IT. IT IS BECAUSE WOMEN ARE CONSTANTLY TAUGHT ABOUT HOW NOT TO PUT THEMSELVES IN SITUATIONS. I HAVE CALLED THE POLICE SO MANY TIMES IN MY HOUSE WAS BROKEN INTO BY THE HOMELESS. WE HAVE HAD CARS BROKEN INTO. WHEN I WALKED DOWN -- TRAIL AND I HAD THAT ENCOUNTER, THE FIRST THING I DID, I CALLED THE CHIEF ON A SATURDAY BECAUSE I WAS SO FREAKED OUT ABOUT WHAT HAPPENED AND I CANNOT BELIEVE THAT MAN HAD DONE THAT BUT I JUST HAVE TO, I WANT YOU TO TAKE THE TIME TO UNDERSTAND FROM THE FEMALE PERSPECTIVE, THE ROLE OF THE POLICE PLAY IN THE COMMUNITY AS WELL. THEY DO A LOT OF THINGS. I JUST, I

HEAR THAT AND IT JUST, IT REALLY BOTHERS ME. BECAUSE AS A WOMAN, I'M CONSTANTLY, EVEN AT THIS AGE, I AM AWARE OF MY SURROUNDINGS AND RELYING ON THE POLICE FOR THAT BUT I UNDERSTAND WHERE YOU'RE COMING FROM, BUT I ALSO WANTED TO SHARE THAT WITH YOU. THERE A LOT OF PEOPLE OUT THERE THAT REALLY FIND THE POLICE ARE VERY NEEDED. AND I JUST FEEL LIKE THAT NEED TO BE SET AS A WOMAN, I JUST WANT YOU TO HAVE MORE UNDERSTANDING TO THE PURPOSE OF THE POLICE HERE. SO --

[INAUDIBLE] THAT HAS DEVELOPED RELATIONSHIPS WITH -- AND SPECIAL - WHO ALSO EXPERIENCED THE EXACT SAME FEAR AND TREPIDATION, YES. I DEFINITELY DO HERE, AND I APPRECIATE EVERYTHING YOU ARE SAYING.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY COMMENTS FROM COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? GO AHEAD.

I JUST WANT TO SAY THANK YOU TO HR, THE PERSON, FOR ALL THE WORK. I KNOW THAT IN MY TIME, WE HAVE DONE THESE STUDIES BEFORE BECAUSE WE WANT TO BE FAIR. I REALIZE WE ARE A SMALL CITY. PEOPLE LOVE TO -- AND WALK AWAY. SO, YOU KNOW, BUT WE HAVE TO BE COMPETITIVE. I WOULD LIKE TO ALSO PLAY OUT, ONE OF THE SPEAKERS TONIGHT BROUGHT IT UP. WE NEED TO GO TO 2003 NC THE CHIEF LETTER ON THREE RECENT DEBTS IN SEBASTOPOL. YOU CAN ALSO GO, THESE ARE KIDS THAT WENT TO SCHOOL WITH MY SON. [INAUDIBLE]

I'M SORRY TO INTERRUPT YOU, BUT I AM LOOKING AT THE CLOCK READ I DID CHECK WITH OUR COUNCILMEMBERS. 10:30 IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR ANY COUNCIL MEMBER TO END THE MEETING. BEFORE WE GET THERE, I JUST AM GOING TO HURT REQUEST OF OUR COUNCILMEMBERS, THEIR PERMISSION, THEIR APPROVAL, TO FINISH OUT THIS TOPIC AND THEN ALLOW AT LEAST FIVE MINUTES TO HAVE SOME DISCUSSION TO TALK ABOUT WHAT WE ARE GOING TO DO ABOUT REMAINING ITEMS. IS EVERYONE OKAY WITH THAT? INITIATE THIS ITEM PLUS FIVE MINUTES RESULT WHAT THE NEXT UPS ARE? OKAY, GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I'M SORRY. I AM SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

SO THE FATAL STABBINGS OF 2018, RIGHT? IN OUR CITY, OF STUDENTS THAT WENT TO SCHOOL WITH MY CHILDREN. IT JUST TAKES ONE THING TO HAPPEN. AND THEN WE HAVE A PROBLEM. SO I WANT TO MAKE SURE WE HIGHLIGHT THE PHYSICIAN AND WE MAKE AN ATTEMPT AT SALARY. I WILL BE IN SUPPORT OF THIS AGENDA ITEM TONIGHT. SOM SUPPORT OF LEAVING IT TO OUR HR RECRUITER TO PUT IT WITH THE JOB DESCRIPTION. I TRUST HER AND I TRUST SHE WILL COME UP WITH THE PROCESS HAVING BEEN THROUGH THIS BEFORE. I AM SURE THAT WE WILL HAVE A SMALL PART OF THAT PROCESS AND TRUST THAT WOULD HAPPEN AS WELL. THANK YOU PRINT ANY OTHER COMMENTS? GO AHEAD, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

SO WILL THE JOB DESCRIPTION INCLUDE THE -- OR WILL IT JUST BE LIMITED TO THE ADVERTISING AND BROCHURES?

COULD YOU PLEASE, I'M SORRY, COULD YOU PLEASE COME TO THE PODIUM? THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK THE QUESTION, IF SOME OF YOU COULD REPEAT YOUR QUESTION INTO THE MICROPHONE?

SO THE QUESTION FROM PUBLIC COMMENT REMINDED ME OF THE FACT THAT, YES, MY REQUEST IS NOT TO HAVE IT BE IN THE ADVERTISING FOR THE ALTERNATIVE MODELS. THAT ACTUALLY WOULD BE INCORPORATED INTO THE JOB DESCRIPTION.

I'M SORRY. I'M SORRY, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND I AM SEEING COUNCILMEMBERS WANTING TO WEIGH IN HERE ON THIS TOPIC. SO YOU'RE SUGGESTING IT NEEDS TO BE IN THE JOB DESCRIPTION. WOULD ANYONE ELSE LIKE TO WEIGH IN? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I JUST THINK THAT IS, THAT SHOULD BE DISCUSSED AND DETERMINED BY THE COCOUNSEL.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS , DID YOU HAVE A COMMENT? KNOW?

I PERSONALLY DO NOT THINK IT IS NECESSARY.

CAN ASK THE QUESTION?

I'M SORRY. IS THE JOB DESCRIPTION GOING TO COME BACK TO THE COUNCIL?

IT IS BEFORE YOU NOW. THE COUNCIL WOULD HAVE TO DISCUSS, MAKE A DECISION, AND A MOTION THROUGH THE CHAIR, GIVE STAFF DIRECTION IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO CHANGE IT. THEN THEY WOULD, DEPENDING ON HOW MUCH YOU ARE CHANGING, THEY WOULD HAVE TO BRING IT BACK.

SO VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN , I AM LOOKING AT THE AGENDA ITEM, SPECIFICALLY PAGE SEVEN OF 56. IT IS LATE, BUT IS THERE A PARTICULAR PROPOSED MODIFICATION THAT YOU COULD SUGGEST SO THAT THE COUNCIL COULD MAKE A DECISION?

JUST PUTTING THE WORDS IN THERE, WHEREVER IT MAKES SENSE. AS FAR AS IDEOLOGY, AS FAR AS IMPROVING THE METHODS OF POLICING. BUT THAT IS MY REQUEST. THOSE WORDS BE INCLUDED.

WE HAVE A REQUEST FROM VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. PROBABLY IN THE SUMMARY SECTION OF THE JOB DESCRIPTION THAT SOME LANGUAGE BE ADDED TO EMPHASIZE THE SEARCH FOR AN APPLICANT THAT WOULD REPRESENT ALTERNATIVE WAYS OF POLICING. AND TRYING TO CAPTURE IT. SO I NEED TO KNOW FROM OTHER COUNCILMEMBERS, THUMBS-UP OR THUMBS DOWN? YOU WANT TO HAVE THAT INCLUDED? I'M SORRY.

CAN WE ASK OUR CURRENT CHIEF ABOUT HOW HE MIGHT READ SOMETHING LIKE THAT IF HE UNDERSTANDS THE REQUEST?

SO WE HAVE TWO COUNCILMEMBERS WHO ARE INTERESTED IN KNOWING WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE. WE HAVE TWO REALLY DO NOT WANT TO SEE IT REFERRED. POLICE CHIEF NELSON, COULD YOU COME UP FOR A MOMENT? COULD YOU GIVE US INPUT ON THIS ITEM? WELL HE IS WALKING UP, JUST TO READ YOU A LINE FROM THE SUMMARY. THE CHIEF OF POLICE PERFECTS A DEMONSTRATED CULTURAL COMPETENCY WORKED WITH THE COMMUNITY MEMBERS WITH CULTURAL DIFFERENCES OR CIRCUMSTANCES INCLUDING RACE, ETHNICITY, GENDER IDENTITY, AGE, STATUS, SEXUAL ORIENTATION, -- OTHER IDENTITIES. IT IS NOT EXACTLY THE WORDING IS SAID, BUT THAT IS AN EXISTING PRINT

IT IS ALREADY IN THERE. YEAH. SO THAT IS ALREADY IN THE DESCRIPTION YOU JUST SAID, CORRECT?

CORRECT. YEAH.

IT IS MY UNDERSTANDING OF ALTERNATIVE POLICING. IT IS NOT JUST TRADITIONAL, LIKE, YOU KNOW? SOMEBODY HANDCUFF YOU AND EXPECTING -- [INAUDIBLE] THAT IS NOT MY UNDERSTANDING OF THE REQUEST.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I WANT TO SAY FOR GOING TO GO DOWN THAT PATH, THAT I THINK WE DID HAVE CLEAR INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT ALTERNATIVE POLICING MEANS

BUT IT BECOMES THIS RABBIT HOLE OF JUST DOING THESE ONE OFFS. I MEAN, TO ME, IT IS JUST, THE STATEMENT, FOR ME, IT IS COMPLETELY FINE. THAT COVERS EVERYTHING.

OKAY. WE HAVE A DECISION MAKING POINT. WE NEED TO EITHER SUPPORT MAKING A MODIFICATION OR NOT. I SEE OUR POLICE CHIEF WANTING TO MAKE A COMMENT.

IF I MAY, THE JOB DESCRIPTION IS TO DESCRIBE THE CORE FUNCTIONS OF WHAT THE JOB IS. AND WHAT THE VICE MAYOR IS ASKING FOR, I THINK, THAT COULD EASILY BE INCORPORATED INTO THEIR BROCHURE BUT IT COULD ALSO BE EXAMINED BY WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN THE BOARD PROCESSES, COMMUNITY PANELS, THINGS LIKE THAT. WE COULD DEAFLY MAKE A STATEMENT IN THE RECRUITING BROCHURE. WERE LOOKING FOR A CANDIDATE WHO IS WILLING TO EVALUATE PROGRESSIVE METHODS OF POLICING WHICH MAY INCLUDE ALTERNATIVE METHODS OF POLICING. SOMETHING ALONG THOSE LINES.

THAT WOULD BE ACCEPTABLE TO ME. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? IS THAT ACCEPTABLE TO YOU ?

SURE. I TRUST THE CHIEF. IF THAT IS THE BEST WORDING AND UNDERSTOOD BY MEMBERS OF HIS PROFESSION, THAT IS GREAT.

EXCELLENT. COUNCILMEMBERS, ANY CONCERNS? OKAY. YES?

I AGREE. AS FAR AS I UNDERSTAND FROM WHAT WE LEARNED IN THE BUDGET COMMITTEE IS THAT THERE WAS NOT A BIG POOL OF APPLICANTS. I THINK THERE WAS ONE APPLICANT. ARE WE GOING TO BE LIMITING OUR POOL OF APPLICANTS? ARE WE SHOOTING OURSELVES IN THE FOOT? DOES IT COST MONEY TO RECRUIT?

THAT IS SOMETHING THAT CAN BE DETERMINED INTERVIEWS. THAT IS WHAT YOU DO ALL THE TIME DID YOU INTERVIEW PEOPLE TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THEIR PHILOSOPHY IS THAT I MEAN, ANYBODY CAN SAY THEY HAVE THAT EXPERIENCE BUT UNTIL YOU TALK TO SOMEONE, YOU NEVER KNOW. SOMEBODY COULD SAY THEY'RE ABLE TO DO THAT AND THEN YOU TALK TO THEM AND YOU ARE THINKING, WHO IS THIS CLOWN?

AND DRILL DOWN FURTHER INTO THEIR IDEAS BUT IT IS NOT UNCOMMON. I SEE A LOT OF POLICE CHIEF JOBS AND FLYERS COME ACROSS. THAT IS FAIRLY TYPICAL LANGUAGE AND IF IT WERE LOOKING FOR A PROGRESSIVE, MODERN CANDIDATE WHO EMBRACES COMMUNITY POLICING AND IS WILLING TO EXAMINE ALTERNATIVE METHODS TO SERVE THE COMMUNITY BEST.

OKAY. SO THAT IS NOT GOING TO, A PERSPECTIVE, THAT IS NOT GOING TO HAMPER OPPORTUNITIES?

I DON'T BELIEVE SO. ANY POLICE CHIEF THAT IS WORTH THEIR SALT IN THIS DAY AND AGE UNDERSTANDS THAT IS PART OF THE ROLE. TO CONTINUE MOVING THE PARTNER FORWARD AND A PROGRESSIVE POSITION.

ALL RIGHT. SO YOU'RE GOOD WITH THAT?

OKAY.

I SUPPORT THAT.

YOU SAID ONE MORE POINT?

CAN WE AFFORD ALL OF THIS ALTERNATIVE? YOU KNOW, WE CAN REQUEST ALL OF THESE THINGS, BUT WE ARE LIMITED IN OUR FUNDS. TO ACTUALLY IMPLEMENT THINGS. SO I AM JUST SAYING.

THEY OFFER ONE THING? I'M GOING TO BE MAKING THE SELECTION TO THE COUNCIL. I WILL NOT SELECT SOMEONE THAT DOES NOT FIT THE CRITERIA OF SEBASTOPOL.

THAT IS REASSURING.

[INAUDIBLE] YOU HAVE GOT TO HAVE A POLICE CHIEF THAT MATCHES THE COMMUNITY ARE YOU GOING TO HAVE A MESS.

I WANT TO ADD THAT ONE OF THE -- I EVER MET, ACTUALLY WOULD, WOULD COME -- AND HE WOULD SAY, I NEED TO CHECK IN. HE WOULD PUT HIM -- PUT THEM IN A CELL AND GIVE THEM BREAKFAST. AT THAT CITY RIGHT NOW.

ALL RIGHT. I HAVE A COUPLE OF QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS ITEM. AND HOPEFULLY, WE CAN WRAP IT UP. LOOKING AT THE JOB DESCRIPTION, I AM CONFUSED BY A SENTENCE IN THE SUMMARY SECTION. IT IS THE FINAL SECTION. I THINK THERE MIGHT BE A TYPO IN HERE. IT DOES THAT THIS POSITION -- IT MAY SERVE AS THE CITY MANAGER OR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER AND BOTH THE MANAGERS, THE ABSENCE OR AS ASSIGNED. WHAT DOES THAT MEAN? WHAT MANAGER OFFICE?

IF THERE IS NO CITY MANAGER OR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER TO TAKE ON THAT TOWN, THEN THEY CAN, SO THE APOSTROPHE IS IN THE WRONG PLACE SO BOTH THE CITY MANAGER AND THE -- THE CITY MANAGER ARE NOT PRESENT, AND THIS INDIVIDUAL COULD STEP IN TO SERVE THOSE ROLES?

THAT IS THE WAY THIS IS WRITTEN. I AM NOT SURE THAT IS --

SO THE APOSTROPHE IS IN THE WRONG PLACE, FOR SURE.

I THINK THIS IS IN ALL OF OUR DEPARTMENT HAD JOB DESCRIPTION SO WE HAVE SOME FLEXIBILITY WITH COVERAGE.

OKAY. I'M ASSUMING -- IF NEITHER OF YOU, THEN THIS PERSON COULD STEP IN? IT IS NOT THAT IF YOU ARE GONE, AND SHE IS HERE, THAT PERSON COULD STEP IN?

CORRECT.

SO THIS WORDING IS AMBIGUOUS. IT SAYS IN BOTH THE -- SO COULD BE CLARIFIED, I WOULD APPRECIATE THAT.

AND ALSO --

ONE OF THE THINGS WE COMMONLY ASKED FOR IN THESE ITEMS AND WE HAVE ASKED FOR BEFORE, AND IT HAS BEEN GRANTED. BUT ACROSS THE CLASS PLAN, THAT THE CITY MANAGER CAN MAKE NONSUBSTANTIVE CHANGES THAT WOULD AFFECT THE SCOPE AND LEVEL. SO THIS IS ONE OF THOSE WHERE EVEN IF YOU APPROVE IT THIS WAY, WE CAN MAKE THOSE CHANGES.

I'M REQUESTING THAT THIS JOB DESCRIPTION AND OTHER JOB DESCRIPTIONS MAKE IT CLEAR THAT IT IS ONLY IF THE CITY MANAGER AND ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER ARE UNAVAILABLE, THAT SOMEONE ELSE CAN STEP INTO.

WE WILL CLEAR THAT UP.

OKAY. IS THAT ACCEPTABLE TO STAFF? MY OTHER QUESTION IS, I AM COMING BACK. SO I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST THAT THE BUDGET COMMITTEE BE PRESENTED WITH INFORMATION THAT PROVIDES AN OVERVIEW OF THE IMPLICATIONS OF THESE INDIVIDUAL PIECEMEAL CHANGES IN SALARY. AND WHAT IT MEANS FOR OUR OVERALL SALARY SCHEDULE. BECAUSE I WILL COLLECT IN THIS PARTICULAR STAFF REPORT THAT THERE IS ONE OTHER POSITION THAT IS, I THINK, 22%, WAS IT? 16. 9%

BELOW WHAT THE MARKET AMOUNT IS. AND THAT WOULD BE OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER CITY CLERK. WE ARE TALKING EQUITY IF WE USE THAT, IF WE USE THE WHOLE SPECTRUM, THAT IS ABSOLUTELY CORRECT. IF WE REWORK THAT WITH THE -- IT IS STILL DOUBLE DIGITS, BUT IT IS 14 INSTEAD OF 17 TOOK MY POINT IS, THIS HAS BUDGETARY IMPLICATIONS THAT I UNDERSTAND THE PIECEMEAL. YOU KNOW, WE NEED TO FILL THIS POSITION AND I GET THAT. BUT THERE ARE BUDGETARY IMPLICATIONS THAT HAVE A DOMINO EFFECT, A CASCADING EFFECT. WHEN ONE SALARY IS CHANGED AND OTHERS ARE NOT. SO I AM REQUESTING THAT THAT ISSUE BE A GENDERIZED FOR THE BUDGET COMMUNITY. I'M ACTUALLY LOOKING FOR MY FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS TO SUPPORT THAT. THUMBS UP? BUDGET COMMITTEE? OKAY. STAFF? THAT IS GOOD? OKAY. THEN THE SELECTION PROCESS. I KNOW IN THE PAST, THE SELECTION PROCESS HAS NOT BEEN SIMPLY -- THERE IS BEEN A PANEL. THERE BEEN PANELS THAT HAVE INTERVIEWED APPLICANTS. I HEARD OUR CITY MANAGER SAY, I'M GOING TO RECOMMEND AN APPLICANT. I'M ASKING STAFF AND OUR HR PERSON, WHAT IS THE SELECTION PROCESS GOING TO BE? IS IT ANTICIPATED THAT THERE WILL BE A PANEL AND WHO WILL BE ON THAT PANEL? I WAS GOING TO SAY THAT THIS INITIAL STEP IS TO GET YOUR AUTHORITY TO BE ABLE TO BEGIN RECRUITING. ONCE THAT HAPPENS, THEN WE SCHEDULE A MEETING AND DISCUSS WHAT THOSE SELECTION PROCESSES WILL BE. IF THE -- ON THE COUNCIL DECIDE THEY WANT TO INVITE THE COMMUNITY AND THINGS LIKE THAT, THAT IS AN ADVISORY BODY. NOT A DECISION-MAKING BODY.

SO THAT AN ISSUE THAT WOULD COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL? THE CITY MANAGER HAS THE AUTHORITY TO DETERMINE THE SELECTION PROCESS, AND LEFT YOU DIRECT HIM OTHERWISE.

MY PROPOSAL WOULD BE WE LOOK TO OUR PRIOR PROCESS THAT I BELIEVE THAT INCLUDED COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT FOR SELECTION OF POLICE CHIEF. I COULD BE WRONG. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I JUST REMEMBER BEING ON THE COUNCIL AND WE INTERVIEWED OUR PREVIOUS CHIEF. THE COUNCIL WAS IN CLOSED SESSION. WITH CHIEF KILGORE. I RECALL BEING PART OF THE PROCESS. I DO NOT RECALL HAVING A COMMUNITY MEMBER, BUT I DO RECALL ALL PANEL UP PROFESSIONALS IN THAT INDUSTRY TO NARROW DOWN UNTIL IT GOT TO THAT POINT. SO THAT IS MY RECOLLECTION. I AM NOT SURE WE DID THE SAME THING BUT I THINK THAT WAS THE LAST BIG RECRUITMENT WE DID

THAT WAS THE LAST BIG ONE WE DID. THE COUNCIL AT THAT TIME DID A POINT DOES TWO. THEY HAD A PANEL CONVENED AND THEN THE CITY COUNCIL DID -- AN CAN WE DO THAT AGAIN?

I WOULD LIKE TO DO THAT.

THAT WOULD BE A PANEL DISCUSSION AND THE CITY COUNCIL. CITY ATTORNEY?

I WOULD JUST ADD, YOU KNOW, [INAUDIBLE] THE CITY MANAGER. THE PLANNING AUTHORITY FOR THESE POSITIONS. I DO NOT BELIEVE WE COULD MEET IN CLOSED SESSION TO INTERVIEW SOMEONE FOR WHICH THEY DO NOT HAVE APPROVAL OF THE CITY COUNCIL. I WOULD HAVE TO BE PART OF A PUBLIC PROCESS.

FROM MY RECOLLECTION OF IT, WE WERE NOT APPOINTING. WE HAD THE OPPORTUNITY TO ASK QUESTIONS OF THE CANDIDATE. AND THE PUBLIC MIGHT ASK US, SO THAT WAS KIND OF THE PROCESS.

IT SOUNDS LIKE THE CITY COUNCIL WANTS TO HAVE AN OPPORTUNITY TO PRESENT CANDIDATES WITH QUESTIONS. I AM NOT SURE WHAT THAT PROCESS LOOKS LIKE OR WHETHER OUR POLICE CHIEF MIGHT HAVE A PROPOSAL.

I HAVE A CONCERN. I REALLY THINK THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE A PUBLIC PROCESS. MANY PEOPLE AT THESE LEVELS DO NOT TALK TO THEIR -- DURING THE APPLICATION PROCESS. WE WOULD BE LIMITING OUR POOL FOR SOME GOOD CANDIDATES THAT WAY.

CAN WE HAVE OUR POLICE CHIEF COME TO THE PODIUM FOR JUST A MINUTE? AND JUST WAY AND PUT IT SOUNDS LIKE WE NEED TO MAKE A DECISION TONIGHT ABOUT WHAT THE SELECTION PROCESS MIGHT BE. DO YOU HAVE ANY RECOMMENDATIONS FOR US?

[LAUGHTER] SO YOU'RE NOT REQUIRED TO DETERMINE WHAT THE PROCESS WILL LOOK LIKE TONIGHT. I RECENTLY PARTICIPATED IN A POLICE CHIEF SELECTION PROCESS FOR THE CITY OF LAKEWOOD BUT IT IS NOT UNCOMMON. THESE PROCESSES ARE GENERALLY WHAT WE DESCRIBE AS AN ASSESSMENT PANEL EXERCISE. THERE ARE SEVERAL DIFFERENT PANELS ASSEMBLED WITH THE PANEL I WAS ON WAS ALL FROM CHIEF OF POLICE THROUGHOUT THE REGION. WHENEVER THE CANDIDATES AND HAVE SCRIPTED QUESTIONS WHICH WE ASKED HIM. THERE WAS ACTUALLY A CITY EMPLOYEE PANEL. THEY HAD SOME QUESTIONS THEY ASKED HIM. AND THERE WAS A COMMUNITY PANEL AS WELL FROM REPRESENTATIVE THROUGHOUT THE COMMUNITY. THREE DIFFERENT PANELS. ALL DONE IN ONE DAY. IT IS RAPIDFIRE. THE PROCESS TOOK 4 TO 6 HOURS TO COMPLETE THAT IT GOES TO THE CITY COUNCIL AND CITY MANAGER TO HAVE A CONVERSATION. THE CITY MANAGER MAKES THE RECOMMENDATION FOR THAT IS A PRETTY TYPICAL PROCESS IN THIS DAY AND AGE. IN ADDITION, ONCE YOU NARROW IT DOWN TO ONE, TWO, OR THREE CANDIDATES, YOU HAVE TO GET A REALLY GOOD POOL, YOU CAN ALSO SCHEDULE PUBLIC READINGS FOR THE COMMITTEE TO ASK INDIVIDUAL PANELISTS QUESTIONS ON SOME OF THEIR VIEWPOINTS. THAT IS NOT UNCOMMON.

-- OR CAPTAIN. HAVING A PANEL AND ACHIEVE PANEL TRUCK SO WHAT DIRECTION DOES THE CITY COUNCIL NEED TO GIVE TONIGHT? DO WE JUST SAY, TRUST DEBORAH MUCH MORE AT OUR POLICE CHIEF AND STAFF TO COME UP WITH THE PROCESS THAT WILL ALLOW COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT? AND CITY INPUT ON SOME LEVEL? IS THAT SUFFICIENT? I TRUST OUR CITY MANAGER. HE CAN REACH OUT IF HE HAS, HE TEXTS ME OFTEN.

BUT I THINK THE DIRECTION FROM THE CITY COUNCIL, I THINK, DOES THE CITY COUNCIL NORMALLY SHARE COMMUNITY INVOLVEMENT IN A PANEL? TO SELECT OR NOT? I'M TRYING TO FIGURE OUT WHAT THE INTEREST LEVEL IS. GO AHEAD.

HOW DOES THAT WORK? I MEAN, HAVE SOME VERY DIFFERENT VIEWS OF THIS COMMUNITY. LIKE WE DO IN THE COUNCIL HERE. SO I JUST WANT TO, WHAT DOES THAT PROCESS LOOK LIKE?

SO YOU HAVE DIFFERENT TYPES OF POLICE CHIEFS. THEIR OPERATIONAL CHIEFS AND YOU HAVE CHIEFS WHO ARE COMMUNITY ORIENTED THAT A

LITTLE MORE POLITICAL. THEY WORK REALLY WELL IN THAT ENVIRONMENT. WILL HAVE TO SEE WHAT OUR POOL IS LIKE ON THAT WEEKEND. THAT WILL HELP DEFINE A LITTLE BIT ABOUT, OR HELP WITH HOW WELL THIS PROCESS WILL GO. OFTEN WHAT WE WILL DO, THERE ARE A COUPLE WAYS WE CAN DO IT BUT WE CAN PEOPLE PROVIDE QUESTIONS UP FRONT AND THEN WE DO A FACILITATED PANEL. THOSE QUESTIONS. SO GROUPS, THE CHIEFS CAN GET ASKED QUESTIONS, YOU KNOW, THAT ARE ALREADY CREATED. THEY ARE NOT AD HOC. AND THEY HAVE BEEN, THE PUBLIC HAS SUBMITTED THEM. AND THEY HAVE REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE COMMUNITY ASKING THEM. THAT IS ONE WAY IT COULD GO PUT ANOTHER WAY IS TO HAVE AN EVENT WHERE THEY ARE ACTUALLY IN A MEETING GROUP, YOU KNOW? AT A ROOM OR AT A DOUBLED ESTIMATE MY QUESTION IS, WHAT DIRECTION DOES THIS COUNCIL NEED TO GIVE TONIGHT?

SORRY.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I JUST WANT TO WEIGH IN. UNTIL WE KNOW THE INTERVIEW POOL. CORRECT, IF WE ARE INTERVIEWING SOMEBODY THAT WORKS WEIGHT AND HOME AND THEY DO KNOW WHAT THEIR EMPLOYER TO KNOW, I DO NOT SEE HOW WE CAN DO THIS PUBLIC THING AND HAVE THEM JEOPARDIZE THEIR JOB IN AN INTERVIEW PROCESS. I FEEL LIKE IT IS PREMATURE. I'M WILLING TO TRUST AND GET THIS RECRUITMENT OUT AND THEN SEE WHO WE HAVE GOT. I CANNOT IMAGINE APPLYING FOR A JOB WHEN I'M STILL EMPLOYED AND STAND UP AND FROM THE COMMUNITY AND SAY, THIS IS WHY SHOULD COME TO WORK HERE COULD I DO NOT WANT TO TIE THE HANDS OF ANYBODY BUT I WANT THE BEST PERSON I TRUST YOU GUYS WILL DO A PANEL OF APPROPRIATE PEOPLE.

GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

WHEN IT BE ABSOLUTELY APPROPRIATE TO DO, YOU KNOW, IF YOU SAID THE PUBLIC SURVEY WHERE YOU GET QUESTIONS. WE ARE NOT EXPOSING THE CANDIDATE OR EXPOSING THE CITIZENS. REMEMBER, BRINGS THOSE QUESTIONS TO THE TABLE. THEY WILL GET ANSWER. YOU KNOW, EVERYONE, YOU KNOW, THEY ARE ANONYMOUS OR WHATEVER.

TO ME, I WOULD BE OKAY WITH THAT. I THINK WHAT HOPEFULLY STAFF HIS HEARING IS THAT THIS COUNCIL WOULD LIKE SOME COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT OF SOME KIND IN THE SELECTION PROCESS. FOR THIS POLICE CHIEF. BUT I DO NOT THINK THAT WE CAN REQUIRE THAT COME BACK TO US FOR SOME SORT OF APPROVAL. I THINK WE JUST HAVE TO REQUEST THERE BE COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT. GO AHEAD OF, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

I WOULD ECHO WHAT COUNCILMEMBER HINTON HAS SAID. I DO NOT WANT TO LIMIT OUR POOL IN ANY WAY.

I DO NOT EITHER. THAT IS WHY I SUGGESTED THE PRIVATE QUESTIONS, YOU KNOW? FROM THE COMMUNITY, AND THEN WE CAN GET ANSWERS. YEAH. ANYWAY TO WRAP THIS UP FOR US?

SO WHAT WE HAVE BEEN ASKING FOR TONIGHT IS FOR YOU TO GIVE US AUTHORITY FOR THE JOB DESCRIPTION, FOR THE -- RANGE, AND FOR THE AUTHORITY TO RECRUIT. AND THEN WE WILL DO THE BEST MARKETING CAMPAIGN FIRST, AND THEN WORK ON THE SELECTION PROCESS.

WILL THE SELECTION PROCESS COME BACK TO THE CITY COUNCIL? ARE WE TRUSTING YOU GUYS?

IT IS IN THE PURVIEW OF THE CITY MANAGER BASED ON ORDINANCE. BUT I AM SURE YOU WILL BE INFORMED. THIS IS KIND OF AN EXCITING ROLE TO BE FILLING IN THE CITY.

I LOOK INTO THE CITY ATTORNEY. DOES THIS MEAN THE CITY COUNCIL DOES NOT HAVE ANY AUTHORITY TO DIRECT THE SELECTION PROCESS?

CITY MANAGER IS THE POINT. SO I THINK WE HAVE HEARD YOUR INPUT ON SOME IDEAS. I'M SURE THE CITY MANAGER WILL INCORPORATE THOSE AND GET FEEDBACK FROM HIM ON, YOU KNOW?

BUT ULTIMATELY, THIS IS NOT WITHIN THE PURVIEW OF THE CITY COUNCIL? CORRECT

OKAY, THANK YOU FOR MAKING THAT CLEAR TO US BUT I'M LOOKING FOR A MOTION.

I AM GOING TO MOVE THAT WE AUTHORIZE THE CITY MANAGER AND OUR HR FIRM TO APPROVE THIS POLICE CHIEF PAY RANGE AND AUTHORIZE THE RECRUITMENT.

AND I WOULD SECOND IT, BUT WITH THE MODIFICATION TO ENSURE THAT THE LANGUAGE CORRECTION I PROPOSED EARLIER BE IMPLEMENTED FOR THIS JOB DESCRIPTION.

OKAY, I WILL ADD TO THAT AS WELL. HE WANTED TO INCLUDE LANGUAGE REGARDING PROGRESSIVE METHODS OF POLICING. -- ADDING THIS TO THE JOB DESCRIPTION. -- GETTING INFORMATION WITH THE SALARY COMPARATIVE

WE DID NOT AGREE TO INCLUDE IT IN THE JOB DESCRIPTION. ONLY THE RECRUITMENT BROCHURE.

THAT IS WHAT I SAID.

OKAY. IS THAT ACCEPTABLE TO EVERYONE? AND I WILL PUT THAT INTO MY SECOND. I THINK WE ARE READY, OH?

DID IT INCLUDE APPROVAL OF THE JOB DESCRIPTION WITH THAT LANGUAGE? I DID NOT HEAR IT.

NO DIFFERENCE IN THE JOB DESCRIPTION, ONLY IN THE RECRUITMENT BROCHURE.

THE CHANGE IN THE. -- JOB DESCRIPTION LANGUAGE WAS TO COINCIDE THE MANAGERS @OH, OKAY. YOU WILL CORRECT THE TYPOS?

YES, YES.

I WANTED TO TO THAT MOTION. WE WILL BE RETURNING WITH THE BUDGET ADJUSTMENT AT THE NEXT COUNCIL MEETING WITH THE SALARY SCHEDULE.

ALL RIGHT. THAT IS ALL ACCEPTABLE. I THINK WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND AND HOPEFULLY, WE CAN GET A ROLL CALL VOTE.

SO MOWED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND SECONDED BY MAYOR RICH FOR THE CHIEF VERIFICATION AND RECOMMEND THE TOTAL SELLING BENEFITS OF 289 523 BY AN INCREASE OF -- TO THE FY 25 BUDGET BID AND AUTHORIZE RECRUITMENT AND DIRECT STAFF TO RETURN WITH THE BUDGET AMENDMENT AND SALARY SCHEDULE AT THE NECK CITY COUNCIL MEETING TO INCLUDE LANGUAGE THAT INCLUDES PROGRESSIVE METHODS OF POLICING AND A BROCHURE, WILLING TO EXAMINE ALTERNATIVE METHODS THAT AFFECT THE COMMUNITY. -- FOR THE COMMITTEE REGARDING THE OVERVIEW AND SALARY PARODIES AND WHAT THAT MEANS FOR THE OVERALL ALWAYS SCHEDULE. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON . AYE .

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

AYE.

MAYOR RICH.

YES.

THAT PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

AND I WE HAVE FIVE MINUTES TO DETERMINE WHAT OUR PLAN IS IN TERMS OF THE REMAINING ITEMS. THOSE OF BE ON THE ORIGINAL AGENDA, ITEM NUMBER 13. PRIORITIES DISCUSSION. ITEM NUMBER 12. REGARDING THE FACILITATOR FOR THE FEBRUARY GOALSETTING. AND ITEM NUMBER THREE REGARDING FLEET MANAGEMENT. YES?

I WOULD LIKE TO REQUEST ADDRESSING ITEM NUMBER 12. BAKER TILLY FOUND THIS ON ZOOM.

SO I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY THE PROTOCOLS THAT WE HAVE TO HAVE FIVE UNANIMOUS COUNCILMEMBERS TO GO BEYOND THE THE 10:30 TIME.

SO WE HAVE A CITY MANAGER WHO IS ASKING THAT WE REMAIN TO ADDRESS ITEM NUMBER 12. THAT IS THE FACILITATOR ITEM. I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE IN TERMS OF THE REMAINING ITEMS. WILL BE, DO YOU KNOW, MARY GOURLEY? WHETHER WE HAVE SPACE TO PUT THOSE ON THE NEXT AGENDA?

WE HAVE A PRETTY LENGTHY AGENDA FOR SEPTEMBER 17th.

SO LET ME TAKE -- IN A SENTENCE. WHY IS IT URGENT? I AM LOOKING AT A VERY TIRED COUNCIL HERE. WHY IS IT SO URGENT THAT THE FACILITATOR DECISION BE MADE TONIGHT?

WE DO NOT LOSE THE OPPORTUNITY THAT THE FACILITATOR OF THE COUNCIL CHOICE WOULD MANY CITIES START SCHEDULING THESE KIND OF EVENTS AND THESE FACILITATORS ARE VERY POPULAR IN THE FIRST COUPLE OF MONTHS OF THE YEAR. I THINK THAT IS THE MOST COMPELLING REASON. FRANKLY, ANOTHER REASON IS I'M CONCERNED ABOUT OUR ABILITY TO GET TO SOME OF THESE ITEMS AS WELL AS OTHER ITEMS ON THE AGENDA ON THE 17th. THE MORE WE GET TO TONIGHT, THE LESS WE HAVE TO DO.

MARY GOURLEY, CAN WE FIT SOME OF THESE INTO THE SEPTEMBER 17th BUDGET?

WE HAVE ONE, TWO, THREE, FOUR, SEVEN DISCUSSION ITEMS.

THERE'S A LOT ON THAT AGENDA. WE MIGHT LOOK AT SCHEDULING A SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING. THAT IS ONE OPTION BUT I'M LOOKING AT THE COUNCIL HERE AND ASKING, THUMBS-UP OR THUMBS DOWN? YEAH? SPECIAL MEETING SCHEDULED FOR THE 22nd.

IS THERE, THERE MAY BE A CLOSED SESSION SCHEDULED?

SO WE HAVE, SEPTEMBER 16th IS OUR NEXT CLOSED SESSION MONDAY NIGHT BETWEEN SEPTEMBER 17 FOR REGULAR MEETING.

THE 24th, SAT AN EXTRA RUN?

THERE WAS DISCUSSION OF A JOINT CITY COUNCIL MEETING TO DISCUSS THE ATP GRANT.

YEAH.

YEAH, I BELIEVE IT WAS OCTOBER, NOT SEPTEMBER.

SO I'M GOING TO ASK THE COUNCIL , IN ORDER FOR US TO CONTINUE TO ADDRESS THE FLEET ITEM, IT IS GOING TO TAKE 15-20 MINUTES, I WOULD THINK. IS EVERYONE WILLING TO STAY? IS ANYONE NEEDING TO LEAVE NOW? OH, THE FACILITATOR. IS ANYONE NEEDING TO LEAVE RIGHT NOW?

OKAY, WE NEED TO RESPECT THAT. THAT IS OUR POLICY. IF YOU CAN HOLD ON JUST A MOMENT, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ? WE WILL NOT ADDRESS ANY MORE ISSUES. IS THERE ANY ACTION WE CAN TAKE NOW THAT WOULD BE HELPFUL FROM A SCHEDULING PERSPECTIVE? I AM ASKING MARY GOURLEY. REGARDING THE OTHER THREE ITEMS?

WE HAVE A GENERAL VIEW TOMORROW MORNING. WE CAN DISCUSS IT AT THAT MEETING WHEN SCHEDULING FOR THESE ITEMS

OKAY, I'LL JUST ALERT THE COUNCIL BUT GIVEN THE NUMBER OF ITEMS WE HAVE TO ADDRESS AND THE URGENCY OF ALL OF THEM, WE MIGHT BE RECOMMENDING ADDITIONAL A CITY COUNCIL MEETING. TWO SPECIAL A SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING BUT MARY GOURLEY CAN DEAL WITH THAT LATER. I AM CALLING THIS MEETING ADJOURNED AT 11:00 P.M. WILLACY EVERYONE. THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR WAITING. AND THANK YOU TO THE PUBLIC FOR ALL YOUR PARTICIPATION. THANK YOU, EVERYONE. RECORDING STOPPED.

[Event Concluded]