

City Council

Mayor Diana Gardner Rich
Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman
Councilmember Neysa Hinton
Councilmember Sandra Maurer
Councilmember Jill McLewis



City Manager

Don Schwartz

dschwartz@Cityofsebastopol.gov

Assistant City Manager/City Clerk, MMC

Mary Gourley

mgourley@Cityofsebastopol.gov

City of Sebastopol

**CITY COUNCIL MEETING MINUTES
MINUTES FOR REGULAR MEETING OF: Tuesday – October 15, 2024**

MINUTES FOR Regular City Council Meeting of October 15, 2024
As Approved by the City Council at their regular meeting of November 5, 2024

Please note that these are action minutes only. Detailed raw transcript is attached to the minutes and made a part of the public record. These action minutes are the City’s record of a summary of actions that took place at the meeting. The vote/action is the required information of the meeting actions that took place. Approved minutes are available on the City Council Meetings page.

The public is advised that pursuant to Government Code section 54957.5 all writings submitted to the City and City Council are public records and will be made available for review.

6:00 pm City Council Regular Meeting, In Person – Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA; and by Virtual Format (Zoom)

Call to Order: Mayor Rich called the Regular Meeting to Order at 6:08 pm.

Roll Call:

Present:	Mayor Diana Gardner Rich	
	Vice Mayor Stephen Zollman	Utilizing Just Cause AB 2449
	Councilmember Neysa Hinton	
	Councilmember Sandra Maurer	
	Councilmember Jill McLewis	Utilizing Just Cause AB 2449
Absent:	None	
Staff:	City Manager Don Schwartz	
	Assistant City Manager/City Clerk Mary Gourley	
	City Attorney Alex Mog	
	Public Works Superintendent Del Prete	

SALUTE TO THE FLAG : Mayor Rich led the salute to the flag.

REMOTE PARTICIPATION UNDER AB 2449 (IF NEEDED): To consider and take action on any request from a Council Member to participate in a meeting remotely due to Just Cause or Emergency Circumstances pursuant to AB 2449 (Government Code Section 549539(f)). AB 2449 provides that a member may not participate in meetings solely by teleconference due to “just cause” or “emergency circumstances” for a period of more than three consecutive months or 20 percent of the regular meetings for the local agency within a calendar year.

AB 2449 creates an opportunity for less than a majority of the board (up to 2 members of a 5-member board; up to 3 members of a 7-member board) to attend via teleconference under certain conditions when the majority of the board (a quorum) participates from a single physical location open to the public. When a quorum of the

legislative body participates in a physical location open to the public, AB 2449 authorizes the remaining board members to participate remotely.

- (1) Just cause: The member notifies the legislative body at the earliest possible opportunity, including at the start of a regular meeting, of their need to participate remotely for “just cause,” including a general description of the circumstances relating to their need to appear remotely at the given meeting.

Annual CAL Cities Conference and Expo, October 16- 18, 2024, Long Beach Convention Center

Members participating through remote teleconferencing must participate through both audio and visual technology and members must publicly disclose at the meeting before any action is taken whether any other individuals 18 years of age or older are present in the room at the remote location with the member and the general nature of the member’s relationship with the individual. Neither member had anyone in attendance.

PROCLAMATIONS/PRESENTATIONS: None

STATEMENTS OF CONFLICTS OF INTEREST: Conflicts of interest may arise in situations where a public official deliberating towards a decision, has an actual or potential financial interest in the matter before the Council. In accordance with state law, an actual conflict of interest is one that would be to the private financial benefit of a public official, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A potential conflict of interest is one that could be to the private financial benefit of a Councilmember, a relative or a business with which the Councilmember is associated. A Councilmember must publicly announce potential and actual conflicts of interest, and, in the case of actual conflict of interest, must refrain from participating in debate on the issue or from voting on the issue and must remove themselves from the dais.

There were no stated conflicts of interest by City Councilmembers.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA (FIRST COMMENT PERIOD):

Up to Twenty (20) Minute Time Limit (Two Minutes for up to ten speakers). Additional public comment will be held at the end of the discussion and action items for up to an additional twenty (20) minutes. Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

Process for calling on Speakers: Mayor or designee shall ask for public comment as follows: Speakers to be called on in an alternate manner (One speaker in person to be called on first; then one speaker remote to be called on second with additional speakers to be called on in the same manner) based upon the time limit.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Lisa
- Linda
- Steven
- Larry
- Jeff
- Myriah
- Girl Scouts Troop 10553

Mayor Rich responded to public comments.

CONSENT CALENDAR: The consent agenda consists of items that are routine in nature and do not require additional discussion by the City Council or have been reviewed by the City Council previously. These items may be approved by one motion without discussion unless a member of the City Council requests that the item be taken off the consent calendar.

The Mayor will read the consent calendar items; ask if a Councilmember wishes to remove one or more items from the consent calendar; and then open public comment to the members of the public in attendance. At this time, a member of the public may speak for up to three minutes on the entire consent calendar and request at that time that an agenda item or items be removed for discussion.

If an item or items are removed from the consent calendar, the item shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.
Councilmembers may comment on Consent Calendar items or ask for minor clarifications without the need for pulling the item for separate consideration. Items requiring deliberation should be pulled for separate consideration and shall be placed at the end of the regular agenda items unless otherwise determined by the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tern.

Mayor Rich asked if any Councilmember wanted to remove a consent calendar item.
Councilmember Hinton requested item number(s) 4, 5, 6, and 7 be removed from the consent calendar.
Councilmember Maurer requested item number(s) 2 be removed from the consent calendar.
Mayor Rich opened for Public Comment(s). There was no public comment.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve Consent Calendar Item Number(s) 1 and 3.
Items 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7 were pulled and will be moved to the end of the regular agenda.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

1. Approval of Minutes of Regular City Council Meeting of October 1, 2024 Responsible Department: City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approved Minutes of Regular City Council Meeting of October 1, 2024
Minute Order Number: 2024-240

- ~~2. Approval of City Council Policies as Listed Below (Responsible Department: Ad Hoc Committee on Council Protocols/City Clerk)
 - a. Policy Number 1 Selection of Mayor
 - b. Policy Number 2 Selection of Vice Mayor
 - c. Policy Number 3 Roles of Mayor and Vice Mayor
 - d. Policy Number 4 Seating Selection
 - e. Policy Number 5 Trainings~~
3. Authorize Solid Waste Ad Hoc Committee and Evaluation Panel to Select a Top Ranked Proposer and Authorized Staff to Proceed into Negotiations (Responsible Department: Ad Hoc Committee for Garbage Delivery Services/Assistant City Manager City Clerk)

City Council Action: Approved Authorizing Solid Waste Ad Hoc Committee and Evaluation Panel to Select a Top Ranked Proposer and Authorized Staff to Proceed into Negotiations
Minute Order Number: 2024-246

- ~~4. Approval and Receipt of Upcoming Vacancy(ies)on the Public Arts Committee for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2028. (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)
 - a. One Vacancy
 - i. Vacant Category 2: *Persons with experience in the public art field as either an artist, installer or designer.*~~
5. Approval and Receipt of Upcoming Opening (ies)on the Planning Commission for term of Dec 2024 through Dec 2028 (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)
 - a. Two Upcoming Openings term ending Dec 31, 2024:
 - i. Deborah Burnes

ii. ~~Paul Fritz~~

~~The members of the Commission shall be from among residents of the City of Sebastopol or shall be the owner of a business within the City of Sebastopol and a resident of one of the following school districts: Twin Hills, Sebastopol Union, and Gravenstein Union, and up to one person who is not in one of the above categories, but who is a resident of the area served by one of the following school districts: Twin Hills, Sebastopol Union, and Gravenstein Union, may also be appointed. Members shall be appointed by a majority of the members of the City Council. A majority of the members the Planning Commission shall be residents from within the City limits at all times.~~

- ~~6. Approval and Receipt of Upcoming Opening(ies) on the Design Review Board for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2026. (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)
 - a. Three Upcoming Openings term ending Dec 31, 2024
 - i. Cary Bush Category: Category A
 - ii. Lynn Deedler Category: Category B
 - iii. Marshall Balfe Category: Category D (alternate)~~
- ~~7. Approval to Delay Recruitment for Vacancy(ies) and Upcoming Opening(ies) on the Climate Action Committee. (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)~~

INFORMATIONAL ITEMS/PRESENTATIONS: Informational Items or Presentations are items that are informational only and do not require action by the City Council. Presentations shall be scheduled as necessary for the promotion of an event or service or general information items to the Council and should be limited to ten (10) minutes total in length of item (total length includes questions of Council to presenter and public comment).

8. Presentation: Status of Water Supply/City Water Wells/Infrastructure Issues. This informative presentation of the City Water Supply, City Water Wells, and Infrastructure is intended to provide a basic holistic understanding of the current status of the municipal water system. (Responsible Department: Public Works Superintendent)

Superintendent of Public Works Dante Del Prete presented the agenda item recommending the City Council receive the informative presentation of the City Water Supply, City Water Wells, and Infrastructure which is intended to provide a basic holistic understanding of the current status of the municipal water system.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Linda
- Steve

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed water meters.

City Council Action: Received the informational presentation.

Minute Order Number: 2024-251

PUBLIC HEARING(s): NONE

REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS (DISCUSSION AND/OR ACTION):

9. Consideration of Adoption of Resolution for First Amendment to Contract with 4 Leaf for Planning Services. The current terms of the contract is from October 15, 2024 to December 31, 2024. Item is to increase the contract amount by \$42,760 resulting in a new not-to-exceed amount of \$92,760. This will not require a budget amendment as funding is available in the Planning Department from Salary Savings from the currently vacant Community Development Director (CDD) position. (Responsible Department: City Manager/Administrative Services)

City Manager Schwartz presented the agenda item recommending the City Council Approve Adoption of Resolution for First Amendment to Contract with 4 Leaf for Planning Services. The current terms of the contract is from October 15, 2024 to December 31, 2024. Item is to increase the contract amount by \$42,760 resulting in a new not-to-exceed amount of \$92,760. This will not require a budget amendment as funding is available in the Planning Department from Salary Savings from the currently vacant Community Development Director.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. There was no public comment.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the proposed amendment.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Vice Mayor Zollman seconded the motion to Approve Adoption of Resolution for First Amendment to Contract with 4 Leaf for Planning Services. The current terms of the contract is from October 15, 2024 to December 31, 2024. Item is approved to increase the contract amount by \$42,760 resulting in a new not-to-exceed amount of \$92,760.

- Requested time allocation for Jane Riley’s time spent on committees and other tasks

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich
Noes: None
Absent: None
Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Adoption of Resolution for First Amendment to Contract with 4 Leaf for Planning Services. The current terms of the contract is from October 15, 2024 to December 31, 2024. Item is approved to increase the contract amount by \$42,760 resulting in a new not-to-exceed amount of \$92,760. Requested time allocation for Jane Riley’s time spent on committees and other tasks

Minute Order Number: 2024-252

Resolution Number: 6620-2024

10. Direction to Voting Delegate for the proposed resolutions to be heard at the CAL Cities League of CA Cities Annual Conference, October 16-18, 2024. The Council will consider Resolution(s) proposed and determine a City position for direction to the Voting Delegate (Vice Mayor Zollman); and Alternate (Councilmember McLewis)

- i. A RESOLUTION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF THE LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES CALLING FOR THE CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE TO ENACT LAWS THAT ENSURE THAT “WHAT APPLIES TO ONE, APPLIES TO ALL” IN THE FAIR AND EQUAL

TREATMENT OF ALL GOVERNMENTAL OFFICIALS AT ALL LEVELS IN THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

- ii. The General Assembly of the League of California Cities objects to the practice of the California Legislature of imposing rules limiting authority or regulating the conduct of local municipal officials that do not also apply to elected officials of the State of California; and examples of such rules or regulations that apply to local city elected officials that do not otherwise apply to the elected officials of the State of California

City Clerk Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council provide Direction to Voting Delegate for the proposed resolutions to be heard at the CAL Cities League of CA Cities Annual Conference, October 16-18, 2024. The Council will consider Resolution(s) proposed and determine a City position for direction to the Voting Delegate (Vice Mayor Zollman); and Alternate (Councilmember McLewis).

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. There was no public comment.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the proposed resolution.

MOTION:

Mayor Rich moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion provide direction in support of the resolution but to allow the Voting Delegate's discretion to vote based upon additional information and provide information on the vote on the proposed resolution to be heard at the CAL Cities League of CA Cities Annual Conference, October 16-18, 2024 (Vice Mayor Zollman); and Alternate (Councilmember McLewis).

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved providing the following Direction to Voting Delegate for the proposed resolutions to be heard at the CAL Cities League of CA Cities Annual Conference, October 16-18, 2024. (Vice Mayor Zollman); and Alternate (Councilmember McLewis)

Minute Order Number: 2024-253

Items Removed from the Consent Calendar:

- 2. Approval of City Council Policies as Listed Below (Responsible Department: Ad Hoc Committee on Council Protocols/City Clerk)
 - a. Policy Number 1 Selection of Mayor
 - b. Policy Number 2 Selection of Vice Mayor
 - c. Policy Number 3 Roles of Mayor and Vice Mayor
 - d. Policy Number 4 Seating Selection
 - e. Policy Number 5 Trainings

City Clerk Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve policies as presented.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Kyle
- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the proposed policies.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember McLewis seconded the motion to continue the protocols items to the next city council meeting.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Continued this item to the next City Council Meeting of November 5, 2024.

Minute Order Number: 2024-241; 242; 243; 244; 245

4. Approval and Receipt of Upcoming Vacancy(ies) on the Public Arts Committee for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2028. (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)
 - a. One Vacancy
 - i. Vacant Category 2: *Persons with experience in the public art field as either an artist, installer or designer.*

City Clerk Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve recruitment for Public Arts Committee for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2028.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Kyle
- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the recruitment.

MOTION:

Councilmember Maurer moved and Mayor Rich seconded the motion to approve recruitment for Public Arts Committee for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2028.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Upcoming Vacancy(ies)on the Public Arts Committee for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2028

Minute Order Number: 2024-247

5. Approval and Receipt of Upcoming Opening (ies)on the Planning Commission for term of Dec 2024 through Dec 2028 (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)
 - a. Two Upcoming Openings term ending Dec 31, 2024:
 - i. Deborah Burnes
 - ii. Paul Fritz

The members of the Commission shall be from among residents of the City of Sebastopol or shall be the owner of a business within the City of Sebastopol and a resident of one of the following school districts: Twin Hills, Sebastopol Union, and Gravenstein Union, and up to one person who is not in one of the above categories, but who is a resident of the area served by one of the following school districts: Twin Hills, Sebastopol Union, and Gravenstein Union, may also be appointed. Members shall be appointed by a majority of the members of the City Council. A majority of the members the Planning Commission shall be residents from within the City limits at all times.

City Clerk Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve recruitment for openings on the Planning Commission for term of Dec 2024 through Dec 2028

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Linda
- Kyle

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the proposed recruitment.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve recruitment for Upcoming Opening (ies) on the Planning Commission for term of Dec 2024 through Dec 2028.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Upcoming Opening (ies) on the Planning Commission for term of Dec 2024 through Dec 2028 (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)

a. Two Upcoming Openings term ending Dec 31, 2024:

i. Deborah Burnes

ii. Paul Fritz

Minute Order Number: 2024-248

6. Approval and Receipt of Upcoming Opening(ies) on the Design Review Board for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2026. (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)

a. Three Upcoming Openings term ending Dec 31, 2024

i. Cary Bush Category: Category A

ii. Lynn Deedler Category: Category B

iii. Marshall Balfe Category: Category D (alternate)

City Clerk Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council approve recruitment for upcoming Opening(ies) on the Design Review Board for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2026.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked for questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment.

The following member(s) of the public spoke during public comment:

- Kyle
- Linda

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the proposed recruitment.

MOTION:

Councilmember Hinton moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to approve recruitment for upcoming Opening(ies) on the Design Review Board for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2026.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Approved Receipt of Upcoming Opening(ies)on the Design Review Board for term of Dec 31, 2024, through Dec 31, 2026. (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)

a. Three Upcoming Openings term ending Dec 31, 2024

i. Cary Bush Category: Category A

ii. Lynn Deedler Category: Category B

iii. Marshall Balfe Category: Category D (alternate)

Minute Order Number: 2024-249

7. Approval to Delay Recruitment for Vacancy(ies) and Upcoming Opening(ies)on the Climate Action Committee. (Responsible Department: Planning/City Administration)

City Clerk Gourley presented the agenda item recommending the City Council to Delay Recruitment for Vacancy(ies) and Upcoming Opening(ies)on the Climate Action Committee.

Mayor Rich asked for questions of staff. The Council asked for questions of staff.

Mayor Rich opened for public comment. There was none.

City Council Discussion/Deliberations/or Direction to Staff:

The Council discussed the proposed resolution.

MOTION:

Mayor Rich moved and Councilmember Maurer seconded the motion to request that the Climate Action Committee review the openings/appointments for clarity and directed staff to return to the November 19th City Council meeting for recruitment for the Climate Action Committee.

Mayor Rich called for a roll call vote. City staff conducted a roll call vote.

VOTE:

Ayes: Councilmembers Hinton, Maurer, McLewis, Vice Mayor Zollman and Mayor Rich

Noes: None

Absent: None

Abstain: None

City Council Action: Requested that the Climate Action Committee review the openings/appointments for clarity and directed staff to return to the November 19th City Council meeting for recruitment for the Climate Action Committee.

Minute Order Number: 2024-250

ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA: Two minutes per speaker for up to twenty (20) minutes total for public comments but can be reduced at Mayor’s discretion depending upon the number of speakers or Mayor has discretion to allow for additional time beyond the 20 minutes allocated for public comment dependent upon the subject matter or number of speakers.

- Kyle

CITY COUNCIL/CITY STAFF REPORTS/COMMUNICATIONS/ANNOUNCEMENTS/FUTURE MEETINGS:

7. City Manager and/or City Clerk Reports: (This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

a. Departmental Reports

Reference Order Number: 2024-254

8. City Council Reports/Committee/Sub-Committee Meeting Reports: (Reports by Mayor/City Councilmembers Regarding Various Agency Meetings/Committee Meetings/Sub-Committee Meeting /Conferences Attended and Possible Direction to its Representatives (If Needed) on Pending issues before such Boards. ((This will be either verbal reports at the meeting, or written reports provided at or prior to the meeting)

City Council Reports Provided by:

Councilmember Maurer

Mayor Rich

Vice Mayor Zollman

Councilmember Hinton

Councilmember McLewis

9. Council Communications Received (Information/Meetings/Correspondence Received from the General Public to Councilmembers) None

CLOSED SESSION: NONE

ADJOURNMENT OF CITY COUNCIL MEETING

October 15, 2024 City Council Regular Meeting will be adjourned to the City Council Special Meeting Closed Session of Monday October 21, 2024 at 6:00 pm at City of Sebastopol City Hall, 7120 Bodega Avenue, Sebastopol, CA

The Special City Council Meeting, Closed Session, Meeting of Monday, October 21, 2024 will be adjourned to the City Council Regular Meeting of Tuesday, November 5, 2024 at 6:00 pm, Sebastopol Youth Annex, 425 Morris Street, Sebastopol, CA.

Mayor Rich adjourned the City Council Meeting of October 15, 2024 at 9:15 pm to the next City Council Special Meeting Closed Session of Monday October 21, 2024 at 6:00 pm at City of Sebastopol City Hall, 7120 Bodega Avenue, Sebastopol, CA

Respectfully Submitted:



Mary Gourley

Assistant City Manager/City Clerk

Attachment:

Raw Zoom Minutes

City Council Special Meeting - October 15, 2024

CITY OF SEBASTOPOL CITY COUNCIL MEETING - OCTOBER 15, 2024 - CAPTIONER
STANDING BY

AND SO, THEY ARE SIGNING ON VIRTUALLY. BY LAW, THAT MEANS THAT WE MUST HAVE THREE KITTY COUNT-- CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS HERE PRESENT TO START THE MEETING OFFICIALLY SO COUNCILMEMBER HINTON IS COMING FROM ANOTHER VERY IMPORTANT CITY SUPPORTING MEETING WHERE SHE HAD TO SUBMIT AN IMPORTANT VOTE AND SHE WILL BE HERE AS SOON AS WE CAN AND THEN WE WILL START OUR MEETING. THANK YOU FOR YOUR PATIENCE.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON IS ASKING WHETHER WE WAITED. YES, BECAUSE WE COULDN'T START WITHOUT YOU.

SO SORRY. I WANTED TO RUN-- BUT NO.

SO IN PREPARATION FOR STARTING THE MEETING, ARE WE RECORDING AT THIS POINT? THUMBS-UP? OKAY. THAT WAS OFFICIAL. OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE NOW GOING TO START THIS MEETING OF THE SEBASTOPOL CITY COUNCIL AT APPROXIMATELY EIGHT MINUTES AFTER 6:00 ON OCTOBER 15. I WILL NOTE THAT WE ARE STARTING A BIT LATE BECAUSE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WAS ATTENDING AND CASTING AN IMPORTANT VOTE AND WE WILL GO OVER THE DETAILS THAT YOU ARE LOOKING AT THREE COUNCILMEMBERS SITTING HERE. WE HAVE OUR OTHER TWO CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS ATTENDING VIRTUALLY BECAUSE THEY ARE REPRESENTING THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL AT AN IMPORTANT CONFERENCE IN LONG BEACH, DOING THEIR PART TO SPEAK ON BEHALF OF OUR VOTERS HERE. BEFORE WE MOVE ON TO THE CALL TO ORDER, MARY GOURLEY, IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WOULD LIKE TO SAY?

NOT AT THIS TIME. I WILL CALL TO ORDER AND THEN WE CAN TALK ABOUT ABT 449.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCIL NUMBER MAURER? HERE. COUNCIL MEMBER McLEWIS? HERE. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? PRESENT. MAYOR RICH? PRESENT. PLEASE NOTE THAT ALL COUNCILMEMBERS ARE IN ATTENDANCE. I WANT TO SAY THAT TWO COUNCILMEMBERS, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ARE UTILIZING A.B. 2449. THIS IS WHERE TWO MEMBERS OF THE MAJORITY OF OUR COUNCIL CAN ATTEND VIA ZOOM IF THEY HAVE A JUST CAUSE. THE VICE-- THE JUST CAUSE FOR THEM TONIGHT IS THAT THEY ARE ATTENDING A CAL CITIES LEAGUE OF CITIES MEETING AND LONG BEACH AND THAT'S WHY THEY ARE UTILIZING JUST CAUSE. I WILL READ A STATEMENT AND YOU CAN VERIFY THE STATEMENT AT THE END AND THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATE IT. FOR MEMBERS PARTICIPATING THROUGH REMOTE TELECONFERENCING, YOU MUST PARTICIPATE THROUGH BOTH AUDIO AND VISUAL TECHNOLOGIES. MEMBERS MUST PUBLICLY DISCLOSE AT THE MEETING BEFORE ANY ACTION WAS TAKEN WHETHER ANY OTHER INDIVIDUALS 18 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER ARE PRESENT IN THE ROOM WITH THE GENERAL NATURE OF THE RELATIONSHIP WITH THE INDIVIDUAL. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN DO YOU HAVE ANYONE IN THE ROOM 18 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER?

NO.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS DO YOU HAVE INDIVIDUALS 18 YEARS OF AGE OR OLDER IN YOUR ROOM?

NO.

THEY ARE USING THE JUST CAUSE AND ARE ZOOMING IN. THANK YOU.

THE NEXT ITEM IS THE SALUTE TO THE FLAG. IF YOU COULD ALL STAND I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

I PLEDGE ALLEGIANCE TO THE FLAG OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND TO THE REPUBLIC FOR WHICH IT STANDS, ONE NATION UNDER GOD, INDIVISIBLE, WITH LIBERTY AND JUSTICE FOR ALL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, EVERYONE. OUR NEXT ITEM IS PROCLAMATIONS AND PRESENTATIONS. WE DO NOT HAVE ANY THIS EVENING. I WILL NOW ASK FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS IF THEY HAVE ANY CONFLICTS OF INTEREST THAT THEY NEED TO DECLARE. SEEING NONE, NODDING OF HEADS, OKAY. EXCELLENT. WE WILL MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM WHICH IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA AND OUR CITY CLERK MARY GOURLEY WILL EXPLAIN HOW THAT PROCEEDS.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY, A 20 MINUTE PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS THAT ARE NOT ON ANY OF THE AGENDA ITEMS FOR TONIGHT TO INCLUDE CONSENT CALENDARS. THIS IS FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. HOW IT WORKS IS YOU HAVE TWO MINUTES FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. WE DO IN CHAMBER FIRST AND THEN GO TO ZOOM, COME BACK TO CHAMBERS, GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. ONCE WE HIT THE 20 MINUTES WE STOPPED PUBLIC COMMENT AND THE REMAINDER GOES TO THE END OF THE MEETING. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT FOR AN ITEM NOT ON TONIGHT'S AGENDA I WILL CALL YOU AND YOU WILL BE SECOND. I HAVE ONE PERSON FIRST AND WILL GO TO ZOOM. LISA? I SEE YOU AND I WILL COME BACK TO YOU. I PROMISE. CAN YOU MAKE SURE THAT IT'S ON? WE HAVE ABOUT 30 SECONDS LEFT.

I AM LISA PIERCE FROM SEBASTOPOL. MY QUESTIONS HAVE TO DO WITH THE FLOODING THAT HAPPENED DOWN BY THE POSTAL ANNEX BUILDING IN OCTOBER 2021. THIS FLOODING CAUSED DAMAGE AND COST THE CITY CONSIDERABLE EXPENSE. THE CITY HIRED CONSULTANT ENGINEERS FROM GHD AFTERWARD TO DO AN IN-DEPTH STUDY OF THE CAUSE OF FLOODING AS WELL AS AN EXAMINATION OF VARIOUS SCENARIOS TO FIX THE PROBLEM. THE 47 PAGE REPORT DETERMINED THAT THE PIPE CONTAINING CALDER CREEK WAS PARTIALLY CLOGGED UP AT THE END NEAR WHERE THE CREEK EMPTIES OUT INTO THE LAGUNA. THE REPORT ALSO EXAMINES SEVERAL DIFFERENT SCENARIOS TO FIX THE PROBLEM. SCENARIOS B AND C WERE HIGHLIGHTED AS LIKELY TO BE ELIGIBLE FOR GRANT FUNDING AS THEY INVOLVED REMOVAL OF INVASIVE PLANTS AND CREEK RESTORATION DOWN WHERE THE CREEK MEETS THE LAGUNA. SO I HAVE TWO QUESTIONS WHICH I IMAGINE CANNOT BE ANSWERED TONIGHT. ONE, WHAT IS HAPPENING IN REGARDS TO FIXING THIS CLOGGED PIPE ISSUE, AND MORE IMPORTANTLY, HAS THIS COUNCIL RECENTLY HAD THE BENEFIT OF HEARING FROM STAFF ABOUT THEIR THOUGHTS ON THE BEST WAY TO MOVE FORWARD TO FIX THIS PROBLEM? GIVEN WHAT WE KNOW FROM THE STUDY? WE KNOW THAT OUR PUBLIC WORKS SUPERINTENDENT HAS A WEALTH OF EXPERIENCE AND UNDERSTANDING OF THIS ISSUE AND WILL BE RETIRING VERY SOON. I ALSO THINK THAT HEARING FROM THE PLANNING AND ENGINEERING STAFF COULD BE HELPFUL MOVING FORWARD FIXING THIS PROBLEM MAY REQUIRE AN EVALUATION OF THE CURRENT PLAN. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? THERE YOU GO. CAN YOU GO AHEAD WITH PUBLIC COMMENT?

BEFORE YOU START, I WOULD LIKE TO GET CLARIFICATION. I'D LIKE TO POINT OUT THAT THERE WAS A BLANK-- THERE WAS SILENCE DURING DIANA'S TALKING ABOUT, SOMEBODY WAS AT AN IMPORTANT-- CASTING AN IMPORTANT VOTE. AND

THEN IT WENT LENGTH. AND THEN AFTER THAT FOR A WHILE IT PICKED UP. COULD THERE BE CLARIFICATION ON THAT PLEASE? THIS IS NOT MY PUBLIC COMMENT. THE CLARIFICATION AS MY UNDERSTANDING, THE SOUND WENT OUT FOR A FEW SECONDS.

IT WOULD BE INTERESTING TO NOTE BECAUSE IT WAS AN IMPORTANT PART. LINDA LET ME JUST JUMP IN REALLY QUICK. THE MAYOR HAD STATED THAT COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WAS ATTENDING A VOTE ON, WAS IT SNOHOMISH COUNTY TRANSIT? THE VOTE YOU WERE ON FOR TONIGHT.

TECHNICALLY THAT BUT WAS LAST NIGHT AND I WAS JUST STUCK IN TRAFFIC. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WAS STUCK IN TRAFFIC SO THAT IS WHAT THE MAYOR WAS ANNOUNCING. YOU CAN GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

IT WAS AN IMPORTANT VOTE FOR SOMETHING. I DON'T KNOW WHAT THE VOTE WAS FOR. THAT'S WHEN THE SILENCE CAME.

SHE WAS REFERRING TO THE VOTE FROM LAST NIGHT WE HAD THE DATE INCORRECT SO THE VOTE WAS FOR LAST NIGHT AND COUNCILMEMBER HINTON WAS LATE BECAUSE OF TRAFFIC SO WE WAITED TO START THE MEETING WHEN SHE GOT HERE.

I DON'T THINK YOU ARE UNDERSTANDING WHAT I'M ASKING. WHAT WAS THOUGH VOTE FOR? SHE WAS NOT HERE BECAUSE OF--

LINDA I WOULD BE HAPPY TO REPORT OUT ON THAT AT THE END OF THE MEETING IN COUNCILMEMBER REPORTS. THANKS.

ALL RIGHT. LET'S MAKE SURE THAT HAPPENS. SO ANYWAY OKAY. WHAT I HAVE, I HAVE GOOD NEWS, YOU KNOW. THE REST OF THE WORLD IS WAKING UP TO THE HARM AND DANGER OF MAN-MADE PULSE AND ELECTROMAGNET-- MAGNETIC FIELDS. IT FREQUENCY, RADIATION ENERGY AND SIGNALS AND ALSO GEO-ENGINEERING. THERE WERE MILLIONS OF PEOPLE LAST TIME LISTENING TO MATTHEW LANDMAN AS HE SPOKE ABOUT WEATHER MODIFICATION AND HAD A LOT TO DO WITH THE RECENT HURRICANES AND STUFF. ALSO GOING BACK TO THE WIRELESS DEVICES WITH DIANA RICH AND NEYSA HINTON , ARE DIRECTLY RESPONSIBLE FOR IMPLEMENTING THE SMART METERS THROUGHOUT THE TOWN. WIRELESS WATER METERS AND BY LAW ALL MADE IN CHINA. THEY HAVE A MANDATED BACKDOOR FOR THE CHINESE GOVERNMENT TO CONTROL THEM AND MANIPULATE THEM VERY SIMILAR TO THE WAY THAT THE ISRAELIS WAITED FOR 15 YEARS IN ORDER TO PAUSE-- TO CAUSE HEZBOLLAH PAGERS TO EXPLODE ALL AT ONCE.

LINDA YOU HAVE 20 SECONDS.

TECHNOLOGY THAT THESE TWO PEOPLE IN PREVIOUS CITY COUNCIL, IT IS ALL SET TO-- AND IN THE MEANTIME CAUSING CANCER CLUSTERS AND ILL HEALTH THROUGHOUT THE TOWN. WE NEED TO REMEDY THAT. THANK YOU LINDA. THAT IS TWO MINUTES.

OUR NEXT SPEAKER WILL BE STEPHEN AND I HAVE A GENTLEMAN IN THE FRONT ROW AND ALSO HAVE ALL THE SPEAKERS. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE WILL BE GETTING TO EVERYONE SO THAT THE MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC NO. GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM, TO THIS GENTLEMAN, TO ZOOM, AND THEN COME BACK TO THE GIRL SCOUTS. GO AHEAD.

THANK YOU. I WILL BE FAIRLY QUICK. STEPHEN PIERCE FROM SEBASTOPOL. I WANT TO FOLLOW UP WITH WHAT LISA WAS SAYING AND ENCOURAGE YOU ALL TO LOOK AT THE 47 PAGE REPORT AND TRY TO DIVE INTO IT. I KNOW IT'S KIND OF HARD TO FIGURE OUT THESE ENGINEERING STUDIES BUT THIS IS A PRETTY IMPORTANT THING FOR OUR TOWN. DANTE MAY DISAGREE BUT IT MAY BE THE

BIGGEST CHALLENGE THAT WE FACE WITH INFRASTRUCTURE IN THE CITY NOW. NOT FIVE YEARS FROM NOW, BUT NOW. WE HAVE A REAL CONCERN ABOUT A POSSIBLE LOSS OF EVENT SPACE IN THE PARK, IN IVES PARK. IF ANYONE HAS BEEN TO PIECE DOWN YOU REALIZE THE EVENT SPACE LIKE THE FENCE FROM THE POOL OUT TO THE HEDGES NEXT TO JEWEL EXTENDS ALL THE WAY FAR AND WIDE. WE HAVE REAL CONCERNS THAT IF WE END UP CHANGING THE COURSE OF OUR CREEK, MAKING IT WIDER AND DENSELY PLANTING IT, IT'S NOT GOING TO WORK WELL AS THE TOWN'S ONLY EVENT SPACE. I DO HAVE A QUESTION IN REGARDS TO THE DESIGNERS OF THE CURRENT CALDER CREEK PLAN. IF THEY DO TRY TO APPLY FOR GRANTS OR THE WORK THEY HAVE ALREADY DONE IN TERMS OF REDESIGNING THE CREEK, DO THEY NEED TO COME BACK BEFORE CITY COUNCIL TO GET THAT APPROVAL TO APPLY FOR THOSE GRANTS? WE ALSO WANT TO REALLY ENCOURAGE THAT IF THE CITY CAN HAVE SOME INFLUENCE, THAT WE POINT GRANT MONEY TO WHERE THE NEED IS AT THE END OF THE CREEK WHERE WE HAVE THE FLOODING ISSUE. THEY'VE DONE A DESIGN FOR THAT.

20 SECONDS.

THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADVANCE GRANT MONEY FOR WHAT WE CAN'T AFFORD TO DO OURSELVES. THANKS.

THANKS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. WILL YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? I WILL GO AHEAD AND COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS AND THEN GO BACK OUT TO HER IN ZOOM. PLEASE GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

I NAME IS LARRY HARPER AND I AM A MEMBER OF THE PEACE GREEN PROJECT. IT WAS A COMMITTEE OF THE PEACE AND JUSTICE CENTER OF SONOMA COUNTY CALLED THE HIROSHIMA NAGASAKI REMEMBRANCE COMMITTEE WHICH STARTED ABOUT 40 YEARS AGO. SINCE THEN, IN ORDER TO KEEP THE MEMORY OF THESE HORRIFIC BOMBINGS ALIVE WE HAVE PRESENTED YEARLY COMMEMORATIONS OF THESE EVENTS FROM 79 YEARS AGO WITH A BRIEF HIATUS DURING THE EARLY DAYS OF THE COVID PANDEMIC. SOME YEARS AGO WE EXPANDED OUR MANDATE BEYOND THE HIROSHIMA NAGASAKI CATASTROPHES TO INCLUDE OPPOSITION TO NUCLEAR POWER AND THE ENTIRE NUCLEAR FUEL CHANGE OF-- CHAIN. AT THAT TIME WE CHANGE OUR NAME TO THE PEACE KOREAN PROJECT OF SONOMA COUNTY. FIVE YEARS AGO WE ACQUIRED SOME GINKGO SEEDS FROM A TREE THAT SURVIVED THE BOMBING IN HIROSHIMA. THEY WERE SPROUTED AND NURTURED BY COREY HILL'S BOTANICAL GARDEN WHICH IS NOW CALLED SONOMA BOTANICAL GARDEN. WITH THE HELP OF DANTE AND THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERINTENDENT, WE WILL BE PLANTING TWO OF THESE PEACE TREATIES AT THE BACK OF THE LAWN. WE WILL BE PLANNING THEM ON OCTOBER 29 WITH PLANTING AT 3:30 AND A DEDICATION CEREMONY AT 4:00. THERE WILL BE A PERFORMANCE AT 3:45 AND WE INVITE YOU TO ATTEND. THESE TREES ARE A POWERFUL SYMBOL OF HOPE AND RESILIENCE.

THANK YOU SO MUCH FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT AGENDA ITEM PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY.

JUST TO CLARIFY THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA.

SORRY, I AM ALREADY JUMPING. YOU ARE CORRECT. ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA. GO AHEAD PLEASE WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

MY NAME IS JEFF AND I'VE BEEN A MEMBER OF THIS TOWN FOR 60 YEARS AND I LIVE AT 364 PIT 364 PIT AVE. 364 PIT AVE. MY APPEARANCE HERE TONIGHT, ACROSS THE STREET FROM HE IS THE BACK OF SAFEWAY STORES. SAFEWAY HAS ACQUIRED

GRAFFITI THAT IS LESS THAN DESIRABLE TO LOOK AT AND I HAD IT ON MY PHONE. IT DISAPPEARED SO I CAN'T SHOW IT TO YOU BUT IT'S AN ISSUE THAT CANNOT BE RESOLVED. I'VE TALKED WITH THE POLICE DEPARTMENT, THE POLICE DEPARTMENT CANNOT DEAL WITH IT BECAUSE THEY HAVE TO BE THERE AT THE TIME THAT IT HAPPENS. I SPOKE TO THE STORE, THE STORE DOES NOT DO ANYTHING BECAUSE THE BUILDING IS OWNED BY A COMPANY THAT ISN'T EVEN LOCATED IN CALIFORNIA. SO THE ONLY RECOURSE THAT I HAVE IS TO COME TO COUNCIL AND ASK FOR SOME SORT OF GUIDANCE OR WHAT TO DO. IT HAS ONLY BEEN CHANGED ONE TIME IN FIVE YEARS AND A MAN CAME AND PAINTED OVER IT AND THAT WAS IT. OTHERWISE WE HAVE TO LOOK AT THIS CRAP, AND IT IS CRAP. IT GOES ALL THE WAY FROM THE SAFEWAY DRIVEWAY TO THE DRY CLEANER ON THE OTHER CORNER. SO THAT IS MY PROBLEM AND I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE SOME SOLUTION TO THAT. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? GO AHEAD WITH PUBLIC COMMENT.

WILL MY TWO MINUTES TAKE AWAY FROM THE GIRL SCOUTS TIME?

NO, WE ARE GOING TO GET THEM RIGHT AFTER YOU.

OKAY AWESOME. I WANTED TO SEE A FEW QUICK THANK YOU'S. THE CHAMBER HAD OUR 40th ANNUAL COMMUNITY AWARDS AND IT WAS A BEAUTIFUL EVENT CELEBRATING THE CITIZEN OF THE YEAR, THE BUSINESS OF THE YEAR AND MANY OTHER WONDERFUL PEOPLE IN SEBASTOPOL. CITY MANAGER SCHWARTZ SHOWED UP AS DID VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND FORMER MAYOR HINTON WAS ABLE TO MAKE IT TOWARDS THE END. IT WAS JUST A LOVELY CELEBRATION OF OUR COMMUNITY AND I KNOW A LOT OF TIMES COUNCIL MEETINGS HAVE A LOT OF TURMOIL WITH THINGS WE TRY TO MAKE BETTER. I JUST WANT TO FOCUS ON SOMETHING POSITIVE THAT HAPPENED AND IF YOU WANT TO READ ABOUT IT THANK YOU LAURA FOR THE VERY NICE ARTICLE IN THE SEBASTOPOL TIMES ABOUT THE EVENT . A HUGE SUPPORTER OF THE CHAMBER AND THE APPLE BLOSSOM FESTIVAL FOR A LONG TIME. THEY GENEROUSLY DONATE THEIR LOCATION AND IT WAS A WONDERFUL NIGHT AND I WANTED TO SHARE THAT AND THANK YOU TO ALL OF YOU WHO SHOWED UP.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I'M GOING TO COME BACK IN CHAMBER TO THE GIRL SCOUTS.

MY NAME IS AMY AND I AM ACTING IN THE CAPACITY OF THE TROOP LEADER OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. WE HAVE GIRLS FROM PARKSIDE ELEMENTARY AND THE SEBASTOPOL INDEPENDENT CHARTER SCHOOL. WE ARE EARNING OUR DEMOCRACY IN ACTION BADGE SO PART OF THAT IS WORKING TO EXPRESS TO OUR CITY COUNCIL MEMBERS WHAT WE LIKE ABOUT THE TOWN OR WHAT WE WOULD LIKE TO SEE CHANGED. SO THESE GIRLS HAVE BEEN WORKING VERY HARD ON THEIR PUBLIC SPEAKING SKILLS AND LEARNING ABOUT THE BRANCHES OF DEMOCRACY AND GOVERNMENT. SO I AM GOING TO GIVE IT TO THEM.

THANK YOU. I JUST WANT TO SAY, WELCOME TO ALL OF YOU. IT IS FABULOUS TO SEE THESE YOUNG FACES ENGAGED ALREADY IN GOVERNMENT PROCESS. SO PLEASE, WE ARE WAITING TO HEAR WHAT YOU HAVE TO SHARE WITH US.

MY NAME IS ELIA AND I AM NINE YEARS OLD AND PART OF THE GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK THAT MORE TRASH CANS IN PUBLIC PLACES IS IMPORTANT. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE CHEAPER HOUSING AND LESS EXPENSIVE GAS PRICES IN THE CITY. THE THING I LIKE THE MOST ABOUT SEBASTOPOL IS THE COMMUNITY , AND THE LIBRARY.

THANK YOU.

MY NAME IS NAOMI AND I AM 10 YEARS OLD. I AM PART OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK THAT HAVING CLEANER PUBLIC BATHROOMS IS IMPORTANT. I WOULD ALSO LIKE TO SEE MORE AFFORDABLE HOUSING IN THE CITY. THE THING THAT I LIKE MOST ABOUT SEBASTOPOL IS SCREAMING MIMI'S.

MY NAME IS INDIANA AND I AM 11 YEARS OLD. I AM PART OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP NUMBER 10553. I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK THAT MAKING SURE THAT ANIMALS EAT THE RIGHT THING AND THAT HUMANS DON'T FEED THEM WRONG THINGS AND STUFF. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE CLEAN PUBLIC-- MORE CLEAN PUBLIC BATHROOMS IN POPULAR PLACES FOR THE CITY. THE THING I LIKE MOST ABOUT LIVING IN SEBASTOPOL IS THE COMMUNITY, THE PARKS AND REALLY, EVERYTHING.

MY NAME IS MERRIWEATHER AND I AM 10 YEARS OLD. I'M A PART OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK SEEING MORE PUBLIC ART IS IMPORTANT. I AM AN ARTIST AND I THINK MORE ART AROUND THE CITY WOULD MAKE YOU FEEL MORE CONNECTED TO THE CITY. I REALLY LIKE THE ART IN PETALUMA. ART LIKE THAT MAKE ME FEEL SO HAPPY. I LOVE DRIVING OR WALKING SOMEWHERE AND SEEING SOME ART OR STATUES BUT I WOULD LOVE TO HAVE MORE ART IN SEBASTOPOL. THAT'S WHY I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE MORE PUBLIC ART.

SHE SAYS MY NAME IS ZOE AND I AM SEVEN YEARS OLD. I AM PART OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE ART IN THE CITY AND THE THING I LIKE MOST ABOUT LIVING IN SEBASTOPOL IS IVES POOL.

I CAN READ IT. MY NAME IS HARPER AND I'M SEVEN YEARS OLD. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE STATUES IN THE CITY. THE THING I LOVE THE MOST ABOUT LIVING IN SEBASTOPOL, THAT IT IS PEACEFUL.

THANK YOU.

MY NAME IS REMI AND I AM SEVEN YEARS OLD. I LIKE TO GO TO THE POOL. THANK YOU FOR TAKING CARE OF IT.

[LAUGHTER]

I AM-- YEARS OLD, I LIVE IN THE SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK THAT ART IS IMPORTANT. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE STATUES AND ART IN THE CITY. THE THING I LIKE MOST ABOUT LIVING IN SEBASTOPOL IS SCREAMING MIMI'S.

MY NAME IS OLIVIA AND I AM 10 YEARS OLD. I AM PART OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK THAT MORE CLEAN BATHROOMS IS IMPORTANT. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE STATUES IN THE CITY. THE THING I LIKE THE MOST ABOUT LIVING IN SEBASTOPOL IS SCREAMING MIMI'S.

MY NAME IS WENONA AND I AM SIX YEARS OLD. I AM PART OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK THAT MAKING PEOPLE LAUGH IS IMPORTANT TO ME, SO I WANT TO SEE MORE OF THOSE FUNNY MIRRORS.

NICE.

MY NAME IS LUKA AND I AM NINE YEARS OLD. I AM PART OF GIRL SCOUT TROOP 10553. I LIVE IN SEBASTOPOL AND I THINK THAT MORE ANIMAL SAFE PLACE IS IMPORTANT. THE THING I LIKE MOST ABOUT LIVING IN SEBASTOPOL IS THE DOG PARK.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME THIS EVENING. I APPRECIATE IT.

THANK YOU SO MUCH.

EXCELLENT SUGGESTIONS. EXCELLENT INPUT. PRESENTED IN A VERY ARTICULATE AND COMPELLING WAY. WHAT AN INCREDIBLE RANGE OF INTERESTS. EVERYTHING

FROM BETTER BATHROOMS TO SCULPTURES TO ART TO LAUGHTER. THANK YOU TO THIS FABULOUS GIRL SCOUT TROOP AND TO AMY WINDSOR WHO BROUGHT US ALL OF THEIR WONDERFUL COMMENTS AND ARRANGED IT SO THAT THEY COULD BE SO ENGAGED. THANK YOU FOR THAT. ANY ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT?

NO WE WILL CLOSE. WE ARE BEYOND OUR 20 MINUTES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. A VERY HEARTENING EVENT. MARY GOURLEY, I WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE AS WE MOVE FORWARD, TO ALWAYS LOOK BACK AT THE PUBLIC COMMENT THAT IS POSTED ON THE MEETING PAGE ON OUR CITY WEBSITE. YOU HEAR VERBAL COMMENTS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC, BUT EVERYTHING THAT PEOPLE SUBMIT IS EQUALLY IMPORTANT AND YOU WILL SEE THAT OUR ABLE CITY CLERK, MARY GOURLEY, HAS ADDED THE WRITTEN PUBLIC COMMENTS THAT WE'VE RECEIVED TO THE MEETING PAGE. I HAD A QUESTION. I RECALL WE HAD A COMMENT THAT WAS PRESENTED BY LISA AND STEVE AND I RECALL SEEING A WRITTEN COMMENT TO CITY COUNCIL FROM LISA OR STEVE ON THIS TOPIC. WOULD IT HAVE BEEN POSTED ON THIS PAGE?

IF IT WAS INDICATED AS A PUBLIC COMMENT YES, IT WOULD'VE BEEN POSTED TO THIS PAGE. IF YOU WANT TO RESEND THAT I CAN SEND IT TO THE WEBSITE. IF IT DOESN'T SPECIFICALLY SAY THAT IT'S FOR PUBLIC COMMENT IT WOULD NOT HAVE BEEN POSTED. BUT IT WAS SET TO COUNCIL.

I WOULD URGE ANYONE MAKING PUBLIC COMMENT TO MAKE SURE IN WRITING IF THEY WANT IT SHARED WITH THE PUBLIC ON A MEETING PAGE, TO SPECIFY THAT BECAUSE OUR CITY CLERK WILL IMPLEMENT THE REQUEST VERY PROMPTLY. AND IN THIS CASE THERE WAS AN ATTACHMENT THAT WAS SUBSTANTIAL THAT MAY BE WORTH MAKING AVAILABLE. OUR NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA MOVING FORWARD HERE. I WAS A LITTLE TAKEN WITH THE GIRL SCOUT THING. THE CONSENT CALENDAR. WE HAVE FIVE ITEMS TONIGHT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. I AM OBLIGATED BY CURRENT POLICY TO READ THE FULL TITLE OF THESE FIVE ITEMS. I WILL READ THEM AND THEN LOOK TO FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS INCLUDING THOSE WHO ARE PARTICIPATING VIRTUALLY TO SEE IF THERE'S ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO PULL ANY ITEMS FROM THE AGENDA AND THEN WE GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT AND THEN WE COME BACK FOR ACTION ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. FIVE ITEMS. NUMBER ONE IS APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL--

EXCUSE ME CAN YOU CLARIFY? IT LOOKS LIKE WE HAVE SEVEN. DID I MISS SOMETHING?

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR CLARIFYING THAT, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, YES. IN FACT THAT THERE ARE SEVEN ITEMS, IMPORTANT TO NOTE. I ALSO WANTED TO GO BACK TO SOME OF THE COMMENTS THAT WERE MADE IN TERMS OF THE COUNCIL DECISION REGARDING WIRELESS WATER METERS. I WILL MAKE SURE THAT THE PUBLIC IS AWARE THAT THAT DECISION WAS FULL COUNCIL INFORMED COMPLETELY WITH INFORMATION FROM DANTE, OUR VERY ABLE SUPERINTENDENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. THAT WAS NOT A DECISION THAT ONE OR TWO INDIVIDUAL COUNCILMEMBERS MADE. THE OTHER COMMENT IS REGARDING SUGGESTIONS FROM GIRL SCOUTS. I THINK THEY ARE FABULOUS. ANY COMMENTS FROM STAFF ON ANY OF THE COMMENTS THAT WE HEARD FROM THE PUBLIC? I DON'T THINK SO BUT JUST WANT TO CHECK. MOVING ALONG TO THE SEVEN ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. YES?

I JUST WANTED TO MAKE COMMENTS ABOUT PUBLIC ART THAT I SEE THE GIRLS ARE GONE. WE HAVE A NEW, VERY TALL, A 28 FOOT SPIRE WHICH IS VERY INTERESTING AND YOU CAN SEE IT FROM HIGHWAY 12. BUT IT'S BETTER TO GET

OUT OF THE CAR AND WALK OVER AND CHECK IT OUT. IT'S REALLY COOL. WE HAVE ANOTHER ONE COMING BY BRUCE JOHNSON AND THAT WAS WRITTEN ABOUT IN THE PAPER THIS MORNING.

SEVEN ITEMS ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 1, 2024. NUMBER TWO IS APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL POLICIES AS LISTED BELOW. THERE ARE FIVE POLICIES. SELECTION OF MAYOR, VICE MAYOR, ROLLS OF MAYOR AND VICE MAYOR, SEATING SELECTION AND TRAININGS. AUTHORIZE SOLID WASTE AD HOC COMMITTEE AND EVALUATION PANEL TO SELECT A TOP RANKED PROPOSER AND AUTHORIZED STAFF TO PROCEED INTO NEGOTIATIONS. APPROVAL AND RECEIPT OF UPCOMING VACANCIES ON THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE FOR THE TERM OF DECEMBER 31, 24 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2028. THERE IS ONE VACANCY. ITEM NUMBER 5. APPROVAL AND RECEIPT OF UPCOMING OPENINGS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION FOR TERM OF DECEMBER 2024 THROUGH DECEMBER 2028. THERE ARE TWO UPCOMING OPENINGS ON THAT PLANNING COMMISSION. ITEM NUMBER 6 ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR IS APPROVAL AND RECEIPT OF UPCOMING OPENINGS ON THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD FOR A TERM OF DECEMBER 31, 2024 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2026. THREE UPCOMING OPENINGS. ANYONE OUT IN THE PUBLIC INTERESTED IN ANY OF THESE, WE ARE LOOKING FOR YOU. ITEM NUMBER 7 IS APPROVAL TO DELAY RECRUITMENT FOR VACANCIES AND UPCOMING OPENINGS ON THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. THESE ARE THE 7 CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. I AM LOOKING TO FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS TO SEE IF ANYONE HERE PRESENT IN COUNCIL WOULD LIKE TO REMOVE ANY OF THESE ITEMS.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I'D LIKE TO REMOVE FOUR, FIVE, SIX, AND SEVEN.

ALL RIGHT. CITY CLERK, MARY GOURLEY IF YOU COULD HELP ME TRACK THIS. THOSE WILL BE REMOVED. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

I'D LIKE TO REMOVE NUMBER 2.

THAT LEAVES US FOR FURTHER DISCUSSION WITH ITEMS NUMBER ONE, THREE, AND I BELIEVE THAT IS ALL. IS THAT CORRECT?

THAT IS CORRECT. THE ITEMS THAT WILL BE DISCUSSED AT THIS POINT, AND WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF THE CONSENT CALENDAR FOR PURPOSES OF COUNSEL DISCUSSION AND PUBLIC COMMENT ARE ITEMS NUMBER 1 AND 3 AND THOSE ARE JUST APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING OF OCTOBER 1 AND THE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE SOLID WASTE AD HOC COMMITTEE AND EVALUATION PANEL TO SELECT A TOP RANKED PROPOSER AND AUTHOR. THOSE ARE THE ONLY TWO ITEMS WE ARE CONSIDERING. WE WILL ADD THESE ITEMS AS PULLED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR WHICH ARE ITEMS TWO, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, AND 7 AND WE WILL ADDRESS THOSE AFTER WE ADDRESS ITEM NUMBER 10 ON TODAY'S CALENDAR. ANYTHING TO ADD OR MODIFY?

I JUST HAD A QUESTION. I JUST WANTED TO ASK IF, GIVEN ALL OF THESE THAT ARE PULLED OFF AND THE IMPORTANCE OF NUMBER TWO AND THE IMPACT OF THE WHOLE COUNCIL AND THESE PRIORITIES, I'M WONDERING IF IT WOULD BE POSSIBLE TO ASK FOR ALL OF US TO ALL BE PRESENT AND DISCUSS THAT ON THE NOVEMBER 5 MEETING GIVEN THE FACT THAT WE SPENT SO MUCH TIME AT THE LAST MEETING DISCUSSING THIS.

THANK YOU FOR THAT COMMENT. I BELIEVE PROCEDURALLY WHAT WE WILL NEED TO DO IS PUT THAT AT THE END OF TONIGHT'S AGENDA IN ORDER TO DISCUSS THAT AND MAKE A DECISION ON THAT REQUEST. IS THAT CORRECT?

WHEN THE ITEM COMES OPEN AT THE END OF THE MEETING, AND HE COUNCILMEMBER CAN REQUEST TO CONTINUE IT OR TO DISCUSS IT. THERE WILL HAVE TO BE A VOTE AT THAT TIME.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. TURNING TO COUNCILMEMBERS WHO ARE SIGNING ON VIRTUALLY, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS , ANY ITEMS YOU WOULD LIKE TO REMOVE THAT I HAVEN'T ALREADY REMOVED?

NO.

AT THIS POINT WE WILL GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. ITEMS NUMBER 1 AND 3. AS STATED THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NUMBER ONE AND THREE. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEM I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I AM NOW LOOKING FOR A MOTION ON ITEMS 1 AND 3. I'M HAPPY TO MOVE ITEMS 1 AND 3.

GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER.

MARY GOURLEY?

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER TO PROVE ITEMS 1 AND 3 WITH ITEMS TWO, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, AND SEVEN TWO, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, AND 7 TO BE HEARD AT THE END OF THE REGULAR AGENDA. COMES A MENTAL-- COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? I. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? I. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

WE ARE NOW MOVING ON TO THE PRESENTATIONS AND WE HAVE ONE THIS EVENING WHICH WOULD BE ITEM NUMBER 8. WHICH IS A PRESENTATION STATUS OF THE WATER SUPPLY AND THE CITY WATER WELLS AND INFRASTRUCTURE AND I BELIEVE THE PRESENTATION OF THIS ITEM IS FROM THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERINTENDENT.

THANK YOU, COUNCIL. LET'S SEE IF I CAN SHARE MY SCREEN.

AS YOU CAN TELL FROM CHANGES IN OUR AGENDA, WE HAVE A LOT ON THE DOCKET TONIGHT SO AS INFORMATIVE AND BRIEF AS YOU CAN BE WOULD BE GREAT. TO THE EXTENT WE CAN ENLARGE THAT EVEN MORE WOULD BE SUPER HELPFUL. THAT WILL DO IT.

THANK YOU MAYOR AND COUNCIL. THIS WATER SYSTEM PRESENTATION IS A HOLISTIC VIEW OF OUR WATER SYSTEM AS A WHOLE TO GIVE EVERYONE A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF HOW THE SYSTEM OPERATES IN SOME OF THE CHALLENGES. I WILL GET THROUGH THE DETAILS OF THE SLIDE. A COUPLE OF ITEMS OF INTEREST. 37 MILES OF SEWER MAINS IN THE CITY. WE HAVE A BOOSTER STATION, A BLENDING STATION, ACTIVE GROUNDWATER WELLS AND TREATMENT FACILITIES WHICH WILL BE TOUCHED ON IN THE SLIDES. MAYOR, WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO STOP AT EACH SLIDE TO ALLOW COMMENT?

EXCELLENT QUESTION. VERY ENGAGED COUNCIL, I THINK WHAT WE WILL DO IS, YOU WORK THROUGH AT YOUR OWN PACE AND COUNCILMEMBERS, PLEASE INTERJECT AND RAISE YOUR HANDS IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS. I WILL KEEP AN EYE ON THAT AND VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS PLEASE MAKE SURE YOU SPEAK UP .

FLOW DIAGRAM SIMPLIFIED. WATER PRODUCTION WELLS, WATERS SUPPLY AQUIFERS, WATER PRESSURE ZONES, WATER QUALITY AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE. THE WATER SYSTEM AGE AND SOME OF THE STAFF AND CUSTOMER SERVICE ITEMS. THIS SLIDE IS AS SIMPLIFIED AS I CAN GET BUT AS A GREAT REPRESENTATION OF WATER FROM THE WELL TO THE STORAGE. I WILL TRACE

THESE THROUGH REALLY QUICK WHILE SEVEN WILL BE NOTED LATER ON. THAT IS AN AREA THAT HAS SOME CONTAMINATION THAT GOES THROUGH A VESSEL FOR ARSENIC THAT BACK WASHED VESSEL IS PART OF THE MAINTENANCE FOR THAT AND GOES INTO THE SYSTEM AND TOWARD THE RESERVOIR. FOUR HAS A SAND SEPARATOR. VERY UPPER LEFT. THE NEXT ONE DOWN AS WELL, FOUR. IT GOES THROUGH SAND SEPARATOR, THROUGH TWO CARBON VESSELS WHICH ARE IN PLACE RIGHT NOW FORD DRY-CLEANING CAMS AND AGAIN THERE IS A MAINTENANCE BACKWASH TANK AND THEN IT GOES OUT TO THE SYSTEM AND IS STORAGE. THE NEXT ONE DOWN AS WELL, WELL 6 HAS A STATION WHERE IT IS BLENDED FROM THE ZONE ONE. A SAND SEPARATOR AND OUT TO THE SYSTEM. WELL NUMBER 8 IS OUR NEWEST WELL AND HAS NO CONTAMINANTS IN IT. IT IS ONLY TREATED FOR DISINFECTION AND GOES OUT TO THE SYSTEM. ANY QUESTIONS? THIS IS JUST A GEOGRAPHICAL KIND OF SHOW OF WHERE THE WELLS ACTUALLY ARE ON THE EAST END OF TOWN AND THE TWO LOCATIONS OF OUR RESERVOIRS THAT ARE LOCATED ON TOP OF TWO HILLS BECAUSE OF REGULATED PRESSURE IN THE CITY. WELL NUMBER 4 IN A LITTLE MORE DETAIL GIVES THE ADDRESS AS THE LUMA AVENUE IN THE YEAR INSTALLED, 1953 SO INFRASTRUCTURE IS VERY OLD. THE MECHANICAL ITEMS OF THAT HAVE BEEN SWAPPED OUT OF COURSE. IT IS DRILLED TO A DEPTH OF 775 AND IT IS SET AT 250' IN DEPTH. IT IS GRANULAR ACTIVATED CARBON WHICH IS ACTUALLY COCONUT SHELLS AND DISINFECTION WITH SODIUM CHLORINE. THE CONTAMINANT CONCERN IS PCE WHICH IS A DRY-CLEANING CAM. AVERAGE GALLONS PER MINUTE IS A LITTLE OVER 1000 GALLONS PER MINUTE OF PRODUCTION AND ANNUAL PRODUCTION IS 157 MILLION GALLONS. WELL NUMBER 7 IS AT VILLAGE WAY. COOPER ROAD AT THE CORNER. IT'S ONE OF OUR NEWER WELLS. 1996 IT WAS INSTALLED AND DRILLED TO A DEPTH OF 710. THE PUMP IS SET AT 440 AND THE CURRENT TREATMENT, WE CALL IT A PLUG AS IT IS EASIER TO UNDERSTAND. IT IS SHIELDING OFF A SHALLOW PERFORATION HIGHER IN ARSENIC SO IT SHIELDS THE ARSENIC WATER FROM A CERTAIN ZONE SO WE ARE PULLING WATER FROM BETTER QUALITY. THAT IS INSTALLED AT ABOUT 400'. 395 - 400. WE DO A CO2 TREATMENT WHICH THEN HELPS THE GRANULAR FERRIC OXIDE MEDIA WHICH IS BASICALLY RUST. ARSENIC IN THE FORM OF ARSENIC 3 WILL COME INTO PLAY BECAUSE WE HAVE A DIFFERENT TYPE OF ARSENIC AT WELL NUMBER 6 AND THEY ARE TREATED DIFFERENTLY. GALLONS PER MINUTE IS ABOUT 500 GALLONS A MINUTE FOR 90 AVERAGE PRODUCTION. 86 1/2 MILLION GALLONS.

I DID HAVE A QUESTION. ARSENIC IS KIND OF SCARY, A SCARY CHEMICAL TO THINK ABOUT. IS A COMMON TO HAVE ARSENIC IN WATER AND ARE YOU CONFIDENT-- WHAT IS YOUR LEVEL OF CONFIDENCE THAT OUR WATER IS SAFE? WE ARE WELL BELOW THE REGULATIONS. I DRINK IT EVERY DAY AND FEEL IT SAFE. I WILL TELL YOU THE ARSENIC IS NATURALLY OCCURRING FROM THE VOLCANIC LAYER SO IT IS SOMETHING WE ARE DEALING WITH. THAT'S WHY THE PLUG AT THAT CERTAIN LAYER IS A COST-EFFECTIVE TREATMENT. WATER WELL 6. THIS IS IN ZONE TWO AND WILL COME INTO PLAY LATER BECAUSE IT'S BASED ON GRAVITY AND HOW OUR PRESSURE SYSTEM IS. WATER WELLS 4 AND 7 ARE IN ZONE ONE. LOCATION IS KIND OF ACROSS THE STREET AND THE FIELD FROM FIRCREST MARKET. YEAR INSTALLED, 1968. IS DRILLED TO OVER 1000' AND CURRENTLY SET AT 220'. WE ARE BLENDING WATER FROM ZONE ONE WE ARE BLENDING WATER FROM ZONE 14 ARSENIC REMEDIATION AND THEN DISINFECTION AND THE CONTAMINANT IS A DIFFERENT FORM OF ARSENIC, ARSENIC 5. IT IS STILL ARSENIC BUT YOU TREAT IT DIFFERENTLY. AVERAGE GALLONS PER MINUTE, 350 GALLONS

PER MINUTE THAT IT IS BLENDED WITH ABOUT 1250 GALLONS TO ZONE ONE. AVERAGE, 26 1/2 MILLION GALLONS.

WHY ARE WE BLENDING WATER FROM ONE WELL WITH ANOTHER?

TO LOWER THE ARSENIC BELOW-- TO A SAFE LEVEL. TO MEET THE REGULATIONS. THANK YOU. WELL NUMBER 8, WATER ZONE TWO IS ON THE CORNER OF JEWELL AND CALDER. IT'S THE NEWEST WELL. 380', OFFSET AT 400. WE ARE JUST DOING DISINFECTION. CONTAMINANTS RIGHT NOW ARE NONE. GPM PRODUCTION, 445. AND YOU WILL YIELD 44 1/2 MILLION GALLONS. THE CITY'S WATER PRODUCING WELLS OVERLAID THE WILSON GROVE FORMATION WHICH IS CURRENTLY RATED AT LOW RISK BY THE SUSTAINABLE GROUND WATER MANAGEMENT ACT SO WE ARE RIGHT ON THE EDGE. THAT'S WHERE THEY ARE LOCATED.

DO WE GET ALL OF OUR WATER FOR THE CITY THROUGH THOSE FOUR WELLS THAT YOU HAVE IDENTIFIED?

100% OF OUR WATER IS WELL WATER.

AND IT ALL COMES FROM THE WILSON WATER GROVE?

YES.

THANKS.

THIS IS A GRAPHIC OF THE TWO WATER ZONES AND IT HAS BEEN DEVELOPED OVER TIME. THE ORIGINAL DOWNTOWN SECTION OR WATER ZONE 1 IS THE LOWER ELEVATION AND THAT IS FROM THE ELEVATION OF FIRST STREET RESERVOIR WHICH PROVIDES THE SYSTEM PRESSURE FOR THAT ZONE. WATER ZONE TWO IS A HIGHER ELEVATION WHICH ARE AT PLEASANT HILL AND THAT FEEDS THE PRESSURE FOR THE HIGHER ELEVATION. WATER STORAGE. FIRST HEAT RESERVOIR. THIS IS AN ACTUAL PICTURE OF OUR TANK. MACKEY COURT. 1991 IT WAS CONSTRUCTED. WELDED STEEL. IT'S ONE OF THE SMALLER RESERVOIRS WHICH IS ONE AND A HALF MILLION GALLONS. THERE'S THE DIMENSIONS IF YOU ARE INTERESTED. THIS IS WATER ZONE ONE LOWER ELEVATION. PRESSURE DETERMINES THE GRAVITY FEED AND I PUT A NOTE ON HERE BECAUSE I WANT A BETTER UNDERSTANDING. ORIGINALLY OUR WATER SYSTEM DID NOT HAVE RESERVOIRS. WE JUST HAD BIG PIPES SO THE WATER WELLS WOULD TURN OFF AND ON TO PROVIDE WATER TO THE CITY. SO WHEN THE RESERVOIRS WENT IN, THE PUMPS STILL PUMPED DIRECTLY INTO THE SYSTEM BUT WHEN THEY PRODUCE MORE WATER THEY BASICALLY-- IT BASICALLY OVERFLOWS INTO THE RESERVOIR. MORE WATER THAN USAGE. HARD TO WRAP YOUR HEAD AROUND BUT WE ARE NOT PUMPING DIRECTLY TO THE RESERVOIR AND FEEDING OUT.

JUST WANT TO CHECK WITH COUNCIL MEMBERS. ANY QUESTIONS AT THIS POINT OF DANTE? GO AHEAD.

THIS IS AN OVERVIEW AND I WILL GO TO EACH INDIVIDUAL TANK WITH WASN'T HILL RESERVOIRS NUMBER 1 AND 2 SITE ACROSS FROM BLACK ME. TWO WELDED RESERVOIRS ARE CONNECTED THROUGH PIPES AND ISOLATION VALVES SO THEY CAN BE ISOLATED BUT NORMAL OPERATING SETTING IS CONNECTED. THEIR VOLUMES GO UP AND DOWN AND IN ONE OF THE NOTES I WANTED TO ADDRESS THAT IT IS OUR DESIRE AND NORMAL OPERATING PROCEDURE TO KEEP THESE TANKS AS COLD AS POSSIBLE AT ALL TIMES. THAT IS NOT IN MY PRESENTATION BUT IT CAME UP RECENTLY. TWO 3 MILLION GALLON TANKS, 6000 IN STORAGE AND THAT'S WHERE STORAGE IF TOTALLY FULL, 7 1/2 MILLION GALLONS OF STORAGE INCLUDING ONE AND A HALF FROM FIRST STREET. WATER ZONE TWO IS A HIGHER ELEVATION THAT PROVIDES GRAVITY TO ZONE TWO.

A QUICK QUESTION. OUT OF CURIOSITY, HOW MUCH WATER ON AVERAGE DOES OUR CITY USE?

IT'S BEEN CALCULATED THAT WE HAVE ABOUT 1 MILLION GALLONS A DAY. A LITTLE OVER 1 MILLION GALLONS A DAY ON A NORMAL USAGE.

THANK YOU.

RESERVOIR 1 IS THE OLDER ONE ACTUALLY. IT'S 3 MILLION GALLONS, WELDED STEEL, 1979. JUST WANTED TO HAVE INDIVIDUAL PHOTOS. TWO IS THE NEWER ONE, IN 86. IT'S 3 MILLION GALLONS, SAME LOCATION. SO WATER QUALITY AND REGULATORY COMPLIANCE. THIS IS SOME BUT NOT ALL OF THE STUFF THAT WE DO AS WATER TREATMENT OPERATOR'S AND WATER SYSTEM MAINTENANCE OPERATORS. WE DO WEEKLY BACTERIAL SAMPLES. THE CITY IS BROKEN UP INTO FOUR QUARTERS AND THOSE SAMPLES ARE TAKEN TO WHERE EVERY MONTH THE CITY HAS A REPRESENTATIVE EXAMPLE. WELLS ARE SAMPLED INDIVIDUALLY AND ANOTHER ONE YOU MAY BE AWARE OF IS COPPER AND LEAD SAMPLING AND THEN WE SAMPLE FOR ALL OF THE REGULATORY CONTAMINANTS.

ARE YOU SAMPLING FOR CONTAMINANTS?

THOSE ARE SET BY THE STATE DEPENDING ON THE CONTAMINANT. THEY CHANGE BASED ON REGULATIONS. BACKFLOW PREVENTION AND TESTING PROGRAMS PROTECTS THE WATER SYSTEM FROM POTENTIAL CONTAMINANTS SO ANY POTENTIAL BACKFLOW CONDITION IS PROTECTED WITH A BACKFLOW CHECK VALVE AND THOSE ARE TESTED ANNUALLY. WE MANAGE THAT PROGRAM, CITY STAFF TESTS ALL THE CITY OWNED BACKFLOW'S. SOME OF THE SYSTEM MAINTENANCE THAT WE DO, WATER MAIN VALVE EXERCISING AND WE BLOW OFF THE DEAD ENDS WHICH ARE NOT LOOPED AS A CONTINUOUS SYSTEM. THE FIRE DEPARTMENT, MY TWO FAVORITE PEOPLE IN THE WORLD, TO FINISH THIS UP WHO ARE A TREASURE TO OUR CITY, SYSTEM RESILIENCE AND PRECAUTIONARY REDUNDANCY. THIS IS IMPORTANT SO WE WANTED TO PUT THIS IN HERE. THE CITY HAS SUFFICIENT REDUNDANCY IN THE EVENT OF FACILITY MALFUNCTION SHUTDOWN OR PLAN TO SYSTEM OPERATING. WE CAN ADJUST THE TIMING AND HOW OFTEN THEY RUN TO ACCOMMODATE FOR EVEN ONE OR TWO WELLS DEPENDING ON THE NEEDS OF THE SYSTEM IN THE EVENT OF A PLANNED OR EMERGENCY. WE CAN MANIPULATE THE RUNTIMES TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT WATER. THE PRODUCTION REDUNDANCY AS SCHEDULES TO ACCOMMODATE SHUTTING DOWN, MENTIONED THAT. THERE'S THE STORAGE RESERVE. 7+ DAYS OF NORMAL USE. IF THERE IS AN EMERGENCY OR SOME EVENT, WE CAN PUT OUT CONSERVATION ORDERS AND EXTEND THAT. CITY HISTORY AND WATER SYSTEM AGE. MOST OF THE GROWTH WAS BETWEEN 1960S AND 1980S AND THE CURRENT SYSTEM WAS PRIMARILY INSTALLED BETWEEN 60 AND 1990. MOST OF THE MAINS WERE INSTALLED DURING THIS PERIOD. HERE'S A MAP THAT WAS KIND OF HARD TO SEE BUT I WANT TO THE WHOLE CITY ON ONE PAGE. IT GIVES THE AGE AND THE REFERENCE TO EACH MAIN. WE ALMOST GOT ALL THE DATA. THERE IS THAT RED COLOR. WE WEREN'T ABLE TO GRAB THE DATA FOR THIS SPECIFIC REPORT BUT IT DOES SHOW A LOT OF BLUE WHICH WAS INSTALLED IN THE 70s. THE DOWNTOWN CORRIDOR PRIMARILY ORANGE 1960S AND I WILL SAY THE ORANGE IS ABOUT WHERE THE USEFUL LIFE OF THE AC PIPES SHOULD BE CHANGED. ABOUT 60, 65 YEARS.

QUESTION?

GO AHEAD.

SO THIS GIVES US, IT LOOKS THE YEARS THEY WERE INSTALLED, YOU JUST MENTIONED THE LIGHT. DO WE HAVE ANY TYPE OF MAP LIKE THIS WHEN YOU'VE HAD TO CHANGE ANY OF THE WASTEWATER PIPES OR ANY OF THE

INFRASTRUCTURE THERE SO THAT I HAVE AN IDEA IF SOMETHING WAS IN THE 1930S AND HAS BEEN UPDATED, IS THAT REFLECTED IN HERE OR SOMEONE ELSE? THIS DATA IS A FEW YEARS OLD AND WE JUST FINISHED WATER AND SEWER REPLACEMENT. THAT IS NOT REFLECTED IN HERE BUT I CAN TELL YOU THAT I'VE WORKED HERE FOR 28 YEARS AND THERE'S ONLY A FEW WATER PROJECTS THAT HAVE HAPPENED

THERE'S ONLY BEEN A FEW WATER PROJECTS THAT HAVE HAPPENED AND THAT WOULD BE REFLECTIVE IN THE 2000'S.

SO FOR EXAMPLE THE ONES THAT ARE PINK, I'M GOING TO PICK IT BECAUSE IT SAYS 1930S. YOU ARE SAYING WE CAN ASSUME THAT THOSE HAVE BEEN UPDATED SINCE THE 1930S?

THOSE HAVE NOT BEEN UPDATED.

I JUST WANT TO BE CLEAR ON THAT. SO SOMETHING THAT WAS FIXED IN THE 2000 BUT WAS INSTALLED IN THE 1930S AND WE HAD SOMETHING REPLACED IN THE 2000'S, THAT'S NOT GOING TO REFLECT ON HERE ANYWHERE?

THAT'S CORRECT.

SO IT'S REALLY HARD TO TELL.

I'M SORRY, TO CLARIFY, WOULD SOMETHING THAT IS INSTALLED IN THE 60s THAT REPAIRED OR REMOVED OR UPDATED AFTER THAT, WHAT DATE WOULD IT CARRY ON THIS MAP? THE ORIGINAL DATE OR THE UPDATED DATE?

THE UPDATED DATE. WHAT WE ARE SEEING IS UP DATED MOST RECENTLY UPDATED DATES IN THIS MAP?

YES.

OTHER THAN YOU MENTIONED PARQUET? OKAY.

FURTHER, WE HAVE, IT LOOKS LIKE WHITE A BIT THAT HAS NOT BEEN CHANGED SINCE THE 1930S?

THAT'S CORRECT.

THANK YOU.

HERE ARE SIGNIFICANT UPGRADES THAT ARE GOING TO NEED TO BE ADDRESSED. REPLACEMENT OF AGING SECTIONS OF WATER SYSTEMS THAT ANTICIPATED THAT THE PREVIOUS MAP WOULD GENERATE THIS, I DO HAVE SOME INPUT ON HOW DO MOST-- HOW TO MOST ECONOMICALLY ADDRESS THAT. WELL FOUR REPLACEMENT. IT IS CURRENTLY THE OLDEST WELL AND IS A CRITICAL TREATMENT FACILITY? WASN'T HILL RESERVOIR TANK TWO IS ACTUALLY TANK ONE. THAT IS A TYPO ON MY PART. IT'S AN OLDER TANK AND THE LINER NEEDS TO BE REPLACED. ARSENIC TREATMENT FACILITY. MAYOR YOU HAD ASKED ABOUT WHY DO WE BLEND AT WELL 6? YEARS AGO THAT WAS AN ECONOMIC DECISION TO USE THAT METHOD RATHER THAN INSTALL THE TREATMENT FACILITY. BUT I DO HAVE IN OUR ELECTRIC FILES AND ON THE SHELF, A COMPLETE DESIGN FOR A WELL 6 TREATMENT FACILITY DESIGNED FOR ARSENIC 5. THAT WAS AN INVESTMENT THAT THE CITY MADE YEARS AGO. PLEASANT HILL RD. WATER SYSTEM CONNECTION LOOP. I THINK MY OPERATORS WOULD AGREE THAT THIS IS PROBABLY THE MOST SIGNIFICANT LIABILITY IN OUR SYSTEM BECAUSE THERE IS A SECTION THAT COMES FROM PLEASANT HILL DOWN TOWARDS JEWEL THAT SUPPLIES, ONE PIPE THAT SUPPLIES PRESSURE FOR ALL OF FLOOD ZONE TWO THAT IS NOT LOOPED.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER DID YOU HAVE A QUESTION?

AT SOME POINT I LOOK AT THESE AND HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THE SLIDE. THESE LOOK LIKE A VERY EXPENSIVE PROJECTS. WHAT IS YOUR SENSE OF IT?

THEY ARE VERY EXPENSIVE PROJECTS AND I WANT TO GET THEM ON THE RADAR BY THE TIME I AM NO LONGER EMPLOYED WITH THE CITY OF SEBASTOPOL. I THINK IN ANOTHER SLIDE IF I CAN JUMP FORWARD, IT LENDS INTO THIS. THE WATER SYSTEM MASTER PLAN IS SCHEDULED FOR LATER THIS YEAR AND THE KEY COMPONENTS ARE LISTED. INFRASTRUCTURE ASSESSMENT, ALL OF THESE ITEMS THAT ARE LISTED IN THE PREVIOUS SLIDE WILL BE LOOKED AT, MEASURED, AND VALUED IN WHAT ANALYSIS THEY SHOULD END UP IN. SO THERE'S THE DATA COLLECTION. THE IDENTIFYING INFRASTRUCTURE NEED AND DEVELOPING LONG-TERM GOALS. THOSE ARE ALL PART OF IT AND PART OF THE PROCESS THAT WILL TAKE PLACE. VERY EXPENSIVE PROCESS CRUNCHING-- VERY EXTENSIVE PROCESS PUNCHING A LOT OF DATA.

QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? NOPE, WE ARE ALL EARS.

FIRST I WANT TO NOTE THAT THIS IS NOT A PICTURE I STOLE OFF THE INTERNET. THOSE ARE TWO CITY EMPLOYEES SO THAT WAS AN EXCELLENT PHOTO TAKEN BY ONE OF OUR FRIENDS IN THE FIRE DEPARTMENT. SO RESPONSIBILITIES. THE PUBLIC WORKS SUPERINTENDENT OR THE NEW DIRECTOR WILL BE THE CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER. MAINTENANCE AND CONSTRUCTION AND COORDINATION OF MAINTENANCE IS THE ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT. REGULATORY COMPLIANCE IS OUR WATER TREATMENT OFFICER. FIELD MAINTENANCE STAFF AND CONSTRUCTION REPAIRS ARE BY ASSIGNMENT SO IF WE GET A BREAK IT IS ALL HANDS ON DECK. BUT, WE DO A SIGN MAINTENANCE TASKS TOO MUCH OF OUR STAFF. AND I WANTED TO NOTE THE QUALIFICATIONS OF STAFF. WE DO HAVE SIX WATER TREATMENT OPERATORS, NINE DISTRIBUTION OPERATORS AND TWO CERTIFIED BACKFLOW TESTERS ON STAFF.

WOULD YOU HELP US UNDERSTAND WHAT THOSE POSITIONS MEAN BRIEFLY? LIKE WHAT IS A DISTRIBUTION OPERATOR?

A DISTRIBUTION OPERATOR NEEDS TO BE CERTIFIED TO WORK ON PIPES THAT ARE SERVING THE DRINKING WATER SYSTEM, IF THAT MAKES SENSE. YOU EITHER NEED TO HAVE A DISTRIBUTION OPERATOR ON SITE LEAVING THE CREW AND BASICALLY IT IS TO USE SAFETY MEASURES AND PROPER CLEANING TECHNIQUES TO KEEP THOSE REPAIRS SAFE FOR THE PUBLIC. AND THEN THE TREATMENT OPERATORS ARE THE ONES THAT CAN MAKE AND CALCULATE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE SYSTEM AND THE BACKFLOW TESTERS, IT'S A COMPETENCY TEST GIVEN BY THE STATE IN ORDER TO SHOW YOU ARE COMPETENT TO TEST DEVICES AND ENSURE SAFETY OF THE PUBLIC DRINKING WATER SYSTEM.

I SEE A QUESTION FROM VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

THANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR. I HAVE THREE QUESTIONS. ONE ABOUT, AGAIN, GETTING BACK TO THE COST OF OUR WATER. DANTE, IT SEEMS LIKE FROM WHAT YOU SAID EARLIER, OUR SYSTEMS ARE BASED ON GRAVITY SO THE ONES THAT DO NOT RECEIVE THE BENEFIT OF GRAVITY, FROM WHAT I'VE HEARD YOU SAY BEFORE, IT COSTS MORE MONEY TO LIFT IT UP FROM WHERE THE WATER IS, TO THE SURFACE BY WAY OF ELECTRICITY. IS THAT HOW YOU EXPLAIN IT? THE AMOUNT THAT IT STILL COSTS OUR CONSUMERS TO GET THE WATER FROM THE AQUIFERS?

I THINK I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU ARE ASKING. A BIG PART OF OUR COSTS TO RUN THE WATER SYSTEM IS THE COST OF ELECTRICITY TO PUMP WATER. WE HAVE A LARGE SOLAR VAULT A SYSTEM THAT IS PRODUCING. IT OFFSETS SOME OF THE COST BUT IS NOT SUPPLYING A GREAT DEAL OF THE ENERGY USED THERE.

I HAVE TWO MORE BUT DO YOU WANT ME TO WAIT?

GO AHEAD, PLEASE.

AGAIN GETTING BACK TO WELL FOUR FOR THE DRY-CLEANING PROBLEM. IF YOU GIVE US A STATUS OF HOW WORRIED YOU ARE, BECAUSE AGAIN TIME IS TICKING FOR YOU WHEN YOU TRANSITION OUT, I WANT TO KNOW HOW WORRIED WE SHOULD BE FROM MY PERSPECTIVE IN THE DRY-CLEANING SITUATION.

THE DRY-CLEANING CHEMICALS THAT ARE BEING TREATED THROUGH WELL FOUR, LUCKILY FOR US, THE CARBON SYSTEM THAT WAS DESIGNED AND PUT THERE ORIGINALLY FOR A GAS STATION CONTAMINANT ACROSS THE STREET WHICH IS NO LONGER MEASURABLE IN THE EXISTING SYSTEM. THE CARBON WORKS EXCELLENT AND WE HAVE BEEN MONITORING AND MAINTAINING THAT SYSTEM AND OUR GOAL IS CONTINUE TO HAVE NON-DETECT UNFINISHED WATER TO THE CUSTOMER.

GREAT, THANK YOU. MY LAST QUESTION IS ABOUT THE FLOODING. WE HAVE EXPERIENCED IT AND I REALLY WANT TO KNOW HOW YOU FEEL ABOUT THAT WITH THE CURRENT SITUATION OF HOW WE PRODUCED THE WATER FOR OUR RESIDENTS, MEANING THE FACT THAT THE FLOOD HAPPENS FROM THE PLAINS THROUGH LAGUNA. IF WE GET MORE WATER CLOSE TO THE WELLS AND WITH RESERVOIRS, HOW BIG OF A CONCERN IS THAT IF THE FLOODING WERE TO GET CLOSE TO WELLS OR CLOSE TO WHERE THE RESERVOIRS ARE?

I WILL TELL YOU THAT ANY TIME THAT FLOODING OCCURS, THE STATE ISSUES AN ORDER TO SHUT DOWN WELLS BUT LUCKILY FOR SEBASTOPOL , IN MY HISTORY HERE WE'VE NEVER HAD A FLOOD THAT HAS GOTTEN CLOSE TO WELL 6 WHICH WOULD BE THE LOWEST ELEVATION. IT IS AT THE END OF THE PLAINS BUT IT IS HIGH ENOUGH THAT IT HAS NOT BEEN AFFECTED, TO MY KNOWLEDGE.

ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER?

YES, I'M WONDERING WHO IS TRACKING THE DATA ON THE WATER IN TERMS OF, WATER FOR ONE THING AND A HISTORIC PERSPECTIVE. I KNOW THAT YOU JUST ANSWER THE QUESTION ON 1 MILLION GALLONS BEING USED. SECONDARY QUESTION, DOES THAT 1 MILLION GALLONS INCLUDE THE WATER SOLD TO THE PUBLIC WHO DRIVES UP IN THE TRUCK AND FILLS THE TRUCK?

WE DO MONITOR TRUCK SALES AT THE CORPORATION YARD AND IT HISTORICALLY HAS BEEN ABOUT 2% OF OUR TOTAL ANNUAL PRODUCTION.

MY UNDERSTANDING IS THERE'S NO CAP. IS THAT CORRECT?

THERE IS CURRENTLY NO CAP.

WHO WOULD BE IN CHARGE OF THE DATA FOR THE HISTORIC WATER USAGE? WOULD THAT BE YOUR DEPARTMENT OR FINANCE? WHO WOULD HAVE THAT DATA?

FINANCE TRACKS WATER SOLD. PUBLIC WORKS TRACKS WATER PRODUCED.

ANY MORE QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO THE PUBLIC?

ONE OF THE QUESTIONS I HEARD MULTIPLE TIMES, MY OPINION OF THE OVERALL CONDITION OF THE WATER SYSTEM. I WOULD SAY FOCUS ON THE OLD SECTIONS 1st. WE DO HAVE REPLACEMENTS SCHEDULED FOR THE MECHANICS THAT RUN OUR SYSTEM AND THAT'S PART OF SCHEDULED MAINTENANCE. THAT IS NOT JUST A WATER COMMENT. ECONOMY OF SCALE IN THE CONDITIONS OF THE STREET, THE AGE OF THE SEWER AND AGE OF THE WATER STRUCTURE, I THINK THE BEST INVESTMENT WOULD BE TO START GOING AFTER THE CONCRETE STREET AREAS WHICH ARE WILLOW, CALDER, THE OLDER SECTIONS OF TOWN BECAUSE THEY NEED SEWER AND THEY NEED WATER AND STREETS NEED TO BE REDONE. IF YOU ARE GOING TO TEAR UP A STREET TO REPLACE UTILITIES, A TIME TO RESURFACE

THE STREET AS WELL AND THAT'S THE BEST BANG FOR THE BUCK AND MAKES THE MOST SENSE. THAT IS MY OPINION.

CAN YOU ONCE AGAIN SAY WITH THE NAMES OF THESE STREETS ARE? IT'S WILTON FROM NORTH MAIN TO HIGH STREET.

I CAN EMAIL THESE. WOULD YOU LIKE ME TO STATE THEM FOR THE RECORD? HOW MANY OF THEM ARE THERE?

NORTH MAIN TO HIGH, WILLOW FROM MAIN STREET TO HIGH. CALDER STREET FROM MAIN STREET TO PARQUET. HIGH ST., WILLOW TO HAYDEN, WESTERN AVENUE FROM PALM TO PINEHURST. AND ALSO I WILL NOTE THAT OUR INFLOW IN OUR SEWER SYSTEM THAT WE'VE CAPTURED AND MEASURED ARE COMING FROM THAT OLDER NEIGHBORHOODS SO MORE RAIN WATER SEEPING INTO THE PIPES COSTS US TO TREAT AS SEWERS SO THE PIPES ARE LEAKING.

MY QUESTION IS WITH YOUR IMPENDING DEPARTURE AND RETIREMENT, HOW ARE WE-- I AM CONCERNED THAT WE NEED TO CAPTURE THESE RECOMMENDATIONS. THE BENEFIT OF YOUR EXPERTISE.

I HAVE THIS IN A BINDER AND STARTING AN E FILE.

THAT LOOKS MUCH TOO SMALL.

THEY ARE IN A BIGGER BINDER. AND ALSO THE OTHER QUESTION, THE TRANSITION OF KNOWLEDGE WHICH I KEEP HEARING, THE LAST 8 YEARS OF MY CAREER I'VE BEEN IN THE OFFICE WHICH NECESSARILY IS NOT MY SWEET SPOT BUT I HAVE EMPOWERED STAFF THAT WORKS FOR US AND I BELIEVE THEY ARE FULLY COMPETENT. THE ASSISTANT SUPERINTENDENT, SUPER KNOWLEDGEABLE IN THE REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS, MAINTENANCE AND THOSE TYPES OF FUNCTIONS. ANDREW OUR WATER OPERATOR, I'VE BEEN LETTING HIM GO THROUGH UPGRADES AND SYSTEM MAINTENANCE AND ASK QUESTIONS SO HE IS FULLY KNOWLEDGEABLE. AND ANTHONY IS OUR SEWER OPERATOR. SAME SCENARIO. SO THAT INFORMATION HAS BEEN TRANSFERRED TO THEM.

GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. LET'S GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT.

I HAVE MY HAND UP

GO AHEAD, SORRY.

I WONDERED QUICKLY, THE WATER TESTING, IS IT YOU THAT'S DOING IT OR ONE OF YOUR EMPLOYEES? AND WHERE ARE ALL THOSE RECORDS MAINTAINED?

AND HE TAKES THOSE RECORDS. ALL OF THE RECORDS ARE IN A STATE DATABASE ACCESSIBLE TO THE PUBLIC.

EXCELLENT.

OKAY, PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE, MARY GOURLEY?

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE PRESENTATION OF THE STATUS OF THE WATER SYSTEM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST AND THEN GO TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, SEEING NONE I WILL GO TO ZOOM. LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? DMACC THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT, PLEASE.

I'LL TELL YOU, I JUST LOVE THIS INFORMATION. DANTE KNOWS THAT I AM-- WITH A CONTRACTORS LICENSE WHO WAS A CARPENTER SO I JUST LOVE KNOWING HOW THINGS WORK. SO ANYWAY, I WOULD LIKE TO GET THIS IN WRITING DANTE, IF YOU COULD SEND IT TO MY EMAIL ADDRESS, YOU'VE GOT IT THERE. ANYWAY, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR FROM DANTE IF HE THINKS THAT THE CURRENT INFRASTRUCTURE IS IN GOOD ENOUGH SHAPE FOR ALL OF THE PLANNED PROJECTS THAT ARE BEING BUILT, HAVE BEEN BUILT AND LARGE PROJECTS. HOUSING AND SO FORTH.

CANOPY, ALL OF THEM. THE HOTELS, YOU KNOW. KNOWING WHAT YOU KNOW,

DANTE, WE PROVIDE GOOD INFRASTRUCTURE FOR ALL OF THESE PROJECTS AND IF NOT, WHY HAVEN'T YOU SPOKEN UP AND POINTED IT OUT TO THE CITY BEFORE NOW? ALSO THERE HAS NOT BEEN ONE WORD, I WOULD LIKE TO HEAR ABOUT THE WIRELESS WATER METERS THAT HAVE BEEN FAILING THAT I'VE BEEN MENTIONING. THAT ERIC TOLD ME ABOUT, THERE'S SEVERAL OF THEM AND THE COST TO REPAIR THEM IS WHY WE GOT THEM IN THE FIRST PLACE.

YOU HAVE 20 SECONDS.

THEY ARE VULNERABLE AND WAS SUCH A BAD IDEA. ALL OF THESE WIRELESS DEVICES, THE ELECTRIC, THE GAS, AND THE WATER WHICH-- AND WE STILL HAVE A DEBT OF \$5.6 MILLION THAT WE ARE PAYING OFF. YOU SAID WAS NO COSTS.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT? STEVE PEARCE. I COULD NOT LET THE WATER METER ISSUE GO WITHOUT THINKING DANTE AND THE CITY FOR PUTTING IN THOSE WATER METERS. I'VE USED THE APP MULTIPLE TIMES AND I DIDN'T THINK I HAD LEAKS BUT IT FOUND VERY SMALL LEAKS IN MY IRRIGATION SYSTEM. I LOOKED AT IT, BOY. THAT HAPPENS A FEW TIMES WHERE I WOULD GO INTO JUST THE VALVES AND MAKE SURE THE LEAK WOULD GO AWAY, SOMETHING I WOULD NEVER HAVE KNOWN WITH THE OLD WATER METERS. IT IS FINE-TUNED ENOUGH WHERE IT PICKS UP THE SMALLEST AMOUNT OF LEAKS AND IT TELLS YOU HOW MANY GALLONS YOU LOST THAT DAY BECAUSE OF THOSE LEAKS. IT'S KIND OF LIKE A FIT BIT. YOU'RE NOT GOING TO GET FIT JUST BY WEARING IT. YOU ACTUALLY HAVE TO USE THE APPLICATIONS. SO I ENCOURAGE PEOPLE OUT THERE IN TOWN TO DOWNLOAD IT, TRY IT OUT, AND SEE. FIND OUT WHERE YOUR CONSUMPTION IS AND HOW MUCH YOU MIGHT BE WASTING.

THANK YOU STEVE FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE PRESENTATION PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THIS ITEM.

HUMMING BACK TO THE COUNCIL TABLE, I BELIEVE THIS WAS AN INFORMATIONAL PIECE WE WERE EXPECT TO RECEIVE BUT NOT TAKE ANY ACTION. ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR DISCUSSION BY COUNCILMEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, PLEASE.

I AM GUESSING YOU DID NOT COME HERE TO TALK ABOUT HOW THE NEW WATER METERS ARE PERFORMING SO YOU ARE PROBABLY NOT PREPARED TO DO THAT BUT IS THAT SOMETHING WE CAN REQUEST TO HEAR ABOUT AT A LATER DATE?

WE CAN CERTAINLY PUT THAT ON THE AGENDA FOR DISCUSSION AT A LATER DATE. IS THAT THE REQUEST? GO AHEAD.

I SUGGEST WITH HIS DEPARTURE THAT IF HE'S ABLE-- LET'S SEE IF HE CAN ANSWER THE QUESTION GENERALLY.

I AM JUST LOOKING FOR A GENERAL PERFORMANCE REPORT ON THE NEW METERS BECAUSE WHEN THEY WERE INSTALLED WE WERE TOLD THAT THEY WERE GOING TO SAVE WATER AND SAVE ENERGY AND WE HAD A PUBLIC COMMENT MENTIONING IT. HAVE THEY SAVED WATER? IS THERE A SAVINGS OF WATER OR A SAVINGS OF ELECTRICITY WITH THE NEW METERS?

I CAN TELL YOU WE DON'T HAVE A BUNCH OF METERS THAT ARE BROKEN. THERE WERE TIMES WHEN TWO, MAYBE 5 M ARE NOT TRANSMITTING. THERE COULD BE A CAR PARKED ON TOP OF THEM OR SOME OTHER REASON. WE USUALLY WAIT A DAY TO GO OUT AND SEE IF THERE'S A PHYSICAL BARRIER. WE HAVE NOT HAD A LOT OF MAINTENANCE AND THE TIME THAT STAFF HAS SAVED FROM NOT HAVING TO

PHYSICALLY READ WATER METERS HAS ABSOLUTELY BEEN USED TO IMPROVE OUR WATER SYSTEMS.

THANK YOU. ANY ADDITIONAL COUNCILMEMBER COMMENTS OR QUESTIONS? NOT SEEING ANY FOR MANY WHAT I THINK THE ITEM IS CLOSED. DANTE DEL PRETE IS THERE ANYTHING YOU WANTED TO SHARE AS A FINAL COMMENT?

I WILL SAY I WILL MAKE MYSELF AVAILABLE AFTER RETIREMENT FOR QUESTIONS.

WE WILL HAVE HIS NUMBER. OKAY, EXCELLENT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT PRESENTATION AND FOR SHARING THAT VERY IMPORTANT INFORMATION AND I WILL UNDERSCORE FOR THE PUBLIC THAT THE REASON TO SPEND THIS TIME ON THIS TOPIC IS THAT OUR WATER IS SUPER IMPORTANT AND WE CAN'T TAKE IT FOR GRANTED. IT'S GOOD TO KNOW THAT PUBLIC WORKS IS THERE AND SUPPORTING IT AND MAKING SURE WE GET THINGS DONE AND THAT DANTE DEL PRETE IS GOING TO MEMORIALIZE EVERYTHING THAT HE KNOWS AND THAT WE NEED TO REFERENCE. RIGHT? GREAT. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE CLOSING THAT ITEM. THANK YOU TO DANTE DEL PRETE AND WE ARE MOVING ON TO OUR NEXT ITEMS. NO PUBLIC HEARINGS, WHICH WOULD BE THE NEXT CATEGORY ON THE AGENDA. WE ARE NOW GOING TO THE REGULAR CALENDAR AGENDA ITEMS. WE ARE ON ITEM NUMBER 9 WHICH IS CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION FOR A FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT WITH 4 LEAF.

GOOD EVENING AND THANK YOU, MAYOR. WE BEEN RECRUITING FOR QUITE SOME TIME FOR A NEW COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR. WE THINK WE ARE GETTING QUITE CLOSE TO BRINGING ONE ON BOARD AND IN THE MEANTIME WE NEED TO HIRE SOMEONE TO PLAY THAT ROLE FOR US AND IT HAS BEEN DOING THAT FOR US IN PARTICULAR AND STAFFING THE COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DIRECTOR. THE CONTRACT FOR 4 LEAF LASTS UNTIL DECEMBER BUT THE MONEY DOES NOT-- WE INITIALLY CONTRACTED FOR \$50,000 AND THAT WILL GET US A CENTRALLY TO THE END OF THIS MONTH. BUT TO COMPLETE THE WORK FOR THE YEAR AND STAY WITHIN THE BUDGET FOR THE POSITION, WE NEED ANOTHER \$42,000 TO AMEND THE CONTRACT. WORKING TWO THIRDS OF THE TIME MORE OR LESS WILL ALLOW US TO FUND UNTIL THE END OF THE YEAR AND HAVE A LITTLE BIT OF OVERLAP WHICH WE THINK WOULD BE USEFUL. THAT IS THE REQUEST AND IT DOES STAY WITHIN THE APPROVED BUDGET FOR THE POSITION.

I HAVE A QUESTION, IT APPEARS, FROM STAFF REPORT THAT THE ULTIMATE REPORT IS THAT THERE WOULD BE NO ADDITIONAL EXPENSE AND IT WOULD BE WITHIN THE ALREADY ALLOCATED BUDGETARY LINE. BUT I WANTED TO ASK WHETHER THEY HAVE CONCERNS OVER THE ITEM BEING REQUESTED HERE. I SEE YOU NODDING NO FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER AND VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN. THANK YOU VERY MUCH. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS GO AHEAD.

I'M JUST WONDERING , IT SOUNDS LIKE WE ARE PAYING HOURLY SO I HAD A QUESTION ABOUT, DO YOU KNOW HOW MANY HOURS THIS PERSON IS SPENDING ON COMMITTEES VERSUS WORKING TOWARD ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND THE DIFFERENT PRESSING NEEDS THAT WE HAVE? I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE WE ARE BEING GOOD STEWARDS GIVEN THAT THEY ARE QUITE EXPENSIVE. P I DON'T HAVE THE BREAK DOWN BUT I KNOW THERE'S NOT MUCH TIME GOING INTO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BESIDES BENDING A DECENT CHUNK OF TIME. I DON'T HAVE THE HOURS IN FRONT OF ME, AT THE BARLOW HOTEL IN PARTICULAR WHICH I CONSIDER A PART OF ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT OF THE CITY. THERE'S BEEN SOME TIME TRYING TO FIGURE OUT THE FUTURE OF THE COMMITTEES AND ESPECIALLY

CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. SHE SPENT SOME TIME TO CARVE A POTENTIAL PATH. SHE CAN PROVIDE MORE DETAIL IF YOU ARE INTERESTED.

MY QUESTION IS IN REGARDS TO THE REFERENCE. THERE'S A BRIEF REFERENCE HERE THAT THERE ARE FEES FROM THE BARLOW THAT MAY COVER THE INCREASED COST. ARE THERE ANY DETAILS THAT YOU CAN OFFER?

JANE WAS ABLE TO JOIN US BUT WAS NOT ABLE TO STAY ON. IT'S NOT A HUGE AMOUNT BUT WE ARE COLLECTING THEM AND WE ACTUALLY SHOULD END UP WITH SAVINGS ON THE POSITION BUDGET BECAUSE WE ARE ONLY SPENDING WHAT IS ALLOCATED IN THE BUDGET AND ACTUALLY WILL GET A LITTLE BIT OF REIMBURSEMENT TO HELP OFFSET THAT.

CAN SOMEONE GET RID OF THIS FLY?

I FEEL LIKE MIKE PENCE.

I JUST KNOW A LITTLE BIT ABOUT THE BARLOW. THAT'S HOW MUCH IT'S BEEN SO FAR.

I'M SORRY, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I WAS GOING TO ASK IF JANE COULD ALSO SEND HER BEST ESTIMATE FOR OUT HOW MANY HOURS SHE IS SPENDING ON THE COMMITTEE. I WOULD REALLY LIKE TO KNOW THAT.

REQUEST TO STAFF AND MAYBE NOT JUST ON COMMITTEES BUT LARGER TIME ALLOCATIONS. THERE IS SOME EXTENT YOU WILL FOLLOW UP ON THE PARTICULAR REQUEST.

AND IF YOU COULD ANSWER THE NARROW QUESTION FOR COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND SHARE THE ANSWER WITH THE REST OF COUNCIL THAT WOULD BE GREAT .

LET'S GO TO ITEM NUMBER NINE BACK.

PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT WITH 4 LEAF FOR PLANNING SERVICES. SEEING NONE I WILL GO TO ZOOM AND IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED ON THIS ITEM.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNCIL TABLE. THE ACTION REQUESTED IS THAT WE APPROVE THE INCREASE IN THE CONTRACT BY 42,760. I'M SORRY, \$42,760 WITH 4 LEAF. ANY DISCUSSION AT THE COUNCIL TABLE? IF ANYONE IS PREPARED TO MAKE A MOTION I'M HAPPY TO ENTERTAIN

I MOVE THAT WE ADOPT-- LET ME LOOK HERE. ADOPTION OF THE RESOLUTION FOR THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO CONTRACT WITH 4 LEAF FOR PLANNING SERVICES. THE CONTRACT IS FROM OCTOBER 16 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2024 TO INCREASE THE CONTRACT AMOUNT OF \$42,760 RESULTING IN A NEW, NOT TO EXCEED AMOUNT OF \$92,760.

SECONDED.

ROLLCALL VOTE? MARY GOURLEY.

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND SECONDED BY VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN TO ADOPT THE RESOLUTION FOR THE FIRST AMENDMENT TO THE CONTRACT FOR 4 LEAF. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? YES. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? YES. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? YES. MAYOR RICH? YES. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THE NEXT ITEM IS ITEM NUMBER 10. A DELEGATE FOR PROPOSE RESOLUTIONS TO BE HELD AT THE CAL CITIES LEAGUE OF CAL CITIES ANNUAL CONFERENCE OCTOBER 16 - 18th, 2024.

AS YOU CAN SEE, THE VOTING DELEGATE AND ALTERNATE IS AT THE CALIFORNIA LEAGUE OF CALIFORNIA CITIES. TO DISCUSS ONE PROPOSED RESOLUTION BROUGHT FORTH BY FIVE OTHER CITIES WHICH IS HOW THEY WERE ABLE TO GET IT ON TO THE CAL CITIES AGENDA. THE RESOLUTION BEING DISCUSSED IS ASKING FOR THE CITY. THEY ARE CALLING FOR CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE TO LOCK LAWS AND ENSURE THAT WHAT APPLIES TO ONE APPLIES TO ALL IN THE FAIR AND EQUAL TREATMENT TO GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AT ALL LEVELS OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA. THIS RESOLUTION IS AIMED AT URGING LEGISLATURES TO PASS LAWS THAT TREAT ALL EQUALLY AND THIS HAS RECEIVED CONCURRENCE FROM FIVE CITIES SO FAR. EXAMPLES OF RULES THAT APPLY TO OTHER AGENCIES BUT NOT CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE WHICH THIS RESOLUTION APPLIES TO INCLUDES MINIMUM WAGE AND WHISTLEBLOWER PROTECTION, PUBLIC ASSETS AND MORE. SO LEGISLATORS ARE ABLE TO BUY PAT-- BYPASS THE OPEN MEETING LAWS AND CAUCUSES THAT ALLOW THEM TO GOVERN PRIVATELY. THIS RESOLUTION IS AIMING TO-- TREATMENT STATEWIDE. THE ACTION WOULD BE TO DIRECT THE VOTING DELEGATE HOW TO VOTE ON THIS RESOLUTION.

I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION. NOTATION ON THE STAFF REPORT THAT THE POLICY COMMITTEE WOULD MEET ON OCTOBER 3 AND PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION. DOES ANYONE KNOW IF THEY HAVE MET? LIKE THE 3rd TO LAST LINE. DOES ANYONE KNOW WHETHER THEY MET ON OCTOBER 3 AND MADE A RECOMMENDATION?

I HAVE NOT RECEIVED ANY DOCUMENTATION OF VOTING FROM THAT MEETING. JUST CURIOUS. ANY OTHER QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS ON THIS ITEM BEFORE WE VOTE? SEEING NONE BEFORE WE GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT, MARY GOURLEY IF YOU COULD HANDLE THAT PLEASE?

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE DIRECTION OR TO THE VOTING DELEGATE AT THE CAL CITIES ANNUAL CONFERENCE AND IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT, LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE? THANK YOU. GO AHEAD.

THERE ARE GOVERNMENT OFFICIALS AT ALL LEVELS TO BE TREATED EQUALLY. WE HAVE A SITUATION AND HAVE HAD IN THE RECENT PAST, THE LAST TWO YEARS. THE MANIPULATION OF THE VOTES THAT HAVE BEEN DETRIMENTAL TO THE CITY THAT HAS RESULTED IN THE FINANCIAL CONDITION THAT IT IS. IT HAS PLAYED A LARGE PART IN LYING ABOUT IT AND WE ALSO HAVE PREVIOUS, KENYAN WEBSTER WHO WAS INSTRUMENTAL IN BACKROOM DEALS DURING WHICH TIME, \$1.2 MILLION WAS STOLEN AND THE CONTINUED MANIPULATION OF THE POLICIES THAT ARE MADE IN THE CITY THAT CONTINUE TO BE DETRIMENTAL IS LETHAL TO THE CITY WITH ALL THE GROWTH WHAT WE CAN HANDLE WITH THE FAILED INFRASTRUCTURE THAT-- IS WELL AWARE OF FOR ALL OF THESE YEARS AND HAS NOT SAID A WORD TO PREVENT THE NEW GROWTH THAT IS GOING TO CHOKE AND TO US IN. SO ANYWAY WE HAVE OFFICIALS UP ALONG IN JAIL AND DANTE IS ONE OF THEM ALONG WITH KENYAN WEBSTER. THE PLANNING DIRECTOR. I HAVE PROOF OF THIS BECAUSE I HAVE 932 PAGES OF EMAILS THAT I GOT

LINDA YOU HAVE 20 SECONDS.

REGARDING THE EXACT COMMUNICATION BETWEEN DANTE AND THE SHYSTER BOYS WHO WAITED YEARS UNTIL MICHAEL WAS NOT ON THE PLANNING COMMITTEE, I NEED CITY COUNCIL SO THEY GOT PEOPLE LIKE DIANA RICH WHO CAN BE EASILY MANIPULATED.

THANK YOU LINDA. THAT IS TWO MINUTES.
THAT'S NOT HOW THINGS WORK. THANK YOU.

NOW I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. IF THERE'S ANYONE WHO WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT ON THIS ITEM, SEEING NONE I WILL CLOSE OUT PUBLIC COMMENT. I WILL NOTE THAT I WENT TO THE WEBSITE AND THEY DID HOLD A MEETING BUT THERE ARE NO MINUTES OF THERE.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT FOLLOW-UP. MARY GOURLEY, CANNOT LEAVE THE ACCUSATION OF MANIPULATION OF VOTES OR BACKROOM DEALS UNCONTESTED. HAVING SAT HERE AT THE COUNCIL TABLE AND LIVED IN THIS TOWN MANY YEARS, KNOWING PERSONALLY KENYAN WEBSTER, I WOULD PUSH BACK. THERE WERE NO BACKROOM DEALS THAT I WAS AWARE OF. KENYAN WEBSTER PROVIDED EXCELLENT SERVICE FROM MY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE AND BASED ON HIS REPUTATION ON THIS TOWN, I AM NOT AWARE OF ANY EFFORT BY ANYONE TO MANIPULATE ANY OF VOTES. WE ARE NOW BACK AT THE COUNCIL TABLE AT THIS ITEM WHICH IS A REQUEST THAT COUNCIL PROVIDE DIRECTION TO OUR VOTING DELEGATE, VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN AND IN HIS ABSENCE, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ON THIS RESOLUTION. ANY DISCUSSION? ANY CONCERNS? LOOKS LIKE NOT.

I WOULD LEAVE IT UP TO OUR TWO DELEGATES BECAUSE YOU GUYS ARE ON THE FLOOR, YOU'RE GOING TO BE IN MEETINGS THE NEXT TWO DAYS, YOU WILL KNOW IF THERE'S SUPPORT FOR THIS. IT DOES CONCERN ME THAT ONLY FIVE CITIES HAVE WROUGHT SOMETHING FORWARD. I DON'T KNOW THE LETTER OF WHAT THEY ARE ASKING FOR CHANGE.

THEY HAVE TO HAVE FIVE CITIES.
USUALLY DON'T THEY HAVE MORE?

TYPICALLY. FIVE IS THE MAX TO BRING IT FORWARD AS A PROPOSED RESOLUTION AND AT THE MEETINGS IS WHERE YOU SEE ALL THE SUPPORT. THEY JUST NEED TO HAVE THE FIVE IN THE PACKET TO BRING IT FORWARD.

IT SOUNDS GOOD AT FACE VALUE BUT I HAVE NOT STUDIED IT ENOUGH AND I WOULD TRUST THE DELEGATES TO MAKE THE VOTING DECISION ON THE FLOOR. THAT IS MY OPINION HAVING BEEN THERE BEFORE AND HAVING BEEN A VOTING DELEGATE.

SO THAT IS ONE SUGGESTION THAT WOULD PLACE DISCRETION IN VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN 'S CONTROL GIVEN THAT HE IS OUR VOTING DELEGATE.
COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, ANY DISCUSSION?

I THINK IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HEAR WHERE WE THINK OF WHERE WE STAND TO NOT ONLY GIVE HIM DIRECTION TO GIVE DISCRETION IF SOMETHING MIGHT HAPPEN. THERE MAY BE INFORMATION THAT COULD EVOLVE IN THE CONFERENCE THAT WE DON'T KNOW ABOUT. SO RIGHT NOW WE MAY AGREE TO THIS BUT, I AGREE. WE WOULD STILL LIKE HIM TO KNOW WHERE WE STAND INCLUDING COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS.

I THINK THAT'S AN EXCELLENT IDEA AND I WILL CONTRIBUTE MY OPINION AT THIS POINT WHICH IS, HAVING BEEN PROVIDED WITH THE INFORMATION THAT'S BEEN PROVIDED FOR US SO FAR I AM DEFINITELY IN SUPPORT OF THIS PARTICULAR RESOLUTION BUT I WOULD BE PERFECTLY COMFORTABLE WITH EXPRESSING MY SUPPORT FOR THAT RESOLUTION. PERMITTING OUR DESIGNATED DELEGATES TO VOTE DIFFERENTLY IF THEY DECIDE IN THE MOMENT THAT IS BEST FOR OUR TOWN. GO AHEAD.

I WOULD LIKE TO ADD ONE MORE THING AND THAT WOULD BE THAT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN WOULD THEN JUSTIFY IF HE DID CHANGE THE VOTE , THAT HE WOULD LET US KNOW EXACTLY WHY HE DID SO, SO WE CAN HAVE THAT INFORMATION. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, ANY COMMENTS ON THIS? CAN'T HEAR YOU.

DO YOU HAVE ANY OPINION TO SHARE ABOUT YOUR PARTICULAR POSITION ON THIS RESOLUTION?

I THINK IT'S THE SAME AT FACE VALUE. IT SEEMS OKAY AND I'M GOING TO DO A LOT MORE TALKING IN RESEARCH SO I THINK IT'S ALWAYS EASIER WHEN YOU HAVE THESE DISCUSSIONS AND THEY HEAR ABOUT IT IN SESSIONS.

I THINK WE HAVE A DECISION TO PROVIDE GENERALIZED DIRECTION AND SUPPORT OF THE RESOLUTION, BUT GIVE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN DISCRETION TO VOTE AS HE SEES FIT. BUT TO JUSTIFY ANY VOTE OTHER THAN SUPPORTING THIS RESOLUTION AND WE HOPE THAT HE WOULD CONSULT WITH THE COUNCIL MEMBER. MARY GOURLEY, WHAT DO YOU MEAN -- WHAT DO YOU NEED FROM US IN TERMS OF A FORMAL ACTION?

YOU NEED TO MAKE A MOTION FOR WHAT YOU JUST STATED.

I MAKE A MOTION. LOOKING FOR A SECOND.

TO SUPPORT THE RESOLUTION BUT TO ALLOW VOTING DELEGATES DISCRETION TO VOTE BASED UPON INFORMATION AND TO PROVIDE THE JUSTIFICATION TO CITY COUNCIL MEMBER. COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON? YES. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? YES. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? YES. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? YES. MAYOR RICH? MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY. SHOULD HAVE ASKED THIS QUESTION BEFORE BUT VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN ARE YOU COMFORTABLE WITH THE DIRECTION YOU'VE BEEN GIVEN AND THE AUTHORITY?

AS ALWAYS I APPRECIATE INPUT FROM FELLOW COUNCILMEMBERS. HOPEFULLY SHE WILL BE SITTING RIGHT NEXT TO ME. TOGETHER WE WILL DO IT.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE NOW AT THE END OF WHAT WAS INITIALLY, STARTING THIS MEETING, OUR REGULAR CALENDAR. WE WILL NOW GO TO THE ITEMS PULLED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR. WHAT I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST IS THAT WE TAKE A VERY QUICK BREAK AND COME BACK TO ADDRESS THOSE CONSENT CALENDAR ITEMS. LET'S DO THAT BUT MAKE IT JUST FIVE MINUTES. THANK YOU ANDY-- AND IF YOU COULD STOP RECORDING DURING THAT TIME I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

OKAY. IF EVERYONE IS READY TO GO WE WILL WAIT FOR THE RECORDING IN PROGRESS. SO MAGICAL. THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR PATIENCE, EVERYONE, AS WE TOOK A QUICK BREAK HERE. WE ARE BACK NOW AND OUR AGENDA COMPLETED THE 10 ITEMS ON THE REGULAR AGENDA AND WE NOW ARE GOING TO ADDRESS IN THE ORDER THEY WERE ORIGINALLY LISTED ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR THOSE ITEMS THAT WERE PULLED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR. AS A REMINDER THOSE ARE ITEMS TWO, FOUR, FIVE, SIX, AND SEVEN. IN CASE YOU DON'T GET TO IT BEFORE THE MEETING ENDS, I WOULD LIKE TO ME SURE THAT EVERYONE IS AWARE OF THE VERY THOROUGH AND SUPER INTERESTING REPORTS THAT ARE INCLUDED IN THIS AGENDA PACKET FROM VARIOUS DEPARTMENT HEADS. MAKE SURE THE PUBLIC IS AWARE OF THEM AND DOES NOT MISS LOOKING AT THEM BECAUSE THEY HAVE A LOT OF DETAIL ABOUT WHAT'S GOING ON IN OUR CITY DEPARTMENTS. WE ARE ON ITEM NUMBER 2.

I APOLOGIZE. I JUST WANTED TO ACKNOWLEDGE THAT I HAD ASKED IF THERE WAS A WAY FOR US TO CONTINUE THAT. I DON'T KNOW HOW THIS PROCEDURE WORKS BUT I DIDN'T WANT TO BE FORGOTTEN.

THANK YOU, COUNCILMEMBER. THIS IS ITEM NUMBER 2. IT WOULD BE BROUGHT BY THE AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR CITY COUNCIL PROTOCOLS AND PROCEDURES. I WILL TURN TO MARY GOURLEY FOR SOME INSTRUCTION. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER HAS PULLED THIS FROM THE AGENDA FOR DISCUSSION AND WE ALSO HAVE SIMULTANEOUSLY, A REQUEST FOR COUNCILMEMBER-- FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS THAT WE PUSH IT TO ANOTHER MEETING. PROCEDURALLY WHAT DO WE NEED TO DO?

WE DID PULL IT. YOU HAVE A REQUEST TO CONTINUE IF COUNCIL CAN CONSIDER OF THE PERSON WHO PULLED IT WOULD WANT TO CONTINUE, CONSIDER CONTINUATION OF THE ITEM. BUT BEFORE I DO THAT, I'M GOING TO GET IT-- IF WE COULD UNMUTE ALEX AND TURN ON HIS VIDEO, WE ALSO HAVE THE CITY ATTORNEY AND HE CAN HELP TO ADDRESS IT AS WELL.

I HEAR WHAT MARY GOURLEY IS TELLING US. WE DO HAVE A COUNCILMEMBER WHO HAS PULLED IT FROM THE CALENDAR AND WOULD LIKE TO DISCUSS IT. SO ALEX, WHAT DO WE NEED TO DO? DO WE GO FORWARD WITH THE REQUEST FROM OUR COUNCILMEMBER THAT THIS BE CONTINUED OR DO WE DISCUSS IT AS AN ITEM BEING PULLED FROM THE AGENDA?

PERHAPS IT MIGHT BE GOOD IF COUNCILMEMBER MAURER COULD PROVIDE A BRIEF SYNOPSIS OF WHY SHE PULLED IT AND COUNCIL CAN DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT THEY WANT TO CONTINUE IT. WE HAVE BOTH OPTIONS IN FRONT OF US AND WE HAVE DISCUSSED THIS IN THE REQUEST THAT IT BE PULLED FROM THE AGENDA SO I WANT TO MAKE IT CLEAR THAT WE HAVE ACTED UP ON THIS REQUEST. WOULD YOU LIKE TO TAKE THE LEAD AND TALK ABOUT THE ISSUES THAT WE DISCUSSED?

YES AND I WANT TO BE RESPECTFUL OF THE REQUEST BY COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS THAT WE POSTPONE THIS DISCUSSION BUT I WILL GIVE YOU INTRODUCTION IN TERMS OF WHAT WE ARE THINKING. THERE'S A COUPLE ISSUES WITH THIS POLICY. FOR EXAMPLE, SAY WE HAVE THE SELECTION OF MAYOR AND WE AGREED TO PROMOTE A VICE MAYOR TO THE MAYOR POSITION. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THE MAYOR-- IF OUR CITY CLERK AND ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER SAYS THERE HAS TO BE A VOTE. YOU CAN'T DO THAT WITHOUT A VOTE. SO WE HAVE A PRIORITY THAT SAYS WE HAVE TO HAVE A BOAT SO YOU ARE MOVING THE VICE MAYOR TO THE MAYOR POSITION AND YOU HAVE A VOTE. WHAT WOULD HAPPEN IF THAT PERSON DID NOT GET THE VOTE TO BE MAYOR? WHAT WOULD HAPPEN NEXT? THAT WAS ONE QUESTION. THAT WAS-- I DIDN'T FEEL LIKE THIS ADDRESSED THAT. THE SECOND ISSUE, AND I HAVE DISCUSSED THIS, THE CRITERIA OF HAVING ELECTION RESULTS BE THE CRITERIA FOR THE NEXT IN LINE IN TERMS OF SENIORITY FOR THE VICE MAYOR POSITION, AND WE HAVE LOOKED AT HOW IT WAS WHICH WAS MOSTLY A POPULARITY VOTE I GUESS. BUT THERE WAS ALSO LIKELY SOME INTERNAL ANALYSIS OF LEADERSHIP SKILLS, WHETHER OR NOT SOMEONE WOULD BE READY TO BE IN THE NEXT LEADERSHIP POSITION SO WE DID DISCUSS THIS AND DECIDED THAT IF THE IDEA IS TO TRY AND NOT HURT PEOPLE'S FEELINGS, PROBABLY HAVING A RANKING, THE IDEA OF RANKING. SAY THERE WERE TWO PEOPLE THAT WOULD BE NOMINATED FOR VICE MAYOR. IF YOU HAD A RANKING FOR THAT, FOR EXAMPLE FOR THEIR LEADERSHIP SKILLS AROUND COLLABORATION AND COMMUNICATION OR ATTENDANCE OR PREPAREDNESS OR RESPECTFULNESS, ET CETERA. THOSE WOULD BE LEADERSHIP SKILLS. THOSE WOULD BE SUBJECTIVE AND POTENTIALLY HURTFUL. AM I CAPTURING THAT?

WHAT YOU'RE CAPTURING IS A DISCUSSION WE HAD ABOUT WHAT OTHER OPTIONS THERE MAY BE IN TERMS OF THE SELECTION PROCESS AND IF I MIGHT, THE ULTIMATE-- SHARING MY PARTICULAR CONCERN ABOUT THE DIRECTION WE ARE

GOING IS MAYBE THE BEST WAY TO DO IT TO CONTRIBUTE TO THE DISCUSSION, WOULD BE THAT I PERSONALLY WAS VERY INTERESTED IN A PROCESS THAT WOULD ALLOW PREDICTABILITY AND ALLOW EVERYONE ON COUNCIL TO HAVE A SENSE OF WHEN AND WHO WOULD BE THE NEXT VICE MAYOR AND WHAT THE EXPECTED ROTATION WOULD BE. AND THEN GIVE REFERENCE TO ELECTION. HOW MANY PEOPLE WITHIN THE COMMUNITY ELECTED A PARTICULAR INDIVIDUAL. THE CONCERN I HAD WITH THAT STANDARD ALTHOUGH IT IS OBJECTIVE AND A GOOD, PREDICTABLE VARIABLE TO LOOK AT FROM AN OBJECTIVE PERSPECTIVE, IT FELT VERY ARBITRARY AND WAS ARBITRARY PERHAPS BASED ON A LIMITED AMOUNT OF VOTES. YOU COULD HAVE 35 VOTES DIFFERENCE IN ONE PERSON COULD THEN BE ADVANCED IN THE ROTATION WHILE ANOTHER WOULD BE PUT LATER IN THE ROTATION. THE THOUGHT WAS, CAN WE COME UP WITH A DIFFERENT STANDARD THAT IS NOT PREDICTABLE AND CAN BE RELIED UPON BY COUNCIL? THE IDEA WE CAME UP WITH IN DISCUSSION, AND THIS WAS IN DISCUSSION WITH COUNCIL ALSO, WAS TO HAVE THE ROTATION BASED NOT ON THE NUMBER OF VOTES THAT INDIVIDUAL COUNCIL MEMBERS RECEIVED DURING AN ELECTION, BUT INSTEAD TO HAVE IT BASED ON A RANDOM NUMBER GENERATION. IF YOU HAVE TWO COUNCIL MEMBERS ELECTED IN THE SAME YEAR, WHAT WE WOULD DO AND SUGGESTED BY CITY COUNCIL, BY LEGAL COUNSEL, WHAT WE COULD DO IS TO DETERMINE HOW THE RANKING WAS GOING TO BE NOT BASED ON THE NUMBER OF VOTES THEY GOT IN AN ELECTION BUT INSTEAD ON A RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR. THEN THERE WOULD BE A PREDICTABLE RANKING THAT WOULD BE DETERMINED IN A ROTATION THAT WOULD BE DETERMINED. IT WOULD BE PREDICTABLE, YOU HAVE IT SET UP EVERY TIME COUNCIL MEMBERS ARE SEATED, BUT IT IS NOT BASED ON THE NUMBER OF VOTES AND INDIVIDUAL-- THAT INDIVIDUAL COUNCILMEMBER GOT BUT BASED ON A RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR. THAT WAS THE PROPOSAL WE ARE WANTING TO BRING FORWARD TO THIS COUNCIL FOR CONSIDERATION. AND FOR ME IT WAS REALLY ABOUT PREDICTABILITY. I WANTED EVERYONE TO HAVE AN EQUAL CHANCE AND IT SEEMED LIKE THAT WAS A GOOD WAY TO HAVE IT HAPPEN. CORRECT ME, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER IF I AM MISSTATING THAT, WHAT WE WERE DISCUSSING. THAT WAS THE PROPOSAL AND THE REASON WE WANTED TO HAVE A DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM. ANY DISCUSSION FROM COUNCILMEMBERS ON THIS PARTICULAR PROPOSAL? AND THEN WE CAN CONSIDER WHAT OUR OPTIONS ARE. WE DO HAVE COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS WANTING TO HAVE A FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THIS ITEM AT A LATER MEETING. ANY QUESTIONS THAT WE MAY BE ABLE TO ANSWER? ANY INPUT FROM-- I AM NOT SEEING ANY QUESTIONS. ANY INPUT FROM ALEX ON THE PROPOSAL?

WE WANTED TO SUMMARIZE WITH THE PRIOR PROPOSAL WAS AND THE PROPOSAL NOW. THE WAY THE POLICY IS DRAFTED, THE SELECTION WOULD BE BASED ON SENIORITY AND IT WOULD CURRENTLY BE THE DEFAULT AND THE TIEBREAKER WOULD BE BASED ON THE NUMBER OF VOTES AT THE ELECTION WITH MEMBERS WHO ARE ELECTED. SENIORITY IS STILL THE FIRST WAY THAT WILL BE DETERMINED UNDER THIS NEW PROPOSAL. HOWEVER NOW THE TIEBREAKER IS THE RANDOM DRAW, THE RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR RATHER THAN THE NUMBER OF VOTES.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT CLARIFICATION. ANY QUESTIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBERS? AT THIS POINT PROCEDURALLY, MARY GOURLEY, WE'VE HAD A DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPOSAL. WE HAVE ON THE TABLE A REQUEST THAT THIS ITEM BE CONTINUED TO A FUTURE MEETING. ALEX, PERHAPS YOU CAN FIGURE OUT WHAT THE NEXT STEPS WOULD BE. I AM AT A LOSS.

IF THERE IS A DESIRE FOR COUNCIL TO CONTINUE, I RECOMMEND A MOTION TO CONTINUE TO THE NEXT MEETING. AND IF THAT HAS A MAJORITY OF COUNCIL SUPPORT, THAT'S WHAT WILL HAPPEN. IF NOT, THE DISCUSSION WILL CONTINUE. I WILL MOVE TO CONTINUE TO THE NEXT MEETING WHEN FULL COUNCIL CAN SIT AT THE DAIS AND DISCUSS THIS.

I WILL SECOND THAT.

MARY GOURLEY A ROLL CALL VOTE PLEASE? I'M SORRY, WE DO NEED TO GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT, ALEX CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG. IT WOULD ONLY BE ON THE CONTINUATION OF THAT CORRECT? OR IS IT ALL OF THE POLICIES?

IT CAN BE ON ALL OF IT.

THIS IS ON THE CITY COUNCIL PROPOSED POLICIES ONE THROUGH FIVE AS STATED ON THE AGENDA. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL GO TO IN CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO TO ZOOM. KYLE CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

YES I CAN.

GO AHEAD WITH PUBLIC COMMENT.

I WANT TO EMPHASIZE SOMETHING MENTIONED ABOUT USING A RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR. NOT THAT I BELIEVE THAT'S THE RIGHT APPROACH TO TAKE BUT I WANT TO MENTION THAT HISTORICALLY WHEN WE HAD THESE CONTENTIOUS SITUATIONS WHERE WE HAD TWO COUNCILMEMBERS VYING FOR SOME SORT OF SEAT AND THIS COUNCIL COULD NOT COME TO SOME SORT OF A DECISION, THEY MADE THE CHOICE TO SELECT A NUMBER AND HAVE ANOTHER COUNCILMEMBER SELECT A NUMBER AND USE THE ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER TO SELECT A NUMBER AND PICK WHO WAS CLOSER. I JUST WANT TO MAKE CERTAIN THAT WE UNDERSTAND THAT THAT IS NOT A RANDOM NUMBER GENERATED SOLUTION AND THAT IS NOT THE APPROACH WE SHOULD BE TAKING WHEN WE ARE TRYING TO DO THINGS LIKE MAKE IMPORTANT DECISIONS ABOUT WHO'S GOING TO BE FILLING A SEAT IN A PARTICULAR ROLE. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE US THINK THROUGH THE PRACTICES THAT WE TAKE AND MAKE SURE WE ARE NOT DOING THOSE TYPES OF THINGS IN THE FUTURE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU KYLE. NEXT I WILL COME IN THE CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF?

THANK YOU. GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE.

I FIND THIS INTERESTING. HERE WE ARE AND I WOULD LIKE TO POINT THIS OUT RELATIVELY EARLY AND WE'VE GOT PLENTY OF TIME AS FAR AS I CAN TELL BEFORE 10:00 TO GO OVER ISSUES. BUT YOU KNOW, IT'S JUST LAUGHABLE TALKING ABOUT PREDICTABILITY. I SUPPOSE ALL OF US DO BUT THE BIG THING, THE MOST PREDICTABLE THING WE ARE LOOKING AT AND EXPECTING IS WHAT IF 10 - 12 PROJECTS THAT ARE IN THE PIPELINE OR HAVE ALREADY BEEN BUILT, AND WE LACK THE INFRASTRUCTURE.

LINDA I WOULD LIKE TO HAVE YOU STAY ON TOPIC.

ALL RIGHT WILL I WANT MORE TIME. I'M TALKING ABOUT PREDICTABILITY. IT IS PREDICTABLE THAT THIS TOWN IS BEING FLUSHED DOWN THE TOILET AND YOU KNOW WE ARE HEADED TOWARD BANKRUPTCY AND IT'S ALL PREDICTABLE BUT NOBODY SEEMS TO HAVE THE COJONES TO STOP THE BUILDINGS AND RECOGNIZE IT FOR WHAT IT IS.

CAN YOU HELP US UNDERSTAND?

A RANDOM NUMBER GENERATOR. DOES THAT MEAN FLIPPING A COIN? I GUESS THAT MEANS FLIPPING A COIN. IT'S JUST FRUSTRATING THAT WE ARE NOT BEING LED BY QUALITY, INFORMED, CURIOUS, EVEN. THERE'S NO CURIOSITY ABOUT SPECIFICALLY--

LINDA YOU HAVE 20 SECONDS.

WE NEED SOME SERIOUS, INTELLIGENT PEOPLE THAT HAVE THE INTERESTS TO TAKE A HARD LOOK ON WHERE THE TOWN IS GOING AND MAKE THAT HARD DECISION TO PREVENT IT FROM--

THAT'S TWO MINUTES.

FROM GOING DOWN THE TOILET.

SEEING NONE, PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

WE HAD A MOTION TO CONTINUE THIS ITEM BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND A SECOND BY COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS. I ASSUME IT WILL BE A ROLLCALL VOTE? TO CLARIFY THERE ARE FIVE POLICIES PART OF THIS AGENDA ITEM. CONTINUING ALL FIVE, JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE THAT WAS DONE.

FROM THE INDIVIDUAL WHO MOVED THIS ITEM IS-- THAT WILL BE COUNCILMEMBER HINTON TO CONTINUE DISCUSSION OF ALL ITEMS OR JUST THE MAYOR?

ALL ITEMS. IS THERE A REQUEST? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS WAS THAT YOUR SECOND, ALSO? A ROLLCALL VOTE.

THANK YOU, MAYOR. SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS TO CONTINUE THIS TO THE NEXT CITY COUNCIL MEETING . COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? YES. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS ? YES. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? YES VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? YES. MAYOR RICH? YES.

WE WILL MOVE ON TO THE NEXT ITEM PULLED FROM THE AGENDA WHICH IS ITEM NUMBER 4 AND THERE'S A SERIES THAT ARE ALL RELATED BUT ITEM NUMBER 4 IS THE APPROVAL AND THE RECEIPT OF UPCOMING VACANCIES ON THE PUBLIC ART COMMITTEE FOR THE TERM OF DECEMBER 31, 2024 THROUGH DECEMBER 1, 2028. FOUR, FIVE, SIX, AND SEVEN RELATED IN MANY WAYS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, DO YOU WANT TO START OUT?

I'M NOT SURE WHY WE ARE WASTING TIME, BOTH THE PUBLIC AND HOURS TO FILL VACANCIES WHEN WE HAVE IN DISCUSSION, ACTIVE DISCUSSION, THE DISCUSSION OF MANY OF THE MAKEUP OF THESE COMMITTEES SO IT FEELS UNFAIR TO RECRUIT SOMEONE FOR A TWO-YEAR TERM WHEN WE MAYBE COMBINING. A LITTLE TRANSPARENCY, FOR EXAMPLE THE DESIGN REVIEW AND WE TALKED ABOUT CLIMATE ACTION. SO IT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE TO ME TO ASK PEOPLE SITTING IN THE SEATS TO EXTEND MAYBE SIX MONTHS AND LEAVE THE OTHER VACANCIES OPEN. THESE JUST KIND OF CAME ON AND AN AUTOMATIC WAY AND AUTOMATIC THINGS ROLLING OVER MAKES NO SENSE. I DON'T WANT SOMEONE TO SIT IN FRONT OF ME AND APPLY FOR SOMETHING THAT THEY ARE APPLYING US AND GIVING US A TWO-YEAR COMMITMENT WHEN WE MAY BE TAKING THAT AWAY, WHEN WE WOULD COMBINE COMMITTEES IN THE FUTURE. IT SEEMS LIKE A LOT OF PROCESS FOR A WASTE OF TIME. I PULLED THESE BECAUSE THEY WERE CONSENT AND THEY WERE ALL RELATED IN MY MIND. WE HAVE HAD MANY OF THE PEOPLE UP FOR RENEWAL THAT HAVE BEEN PART OF THESE COMMITTEES FOR MANY YEARS SO IT MAKES SENSE FOR THIS COUNCIL IN MY OPINION, FOR EXAMPLE ON ITEM 4, TO NOT FILL THAT SEAT. ON ITEM 5 TO EXTEND THE OFFER FOR THESE PEOPLE TO CONTINUE FOR SIX MONTHS UNTIL WE FIGURE OUT WHAT WE ARE DOING. SAME FOR ITEM 6. THOSE TWO COMMITTEES COULD BE COMBINED IN THE FUTURE, AND

LASTLY I THINK IS CLIMATE ACTION. I THINK WE SHOULD NOT FILL THESE POSITIONS AT THIS TIME. I DON'T KNOW HOW TO DO THAT BUT IT DIDN'T MAKE SENSE TO ME.

TO MAKE SURE FOR PURPOSES OF THE RECORD THAT WE ARE ALL AWARE OF THE TOPICS WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HERE, WE'VE GOT 4C FROM THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE AND ITEM 5 IS THE OPENINGS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION. ITEM 6 IS, SOMEONE HEALTHY. AND CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. WE ARE DISCUSSING THOSE ITEMS ALL IN A GROUP.

I'M SAYING WE ARE SEMI-RELATED BUT ARE SEPARATE AGENDA ITEMS SO WE WOULD HAVE TO TAKE THEM SEPARATELY.

LET'S OFFICIALLY HAVE OUR DISCUSSION MOMENT REGARDING ITEM 4.

WHAT ARE ANY AND ALL EXPECTED CHANGES FOR THAT COMMITTEE?

THE ITEM TONIGHT IS NOT TO TALK ABOUT CHANGES TO THE COMMITTEES. WE CAN ONLY DISCUSS RECRUITING OR NOT RECRUITING. THAT GOES BEYOND THE BOUNDS OF OUR AGENDA ITEM TO TALK ABOUT WITH THE TYPE OF MAKEUP OR CHANGES PROPOSED.

IT WAS PULLED BECAUSE OF UPCOMING CHANGES.

I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD RECRUIT. I WILL SAVE MY COMMENTS FOR LATER.

CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG.

YOU ARE ABSOLUTELY CORRECT AND I THINK COUNCILMEMBER HINTON'S POINT IS THAT THERE'S A DISCUSSION THAT CHANGES. THERE WILL BE A FUTURE DISCUSSION ABOUT CHANGES AND GIVEN THAT FUTURE DISCUSSION, IT MAKES SENSE TO HOLD OFF ON IT. SO WE CAN'T DISCUSS WITH THE CHANGES MAYBE, JUST WHETHER OR NOT IT MAKES SENSE TO HOLD OFF AT THIS TIME.

SO WE ARE CURRENTLY TALKING ABOUT THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE AND TAKING QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT TO PUBLIC COMMENT. IN TERMS OF THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE, IF WE DO NOT RECRUIT FOR THIS OPEN POSITION, I THINK IT'S ONE IN THIS CASE, WHAT ARE OUR OPTIONS? THIS IS PROBABLY A QUESTION FOR MARY GOURLEY.

YOU WOULD LEAVE THE SEAT VACANT.

DO WE HAVE AN OPTION OF REQUESTING THAT THE INDIVIDUAL IS CURRENTLY HOLDING THIS SEAT?

THE SEAT IS CURRENTLY VACANT SO THERE'S NO ONE IN THE SEAT RIGHT NOW. I BELIEVE IT WAS-- THAT WENT OFF IN JUNE SO THE SEAT IS VACANT.

AND HOW MANY VACANT IF COUNCIL DECIDES NOT TO RECRUIT?

ONE IS FILLED BY THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD.

SO THEN WHAT IS YOUR OPINION OF THIS? WHAT WOULD BE THE RECOMMENDATION? OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER GIVEN THE FLUX? I WAS HOPING TO GET JUST A MOMENT PLEASE. MARY GOURLEY?

MY RECOMMENDATION IS TO RECRUIT FOR THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE . THAT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION.

DESPITE THE FACT THAT IT WILL TAKE TIME AND EFFORT? THAT'S GOOD TO KNOW. I'M SORRY, CITY MANAGER?

CONCUR.

OKAY, GOT IT. RECOMMENDING WE RECRUIT FOR THESE POSITIONS.

IF WE DON'T RECRUIT FOR IT, IS IT POSSIBLE AFTER WE HAVE THE DISCUSSIONS THAT ARE UP-AND-COMING, TO OPEN IT UP AT THAT POINT? TO ME THAT IS WHAT SEEMS THE MOST LOGICAL BUT I'M WONDERING. IS THERE A REASON WE CAN'T OPEN IT UP LATER?

THERE IS NO REASON WHY WE CAN'T OPEN IT UP LATER SO THE ITEM TONIGHT AGAIN IS TO EITHER OPEN IT UP FOR RECRUITMENT. IT CAN ALWAYS COME BACK IN A FUTURE TIME TO OPEN THAT POSITION UP AGAIN FOR RECRUITMENT. IT'S JUST GOING TO TAKE YOU A WHILE. IT'S TYPICALLY ABOUT A SIX WEEK RECRUITMENT AND THAT POSITION HAS BEEN VACANT SINCE JUNE 30.

MY QUESTION IS WHY, IF YOU COULD HELP US UNDERSTAND WHY WITH YOUR EXPERIENCE AND BACKGROUND AND THE FACT THAT YOU PROBABLY WOULD BE THE PERSON PUTTING THE WORK INTO RECRUITING, WHY DO YOU FEEL THAT'S THE BEST APPROACH FOR THE CITY TO GO AHEAD AND RECRUIT?

BECAUSE I BELIEVE THAT PUBLIC ARTS IS STRONG IN THIS COMMUNITY. I THINK THERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY WITH DEVELOPMENTS COMING IN THAT WE WOULD HAVE ART FUNDS COMING. SO YOU COULD HOLD OFF AND RECRUIT AFTER YOU HAVE A CONVERSATION REGARDING THIS COMMITTEE BUT IT IS A LENGTHY TIME PERIOD TO RECRUIT AND IT IS A VACANT POSITION, WITHOUT GOING INTO BROWN ACT. I WOULD STILL STRONGLY SUPPORT RECRUITING FOR THIS COMMITTEE SPECIFICALLY.

THE ITEM THAT WE ARE TALKING ABOUT. I THINK WE SHOULD GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT. COUNCILMEMBER DID YOU HAVE A COMMENT OR A QUESTION? I THINK WE SHOULD GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE, MARY GOURLEY, ON THIS ITEM NUMBER 4B

THIS IS PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE RECRUITMENT FOR THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE ONLY. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT I WILL COME TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO OUT TO ZOOM. KYLE CAN YOU UNMUTE-- YOURSELF?

YES I CAN.

CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER? GO AHEAD PLEASE.

I JUST WANT TO CLARIFY A COUPLE OF THINGS AND THEN HOPEFULLY IF I AM MISTAKEN IN WHAT I'M HEARING I CAN BE CORRECTED. I AM HEARING ONE COUNCILMEMBER SUGGEST THAT BECAUSE THERE IS ACTIVE DISCUSSION ABOUT SOMETHING THAT HAS NOT BEEN DECIDED ON, SOMETHING THAT HASN'T BEEN VOTED ON, SOMETHING THAT HASN'T BEEN APPROVED, THAT WE SHOULD FORGO BUSINESS OF OUR CITY BECAUSE SOMETHING MIGHT HAPPEN? WHAT I HAVE SEEN IN THE PAST IS THAT THAT METHOD OF DECISION-MAKING ACTUALLY PUSHES FORWARD A NARRATIVE AND HAS AGENDAS TAKE PLACE. SO YES. YOU DON'T FILL A POSITION. AND THEN YOU CAN ELIMINATE THAT POSITION. YOU CAN SAY WELL WE ARE NOT GOING TO FIND A FIRE CHIEF. INSTEAD WE WILL OUTSOURCE THIS FIRE CHIEF TO THE VERY AGENCY THAT WE HAVEN'T DECIDED YET THAT WE ARE GOING TO MERGE WITH. BUT WE ARE IN A PROCESS OF MERGING WITH. IT JUST GOES FORWARD AND PROVIDES MORE EVIDENCE FOR MAKING THAT DECISION DOWN THE ROAD. I'VE SEEN COUNCILS DO THIS WITH PAYING FOR SOMETHING IN ADVANCE BEFORE THEY ACTUALLY DECIDE THAT THEY ARE GOING TO APPROVE SOMETHING. I'VE SEEN COUNCILS GO AHEAD AND APPROVE SOMETHING BEFORE THE DECIDE TO PAY FOR IT AND USE THAT AS THE JUSTIFICATION FOR PAYING FOR IT LATER. PERFORM THE BUSINESS OF THE CITY. PART OF THE DUTY OF THAT ROLE IS FILLING VACANT POSITIONS. I THINK WHAT IT DOES ALSO SPEAK TO IS AN ISSUE THAT OUR CITY HAS IN RECRUITMENT. THEY ARE STRUGGLING TO FIND MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC TO FILL THESE SEATS AND I THINK THAT'S A LARGER ISSUE THAT NEEDS TO BE ADDRESSED RATHER THAN TRYING TO CIRCUMVENT THAT CHOOSING NOT TO FILL VACANT POSITIONS.

THANK YOU KYLE FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

AS USUAL AS ALWAYS I AGREE WITH KYLE AND OF COURSE MARY GOURLEY MORE THAN ANYONE GOING ON HERE. I AM IN AGREEMENT WITH HER AS WELL. IT'S FUNNY THAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO THIS JUST AFTER YOU HEARD THE GIRLS, THE GIRL SCOUTS TALK ABOUT HOW THEY WANTED ART AND STATUES AND WHAT THEY LOVE ABOUT THIS TOWN SO YOU ARE ELIMINATING ONE OF THE POSITIONS THAT HAS TO DO WITH FULFILLING-- MAKING THIS TOWN MORE ENJOYABLE FOR THEM AND FOR THE REST OF US. IT IS ALSO RELATED TO, I HAVEN'T HEARD ANYTHING ABOUT OUR NEW POLICE CHIEF. HE'S GOT LIKE, LESS THAN 10 DAYS BEFORE HE RETIRES ON OCTOBER 26, AS FAR AS I KNOW. WHAT'S GOING ON WITH OUR PROTECTION? OUR WONDERFUL POLICE DEPARTMENT? HAVE YOU DROPPED THE BALL AGAIN? NOT ADDRESSING THESE VERY IMPORTANT ISSUES-- THIS IS ALL TYPICAL OF A LACK OF RESPONSIBLE-- RESPONSIBILITY AND POOR LEADERSHIP. WE NEED TO CHANGE. THANK YOU.

THANK YOU LINDA FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK TO CHAMBERS AND SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. IF THERE'S ANYONE THAT WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNCIL TABLE ON ITEM NUMBER 4 REGARDING THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE. YES, COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? MY UNDERSTANDING IS THAT THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE AND THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE ARE NOT MERGING, THEY ARE NOT CHANGING. THE ONLY DIFFERENCE WITH THESE TWO BOARDS ARE THAT THEY MAY NOT MEET AS OFTEN. I THINK THE PUBLIC ARTS MEETS MONTHLY AND THE LAST TIME I TALKED WITH THEM THEY SAID THEY WOULD BE MEETING QUARTERLY. THAT IS NOT SAID BUT IT SHOULD NOT AFFECT RECRUITMENT FOR THE POSITION BECAUSE AT THIS POINT THEY ARE A FOUR - MEMBER BOARD AND THEY NEED THE FIFTH PERSON AND LIKE OUR ASSISTANT CITY MANAGER AND CITY CLERK SAID THERE WILL BE MONEY COMING IN AND JUST, WE ARE NOT TALKING ABOUT LETTING GO OF THIS COMMITTEE. I'M NOT TALKING ABOUT THAT. THAT'S NOT WHAT THIS ISSUE IS ABOUT. SO I THINK WE NEED TO RECRUIT FOR THE POSITION. I FEEL REALLY STRONGLY ABOUT THAT.

THANK YOU COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

LET ME BE CLEAR. THIS IS ON CONSENT CALENDAR. I PULLED IT BECAUSE I WANTED TO HAVE A DISCUSSION. NOT NECESSARILY BECAUSE I WAS SOLD ON NOT FILLING THE POSITION BUT BECAUSE I DON'T THINK WE SHOULD PUT THESE THINGS ON CONSENT AND JUST PASS THEM RIGHT OVER AND DO THE SAME THINGS WE'VE ALWAYS DONE WHEN WE KNOW WE ARE GOING TO HAVE UPCOMING DISCUSSIONS. SO I'M FINE WITH STAFF RECOMMENDATION. WE WILL SUPPORT THIS ITEM TONIGHT AND WE CAN TAKE THESE ONE AT A TIME. BUT I DID NOT AGREE WITH IT BEING ON CONSENT AS I THINK THIS IS A BIGGER CONVERSATION AND THIS PARTICULAR ISSUE, THIS POSITION HAS NOT EVEN BEEN FILLED SINCE JUNE 30. IT'S BEEN SITTING OPEN ALMOST 5 MONTHS AT THIS POINT BEFORE IT CAME FORTH TO US. I SUPPORT FILLING THE POSITION AND WE CAN GO THROUGH THESE ONE BY ONE BUT I DON'T BELIEVE THEY BELONGED ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR SO WANT TO MAKE THAT CLEAR.

ANY DISCUSSIONS FROM COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS OR VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? NODDING NO, MY COMMENT IS THAT I AM COMPLETELY IN SUPPORT OF FILLING

THIS POSITION. I DON'T LIKE THE IDEA OF THE TAIL WAGGING THE DOG HERE. WE HAVE A DECISION DOWN THE LINE THAT WE WILL BE MAKING PERHAPS ABOUT THESE COMMITTEES BUT A DECISION NOW TO NOT FILL THIS POSITION, I THINK, PRESUPPOSE A WEAKER FUTURE FOR THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMISSION AND I AM NOT WILLING TO HAMPER THE OPTIONS FOR THIS IMPORTANT COMMITTEE IN OUR CITY. I WILL SUPPORT THE RECRUITMENT ON THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? I'M SORRY, I SEE VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

SORRY I WAS NODDING MY HEAD NO AND I DEFINITELY DID HAVE A THOUGHT. I WILL BE DEFINITELY SUPPORTING FILLING THE POSITION BECAUSE IF ANYTHING IT HAS BEEN VACANT, THEY DO NEED ANOTHER ACTIVE VOICE, AND TO THE FACT THAT WE JUST DON'T KNOW HOW THIS IS ALL GOING TO SHAKE OUT WHEN WE HAVE A FORMAL DISCUSSION AMONGST OURSELVES ON COUNCIL. THANK YOU.

COUNCILMEMBER MAURER I INTERRUPTED YOU.

I WAS GOING TO MAKE A MOTION TO AUTHORIZE CITY STAFF TO NOTICE AND RECRUIT FOR THE VACANCY ON THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE.

I AM HAPPY TO SECOND THAT ESPECIALLY WITH ALL THOSE GIRL SCOUTS WHO LOVED ART.

SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAUER AND MAYOR RICH. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? YES. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? YES. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? YES. MAYOR RICH? MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

WE ARE NOW ON ITEM NUMBER FIVE THAT WAS PULLED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR. THIS IS A SIMILAR ITEM THAT IS REQUESTING DIRECTION ON RECRUITING FOR TWO OPEN POSITIONS ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION. ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS ITEM FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

I KNOW THAT THERE IS A FOR BEEN IN DISCUSSION-- THIS IS US FOR BEEN IN DISCUSSION SINCE WE KNOW THAT SOME CHANGES ARE COMING. THE QUESTION I HAVE IS IF WE RECRUIT AND OPEN THIS UP AND CHANGES ARE MADE DOWN THE LINE, WHAT HAPPENS TO THE PEOPLE WHO WERE RECRUITED AND ON THIS COMMISSION IF CHANGES ARE MADE LATER? HOW IS THAT DECIDED? DOES THE GROUP BECOME BIGGER? CITY MANAGER?

THAT IS SOMETHING WE WOULD HAVE TO FIGURE OUT IN THE MOMENT OR FILLING THE POSITIONS AND ANY CONVERSATIONS WE HAVE BETWEEN NOW AND THEN ON RESTRUCTURING.

WE CAN GET DIRECTION FROM ALEX BUT THIS FEELS WAY OUTSIDE THE SCOPE OF THIS PARTICULAR ITEM. ALEX CAN YOU GIVE US SOME--

THE BRIEF ANSWER IS-- TALK MORE ABOUT IT.

SO IT IS BASICALLY TO BE DETERMINED IS WHAT I'M UNDERSTANDING?

YES.

IT HAS NOT COME BEFORE THE COUNCIL AND IS YET TO BE DETERMINED. DID YOU HAVE SOMETHING ELSE, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? I DON'T WANT TO INTERRUPT YOU.

I DON'T WANT TO WALK THIS LINE BUT AS SOMEONE IN THE MIDDLE OF MERGING TWO LARGE BOARDS AND GOING THROUGH THAT, I GUESS FOR THE FUTURE I WOULD LIKE TO BETTER UNDERSTAND WHAT THE STANDARD OF PRACTICE IS FOR OTHER CITIES WHEN THESE TYPES OF THINGS DO HAPPEN. AND AGAIN I DON'T KNOW THAT IT WARRANTS A COMMENT, BUT JUST THE IDEA. I DON'T LIKE THE IDEA OF BRINGING PEOPLE ON AND THEN POSSIBLY TAKING THEM OFF. MAYBE THAT'S MY COMMENT.

THANK YOU.

I WOULD NOT HAVE A GREAT OBJECTION FOR PULLING THIS ONE UNTIL WE HAVE A CHANCE TO HAVE GREATER DISCUSSIONS WITH STAFF AND THEN BRINGING IT BACK TO COUNCIL.

I WOULD WANT TO ASK THE CITY CLERK HOW LONG IT TAKES TO RECRUIT. IF THEY EXPIRE DECEMBER 31, WE CAN'T HAVE NO ONE ON THERE.

4 - 6 WEEKS. AND THAT'S NOT THE INTERVIEW PROCESS OR ANYTHING ELSE. WE JUST HAVE IT OPEN FOR SIX WEEKS.

I WILL ASK THE SAME QUESTION REGARDING THE PUBLIC ARTS COMMITTEE. DO YOU HAVE A RECOMMENDATION ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION TWO POSITIONS THAT ARE OPEN? DO YOU FEEL STRONGLY ONE WAY OR ANOTHER?

MY SUGGESTION WOULD BE TO RECRUIT. THAT DOESN'T NECESSARILY MEAN THAT WE HAVE TO APPOINT BUT IT DOES GIVE US AN IDEA FOR RECRUITMENT. WE HAVE EXTENDED RECRUITMENTS BEFORE AS WELL SO THAT WOULD BE MY RECOMMENDATION, TO GO AHEAD AND MOVE FORWARD WITH RECRUITMENT WITH THE UNDERSTANDING THAT I DON'T KNOW WHEN AN ITEM IS GOING TO COME BACK ON THIS CUMBER-- CONVERSATION. I DON'T THINK IT'S A WASTE OF STAFF'S TIME. I REALLY DON'T. IF MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO ARE WATCHING AND INTERESTED, WE COULD ALSO JUST LET THEM KNOW THAT WE ARE GOING TO GO THROUGH THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS BUT THERE'S A POTENTIAL FOR A CONVERSATION DOWN THE ROAD AND WE DON'T KNOW WHERE THE CONVERSATION IS YET.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS?

CAN YOU REMIND ME HOW MANY PEOPLE ARE ON THE COMMISSION RIGHT NOW? FIVE MEMBERS IN TOTAL.

ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS BEFORE WE GO OUT FOR PUBLIC COMMENT? NOT SEEING ANY. COME PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE?

THIS IS FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR THE PLANNING COMMISSION. I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. LINDA CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE.

ONCE AGAIN, YOU KNOW, I'M IN AGREEMENT WITH MARY WHO AGAIN, YOU KNOW IS THE ONLY ONE THAT KNOWS WHAT'S GOING ON. SO ANYWAY ASIDE FROM THAT, I HAVE RECENTLY BEEN ATTENDING THE PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND ALSO THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD AND IT'S BEEN INTERESTING. I HIGHLY RECOMMEND THAT SOME OF YOU COUNCILMEMBERS TO THE SAME THING BECAUSE THERE IS THIS LACK OF INFORMATION FLOW AMONGST THESE COMMITTEES THAT MOST OF THEM, WELL ALMOST ALL OF THEM LIKE THE PLANNING COMMISSION, THEY DON'T ATTEND THE CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS. THEY DON'T KNOW WHAT'S GOING ON. THERE ARE VERY FEW-- WELL THERE ARE SOME GOOD PEOPLE THERE THAT WE WANT TO RETAIN WHO DID JOIN US FOR ONE OF THE LAST CITY COUNCIL MEETINGS. BUT ANYWAYS THERE IS THIS SCARCITY OF INFORMATION. YOU ALL ARE COMPARTMENTALIZING YOURSELVES TO THE DETRIMENTS OF THIS TOWN BY NOT KNOWING WHAT'S GOING ON AND THEN SIMILAR TO NOT COMING UP TO THIS PART OF TOWN AND FIND OUT WHAT'S GOING ON WITH RV VILLE AND ALL THOSE PEOPLE THAT CONTINUE--

LINDA IF YOU COULD LET US KNOW YOUR OPINION ON THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND WHETHER WE SHOULD RECRUIT FOR THE TWO OPEN POSITIONS I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

I KNOW YOU WOULD. I APPRECIATE YOU, TOO. AS I SAID THE FIRST SENTENCE, THANK YOU, LINDA. CAN WE MAKE SURE THE TIMER IS WORKING PLEASE? KYLE CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF PLEASE?

YES I CAN.

I DON'T HAVE THE TIME OR BUT I CAN JUMP IN WHEN YOU HAVE 30 SECONDS LEFT. I UNDERSTAND THE DILEMMA. YOU ARE REALLY WANTING TO PUSH FORWARD WITH AN IDEA OF CONSOLIDATION. YOU HAVEN'T DEBATED IT, YOU HAVEN'T DECIDED, BUT YOU REALLY WANT TO DO IT. SO HERE'S AN OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE A DECISION THAT WILL HELP LEAD YOU TOWARD THAT DECISION DOWN THE ROAD. THAT'S NOT HOW THIS WORKS. YOU HAVE VACANT POSITIONS, YOU NEED TO FILL THEM. IF YOU ARE UPSET ABOUT THE FACT THAT YOU HAVE NOT CONSOLIDATED YET, THAT'S UNDERSTANDABLE. MAYBE YOU SHOULD A PRIORITIZED THE TIMING OF YOUR CONSOLIDATION EFFORTS TO BE PRIOR TO THESE RECRUITMENT EFFORTS BUT THAT DIDN'T HAPPEN. SO YOU GOT VACANT POSITIONS, YOU NEED TO FILL THOSE AND DECIDE IN THE FUTURE WHETHER YOU WANT TO TAKE OF THE ITEM OF CONSOLIDATION. DON'T USES HAS AN OPPORTUNITY TO PUSH FOR THAT AGENDA WHEN YOU HAVEN'T HAD PUBLIC DEBATE, HAVEN'T HAD PUBLIC COMMENT, HAVEN'T HAD A DECISION-MAKING PROCESS OF WHAT THAT WOULD LOOK LIKE. DON'T CIRCUMVENT THAT ENTIRE PROCESS BY CHOOSING NOT TO APPOINT POSITIONS WHICH LIKELY ARE GOING TO BE POSITIONS THAT YOU WILL NEED TO BE FILLED. YOU ALREADY DECIDED WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO WHENEVER YOU DECIDE TO ACTUALLY DO IT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. AGAIN I WILL COME TO PUBLIC COMMENT BACK IN CHAMBERS AND SEEING NONE WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM ONE LAST TIME. PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

PUSHING BACK. DO NOT EVER TELL ME WHAT I'VE DECIDED. YOU REALLY WANT TO PUSH FORWARD TO CONSOLIDATE THESE GROUPS IS AN ACCURATE. THIS COUNCIL HAS NOT YET ADDRESSED THIS ISSUE. WE HAVE A VARIETY OF POSITIONS IN THE ASSUMPTION THAT A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC KNOWS HOW ANY OF US IS GOING TO VOTE AND WOULD BE SO PRESUMPTUOUS AS TO ASSUME THAT IS REALLY--. I AM A SUPPORTER OF FREE SPEECH AND I HAVE FREE SPEECH RIGHTS AS WELL AND I'M TELLING YOU IT'S HARD TO TAKE SERIOUSLY THE COMMENTS OF SOMEONE WHO EXPRESSES THOSE SORTS OF ASSUMPTIONS ABOUT THE WAY THAT EACH OF US VOTE. SO WE ARE NOW BACK AT THE TABLE REGARDING ITEM NUMBER 5 WHICH IS THE PLANNING COMMISSION AND WHETHER WE SHOULD RECRUIT. DISCUSSION? COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

A MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC IS NOT INSIDE MY HEAD. WE BROUGHT OF THESE ITEMS AT FULL TRANSPARENCY AT PREVIOUS MEETINGS AND THEY ARE ON THE FUTURE DISCUSSION AND ADVANCED CALENDARS AND GOALSETTING ET CETERA. AGAIN LET ME BE VERY CLEAR, I DO NOT HAVE MY MIND MADE UP. BUT THESE ARE ON THE CONSENT CALENDAR, I DO NOT BELIEVE THESE ITEMS SHOULD HAVE GONE BY ALL ON CONSENT AND WANTED TO BRING THEM FORTH ON THE DISCUSSION SO THAT WE KNEW WHAT OUR OPTIONS WERE. AND AGAIN THIS IS A FOUR - YEAR APPOINTMENT AND I WANTED TO HEAR FROM MY OTHER COLLEAGUES AND FROM OUR LEGAL ADVICE OF THIS IS THE BEST COURSE OF ACTION. I AM CONVINCED IT IS SO THIS TIME I'M GOING TO MOVE THIS ITEM WITH A MOTION TO APPROVE THE UPCOMING ITEMS FOR DECEMBER 2024 THROUGH DECEMBER 2028 AND I DO UNDERSTAND THERE MAY BE MORE COMMENTS.

I WILL SECOND.

WE HAVE A MOTION AND A SECOND. ARE THERE ANY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BEFORE WE DO A ROLLCALL VOTE? SEEING NONE.

I WANT TO CHIME IN AND THE FACT THAT YES, I DO THINK THAT WE SHOULD BUILD THE POSITIONS BECAUSE WE AS A COUNCIL HAVE NOT DECIDED THE FATE OF ANY OF THESE COMMITTEES.

THANK YOU, VICE MAYOR. MARY GOURLEY?

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER . COUNCIL MEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? YES. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? I. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? YES. MAYOR RICH? YES. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE NOW ON ITEM NUMBER 6 WHICH IS A SIMILAR ITEM, THIS TIME REGARDING THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD WHERE THERE ARE THREE POSITIONS OPEN AND PREDICTING A QUESTION THAT MAY COME UP, MARY GOURLEY, WHAT ARE THE TOTAL NUMBER OF POSITIONS?

SIX TOTAL POSITIONS.

SO 3 OF 6 ARE CURRENTLY OPEN.

WILL BE OPEN IN DECEMBER.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. QUESTIONS FROM COUNCIL MEMBERS? I HAD A QUESTION, THE SAME I HAVE ASKED BEFORE WHICH IS OF MARY GOURLEY. DO YOU FEEL SIMILARLY ABOUT THE RECRUITMENT OF THESE POSITIONS IN ANY REASON YOU FEEL DIFFERENTLY?

THERE IS NO REASON. I THINK WE SHOULD BE GOING THROUGH THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS. WE DON'T KNOW WHEN THE CONVERSATION WILL BE COMING BACK ON ANY OF THE OTHER ITEMS SO I WOULD AGAIN RECOMMEND GOING THROUGH THIS PROCESS.

I WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT IN RECOGNITION OF COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. I APPRECIATE HER PULLING THESE FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR SO THAT WE COULD CONSIDER HE-- SERIOUSLY CONSIDER THEM. AT THIS POINT WITH NO QUESTIONS WE WILL GO TO PUBLIC COMMENT ON ITEM NUMBER 6 LEASE.

PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD OPENINGS. KYLE? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT.

WHILE I WANT TO APOLOGIZE FOR MAKING A SUGGESTION THAT THE COUNCIL AS A WHOLE OR THAT ANY PARTICULAR MEMBER MAY HAVE VOTED ONE WAY OR NOT, I WILL RECOGNIZE THAT TWO COUNCILMEMBERS HAVE USED LANGUAGE IN COMMENTARY SUGGESTING THAT A DECISION HAS NOT BEEN MAY BE JUSTIFICATION FOR DECIDING WHETHER OR NOT TO FILL THOSE POSITIONS. THAT'S WHAT I'M SPEAKING TO AND WHAT I AM SPEAKING TO IS THAT NARRATIVE, THAT APPROACH, THAT MESH METHOD OF DECISION-MAKING DOES SUGGEST PRIOR DECISION-MAKING ABOUT THE WAY THAT YOU ARE GOING TO GO ON ONE WAY OR ANOTHER. IF YOU WANT TO CHARACTERIZE MY COMMENT AS SUGGESTING THAT I AM KNOWING WHAT YOU'RE GOING TO DO, THAT'S NOT THE CASE. BUT I WILL NOTICE THAT BY ME MAKING THOSE COMMENTS, BUT DEFINITELY LOVE THE DIRECTION IN THE DISCUSSION FURTHER THAN IT DID BY NO OTHER COUNCIL MEMBERS MAKING THAT SUGGESTION AT THAT TIME. SO I WILL CONTINUE TO PUSH ON THIS COUNCIL AND THE DECISION-MAKING PROCESS THAT THE USE AND I APOLOGIZE IN ADVANCE THAT IS SOMEHOW SUGGESTING TO YOU THAT I AM MISCHARACTERIZING YOUR DECISION-MAKING AS AN INDIVIDUAL WHEN THAT IS NOT THE CASE, AND IT DOES PUSH FOR THE NARRATIVE THAT NEEDS TO BE HAPPENING ABOUT THE APPROACH WE ARE TAKING WHEN WE ARE MAKING DECISIONS IN THIS BODY.

THANK YOU KYLE FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE, NEXT I WILL GO OUT TO LINDA. CAN YOU UNMUTE YOURSELF? GO AHEAD WITH YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE.

ALL RIGHT SO THIS IS INTERESTING AND AS ALWAYS I AM IN COMPLETE AGREEMENT WITH KYLE AND ALSO MARY GOURLEY. ON THIS PARTICULAR ITEM, I WOULD JUST LIKE TO POINT OUT AGAIN , I LIKE TO MENTION I HAVE RECENTLY BEEN ATTENDING BOTH PLANNING COMMISSION MEETINGS AND ALSO DESIGN REVIEW. PARTICIPATING AND REACT. THERE ARE PEOPLE HERE, I WOULD LIKE TO SAY HE'S BEEN DOING AN OUTSTANDING JOB, IN MY OPINION, AND HAS MY FULL SUPPORT FOR YEARS AGO WHEN HE WAS UP FOR THIS POSITION AND IF YOU RECALL, HE WAS PUT IN THIS POSITION BY A 3 -2 VOTE. THE TWO THAT WERE OPPOSING HIS POSITION WERE DIANA RICH AND NEYSA HINTON WHO DIDN'T WANT HIM IN THIS POSITION ON A BASIS, IF I RECALL, THAT HE HAD TOO STRONG OF OPINIONS THANKFULLY, I WAS HOPEFUL AND PLEASED THAT THE REMAINING THREE OUTVOTED THEM. IT GIVE ME HOPE AND IN FACT IN MY MIND, THE IDEA OF-- WHICH I FIGURED THE THREE OF YOU OUTNUMBERED THE OTHER TWO STUPID HEADS--

LINDA YOU HAVE 20 SECONDS.

LEAVES THIS TOWN IN A BAD DIRECTION BARRELING TOWARD BANKRUPTCY AND A LOWER QUALITY OF LIFE AND EVERYTHING. ANYWAY THANK YOU AGAIN TO THE REMAINING THREE OF YOU FOR OPPOSING--

THANK YOU LINDA. CHAMBERS? AND SOON ONE LAST TIME. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE BACK AT THE COUNCIL TABLE TO DISCUSS THIS ITEM NUMBER 6 WHICH IS REGARDING THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD AND WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE RECRUITMENT FOR OPEN POSITIONS. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON?

I WILL MOVE APPROVAL AND RECEIPT FOR THE TERM OF DECEMBER 31, 2024 THROUGH DECEMBER 31, THROUGH DECEMBER 31, 2026. THREE OPENINGS WITH TERMS ENDING AT THE END OF DECEMBER.

THANK YOU. A SECOND?

I WILL SECOND THAT.

SECOND FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION BEFORE TAKING A VOTE ON THIS ITEM? NOT SEEING ANY. PLEASE MARY GOURLEY IF YOU COULD DO THE ROLLCALL VOTE I WOULD APPRECIATE IT.

SO MOVED BY COUNCILMEMBER HINTON AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER TO APPROVE THE RECRUITMENT FOR THE UPCOMING OPENINGS ON THE DESIGN REVIEW BOARD FOR A TWO- YEAR TERM THAT WILL EXPIRE DECEMBER 2026. COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? YES.

COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? I. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? YES. MAYOR RICH? YES. MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE NOW AT ITEM NUMBER 7 WHICH IS A SIMILAR ITEM THIS TIME REGARDING THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. MARY GOURLEY, ANYTHING TO OFFER IN YOUR OPINION ON RECRUITING?

THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION IS TO NOT RECRUIT. AM I READING THAT RIGHT? ASKING MARY GOURLEY THAT

THAT'S WHAT IT STATED. TO DELAY RECRUITMENT. THERE'S AN UPCOMING CONVERSATION BUT MY REQUEST TO DELAY IT IS, THERE IS CONFUSION AS TO THE APPOINTMENTS ON THIS COMMITTEE AS FAR AS THE OPEN SEASON, WHO IS FILLING WHICH OPEN SEAT. SOMEHOW BETWEEN THE LAST RECRUITMENT AND THIS ONE,

THERE'S A GENERALIZATION OF CLIMATE ACTION BACKGROUNDS WHEREAS WE DO HAVE SPECIFICS. MY RECOMMENDATION IS TO REQUEST THAT THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE TAKE A REVIEW OF IT AND MAKE SURE THE APPOINTMENTS FOR THE CATEGORIES WE HAVE OPEN AND RE-NOTIFY WHICH ONES WE REALLY HAVE OPEN AND THEN BRING IT BACK HERE TO CITY COUNCIL TO DO THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS AT THAT TIME. WE NEED CLARITY ON THE APPOINTMENT LIST.

WOULD DELAYING IN THAT WAY LIMIT THE OPPORTUNITIES FOR THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE TO BE MOVING FORWARD? I SEE FOUR POSITIONS THAT ARE OPEN AND YOU'D IF YOU COULD REMIND US HOW MANY TOTAL POSITIONS ON THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE? I WANT TO SAY 11 - 13.

I KNOW THAT COUNCILMEMBER MAURER IS ON THE COMMITTEE SO IS THAT ACCURATE?

THAT'S PART OF THE STAFF REPORT. WHEN DO THE OPENINGS-- THEY EXPIRE DECEMBER 31. DO YOU FEEL THAT IF WE DELAY SUFFICIENTLY TO HAVE CLARITY IN TERMS OF POSITIONS THAT ARE OPEN WE CAN STILL EFFECTIVELY RECRUIT TO FILL THE POSITIONS THAT WOULD EXPIRE DECEMBER 31?

WE HAVE HAD TERMS IN THE PAST THAT HAVE EXPIRED IN DECEMBER BUT WE HAVE NOT BEEN ABLE TO INTERVIEW UNTIL THE JANUARY TIMEFRAME SO WE VAST THOSE POSITIONS IF THEY WOULD STAY ON FOR AN ADDITIONAL FEW WEEKS OR A MONTH. WE COULD SEE IF THEY WANTED TO STAY ON, AND UNTIL WE CAN GO THROUGH THE RECRUITMENT PROCESS IT WOULD DELAY US BY TWO WEEKS. IT MEETS AT THE MIDDLE OF DECEMBER SO IF WE BROUGHT IT BACK, IF WE GET CLARITY ON THE POSITIONS, CLARITY AS TO WHICH POSITIONS ARE OPEN, BRINGING THAT BACK ON THE NOVEMBER 19 MEETING FOR CITY COUNCIL REQUESTING RECRUITMENT.

WOULD IT BE AN OPTION-- DO WE HAVE AS AN OPTION APPROVING RECRUITMENT BUT SUBJECT TO YOUR DETERMINATION OF WHAT THE SPECIFIC POSITIONS ARE THAT NEEDED TO BE RECRUITED FOR? IS THAT AN OPTION THAT WOULD BE DEFENSIBLE? THAT MAY BE AN ALEX QUESTION. OR DO WE NEED TO SPECIFICALLY KNOW WHAT EXACTLY THE POSITIONS WE ARE RECRUITING FOR?

YOU COULD AUTHORIZE STAFF TO RECRUIT FOR THE VACANT POSITIONS AND LEAVE IT TO THEM TO DETERMINE WHICH SEATS ARE VACANT. WHICH SPOTS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. SO WE CAN COME BACK ON DISCUSSION FOR THAT TOPIC. ANY ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS? I THINK WE ARE GOING UP FOR PUBLIC COMMENT.

PUBLIC COMMENT WOULD BE RECRUITMENT FOR THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A COMMENT I WILL GO TO CHAMBERS FIRST. SEEING NONE I WILL GO TO ZOOM. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO MAKE A PUBLIC COMMENT PLEASE RAISE YOUR HAND VIRTUALLY. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. WE ARE BACK AT THE TABLE FOR DISCUSSION. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER I SEE YOU LEANING IN.

I THINK IT MAKES SENSE WHAT IS BEING RECOMMENDED, TO ASK THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE TO REVIEW POSITIONS AND THE OPEN SEATS AT THEIR NOVEMBER MEETING WHICH WOULD BE NOVEMBER 12. AND THEN TO BRING THIS ITEM BACK FOR RECRUITMENT ON NOVEMBER 19 ON CONSENT.

THAT WAS A COMMENT FROM COUNCILMEMBER MAURER. I SEE COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, YOU HAVE YOUR HAND UP?

I DO SUPPORT THE STAFF RECOMMENDATION. THAT'S JUST ALL I WANTED TO SAY.

THANK YOU. I HAVE A QUESTION FOR MARY GOURLEY GIVEN WHAT ALEX MOG HAS SET ABOUT ANOTHER OPTION WHICH IS PROVIDING YOU WITH DISCRETION TO IDENTIFY WHICH POSITIONS NEED TO BE RECRUITED FOR. DOES THAT CHANGE THE RECOMMENDATION?

YOU HAVE RECOMMENDED THAT WE DELAY A DECISION AND BRING IT BACK TO CITY COUNCIL FOR A DECISION ONCE THE POSITIONS WERE IDENTIFIED. THE OTHER OPTION IS WE APPROVE IT AND DETERMINE WHAT POSITIONS THEY ARE AND MOVE FORWARD.

CAN I ADD A THIRD ALTERNATIVE? WHAT IF YOU LEAVE IT TO STAFF DISCRETION TO WORK WITH THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE TO ENSURE THAT WE HAD THE RIGHT POSITIONS BECAUSE THEY WERE PART OF THAT? I WOULD HATE TO GO BACK AND HAVE A POSITION THAT I THINK IS OPEN WHICH MAY HAVE BEEN FILLED BY SOMEONE WHO HAS EXPERTISE IN A CERTAIN THING. MY RECOMMENDATION WOULD BE BRING IT BACK TO CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE, REVIEW POSITIONS AND AUTHORIZE STAFF TO GO OUT AT THAT TIME.

GIVEN THAT OPTION, WHICH OPTION WOULD YOU NOW RECOMMEND? THAT OPTION OR JUST DELAYING AS YOU HAD RECOMMENDED ORIGINALLY IN THE STAFF REPORT?

I ONLY RECOMMENDED THAT DELAYING FOR CLARITY. I NEED CLARITY AS TO WHAT WE WERE GOING TO BE RECRUITING FOR. IF I COULD GET CLARITY FROM THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE I THINK THE DIRECTION, GOING THAT DIRECTION WOULD BE TO DIRECT CITY STAFF TO WORK WITH THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE TO ENSURE-- TO GET CLARITY ON THE POSITIONS AND WHO IS FILLING WHAT POSITION AND DIRECTING STAFF TO RECRUIT FOR THOSE OPEN DECISIONS.

I LIKE TO MAKE SOME COMMAS BEFORE WE GO TO VOTE.

I HAVE A MOTION OUT THERE. THEY ARE WELCOME TO SECOND IT. MY MOTION WAS WHAT I JUST STATED THAT MARY GOURLEY RESTATED. SO FURTHER DISCUSSION?

LET ME BE CLEAR. IN THE PREVIOUS DISCUSSIONS WE VOTED TO RECRUIT AS USUAL BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T MADE A DECISION. THIS AGENDA ITEM WE ARE RECRUITING AS USUAL BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T MADE A DECISION, BUT THE MOTION IS THAT STAFF IS GOING TO CLARIFY WHAT POSITIONS WE ARE RECRUITING FOR? BUT WE WILL RECRUIT FOR THE DECEMBER 31 RECRUITMENT?

YES, IT WOULD BE RECRUITING FOR THE POSITIONS THAT ARE UPCOMING. THERE ARE THREE OR FOUR CLEAR POSITIONS BUT IT CHANGED FROM SPECIFIC POSITIONS TO GENERALIZATION OF CLIMATE BACKGROUND. I WANT TO RECRUIT FOR THOSE POSITIONS THAT ARE OPEN DECEMBER 31. I JUST WANT CLARITY AS TO WHICH POSITIONS BECAUSE SOMEHOW BY THE TIME WE RECRUITED FROM THE LAST ONE TO HOW THEY ARE DESIGNATED, IT DOES NOT MATCH THE MAKEUP OF THE COMPOSITION OF THAT COMMITTEE. SO-- DOES THAT MAKE SENSE? WE ARE STILL RECRUITING.

SO WE ARE NOT FOLLOWING THE ORIGINAL STAFF RECOMMENDATION.

WHICH WOULD BE TO DELAY RECRUITMENT FROM TONIGHT, LET US GET CLARITY FROM THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE AS TO THE ACTUAL POSITIONS THAT ARE OPEN AND WE ARE ONLY DELAYING DECISIONS-- THE DECISION TONIGHT--

I DO NOT NEED TO BRING IT BACK TO CITY COUNCIL IF THEY APPROVE ALLOWING STAFF TO MOVE FORWARD.

SO WE HAVE A MOTION. IS THERE ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THE MOTION THAT HAS BEEN MADE? I THINK WE ARE PREPARED-- HAVE WE DONE PUBLIC COMMENT? THANK YOU VERY MUCH. PREPARED FOR A ROLLCALL.

SO MOVED BY MAYOR RICH AND SECONDED BY COUNCILMEMBER MAURER TO AUTHORIZE CITY STAFF TO WORK WITH THE CLIMATE ACTION COMMITTEE TO GET CLARITY ON THE POSITIONS AND WHO IS FILLING WHICH POSITIONS SO THAT WE CAN GO OUT AND RECRUIT AFTER WE GET CLARITY FOR THE TERMS THAT ARE UPCOMING IN DECEMBER AFTER WE GET CLARITY FOR THE TERMS THAT ARE UPCOMING IN DECEMBER 2024.

COUNCILMEMBER HINTON? I. COUNCILMEMBER MAURER? YES. COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS? YES. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN? YES. MAYOR RICH? YES.

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

EXCELLENT. I THINK THAT WE ARE NOW THROUGH OUR AGENDA ITEMS AND CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG BUT I THINK WE HAVE ADDITIONAL PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA, CORRECT?

CORRECT. THIS IS THE OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT FOR ITEMS NOT ON THE AGENDA AND YOU'RE NOT SPEAKING AT THE PREVIOUS PUBLIC COMMENT. THIS IS YOUR OPPORTUNITY. LET ME GET THERE. HOLD ON JUST FOR A SECOND. GO AHEAD AND UNMUTE YOURSELF. CAN YOU SEE THE TIMER?

GO AHEAD PLEASE. I REALLY APPRECIATE THE DIFFERENT DEPARTMENT HEAD REPORTS. THIS REALLY NEEDS TO CONTINUE ON TO THE FUTURE AND I THINK BECAUSE WE DON'T GET AN OPPORTUNITY TO SPEAK ON THE ACTUAL REPORTS, WELL ONE, MY MIND IS BLOWN THAT WE'VE ACTUALLY MADE IT TO THE SECOND PUBLIC COMMENT AND WE ARE ACTUALLY GOING TO MAKE IT TO WHERE WE WILL GET REPORTS OUT. SO IT IS MY HOPE, BECAUSE WE'RE GOING TO GET TO THAT PART OF THE MEETING THAT WE ACTUALLY GET REPORTING OUT ON SOME OF THE THINGS WE ARE SEEING SO LET ME, ON A FEW OF THEM. THERE ARE MULTIPLE MENTIONS OF THE SIGN AND I WOULD LOVE TO GET A STATUS ON WHERE THAT IS. THE CITY MANAGER REPORT IS ESSENTIALLY JUST A BULLETED LIST. I WOULD ENCOURAGE THE CITY MANAGER TO LOOK AT THE RECENT REPORT FROM THE CITY OF--. THERE'S A LOT OF OPPORTUNITY THAT YOU HAVE FROM THIS REPORT. YOU COULD BE PRODUCING A REPORT THAT ACTUALLY REACHES TO THE PUBLIC AND SUGGESTS PEOPLE THAT ARE HAPPENING, OPPORTUNITIES THE PUBLIC MAY HAVE TO PARTICIPATE AND BE A PART OF OUR COMMUNITY AND I ENCOURAGE YOU TO TAKE A LOOK AT THAT AND SEE IF YOU CAN UPDATE THE MANAGER REPORT TO BE REFLECTED LIKE THAT. THE WOODMARK APARTMENTS ARE BEING COMPLETED. I NOTICED THEY HAVE THE BEACON. ONE THING THAT I WOULD LIKE TO KNOW ABOUT IS THE 116 BEACON FOR THE JOE BURROW DODA TRAIL IS REGULARLY MALFUNCTIONING DESPITE NO PEDESTRIANS OR BICYCLISTS WANTING TO USE THAT CROSSWAY. IT IS JUST REGULARLY FLASHING A BEACON AS IF IT IS. WHAT THAT DOES IS REDUCES DRIVER SENSITIVITY TO THAT. LET'S SEE IF WE CAN MAKE SURE THAT DOESN'T HAPPEN ON THE WOODMARK ONE. WE HAVE TO TALK ABOUT THE WATER BILLS. YOU OVERCHARGED \$13,000 IN THE MONTH OF JULY. YOU NEED TO ADDRESS THAT PUBLICLY TO TALK ABOUT THAT IN MORE DETAIL, AND FINALLY, THE LIVERY PROJECT. WILL WE SEE ANOTHER EXTENSION? OR ARE WE GOING TO ACTUALLY FORCE THESE FOLKS?

I'M SORRY, TWO MINUTES IS UP. SORRY ABOUT THAT.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR PUBLIC COMMENT. NEXT I WILL COME BACK INTO CHAMBERS. SEEING NONE I WILL GO BACK OUT TO ZOOM. SEEING NONE, PUBLIC COMMENT IS CLOSED.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH TO MARY GOURLEY. WE ARE NOW ON ITEM NUMBER 11, CITY MANAGER AND CITY CLERK REPORTS. TURNING TO THE CITY MANAGER AND CITY CLERK. ANY COMMENTS?

I'VE GOT A FEW, IF I MAY. ON THE BILLING ISSUE WE HAVE IDENTIFIED THE PROBLEM. WE'VE INCLUDED ADJUSTMENTS TO EACH OF THE BILLS WHERE WE KNOW THAT THERE IS A PROBLEM AND ENCOURAGED FOLKS TO CALL US IF THEY HAVE QUESTIONS OR TRY AND WORK SOME KIND OF PAYMENT PLAN OUT. I APOLOGIZE THAT THAT HAPPENED AND WE ARE GOING TO PUT STEPS IN PLACE TO MAKE SURE IT DOESN'T HAPPEN AGAIN. IT SHOULD NOT HAVE HAPPENED. ON MORE OF A POSITIVE NOTE, WE ARE STARTING I BELIEVE THIS WEEK, WITH OUR INTERNS. WE HAD THEM IN LAST WEEK FOR AN ORIENTATION AND INTRODUCTION SO WE ARE LOOKING FORWARD TO ALL OF THAT HAPPENING. WE ARE WORKING ON THE SIGNAGE ISSUE WITH PLANNING. I DON'T HAVE UP-TO-THE-MINUTE UPDATES BUT I KNOW THAT IT'S BEEN EVOLVING EVERY DAY BUT I DON'T KNOW THAT I HAVE THE VERY LATEST. THIS IS A DIFFICULT THING TO DECIDE BECAUSE THERE ARE SO MANY WONDERFUL EVENTS WITH THE APPLE BLOSSOM PARADE AND THE FOURTH OF JULY AND PEACE TOWN AND ALL OF THAT. BUT I THINK THAT I HAVE A NEW FAVORITE AND THAT IS THE CHAMBER AWARDS BECAUSE THE BREADTH OF AWARDS GIVEN FROM STUDENTS TO BUSINESSES TO COMMUNITY VOLUNTEERS AND THE DEPTH OF COMMITMENT TO THE COMMUNITY FROM THOSE FOLKS ARE REALLY HEARTWARMING. THANK YOU TO THAT CHAMBER FOR SPONSORING THAT AND INVITING US TO COME. IT WAS JUST THE MOST WONDERFUL EVENING AND MADE ME EVEN MORE FOND OF THE COMMUNITY AND THE PEOPLE THAT MAKE IT UP. I'VE NEVER SEEN A COMMITMENT OF RESIDENTS THAT I HAVE EXPERIENCED HERE. IT WAS A WONDERFUL EVENING.

CITY MANAGER, THIS IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR YOU TO SHARE WITH THE PUBLIC CITY EMPLOYEES WHO RECEIVED AWARDS.

SO THE FIREFIGHTER OF THE YEAR WAS PATTY MURPHY. SHE WAS RECOGNIZED IN 2023 AND I SUPPOSE THIS WAS THE FIRST OPPORTUNITY FOR THE CHAMBER TO RECOGNIZE HER FOR HER FINE WORK SUPPORTING THE FIRE DEPARTMENT AND THE BUILDING. HE STILL HAS NOT SAID ANYTHING ABOUT THIS HIMSELF BUT CHIEF NELSON WAS AWARDED OFFICER OF THE YEAR BY THE CHAMBER AND THE ONLY WAY YOU WOULD REALLY KNOW THAT IS IF YOU WERE THERE. BUT IT WAS NICE TO SEE THAT RECOGNITION BY THE CHAMBER. WONDERFUL EVENING OVERALL.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR THAT REPORT. CITY CLERK, ANYTHING TO REPORT FOR US? I WILL NOTE AS WE DID BEFORE THAT THE DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS ARE PART OF THE AGENDA IN THIS PACKET AND THEY ARE SUBSTANTIAL. CITY MANAGER CAN YOU REMIND US HOW FREQUENTLY WE WILL BE RECEIVING THESE DEPARTMENTAL REPORTS?

AT THE SECOND COUNCIL MEETING OF EACH MONTH.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS CITY COUNCIL REPORTS. LOOKING TO SEE WHO WOULD LIKE TO START THOSE PRESENTATIONS. ANYONE? WE HAVE COUNCILMEMBER MAURER.

WE REALLY HAVEN'T REPORTED OUT FOR QUITE A WHILE SO THE REPORT GOES BACK TO AUGUST BUT I WILL SPARE YOU. I'LL HIT A FEW THINGS. IN AUGUST THERE WAS THE SONOMA COUNTY MEETING AND THAT WAS IN PETALUMA. WHAT WAS SIGNIFICANT ABOUT THAT IS THERE WAS A PRESENTATION ON THE EI FD PROCESS AND HOMELESS AND MOBILE CRISIS SUPPORT AND SANTA ROSA OFFERED TO SHARE THEIR TEMPLATE AND SANTA ROSA ALSO TALKED ABOUT DOING THE BIAS TRAINING WHICH I THOUGHT WAS REALLY INSPIRING. RECENTLY ON

OCTOBER 10, THE MAYOR AND COUNCIL MEETINGS AGAIN IN RUNNER PARK, THERE WAS A PRESENTATION FROM THE SDT A-- SETA. WE HAVE DISCUSSED PROPOSALS TO REDUCE STAFF TIME INVOLVEMENT WITH THE COMMITTEE AND FOCUSED ON THEIR UPCOMING WORK PLAN. THE AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR SERVICES HAS REVIEWED RESEARCHED, INTERVIEWED AND SCORED THE GARBAGE PROPOSALS AND THERE HAS BEEN SEVERAL BUDGET MEETINGS. I SIGNED UP FOR NATIONAL WEEK WITHOUT DRIVING CHALLENGE AND I REALLY DO NOT LIKE DRIVING VERY MUCH AND THAT WAS PRETTY EASY FOR ME. HAD TO REACH OUT FOR A RIDE AND OUR LOVELY COUNCILMEMBER NEYSA HINTON AGREED TO GIVE ME A RIDE AND SHE SAID NOT A PROBLEM AND I REALLY APPRECIATED THAT. APPROXIMATELY ONE THIRD OF AMERICANS DON'T DRIVE SO WHILE I THOUGHT THIS WAS AN EFFORT TOWARD CONSERVATION, IT ACTUALLY WAS AN EFFORT TOWARD GETTING A BETTER UNDERSTANDING OF WHAT THE EXPERIENCE IS FOR PEOPLE WHO DON'T DRIVE. WHICH COULD MEAN THAT THEY ARE LIMITED IN TERMS OF WHAT THEY CAN ACTUALLY DO IN THE WORLD AND WHAT THEIR NEEDS ARE. WE NEED A DIVERSE-- WE NEED DIVERSE TRANSPORTATION OPTIONS FOR EVERYONE SO I DID COFFEE ON 9:25. A SMALL GROUP OF PEOPLE CAME AND ASKED QUESTIONS ABOUT RENTAL OVERSIGHT AND MAKING SURE RENTERS HAD A VOICE AND HAD CONCERNS ABOUT THE WATER AND CONTAMINATION IN THE WATER ABOUT WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS A CAP ON WATER SALES AND WHETHER WERE NOT WE HAD A FIFTY-YEAR WATER PLAN. SONOMA WATER RECEIVED ONE OF FIVE \$2 MILLION GRANTS TO ASSESS CLIMATE VULNERABILITY TO DEVELOP A WATERSHED RESILIENCY VISION AND RECOMMEND ADAPTATION STRATEGIES AND DEVELOPING IMPLEMENTATION PLAN. THAT PLAN CAN BE USED TO SEEK FUNDING AND WILL NEED TO BE COMPLETED BY APRIL 2026. THAT'S IT FOR ME, THANK YOU. [CAPTIONERS TRANSITIONING]

GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON, I'M JUST GOING TO CALL ON PEOPLE. SO, I WILL, NOT A LOT TO REPORT OUT AT THIS POINT, AS A COUNCILMEMBER, HAS ATTENDED THE SONOMA COUNTY MAYORS AND COUNCILMEMBERS ASSOCIATION MEETINGS THOSE ARE SUPER INTERESTING, AND I WOULD JUST SAY FOR PURPOSES OF THE PUBLIC, BECAUSE IT INCLUDES NOT JUST BOARD MEETINGS, WHICH ARE FOR MAYORS, BUT IT ALSO INCLUDES THE FULL DINNER MEETINGS THAT ARE FOR ALL COUNCILMEMBERS, AND THERE IS NOT JUST A SHARING OF INFORMATION, AND AN OPPORTUNITY TO NETWORK AND HAVE YOUR OWN CITY BENEFIT FROM THOSE INTERACTIONS, ALSO, THERE ARE PRESENTATIONS THAT I FIND SUPER INTERESTING ON TOPICS LIKE THE ONE THAT COUNCILMEMBER MAURER POINTED OUT, THE EIF THE, I THOUGHT THAT WAS SUPER INTERESTING GIVEN THAT WE'RE GOING THROUGH THAT PROCESS OURSELVES. THE EIFD WORK HAS CONTINUED WITH VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN WORKING WITH THE COUNTY TO MOVE THAT FORWARD IN THAT ARENA, I ATTENDED VIRTUALLY, THE COUNTY MEETING WHEN THE CONSULTANT WAS PRESENTING INFORMATION AT A TOWN HALL, WORKSHOP FORMAT, TWO MEMBERS OF THE UNINCORPORATED SONOMA COUNTY AREA. IT WAS INTERESTING TO SEE THAT GROUP STRUGGLING WITH MEETING THEIR NEEDS, BUT BEING AWARE THAT SEVASTOPOL WOULD AFFECT THE POTENTIAL FOR THEM MEETING THEIR NEEDS IN A COMBINED EIFD. PARALLEL CONVERSATION THAT WE'VE BEEN HAVING. THE FINAL POINT I'D MAKE IS THAT IN PARTNERSHIP WITH THE CITY MANAGER, HE AND I HAVE BEEN DOING THE ROUNDS TO PROVIDE EDUCATION INFORMATION ON THE SALES TAX MEASURE AND THAT'S INVOLVED A PRESENTATION AT BURBANK HEIGHTS AND ORCHARDS, ALWAYS A VERY ENGAGED COMMUNITY, WITH VERY PRECISE, DIRECT QUESTIONS, SUPER

INTERESTING. AND ALSO SUNRISE ROTARY, DIFFERENT POPULATION BUT JUST AS ENGAGING AND JUST AS INVOLVED. THAT'S MY REPORT AT THE MOMENT, AND I'M LOOKING FOR ANOTHER COUNCILMEMBER TO STEP UP AND PROVIDE SOME INFORMATION. VICE MAYOR ZOLLMAN.

THANK YOU, MADAM MAYOR. A COUPLE OF THINGS, HIGH POINTS, AS THE MAYORS AND COUNCILMEMBERS APPOINTEE TO OUR COUNTY'S CHILD CLEAR CLAN AND CANCEL, I'VE SERVED IN THAT ROLE FOR TWO YEARS AND PREVIOUSLY FOR TWO YEARS BEFORE THAT, AND AS A RESULT, WAS VERY HONORED TO HAVE MY FELLOW MEMBERS VOTE ME AND AS COCHAIR OF THE CHILD CARE PLANNING COUNCIL. IF PEOPLE HAVE QUESTIONS ABOUT WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THE STATE OF OUR CHILDCARE PROVIDERS, NOT JUST FROM A HUMANITARIAN POINT OF VIEW, BUT ALSO FROM A BUSINESS POINT OF VIEW, MANY OF THE BUSINESSES ARE STRUGGLING BECAUSE THEY CANNOT FIND WORKERS WHO HAVE TO DO THAT BALANCING ACT OUT, IS IT REALLY WORTH GETTING A SALARY IF I HAVE TO PAY THAT AND MORE FOR CHILD CARE? SO THERE'S THAT. THE REPORT ON THE LIBRARY AD HOC, WE HAVE TAKEN TO NOTE THAT MANY IF NOT ALL PEOPLE ARE NOT WANTING TO HEAR ABOUT THE LIBRARY AT ALL. ZERO. THE LIBRARY AD HOC, ALONG WITH THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OF LIBRARY ADMINISTRATION ARE NOW STARTING TO UNDERSTAND THAT WITHOUT MORE INFORMATION, PEOPLE WILL CONTINUE TO JUST HAVE THIS FALSE IDEA THAT NO ONE SHOULD CARE ABOUT THE LIBRARY BECAUSE THE LIBRARY IS BASICALLY A COUNTY DEPARTMENT. IT IS, IN FACT, NOT A COUNTY DEPARTMENT, AND I THINK THE RENEWAL MEASURE HAS PUT IN FOCUS FOR A FEW PEOPLE, NOW, THAT WE DO NEED TO PAY ATTENTION, THE FACT THAT WE ELECTEDS OF THE CITIES PUT TOGETHER THIS ENTITY, AND WE DELEGATE OUR POWER TO LIBRARY COMMISSIONERS TO SET THE POLICY AND EXERCISE FINANCIAL FIDUCIARY DUTY OVER LIBRARY ADMINISTRATION. THAT PART, WE NEED TO PROVIDE MORE INFORMATION ON. BECAUSE OTHERWISE, PEOPLE WILL JUST ASSUME THAT MONEY WILL COME TO OUR BUILDING, OUR PHYSICAL STRUCTURE, AND IT'S NOT GOING TO. IF SOMETHING HAPPENS TO OUR PHYSICAL STRUCTURE, DANTE HAS SAID THAT WE SHOULD BE PUTTING \$700-\$800,000 A YEAR TO MAINTAIN THAT BUILDING. AND THAT IS CURRENTLY OUR OBLIGATION, AS IT STANDS. SO, WE ARE FULLY AWARE THAT WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO EDUCATE PEOPLE. THE FACT THAT THIS ENTITY IS NOT JUST A COUNTY DEPARTMENT, A COUNTY AGENCY, IT IS SOMETHING THAT WE ELECTEDS CREATED, AND WE SHOULD BE LOOKING TO FIND WAYS TO MAKE SURE THAT OUR SALES TAX DOLLARS COMES BACK TO THIS COMMUNITY, AS DOES A PORTION OF OUR PROPERTY TAXES. THAT'S WHAT WE'RE TRYING TO DO WITH THE LIBRARY AD HOC. I, TOO, HAVE PROVIDED SALES TAX INFORMATION AND TO THE SENIOR CENTER AND WORKING WITH COUNCILMEMBER MAURER ON OUR UPCOMING SETASTOPOL WALKS, WHICH IS THE END OF NOVEMBER, AND IT WILL FOCUS ON OUR NONPROFITS, AND HAVE HAD SOME COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE CHAMBER, MARIAH AND ANDREA ABOUT WAYS THAT WE COULD PAIR THAT WITH SMALL BUSINESS SATURDAY TO HAVE THE NONPROFITS THAT CANNOT BE PHYSICALLY WALKED TO OR DO NOT HAVE A PHYSICAL LOCATION TO HAVE TABLES WITHIN THE DOWNTOWN BUSINESSES TO PROVIDE AN ATMOSPHERE OF GIVING TO YOUR SMALL BUSINESSES, GIVING TO NONPROFITS, THAT TYPE OF THING. STAY POSTED ON THAT AND IF ANYONE WANTS TO INQUIRE, SEND EITHER ONE OF US AN EMAIL, THANKS.

THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK WE'RE AT EITHER COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS OR COUNCILMEMBER HINTON. GO AHEAD, COUNCILMEMBER HINTON.

OKAY. SO, YEAH, IT'S BEEN A BUSY LAST FEW MONTHS, I'LL JUST HIGHLIGHT ON LAST MONTH SINCE YOU GUYS HAVE BROUGHT UP SETASTOPOL WALKS, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS AND I DID THE FIFTH ANNUAL FOODIE RESTAURANT WALK, IT WAS A FUN TIME, AND IT'S ALWAYS FUN TO EAT WHEN YOU WALK, SO YOU WALK AND GET A REWARD. AND WE HAD APPROXIMATELY, I BELIEVE, 11 PARTICIPATING RESTAURANTS, AND ABOUT 42 WALKERS, AND, YOU KNOW, IT KEEPS GETTING BIGGER EVERY YEAR. THAT WAS A FUN TIME, AND IT WAS ON SEPTEMBER 21st ON SATURDAY. BEEN ATTENDING ALL THE REGULAR MEETINGS BUT THE MOST RECENT MEETINGS I'LL TALK ABOUT INVOLVED WITH MY APPOINTMENT TO SC TAR CPA, I WATCHED THE VIRTUAL PUBLIC MEETING ABOUT HIGHWAY 12 IN FULTON, THEY ARE DOING VISIBILITY STUDIES ABOUT THE TRAFFIC THERE WOULD CERTAINLY WOULD AFFECT THE PEOPLE THAT COME AND GO BETWEEN SEBASTOPOL AND SANTA ROSA ON THAT ROAD, THAT WAS ON THE NIGHT OF OCTOBER 2nd I WAS THE ONLY DIRECTOR IN ATTENDANCE BUT, THOUGHT THAT WAS IMPORTANT. ALSO, MAYOR MENTIONED A BUSY BOAT, I HAD A CTA AND OUR CPA YESTERDAY, I'M AN ALTERNATE ON THE EXECUTIVE BOARD AND WE HAVE OUR FALL MEETING WHICH I WAITED TO TAKE A REALLY IMPORTANT WHAT I THOUGHT FELT LIKE WAS ABOUT ON A REQUEST TO MDC, TO REVISE A RESOLUTION DEDICATING 25% OF SONOMA'S COUNTIES FUNDS, FUNDING TO THE GOLDEN GATE TRANSIT WHICH DOES NOT SERVICE SEBASTOPOL OR THE CITY OF SONOMA. THERE WAS A LITTLE PUSHBACK FROM TWO DIRECTORS, DIRECTOR ROBERT AND FROM ROHNERT PARK WHO DID NOT VOTE WITH THE MAJORITY OF THE BOARD, BUT THE REST OF US WANT MTC TO TAKE A CLOSER LOOK AT THAT, BECAUSE THE AGREEMENT IS 30 YEARS OLD AND WE THINK THAT WE ARE PAYING FOR SERVICE WE ARE NOT RECEIVING. IT'S A BIG PROCESS, I'M VERY INVOLVED IN IT, MADE PUBLIC COMMENTS, ET CETERA. TRYING TO DEFEND OUR TAX DOLLARS OR GET US BUS SERVICE WHAT WE'RE PAYING FOR OR SHOULD BE PAYING FOR. THAT WAS YESTERDAY. I ALSO REPRESENTED US ON THE GSA BOARD WITH SANTA ROSA PLANE, WE MIGHT WANT TO GET A PRESENTATION ABOUT THAT, ONCE WE GET A NEW COUNCIL SEATED FROM ANDY, THERE, IT'S A REALLY IMPORTANT REGIONAL BOARD, SINCE WE JUST HAD A WATER PRESENTATION TALKING ABOUT WILSON GROVE, A LOT OF PEOPLE DON'T REALIZE THAT WE DID HAVE TO EITHER DO OUR OWN WHICH WE ESTIMATED WOULD BE AT LEAST \$1 MILLION IF WE STUCK WITH JUST OUR WILSON GROVE, AND ON OUR OWN, OR WE HAD TO JOIN THE SANTA ROSA PLANE ORGANIZATION WITH THE GSA. THAT WAS SOME YEARS AGO, WE ARE A MEMBER THERE WITH FULL VOTING RIGHTS, SO ANYWAY. AND, LET ME SEE. I THINK THAT THAT WAS, WE HAVE HAD FIRE MEETINGS. AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS , WE HAD A BRIEF DISCUSSION THIS MORNING, BUT WE HAD AN OFFICIAL SUBCOMMITTEE MEETING ON THE 10th OF THIS MONTH, AND WE HAVE ANOTHER ONE COMING UP ON THE 21st, AND WE ARE TRYING TO GO STEP-BY-STEP, WE HAVE A THING CALLED AN MSR THAT'S GOING TO BE PUBLIC THAT WE GOT, AND WE ARE REVIEWING IT AND PLANNING TO REVIEW IT ON THE 21st, AND IT HAS TO BE BACK TO THEM BY THE 20 -- 30th, EVERYTHING IS STEP, STEP, STEP, I'LL LET COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS EXPAND ON THAT, BUT BEEN WORKING ON THAT DILIGENTLY.

OKAY, COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS, YOU'RE UP .

EXCUSE ME. OKAY, JUST TRYING TO TALLY ALL THIS UP, IT'S BEEN QUITE A WHILE. LET'S SEE. OF COURSE, I'M ON THE AD HOC FOR THE TRASH, WASTE, WITH COUNCILMEMBER MAURER, I COUNTED OVER JUST THE LAST MONTH AND A HALF OR SO, WE HAD AT LEAST SIX OF THOSE MEETINGS WHICH ARE HOURS LONG, SO

WE ARE CLICKING ALONG WITH THAT, OBVIOUSLY THAT'S SOMETHING TONIGHT ON THE AGENDA, AND THEN, I HAVE ATTENDED A COUPLE OF THE LEGISLATIVE MEETINGS, WHEN JUST TO FIELD QUESTIONS ON FROM THE COMMUNITY, FROM THE COUNCILMEMBERS, SORRY, AND THEN WE'VE ALSO, LET'S SEE, I'M TRYING TO LOOK, HERE. ALSO ATTENDED THE AD HOC COMMITTEE FOR THE COMMUNITY CULTURAL CENTER, WITH PUBLIC WORKS AND BOARD MEMBER FROM THE COMMUNITY CENTER IN ADDITION TO ENGINEERING, SO WE DO HAVE ANOTHER MEETING PLANNED IN ABOUT, I DON'T KNOW, A COUPLE OF WEEKS. TRYING TO ACCOMPLISH SOME OF THIS BEFORE DANTE LEAVES US, HERE. WE'RE WORKING ON THAT AD HOC, AND THEN YOU MENTIONED THE MSR, WE ARE, WE SET A NUMBER OF FIRE MEETINGS, WE'VE INCREASED THE NUMBER OVER THE LAST MONTH OR SO, WE ARE WORKING THROUGH THOSE STEPS METHODICALLY, TAKING THE GUIDANCE FROM GOLD RIDGE AND ALSO LEFT GO, AND THAT PUBLIC DOCUMENT, POSTED BY THE 30th, AND CORRECT ME IF I'M WRONG, I BELIEVE IS A PUBLIC MEETING ABOUT IT ON NOVEMBER 6th, I DON'T KNOW, CITY MANAGERS? IS THAT THE RIGHT DATE?

THEY'RE PLANNING TO CONSIDER THE MSR ON NOVEMBER 6th, AND THEY HAVE UNTIL OCTOBER 30th TO INCORPORATE ANY COMMENTS THAT WE BRING TO THEM. OR OTHERS AS WELL, PEOPLE WILL HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT ON THAT.

AND I ENJOYED DOING THE RESTAURANT WALK, THAT WAS SO ENJOYABLE, PEOPLE LOVED IT, AND WE HAD A FEW PEOPLE WHO'VE BEEN DOING IT FOR MANY, MANY YEARS, AND NOW THEY'VE ALTERED THEIR PATH AND DO THE DRIVING, WALKING, BASED ON THEIR ABILITIES, BUT, IT'S NICE TO SEE THE TENACITY IN WANTING TO DO THAT, THEY WERE TAKING NOTES, VERY SERIOUS ABOUT COMING BACK AND VISITING EACH OF THE RESTAURANTS. THEY TRULY APPRECIATED THAT, AND IT'S WORKED FOR THE BUSINESSES TO DO AND TO HAVE THEM PARTICIPATE THE WAY THEY DID, I THOUGHT IT WAS REALLY, REALLY SPECIAL, WHEN I KNOW THE ATTENDEES DID AS WELL. I THINK THAT'S THE MAJORITY I'VE ATTENDED A FEW SEBASTOPOL WORLD FRIEND MEETINGS, THAT'S PRETTY MUCH IT FOR NOW. THERE'S A LOT OF MEETINGS WITH THESE AD HOC COMMITTEES.

THANK YOU.

TO ADDRESS THAT, THE MSR STANDS FOR MUNICIPAL SERVICE REVIEW, AND IT'S OVER 200 PAGES, SO JUST TO LET YOU GUYS KNOW. IT'S KIND OF A BIG THING.

OKAY, THANK YOU VERY MUCH. I THINK WE HAVE ALL OF OUR COUNCILMEMBER REPORTS, NOW. THE NEXT ITEM ON THE AGENDA IS COUNCIL COMMUNICATIONS. ANYTHING TO REPORT BY ANYONE? WE'VE KIND OF INCORPORATED THOSE INTO OUR COUNCILMEMBER REPORTS. AND THAT IS THE END OF OUR AGENDA, AND WE ARE GOING TO HAPPILY ADJOURN AT 9:15 P.M. THANK YOU, EVERYONE, FOR PARTICIPATING. YES, AND THANK YOU ALSO TO OUR VICE MAYOR AND COUNCILMEMBER McLEWIS FOR BEING THERE IN LONG BEACH TO REPRESENT OUR CITY. THANK YOU. OKAY, EVERYONE. YES. WAITING TILL THE END. OKAY, MEETING ADJOURNED.